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#### Abstract

We consider $M^{n}, n \geq 3$, an $n$-dimensional complete submanifold of a Riemannian manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{n+p}, \bar{g}\right)$. We prove that if for all point $x \in M^{n}$ the following inequality is satisfied $$
S \leq \frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}
$$ with strictly inequality at one point, where $S$ and $H$ denote the squared norm of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of $M^{n}$ respectively, then $M^{n}$ is either diffeomorphic to a spherical space form or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, if $M^{n}$ is simply connected, then $M^{n}$ is either diffeomorphic to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.


## 1. Introduction and main result.

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{n+p}, \bar{g}\right)$. Here we assume that $n \geq 2$. For an arbitrary fixed point $x \in M^{n}$, we choose an orthonormal local frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+p}\right\}$ in $\bar{M}^{n+p}$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is tangent to $M^{n}$. Let $\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n+p}\right\}$ the dual frame field of $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+p}\right\}$. Let $R m$ and $\overline{R m}$ be the Riemannian curvature tensors of $M^{n}$ and $\bar{M}^{n+p}$ respectively, and $h$ the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R m=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} R_{i j k l} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes \omega_{k} \otimes \omega_{l}, \\
& \overline{R m}=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n+p} \bar{R}_{i j k l} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes \omega_{k} \otimes \omega_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

[^0]$$
h=\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{\alpha}
$$

The Riemann curvature tensors $R m, \bar{R} m$ and the second fundamental form $h$ are related by the Gauss equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\bar{R}_{i j k l}+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{j l}^{\alpha}-h_{i l}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature $H$ of $M^{n}$ are given by

$$
S:=\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
H=\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}\right| .
$$

Suppose, for a moment, $\left(\bar{M}^{n+p}, \bar{g}\right)$ has constant curvature $c$. From Gauss equation, we obtain

$$
R_{g}=n(n-1) c+n^{2} H^{2}-S,
$$

where $R_{g}$ is the scalar curvature of $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ and $g$ is the induced metric of $\bar{g}$. Hence, in this case, we find that

$$
R_{g} \geq \frac{n^{2}(n-2) H^{2}}{n-1}+(n+1)(n-2) c
$$

if and only if

$$
S \leq \frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}+2 c
$$

Note that if $n=2$, then the Gauss curvature is nonnegative if and only if $S \leq$ $\left(n^{2} H^{2} /(n-1)\right)+2 c$. In 1936, J. J. Stoker [10] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (J. J. Stoker-1936). Assume that $M^{2}$ is a complete submanifold of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. If the Gaussian curvature $K$ is positive, the $M^{2}$ is either diffeomorphic to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

In 1971, do Carmo and Lima [5] improved the above theorem:
Theorem 1.2 (do Carmo, Lima - 1971). Assume that $M^{2}$ is a complete submanifold of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. If the Gaussian curvature $K$ is nonnegative, and positive at one point, then $M^{2}$ is either diffeomorphic to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

One can find some related results about the geometry and topology of manifolds with a curvature satisfying some strictly inequality at one point, e.g., in $[\mathbf{8}],[\mathbf{9}]$, [12]. In this paper, we want to discuss a result like Theorem 1.2 that improves a result like Theorem 1.1. Before state our main result, let we make a definition. Denote by $K_{x}(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of $M^{n}$ for tangent 2-plane $\pi \subset T_{x} M^{n}$ at point $x \in M^{n}, \bar{K}_{x}(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of $\bar{M}^{n+p}$ for tangent 2-plane $\pi \subset T_{x} \bar{M}^{n+p}$ at point $x \in \bar{M}^{n+p}$. Set

$$
\bar{K}_{\min }(x):=\min _{\pi \subset T_{x} \bar{M}^{n+p}} \bar{K}_{x}(\pi)
$$

and

$$
\bar{K}_{\max }(x):=\max _{\pi \subset T_{x} \bar{M}^{n+p}} \bar{K}_{x}(\pi)
$$

The following theorem was proved by Hong-Wei Xu and Juan-Ru Gu [11] (see Theorem 1.1 in [11]).

