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#### Abstract

Let $\iota: \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \hookrightarrow S$ be a compactification of the two dimensional complex space $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$. By making use of Nevanlinna theoretic methods and the classification of compact complex surfaces K. Kodaira proved in 1971 ([2]) that $S$ is a rational surface. Here we deal with a more general meromorphic map $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$ into a compact complex manifold $X$ of dimension $n$, whose differential $d f$ has generically rank $n$. Let $\rho_{f}$ denote the order of $f$. We will prove that if $\rho_{f}<2$, then every global symmetric holomorphic tensor must vanish; in particular, if $\operatorname{dim} X=2$ and $X$ is kähler, then $X$ is a rational surface. Without the kähler condition there is no such conclusion, as we will show by a counter-example using a Hopf surface. This may be the first instance that the kähler or non-kähler condition makes a difference in the value distribution theory.


## 1. Introduction and main results.

Let $X$ be a compact hermitian manifold with metric form $\omega$. Let $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$ be a meromorphic map (cf. [4] for this section in general). If the differential $d f$ is generically of maximal rank, $f$ is said to be differentiably non-degenerate. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=d d^{c}\|z\|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z=\left(z_{j}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n}$, where $d^{c}=(i / 4 \pi)(\bar{\partial}-\partial)$ and $\|z\|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}$. We use the notation:

$$
B(r)=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n}:\|z\|<r\right\}, \quad S(r)=\left\{z \in C^{n}:\|z\|=r\right\} \quad(r>0) .
$$

We define the order function of $f$ with respect to $\omega$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}(r ; \omega)=\int_{1}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 n-1}} \int_{B(t)} f^{*} \omega \wedge \alpha^{n-1} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Then the (upper) order is defined by

$$
\rho_{f}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{f}(r ; \omega)}{\log r}
$$

It is easy to see that $\rho_{f}$ is independent of the choice of the metric (form $\omega$ ) on $X$.
Example 1.3. (i) If $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ and $f$ is rational, then $\rho_{f}=0$.
(ii) Let $X$ be a compact torus. If $f: C^{n} \rightarrow X$ is non-constant, then $\rho_{f} \geq 2$. If $\lambda: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow X(\operatorname{dim} X=n)$ is the universal covering map, then $\rho_{\lambda}=2$.

A compact complex manifold which is bimeromorphic to $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is called a rational variety. A two-dimensional compact complex manifold is called a complex surface. If it admits a kähler metric, it is called a kähler surface.

The main result of this paper is the following:
Main Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a kähler surface. Assume that there is a differentiably non-degenerate meromorphic map $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \rightarrow X$. If $\rho_{f}<2$, then $X$ is rational.

The kähler condition is necessary by the following:
Theorem 1.5. There is a Hopf surface $S$ for which there is a differentiably non-degenerate holomorphic map $f: C^{2} \rightarrow S$ with $\rho_{f}=1$.

Let $\Omega_{X}^{k}$ denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic $k$-forms over a complex manifold $X$. We denote by $S^{l} \Omega_{X}^{k}$ its $l$-th symmetric tensor power. In particular, $K_{X}=\Omega_{X}^{n} \quad(n=\operatorname{dim} X)$ denotes the canonical bundle over $X$.

The key tool for the proof of the Main Theorem 1.4 is:
Theorem 1.6. Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional compact complex manifold. Assume that there exists a differentiably non-degenerate meromorphic map $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{m} \rightarrow$ $X(m \geq n)$ with $\rho_{f}<2$. Then for arbitrary $l_{k} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_{k}>0$

$$
H^{0}\left(X, S^{l_{1}} \Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{l_{n}} \Omega_{X}^{n}\right)=\{0\}
$$

Remark 1.7. So far by our knowledge, the above theorems are the first instance that the kähler or non-kähler condition makes a difference in the value distribution theory.

