

## The cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves $X_1(2p)$

By Toshikazu TAKAGI

(Received June 22, 2010)

**Abstract.** Let  $p$  be a prime not equal to 2 or 3. We determine the group of all modular units on the modular curve  $X_1(2p)$ , and its full cuspidal class number. We mention a fact concerning the non-existence of torsion points of order 5 or 7 of elliptic curves over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of square-free conductor  $n$  as an application of a result by Agashe and the cuspidal class number formula for  $X_0(n)$ . We also state the formula for the order of the subgroup of the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion subgroup of  $J_1(2p)$  generated by the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cuspidal divisors of degree 0.

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a modular curve. Let  $S$  be a subset of the set  $S_c$  of all cusps on  $X$ , and let  $C_S$  be the subgroup of the divisor class group of  $X$  consisting of the classes of divisors of degree 0 which are supported on  $S$ . (The group  $C_{S_c}$  is called the cuspidal divisor class group of  $X$ .) Kubert and Lang [7] considered the problem to determine if  $C_{S_c}$  is finite, and when it is finite to compute its order. (The order of  $C_{S_c}$  is called the cuspidal class number of  $X$ .) Manin [8] and Drinfeld [4] had already proved the finiteness of  $C_{S_c}$ , but their method gave no information about the order. Kubert and Lang [7] found an altogether different proof of the Manin-Drinfeld theorem in which the whole point was to exhibit the group of modular units on the modular curve  $X$ . (A function on  $X$  is called a modular unit on  $X$  if its divisor is supported on  $S_c$ .) In the case  $X = X(n)$  where  $n$  is a power of a prime  $p \neq 2, 3$ , Kubert and Lang [7] could determine the cuspidal class number of  $X(n)$ . Kubert and Lang [7] also considered the case where  $n$  has more prime factors than one, but there was an essential difficulty. In the case where  $n$  is a power of a prime, the group of the modular units is generated by special functions called Siegel units. But when  $n$  has more prime factors than one, the group of the modular units contains square roots of Siegel units, and because of this fact

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G18; Secondary 11F03, 11G05, 14G05, 14G35, 14H40, 14H52.

*Key Words and Phrases.* modular curve, modular unit, cuspidal class number, elliptic curve, Jacobian variety, torsion subgroup.

the cuspidal class number was not determined. (For this fact, see Kubert [6] and Stevens [12]).

When  $X$  is a modular curve of other type, several authors have considered its cuspidal class number.

Let  $X = X_0(n)$ . Ogg [10] determined the case where  $n$  is a prime, and Takagi [16] determined the case where  $n$  is square-free. Also, Takagi [19] computed the cuspidal class number of a curve which is a quotient of  $X_0(n)$  with  $n$  square-free by Atkin-Lehner involutions.

Let  $X = X_1(n)$ . In this case, firstly Klimek [5] considered the subgroup  $C_S$  of  $C_{S_c}$  where  $S$  is the set  $S_0$  of 0-cusps under the assumption that  $n$  is a prime, and computed its order. (A cusp on  $X_1(n)$  is called a 0-cusp if it lies over the cusp 0 of the curve  $X_0(n)$ .) Kubert and Lang [7] also considered the subgroup  $C_{S_0}$  in the case where  $n$  is a power of a prime  $p \neq 2, 3$ . Lastly, Yu [21] computed the order of the subgroup  $C_{S_0}$  in the case where  $n$  is an arbitrary integer. (Note that there is a misprint in the formula of [21], which is corrected in Yang [20].)

As to the full cuspidal class number of  $X_1(n)$ , Takagi [13] determined the case where  $n$  is a prime, and Takagi [14], [15], [17] determined the case where  $n$  is a power of a prime with the exception of the case where  $n$  is an even power of 2.

The purpose of the present paper is to consider the modular curve  $X_1(n)$  in the case where  $n$  has more prime factors than one. In fact, we consider the case  $n = 2p$  with  $p$  a prime as a first step. In view of the case of  $X(n)$  with  $n$  having more prime factors than one, it seems possible that the group of the modular units contains square roots of Siegel units. But, fortunately, our study reveals that it is not the case at least in the case  $n = 2p$ , therefore, we can compute the cuspidal class number of  $X_1(2p)$ .

One of our main results is the determination of the group of the modular units on  $X_1(2p)$ , which is given in Theorem 4.2. The other main result is the description of the cuspidal class number of  $X_1(2p)$  (Theorem 5.2), which is given as follows.