Theorem 1.3 (Hong-Wei Xu and Juan-Ru Gu-2010). Assume that $M^{n}$ is a complete submanifold and, for all point $x \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S<\frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M^{n}$ is either diffeomorphic to a spherical space form or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, if $M^{n}$ is simply connected, then $M^{n}$ is either diffeomorphic to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

The above result is interesting because when $M^{n}$ is a compact submanifold of codimension zero, Theorem 1.3 reduces to the differentiable pinching theorem of Brendle and Schoen [4]. Another hand, L. Ni and B. Wilking, combining Theorem
3.1 and Theorem 3.2 in [8], provide a classification, up to diffeomorphism, of all compact Riemannian manifolds that are almost strictly (1/4)-pinched in the pointwise sense. We define almost strictly (1/4)-pinched manifold in the pointwise sense, in the following way: we say that $(M, g)$ is almost strictly ( $1 / 4$ )-pinched in the pointwise sense if $0 \leq K\left(\pi_{1}\right) \leq 4 K\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ for all points $x \in M$ and all twodimensional planes $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \subset T_{x} M$, and there exists a point $p \in M$ such that $K\left(\pi_{1}\right)<4 K\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ for all two-dimensional planes $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \subset T_{p} M$.

Therefore it is natural to ask whether the Theorem 1.3 can also be achieved by improving the inequality (2). Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.4. Assume that $M^{n}$ is a complete submanifold and, for all point $x \in M^{n}$,

$$
S \leq \frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1},
$$

with strictly inequality at one point, then $M^{n}$ is either diffeomorphic to a spherical space form or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, if $M^{n}$ is simply connected, then $M^{n}$ is either diffeomorphic to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Theorem 1.4 has been presented at the Workshop "Geometric Analysis", IMPA - Institut Fourier at Rio de Janeiro - Brazil, November 16-26, 2010. Note that Theorem 1.4 improves the Theorem 1.3 in the sense that inequality (2) can be assumed equality and the same conclusion of Theorem 1.3 follows.
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## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4.

We divide the proof in a few steps. The first one is exactly the Lemma 4.1 in [11]. For convenience, we will post here the proof of that lemma.

Step 1: Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{n+p}, \bar{g}\right)$, and $\pi$ a tangent 2-plane in $T_{x} M^{n}$ at point $x \in$ $M^{n}$. Choose an orthonormal two-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ at $x$ such that $\pi=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Then

$$
K_{x}(\pi) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2 \bar{K}_{\min }(x)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right)+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{j>i,(i, j) \neq(1,2)}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} .
$$

Proof. We extend the orthonormal two-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ to an orthonormal
frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+p}\right\}$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$. Setting $S_{\alpha}=$ $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}-S_{\alpha}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} & \leq(n-1)\left[\left(h_{11}^{\alpha}+h_{22}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i>2}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =(n-1)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+2 h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{22}^{\alpha}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with (3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{22}^{\alpha} \geq \sum_{i \neq j}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}-S_{\alpha} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Gauss equation (1) and (4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(\pi) & =\bar{R}_{1212}+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left[h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{22}^{\alpha}-\left(h_{12}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \geq \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left[\sum_{j>2}^{n}\left(h_{1 j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j>2}^{n}\left(h_{2 j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j>i>2}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right)+\bar{K}_{\min } \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \bar{K}_{\min }+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right)+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{j>i,(i, j) \neq(1,2)}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next step, we use the same idea of the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [11]. We just make small changes in the statement of that theorem that are important to the step 3.

Step 2: Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(\geq 4)$-dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{n+p}, \bar{g}\right)$. Then, for all point $x \in M^{n}$, all orthonormal four-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\} \subset T_{x} M^{n}$, and all $\lambda, \mu \in[-1,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1313}+\lambda^{2} R_{1414}+\mu^{2} R_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} R_{2424}-2 \lambda \mu R_{1234} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From Berger's inequality (see [7]), we have

$$
\left|\bar{R}_{i j k l}\right| \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\max }-\bar{K}_{\min }\right) .
$$