## 2. Proof of the Main Theorem.

### 2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.6.

Assume the existence of an element

$$
\tau \in H^{0}\left(X, S^{l_{1}} \Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{l_{n}} \Omega_{X}^{n}\right) \backslash\{0\} .
$$

We take a hermitian metric $h$ on $X$ with the associated form $\omega$. There are induced hermitian metrics on the symmetric powers of the bundles $\Omega_{X}^{k}$ and their tensor products which by abuse of notation are again denoted by $h$. Let $\|\tau\|_{h}$ denote the norm of $\tau$ with respect to $h$. Then there is a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tau\|_{h} \leq c_{1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\xi_{\lambda}$ the coefficient functions of $f^{*} \tau$ with respect to the standard coordinate system $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$. Since $f$ is meromorphic, $f^{*} \tau$ is obviously holomorphic outside the indeterminacy set $I_{f}$. Because $\operatorname{codim}\left(I_{f}\right) \geq 2$ and because $f^{*} \tau$ is a section in a globally defined vector bundle, it extends holomorphically to $I_{f}$. Thus we may regard $f^{*} \tau$ as being holomorphic on $\boldsymbol{C}^{n}$ and the coefficient functions $\xi_{\Lambda}$ with respect to the flat frames generated by $d z_{1}, \ldots, d z_{n}$ are holomorphic as well. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{\boldsymbol{C}^{m}}^{2}=\sum_{\Lambda}\left|\xi_{\Lambda}\right|^{2} \not \equiv 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a function $\zeta$ on $\boldsymbol{C}^{m}$ by

$$
f^{*} \omega \wedge \alpha^{m-1}=\zeta \alpha^{m} .
$$

Since $f$ is differentiably non-degenerate, $f^{*} \tau \not \equiv 0$. By (2.1) there are positive constants $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta \geq c_{2}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{\boldsymbol{C}^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2) $\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}}$ is plurisubharmonic. Since $f^{*} \tau \not \equiv 0$ is holomorphic, it follows that

$$
\int_{S(1)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \gamma=c_{4}>0
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{1}{r^{2 m-1}} d^{c}\|z\|^{2} \wedge \alpha^{m-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced on $S(r)$ with $r=1$. Since the plurisubharmonicity of $\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}}$ implies $d d^{c}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \geq 0$ as currents,

$$
\int_{S(r)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \gamma-\int_{S(s)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \gamma=\int_{s}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 m-1}} \int_{B(t)} d d^{c}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{m-1} \geq 0
$$

for $r>s>0$ (cf. [4]). Thus,

$$
\int_{S(r)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \gamma
$$

is monotone increasing in $r>0$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{r^{2 m-1}} \int_{S(r)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} d^{c}\|z\|^{2} \wedge \alpha^{m-1}=\int_{S(r)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \gamma \geq \int_{S(1)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \gamma=c_{4}
$$

for $r>1$, so that

$$
\int_{S(r)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} d^{c}\|z\|^{2} \wedge \alpha^{m-1} \geq c_{4} r^{2 m-1}, \quad r>1
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{B(r)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \alpha^{m} \geq \int_{1}^{r} c_{4} t^{2 m-1} d t=\frac{c_{4}}{2 m}\left(r^{2 m}-1\right), \quad r>1 .
$$

We deduce from this that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{f}(r, \omega) & =\int_{1}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 m-1}} \int_{B(t)} \zeta \alpha^{m} \geq c_{2} \int_{1}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{2 m-1}} \int_{B(t)}\left\|f^{*} \tau\right\|_{C^{m}}^{2 c_{3}} \alpha^{m} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{2} c_{4}}{2 m} \int_{1}^{r}\left(t-\frac{1}{t^{2 m-1}}\right) d t=\frac{c_{2} c_{4}}{4 m} r^{2}+C_{m}(r),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}(r)=O(\log r)$ and $C_{m}(r)=O(1)$ for $m \geq 2$. Thus,

$$
\rho_{f}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{f}(r, \omega)}{\log r} \geq 2 .
$$

This is a contradiction.
Corollary 2.5. If $X$ in Theorem 1.6 is 1-dimensional, then $X$ is biholomorphic to $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{C})$.