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $p$  be a prime  $\neq 2, 3$ . Let  $h$  be the cuspidal class number of the modular curve  $X_1(2p)$ . Then we have*

$$h = \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} \cdot p^2 \cdot \prod_{\psi} \left\{ (4 - \psi(2))^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} B_{2,\psi} \right)^4 \right\},$$

where  $\psi$  runs through all even, primitive Dirichlet characters modulo  $p$ .

In the theorem above, the symbol  $B_{2,\psi}$  denotes the generalized Bernoulli number relative to  $\psi$  defined by

$$B_{2,\psi} = p \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \psi(a) B_2\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$$

with  $B_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$  (the second Bernoulli polynomial).

The study of the cuspidal divisor class group plays an important role in the area of the arithmetic of the Jacobian variety of a modular curve. Let  $X$  be a modular curve. Let  $i_\infty : P \mapsto [(P) - (\infty)]$  be the cuspidal embedding of  $X$  into its Jacobian  $J_X$  sending a point  $P$  to the divisor class of  $(P) - (\infty)$ . When  $P$  is a cusp on  $X$ , the point  $i_\infty(P)$  is a torsion point on  $J_X$ .

Let  $X = X_0(n)$ . Then  $X$  has a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational model, which is defined by the property that its function field is the field of modular functions for  $\Gamma_0(n)$  whose Fourier coefficients belong to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The cusp  $\infty$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational point of  $X_0(n)$ . In particular, when  $n$  is square-free, all cusps on  $X_0(n)$  are  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational points of  $X_0(n)$ . Therefore, the cuspidal divisor class group is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion subgroup of  $J_0(n)$  ( $= J(X_0(n))$ ). When  $n$  is a prime  $p$ , Ogg [11] conjectured and Mazur [9] proved that the full  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion subgroup of  $J_0(p)$  is the cuspidal divisor class group.

Let  $n$  be square-free. Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of conductor  $n$ . Let  $r$  be a prime that does not divide  $6n$ . Agashe [1] proved that if  $r$  divides the order of the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion subgroup  $A(\mathbf{Q})_{tor}$  of  $A(\mathbf{Q})$ , then  $r$  divides the order of the cuspidal divisor class group of  $X_0(n)$ . By [9], the only primes that can divide the order of  $A(\mathbf{Q})_{tor}$  are 2, 3, 5 and 7. Therefore, the possible value of  $r$  is 5 or 7 with  $r \nmid n$ . On the other hand, by the formula for the cuspidal class number of  $X_0(n)$  in [16, Theorem 5.1], we can see that  $r$  divides the cuspidal class number of  $X_0(n)$  if and only if at least one prime factor  $p$  of  $n$  satisfies  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{r}$ .

Combining the result by Agashe [1] with that by [16, Theorem 5.1], we obtain immediately the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $n$  be a square-free integer. Let  $A$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of conductor  $n$ .*

- (1) *Assume that every prime factor  $p$  of  $n$  satisfies  $p \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ . Then  $A$  has no  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational point of order 5.*
- (2) *Assume that every prime factor  $p$  of  $n$  satisfies  $p \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}$ . Then  $A$  has no  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational point of order 7.*

Let  $X = X_1(n)$ . Then  $X$  has a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational model, which is defined by the property that its function field is the field of modular functions for  $\Gamma_1(n)$  whose Fourier coefficients belong to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The  $\infty$ -cusps are  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational points of  $X_1(n)$ . (A cusp on  $X_1(n)$  is called an  $\infty$ -cusp if it lies over the cusp  $\infty$  of the curve  $X_0(n)$ .) Therefore, the group  $C_S$  where  $S$  is the set  $S_\infty$  of  $\infty$ -cusps is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion

subgroup of  $J_1(n)$  ( $= J(X_1(n))$ ). The order of the group  $C_{S_\infty}$  is equal to that of the group  $C_{S_0}$  which is known by [5], [7] and [21]. In the case where  $n$  is a prime  $p \neq 2, 3$ , Conrad, Edixhoven and Stein [3, Conjecture 6.2.2.] conjectured that the full  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion subgroup of  $J_1(p)$  is the group  $C_{S_\infty}$ , and verified it for a few cases of  $p$ .

In order to study the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational points in the cuspidal divisor class group, it is necessary to consider the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cuspidal divisors of degree 0. In the case where  $n$  is a prime  $p \neq 2, 3$ , Chen [2] proved that the subgroup of  $J_1(p)$  generated by the classes of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cuspidal divisors of degree 0 coincides with  $C_{S_\infty}$ . In some cases where  $n$  is not a prime, there occur  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cusps which are not  $\infty$ -cusps.