Hence, from equation (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{1234}\right| & =\left|\bar{R}_{1234}+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left(h_{13}^{\alpha} h_{24}^{\alpha}-h_{14}^{\alpha} h_{23}^{\alpha}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\max }-\bar{K}_{\min }\right)+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left|h_{13}^{\alpha} h_{24}^{\alpha}-h_{14}^{\alpha} h_{23}^{\alpha}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with Step 1 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1313}+\lambda^{2} R_{1414}+\mu^{2} R_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} R_{2424}-2 \lambda \mu R_{1234} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\right)\left(2 \bar{K}_{\min }+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{j>i,(i, j) \neq(1,3)}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2} \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{j>i,(i, j) \neq(1,4)}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\mu^{2} \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{j>i,(i, j) \neq(2,3)}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2} \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{j>i,(i, j) \neq(2,4)}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-2|\lambda \mu|\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\max }-\bar{K}_{\min }\right)+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left|h_{13}^{\alpha} h_{24}^{\alpha}-h_{14}^{\alpha} h_{23}^{\alpha}\right|\right] \\
& \geq \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\right)\left(2 \bar{K}_{\min }+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left[\left(h_{14}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2}\left(h_{13}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\mu^{2}\left(h_{24}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\left(h_{23}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad-2|\lambda \mu|\left[\frac{2}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\max }-\bar{K}_{\min }\right)\right]-2|\lambda \mu| \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left|h_{13}^{\alpha} h_{24}^{\alpha}\right|-2|\lambda \mu| \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p}\left|h_{14}^{\alpha} h_{23}^{\alpha}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(h_{14}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\left(h_{23}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \geq 2|\lambda \mu|\left|h_{14}^{\alpha} h_{23}^{\alpha}\right|, \\
\lambda^{2}\left(h_{13}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\mu^{2}\left(h_{24}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \geq 2|\lambda \mu|\left|h_{13}^{\alpha} h_{24}^{\alpha}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
-4|\lambda \mu| \geq-\left(1+\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1313}+\lambda^{2} R_{1414}+\mu^{2} R_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} R_{2424}-2 \lambda \mu R_{1234} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+(\lambda \mu)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}-S\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next step is the most important here. It is the differential to improve the Theorem 1.3.

Step 3: Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(\geq 4)$-dimensional compact submanifold of an $(n+p)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{n+p}, \bar{g}\right)$. Assume that, for all point $x \in M^{n}$,

$$
S \leq \frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H^{2}}{n-1}
$$

with strictly inequality at one point $p_{0} \in M^{n}$. Then, there exists a metric on $M^{n}$ such that, for all point $x \in T_{x} M^{n}$, all orthonormal four-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\} \subset$ $T_{x} M^{n}$, and all $\lambda, \mu \in[-1,1]$,

$$
R_{1313}+\lambda^{2} R_{1414}+\mu^{2} R_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} R_{2424}-2 \lambda \mu R_{1234}>0
$$

Proof. It follows from Step 2 and from the strictly inequality

$$
S\left(p_{0}\right)<\frac{8}{3}\left(\bar{K}_{\min }-\frac{1}{4} \bar{K}_{\max }\right)+\frac{n^{2} H\left(p_{0}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

that for all orthonormal four-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\} \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$, and all $\lambda, \mu \in[-1,1]$,

$$
R_{1313}+\lambda^{2} R_{1414}+\mu^{2} R_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} R_{2424}-2 \lambda \mu R_{1234}>0
$$

Another hand, from Step 2 and work [4] due to Brendle-Schoen, $\left(M^{n}, g_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ possesses nonnegative isotropic curvature, where $g_{0}$ denotes the induced metric of $\bar{g}$. Let $g(t)$ be the solution to the Ricci flow on $M^{n}$ with initial metric $g_{0}$ and maximal interval of definition $[0, T)$. From the S. Brendle and R. Schoen's work [4], we have that, for all $0 \leq t<T,\left(M^{n}, g(t)\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ has nonnegative isotropic curvature. Another hand, from the S. Brendle and R. Schoen's work [3], given $0<t<T$ and $\lambda, \mu \in[-1,1]$, the set of all four-frames $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ that are orthonormal with respect to $g(t)$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{g(t)}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{3}\right)+\lambda^{2} R_{g(t)}\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{1}, e_{4}\right)+\mu^{2} R_{g(t)}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \\
& \quad+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} R_{g(t)}\left(e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}, e_{4}\right)-2 \lambda \mu R_{g(t)}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