### 2.2. Proof of the Main Theorem 1.4.

There is a fine classification theory of complex surfaces (cf. Kodaira [2], Barth-Peters-Van de Ven [1]). According to it, we know the following fact, where $b_{1}(X)=$ $\operatorname{dim} H_{1}(X, \boldsymbol{R})$ denotes the first Betti number of $X$.

Theorem 2.6 (Kodaira [68, Theorem 54]). If a complex surface $X$ satisfies $b_{1}(X)=0$ and $H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}^{l}\right)=\{0\}$ for all $l>0$, then $X$ is rational.

This enables us to prove Theorem 1.4 as follows. By Theorem 1.6 $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=0$. Due to the kähler assumption, we have $b_{1}(X)=$ $2 \operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=0$. Moreover, $H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}^{l}\right)=\{0\}$ for all $l>0$ again by Theorem 1.6. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that $X$ is rational.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.5.

Let $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}$ with $|\lambda|>1$. Then a Hopf surface $S$ is defined as the quotient of $C^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ under the $\boldsymbol{Z}$-action given by $n:(x, y) \mapsto\left(\lambda^{n} x, \lambda^{n} y\right)$. Such a surface $S$ is known to be diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{3}$. As a consequence $b_{1}(S)=1$ and $S$ is not kähler.

Now

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{d x \wedge d \bar{x}+d y \wedge d \bar{y}}{|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}}=\frac{d d^{c}\|(x, y)\|^{2}}{\|(x, y)\|^{2}}
$$

is a positive ( 1,1 )-form on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ which is invariant under the above given $Z$-action. Therefore it induces a positive $(1,1)$-form on the quotient surface $S$ which by abuse of notation is again denoted by $\omega$.

Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be as in (1.1) and (2.4), respectively. We claim that the holomorphic map $f: C^{2} \rightarrow S$ induced by

$$
(z, w) \mapsto(z, 1+z w)
$$

is of order 1. By definition this means

$$
\rho_{f}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_{f}(r, \omega)}{\log r}=1
$$

i.e.,

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log r} \log \int_{1}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{3}} \int_{B(t)} f^{*} \omega \wedge \alpha=1
$$

Note that

$$
f^{*} \omega \wedge \alpha=\frac{1+|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}}{2\left(|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}\right)} \alpha^{2} .
$$

We define

$$
I_{r}=\int_{S(r)} \frac{r^{2}}{|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}} d V, \quad r=\|(z, w)\|
$$

Here $d V$ is the euclidean volume element on $S(r)$, and therefore a constant multiple of $r^{3} \gamma$. It is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=O\left(r^{2+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0, \quad \text { and } \quad r^{2}=O\left(I_{r}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, assume that this holds. Because of $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+r^{2}\right) / r^{2}=1$, (3.1) is equivalent to the assertion

$$
I_{r}^{\prime}=O\left(r^{2+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad r^{2}=O\left(I_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with

$$
I_{r}^{\prime}=\int_{S(r)} \frac{1+r^{2}}{|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}} d V
$$

From this we first obtain

$$
\int_{B(r)} \frac{1+r^{2}}{|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}} \alpha^{2}=O\left(\int^{r} I_{r}^{\prime} d r\right)=O\left(r^{3+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

implying

$$
T_{f}(r)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{r} \frac{d t}{t^{3}} \int_{B(r)} \frac{1+r^{2}}{|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}} \alpha^{2}=O\left(r^{1+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

and

$$
\rho_{f}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow i n f t y} \frac{\log T_{f}(r)}{\log r} \leq 1 .
$$

In the same way from the second estimate of (3.1) we get the opposite estimate $\rho_{f} \geq 1$, and therefore $\rho_{f}=1$. Hence it suffices to show (3.1).