Let  $n = 2p$  with  $p$  a prime  $\neq 2, 3$ . In this case there exist  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cusps which are not  $\infty$ -cusps. If a cusp  $P$  of  $X_1(2p)$  is represented by  $a/c$  ( $\in \mathbf{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) with  $(a, c) = 1$  and  $(c, 2p) = 2p/r$ , we say that  $P$  is of type  $r$ . The  $\infty$ -cusps are the cusps of type 1. The cusps of type 2 are also  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational. The cusps of type  $p$  or  $2p$  are not  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational, therefore the subgroup  $C_{(1,2)} = C_S$  of  $C_{S_c}$  with  $S$  the set of cusps of type 1 or 2 coincides with the subgroup of  $J_1(2p)$  generated by all  $i_\infty(P)$  with  $P$  a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cusp. In addition to the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cusps, there exist  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational cuspidal divisors of degree 0. Put  $D_{(2p)} = \sum_{x \in A_1} \{(1/x) - (\infty)\}$  and  $D_{(p)} = \sum_{x \in A_2} \{(1/2x) - (\infty)\}$ , where  $A_1$  (respectively  $A_2$ ) denotes a complete set of representatives of  $(\mathbf{Z}/2p\mathbf{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$  (respectively  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$ ). These are  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational divisors of degree 0. Put  $C_{\mathbf{Q}} = C_{(1,2)} + \mathbf{Z}[D_{(2p)}] + \mathbf{Z}[D_{(p)}]$ . Then  $C_{\mathbf{Q}}$  coincides with the subgroup of  $J_1(2p)$  generated by the classes of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational divisors of degree 0. Continuing the arguments in the present paper, we can prove the following statement, and also determine the  $p$ -primary part of  $C_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . However, those results and proofs will be given in other papers.

STATEMENT. *Let  $p$  be a prime  $\neq 2, 3$ . Let  $C_{(1,2)}$  and  $C_{\mathbf{Q}}$  be the subgroups of  $J_1(2p)$  defined above.*

- (1)  $C_{\mathbf{Q}} = C_{(1,2)}$ .
- (2) Let  $h_{\mathbf{Q}}$  be the order of  $C_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Then we have

$$h_{\mathbf{Q}} = \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} \cdot p \cdot \prod_{\psi} \left\{ (4 - \psi(2)) \left( \frac{1}{4} B_{2, \psi} \right)^2 \right\},$$

where  $\psi$  runs through all even, primitive Dirichlet characters modulo  $p$ .

In the following we describe the contents of each section. In Section 2 we consider the modular curve  $X_1(M)$  with  $M$  square-free. Here we parametrize the set of cusps by an abelian group called a Cartan group, and identify the cuspidal

divisor group with the group ring of the Cartan group. In particular some relations between the divisors of Siegel functions are proved. In Section 3 we confine the study to the case  $M = 2p$ . The purpose of this section is to prove that the group of modular units is generated by Siegel functions. In Section 4 we determine the group of modular units. In Section 5 we compute the cuspidal class number.

In the present paper we denote by  $\mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $1_2$  the set of natural numbers, the ring of rational integers, the field of rational numbers, the field of real numbers, the field of complex numbers, the two-by-two identity matrix, respectively.

## 2. Modified Siegel functions on the curves $X_1(M)$ .

In this section we consider modular curves  $X_1(M)$  with  $M$  square-free, and construct modular units on  $X_1(M)$  by the use of modified Siegel functions. We parametrize the cusps of  $X_1(M)$  by an abelian group (called a Cartan group), and identify the cuspidal divisor group with its group ring. In order to do it, we consider a conjugate of the group  $\Gamma_1(M)$  which is a principal congruence subgroup of  $G(\sqrt{M})$ . In general, the cusps of the curve determined by a principal congruence subgroup of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  can be parametrized by an abelian group. This fact was used in our previous papers [13]–[15] and [17], and proved in [18] for arbitrary principal congruence subgroups of  $G(\sqrt{M})$ .

### 2.1. Modular curves $X_1(M)$ and $X_\Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a Fuchsian group of the first kind. We denote by  $X_\Gamma$  the complete nonsingular curve associated with the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ , where the symbol  $\mathfrak{H}$  denotes the upper half plane.