is invariant under parallel transport. Hence, if $\left(M^{n}, g(t)\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, 0<t<T$, does not have positive isotropic curvature, it follows from the invariance under parallel transport that there is a four-frame $\left\{e_{1}(t), e_{2}(t), e_{3}(t), e_{4}(t)\right\} \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$ and $\lambda(t), \mu(t) \in[-1,1]$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{g(t)}\left(e_{1}(t), e_{3}(t), e_{1}(t), e_{3}(t)\right)+\lambda(t)^{2} R_{g(t)}\left(e_{1}(t), e_{4}(t), e_{1}(t), e_{4}(t)\right) \\
& \quad+\mu(t)^{2} R_{g(t)}\left(e_{2}(t), e_{3}(t), e_{2}(t), e_{3}(t)\right)+\lambda(t)^{2} \mu(t)^{2} R_{g(t)}\left(e_{2}(t), e_{4}(t), e_{2}(t), e_{4}(t)\right) \\
& \quad-2 \lambda(t) \mu(t) R_{g(t)}\left(e_{1}(t), e_{2}(t), e_{3}(t), e_{4}(t)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if for each $0<t<T,\left(M^{n}, g(t)\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ does not have positive isotropic curvature, we obtain a time dependent four-frame $\left\{e_{1}(t), e_{2}(t), e_{3}(t), e_{4}(t)\right\}$ at $p_{0}$ and a family $\lambda(t), \mu(t) \subset[-1,1]$ satisfying the equality above. We can choose a sequence of times $t_{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$ for which the corresponding sequence of four-frames converge to an orthonormal four-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\} \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$ at $p_{0}$ with respect to the metric $g_{0}$. Since $[-1,1]$ is compact, there exists two points $\lambda_{0}, \mu_{0} \in[-1,1]$ such that, passing to a subsequence if necessary, $\lambda\left(t_{i}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$ and $\mu\left(t_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mu_{0}$. Thus, we find an orthonormal four-frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\} \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$ with respect to the metric $g_{0}$ and two points $\lambda_{0}, \mu_{0} \in[-1,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{g_{0}}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{3}\right)+\lambda_{0}^{2} R_{g_{0}}\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{1}, e_{4}\right)+\mu_{0}^{2} R_{g_{0}}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \\
& \quad+\lambda_{0}^{2} \mu_{0}^{2} R_{g_{0}}\left(e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}, e_{4}\right)-2 \lambda_{0} \mu_{0} R_{g_{0}}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, there exist $t>0$ such that $\left(M^{n}, g(t)\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ possesses positive isotropic curvature.

Final Step: It follows from Step 1 that the sectional curvatures of $\left(M^{n}, g_{0}\right)$ is
nonnegative and there exists a point $p_{0} \in M^{n}$ such that $K_{p_{0}}(\pi)>0$ for all 2-plane $\pi \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$.

1. Suppose that $M^{n}(n \geq 3)$ is complete and non-compact. It follows from Perelman's Soul Theorem (see [9]) that $M^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, since the sectional curvatures of $\left(M^{n}, g_{0}\right)$ is nonnegative and there exists a point $p_{0} \in M^{n}$ such that $K_{p_{0}}(\pi)>0$ for all 2-plane $\pi \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$.
2. Suppose that $M^{n}$ is compact and $n=3$. It follows from Step 1 that $\operatorname{Ric}_{g_{0}} \geq 0$ on $M^{n}$ and there exists a point $p_{0} \in M^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}_{g_{0}}>0$ at this point, since the sectional curvatures of $\left(M^{n}, g_{0}\right)$ is nonnegative and there exists a point $p_{0} \in M^{n}$ such that $K_{p_{0}}(\pi)>0$ for all 2-plane $\pi \subset T_{p_{0}} M^{n}$. From a theorem due to T. Aubin [1], we construct a Riemannian metric $h$ on $M^{3}{\text { such that } \operatorname{Ric}_{h}>0}$ on $M^{3}$. Hence, from a classification result of compact 3-dimensional manifolds with positive Ricci curvature due to R. Hamilton [6] we have the manifold $M^{3}$ is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.
3. Suppose that $M^{n}$ is compact and $n \geq 4$. From Step 3, there exists a metric $h_{0}$ on $M$ such that $\left(M^{n}, h_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ possesses positive isotropic curvature. From a result of Brendle and Schoen, the normalized Ricci flow

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t)=-2 \operatorname{Ric}_{h(t)}+\frac{2}{n} r_{h(t)} h(t)
$$

where $r_{h(t)}$ denotes the mean value of the scalar curvature of $h(t)$, with initial metric $h_{0}$ exists for all time and converges to a constant curvature metric as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence, $M^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.
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