We define

$$
\eta=\frac{r^{2}}{|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}} .
$$

Thus we have to show

$$
I_{r}=\int_{S(r)} \eta d V=O\left(r^{2+\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

We set

$$
\eta=\frac{r^{2}}{\phi(z, w)}, \quad \phi(z, w)=|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2} .
$$

### 3.1. Geometric estimates.

For $(z, w) \in S(r)$ let $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ such that $e^{i \theta}|z w|=z w$. Let $K>0,-\infty<$ $\lambda<1$ and $\mu \geq 0$. We set

$$
\Omega_{K, \lambda, \mu}=\left\{(z, w) \in S(r):|z| \leq K r^{\lambda},|\sin \theta| \leq r^{-\mu}\right\} .
$$

We need some volume estimates.
First we note that $(\sin \theta) / \theta \geq 2 / \pi$ for all $\theta \in[0, \pi / 2]$, because $\sin$ is concave on $[0, \pi / 2]$. It follows that for every $C \in] 0,1]$ we have the following bound for the Lebesgue measure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}(\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi]:|\sin \theta| \leq C\}) \leq 4(C \pi / 2)=2 C \pi \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second we define a map $\zeta: \boldsymbol{C}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ as follows:

$$
\zeta:(z, w) \mapsto(z, r \arg (z w), r),
$$

where $r=\|(z, w)\|=\sqrt{|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}}$.

To compute the Jacobian $J$ of this map we set the coordinates so that $z=$ $x+i y, w=u+i v$, and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+u^{2}+v^{2}} \\
\zeta:(x, y, u, v) & \mapsto(x, y, r(\arg z+\arg w), r) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the Jacobian $J$ takes the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 1 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & \frac{u}{r}(\arg z+\arg w)+r \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \arg w & \frac{u}{r} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{v}{r}(\arg z+\arg w)+r \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \arg w & \frac{v}{r}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
r \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \arg w & \frac{u}{r} \\
r \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \arg w & \frac{v}{r}
\end{array}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy practice of computation implies that " $J \equiv-1$ ".
Furthermore the gradient $\operatorname{grad}(r)$ is of length one and normal on the level set $S(r)$. Correspondingly the map $\zeta$ is volume preserving and $S(r)$ has the same volume as its image

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(S(r))=\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}:|z| \leq r\} \times[0,2 \pi r) \times\{r\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely $2 \pi^{2} r^{3}$.
Similarly the euclidean volume of $\Omega_{K, \lambda, \mu}$ agrees with the euclidean volume of

$$
\zeta\left(\Omega_{K, \lambda, \mu}\right)=\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}:|z| \leq K r^{\lambda}\right\} \times\left\{\theta r: \theta \in[0,2 \pi),|\sin \theta| \leq r^{-\mu}\right\} \times\{r\}
$$

Using (3.2) it follows that for $r \geq 1$ the volume of $\Omega_{K, \lambda, \mu}$ is bounded by

$$
\pi\left(K r^{\lambda}\right)^{2} \cdot 2 r^{-\mu} \pi r=2 K^{2} \pi^{2} r^{2 \lambda+1-\mu}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}\left(\Omega_{K, \lambda, \mu}\right)=O\left(r^{2 \lambda+1-\mu}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Arithmetic estimates.

Besides the Landau $O$-symbols, we also use the notation " $\gtrsim$ ": If $f, g$ are functions of a real parameter $r$, then $f(r) \gtrsim g(r)$ indicates that

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)} \geq 1
$$

Similarly $f \sim g$ indicates

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)}=1
$$

In the sequel, we will work with domains $\Omega \subset S(r)$ (i.e. for each $r>0$ some subset $\Omega=\Omega_{r} \subset S(r)$ is chosen). In this context, given functions $f, g$ on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ we say " $f(z, w) \gtrsim g(z, w)$ holds on $\Omega$ " if for every sequence $\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \in C^{2}$ with $\lim \left\|\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)\right\|=+\infty$ and $\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \in \Omega_{r}\left(r=\left\|\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)\right\|\right)$ we have

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)}{g\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)} \geq 1
$$

We develop some estimates for $\phi(z, w)=|z|^{2}+|1+z w|^{2}$. Fix $\mu>0,-\infty<$ $\lambda<1$.
(i) For all $z, w: \phi \geq|z|^{2}$.
(ii) If $(z, w) \in S(r)$ and $|z| \leq 1 / 2 r$, then

$$
|w| \leq r \Longrightarrow|z w| \leq \frac{1}{2} \Longrightarrow|1+z w| \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

and therefore $\phi \geq 1 / 4$.
(iii) For $|z| \leq r^{\lambda}$ we have $|w| \sim r$, i.e., for fixed $\lambda, \mu$ and any choice of $\left(z_{r}, w_{r}\right) \in$ $S(r)$ with $\left|z_{r}\right| \leq r^{\lambda}$ we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left|w_{r}\right| / r=1$.
(iv) For $|z| \geq 3 / 2 r$ and $|z| \leq r^{\lambda}$ we have that $\phi \gtrsim(1 / 9)|z w|^{2}$, because $|w| \sim r$ and $|z w| \gtrsim 3 / 2$ (equivalently, $1 \lesssim(2 / 3)|z w|$ ), implying $|1+z w| \geq|z w|-1 \gtrsim$ $(1 / 3)|z w|$.
(v) For all $z, w, \phi \geq|\Im(1+z w)|^{2}=(|z w| \sin \theta)^{2}$.

### 3.3. Putting things together.

We are going to prove first the claim

$$
" I(r)=O\left(r^{2+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 "
$$

by dividing $S(r)$ into regions $A, B, C, D_{-2}, D_{-1}, D_{0}, D_{1}, E, F$, each of which is investigated separately.

- Region $A$ consists of those points with $|z| \leq 1 / 2 r$, i.e., $A=\Omega_{1 / 2,-1,0}$. The volume $\operatorname{vol}(A)$ is thus of order $O\left(r^{-1}\right)$. Due to (ii) the integrand $\eta$ is bounded by $\left.\eta\right|_{A}=O\left(r^{2}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\int_{A} \eta d V \leq \operatorname{vol}(A) \cdot \sup _{(z, w) \in A} \eta(z, w)=O(r) .
$$

Hence the contribution of $A$ to the integral $I_{r}=\int_{S(r)} \eta d V$ is bounded by $O(r)$.

- Region $B$ consists of those points with $1 / 2 r \leq|z| \leq 3 / 2 r$ and $|\sin \theta|<1 / r$. Thus $B \subset \Omega_{3 / 2,-1,1}$. Due to (3.4) this implies $\operatorname{vol}(B)=O\left(r^{-2}\right)$. For the integrand $\left.\eta\right|_{B}$ we have the bound $\left.\eta\right|_{B}=O\left(r^{4}\right)$ (using (i) and $\left.|z| \geq 1 / 2 r\right)$. Hence

$$
\int_{B} \eta d V \leq \operatorname{vol}(B) \cdot \sup _{(z, w) \in B} \eta(z, w)=O\left(r^{2}\right)
$$

i.e., the contribution of $B$ to the integral $I_{r}$ is bounded by $O\left(r^{2}\right)$.

- Region $C$ consists of those points with $1 / 2 r \leq|z| \leq 3 / 2 r$ and $|\sin \theta|>1 / r$. Since $|w| \sim r, 1 / 2 \lesssim|z w| \lesssim 3 / 2$ We take the volume-compatible parameter $\psi=r \theta$ due to (3.3). Then $1 / r<|\sin \psi / r|<\psi / r$, and so $\psi>1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{r} & :=\int_{1<\psi<2 \pi r,|\sin \psi / r|>1 / r} \eta d \psi \\
& =\int_{1<\psi<2 \pi r,|\sin \psi / r|>1 / r} \frac{2 r^{2}}{(\sin \psi / r)^{2}} d \psi=O\left(r^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here in fact we have that there is a constant $c>1$ such that

$$
\frac{r^{4}}{c} \leq J_{r} \leq c r^{4}
$$

Therefore it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r^{2}}{c^{\prime}} \leq \int_{C} \eta d V=\int_{1 / 2 r \leq|z| \leq 3 / 2 r} J_{r} \frac{i}{2} d z \wedge d \bar{z} \leq c^{\prime} r^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{\prime}$ is a positive constant. Thus the contribution of $C$ to the integral $I_{r}$ is bounded by $O\left(r^{2}\right)$.

- For $\gamma \in\{-2,-1,0,1\}$ let $D_{\gamma}$ denote the set of those points where $|z| \geq 3 / 2 r$, $|z| \leq r^{1-\varepsilon}$ and $r^{\gamma / 2} \leq|z| \leq r^{(\gamma+1) / 2}$. For each $\gamma$ the integrand $\eta$ is bounded on $D_{\gamma}$ by $O\left(r^{-\gamma}\right)$ (due to (iv)), and the volume $\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{\gamma}\right)$ is bounded by $O\left(r^{2+\gamma}\right)$, because $D_{\gamma} \subset \Omega_{1,(\gamma+1) / 2,0}$. Thus the contribution of $D_{\gamma}$ to the integral $I_{r}$ is bounded by $O\left(r^{2}\right)$.
- Let $E$ denote the region where $|z| \geq r^{1-\varepsilon},|w| \geq r^{1 / 2}$. For the integrand we have that $\left.\eta\right|_{E}=O\left(r^{2 \varepsilon-1}\right)$ (using (iv)). The volume of $E$ is bounded by the total volume of $S(r)$, i.e., $\operatorname{vol}(E)=O\left(r^{3}\right)$. Together this shows that the contribution of $E$ to $I_{r}$ is bounded by $O\left(r^{2+2 \varepsilon}\right)$.
- Let $F$ denote the region where $|w| \leq r^{1 / 2}$. In analogy to (iii) we have $|z| \sim r$. With (i) it follows that $\sup _{(z, w) \in F} \eta(z, w)=O(1)$. On the other hand the volume of $F$ agrees with the volume of $\left\{(z, w) \in S(r):|z| \leq r^{1 / 2}\right\}$ which according to (3.4) is bounded by $O\left(r^{2}\right)$. Together this yields that the contribution of $F$ to $I_{r}$ is bounded by $O\left(r^{2}\right)$.

Thus we have a collection of nine regions $\left(A, B, C, D_{-2}, D_{-1}, D_{0}, D_{1}, E\right.$, $F$ ) covering the sphere $S(r)$. For each such region $\Omega$ we have verified

$$
\int_{\Omega} \eta d V=O\left(r^{2+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

This establishes our claim

$$
I_{r}=O\left(r^{2+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

Furthermore, it follows from (3.5) that

$$
r^{2}=O\left(I_{r}\right)
$$

As a consequence, the holomorphic map $f: C^{2} \rightarrow S$ induced by $f:(z, w) \mapsto$ $(z, 1+z w)$ is of order $\rho_{f}=1$.

## 4. Problems.

Because of the results presented above it may be interesting to recall some problems (conjectures) from [3, Section 1.4]. An $n$-dimensional compact complex manifold $X$ is said to be unirational if there is a surjective meromorphic map $\phi: \boldsymbol{P}^{n}(\boldsymbol{C}) \rightarrow X$; in this case, if $g: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is a differentiably non-degenerate meromorphic map with order $\rho_{g}<2$, then $\phi \circ g: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$ is differentiably
non-degenerate and has order less than two. Therefore, the rationality and the unirationality of $X$ cannot be distinguished by the existence of a differentiably non-degenerate meromorphic map $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$ with $\rho_{f}<2$.

Problem 4.1. Let $X$ be a compact kähler manifold of dimension $n$. If there is a differentiably non-degenerate meromorphic map $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow X$ with order $\rho_{f}<2$, is $X$ unirational?

At least this is true for $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 2$ by Corollary 2.5 and the Main Theorem 1.4.

Problem 4.2. Let $f: C \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant entire curve into a projective (or kähler) manifold $X$. If $\rho_{f}<2$, then does $X$ contain a rational curve?
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