Let  $M$  be a square-free integer fixed throughout this section with  $M \neq 1$ . We denote by  $\Gamma_1(M)$  the subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  consisting of all matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  ( $\in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ ) with  $a - 1 \equiv d - 1 \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ . When  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(M)$ , we denote the curve  $X_\Gamma$  by  $X_1(M)$ .

Let  $T$  be the set of all positive divisors of  $M$ , and regard it as a group with the product defined by  $r \circ s = rs/(r, s)^2$  where  $(r, s)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of  $r$  and  $s$  ( $r, s \in T$ ). Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the order defined by  $\mathcal{O} = \sum_{r \in T} \mathbf{Z}\sqrt{r}$ . We denote by  $G(\sqrt{M})$  the subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathcal{O})$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha$  of the form

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{r} & b\sqrt{r^*} \\ c\sqrt{r^*} & d\sqrt{r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $r \in T$  and  $r^* = M/r$ . We call  $r$  the *type* of  $\alpha$ , and denote it

by  $t(\alpha)$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  defined by  $I = \sqrt{M}\mathcal{O}$ . We denote by  $\Gamma(I)$  the subgroup of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha$  satisfying

$$\alpha \equiv 1_2 \pmod{I}, \quad (2.2)$$

and call it a *principal congruence subgroup* of  $G(\sqrt{M})$ . When  $\Gamma = \Gamma(I)$ , we denote the curve  $X_\Gamma$  by  $X_I$ .

We have

$$\Gamma(I) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{M} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \Gamma_1(M) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{M} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence the curve  $X_1(M)$  is isomorphic to the curve  $X_I$ .

## 2.2. The function field of the curve $X_I$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  the field of all automorphic functions with respect to the group  $\Gamma(I)$  such that their Fourier coefficients belong to the cyclotomic field  $k_M = \mathbf{Q}(e^{2\pi i/M})$ , and by  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  the field of all automorphic functions with respect to the group  $G(\sqrt{M})$  such that their Fourier coefficients belong to the field  $\mathbf{Q}$ . It is known that the field  $k_M$  is algebraically closed in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ , and the field  $\mathbf{C}\mathfrak{F}_I$  is the field of all automorphic functions with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$  (cf. [13, Proposition 1.6]).

Let  $f(\tau)$  ( $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ ) be an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$ . If it has no zeros and poles on  $\mathfrak{H}$ , we call  $f$  a *modular unit* with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$  and also a *modular unit* on the curve  $X_I$ . Later (in Subsection 2.4) we construct modular units contained in the field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_I$  the subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}/I)$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha$  which can be represented by a matrix  $A$  ( $\in M_2(\mathcal{O})$ ) of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{r} & b\sqrt{r^*} \\ c\sqrt{r^*} & d\sqrt{r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $r \in T$  and  $r^* = M/r$ . Then it is known that the field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ , and its Galois group is isomorphic to the group  $\mathcal{G}_I/\{\pm 1_2\}$  ([13, Section 1 (1.15)]). We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_I(\pm)$  the group  $\mathcal{G}_I/\{\pm 1_2\}$ . Then we have

$$\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{F}_I/\mathfrak{F}_1) \cong \mathcal{G}_I(\pm). \quad (2.5)$$

Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  or  $\mathcal{G}_I(\pm)$ . We denote by  $\sigma(\alpha)$  the element of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{F}_I/\mathfrak{F}_1)$  corresponding to  $\alpha$  by (2.5). Let  $\alpha$  be represented by the matrix

$A$  in (2.4). Then the element  $r$  of  $T$  is determined only by  $\alpha$ . We call  $r$  the *type* of  $\alpha$ , and denote it by  $t(\alpha)$ .

### 2.3. A summary of properties of Siegel functions.

Here we summarize some properties of Siegel functions, and in the next subsection construct modular units on  $X_I$ .

For any element  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  of the set  $\mathbf{Q}^2 - \mathbf{Z}^2$ , the Siegel function  $g_a(\tau)$  ( $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ ) is defined in [7]. It has the following  $q$ -product

$$g_a(\tau) = -q_\tau^{(1/2)B_2(a_1)} e^{2\pi i a_2 (a_1 - 1)/2} (1 - q_z) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q_\tau^k q_z) \left(1 - \frac{q_\tau^k}{q_z}\right), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $q_\tau = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ ,  $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$ ,  $z = a_1 \tau + a_2$ , and  $B_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$  (the second Bernoulli polynomial). If  $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ , then we have

$$g_{a+b}(\tau) = \varepsilon(a, b) g_a(\tau), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\varepsilon(a, b)$  is a root of unity defined by

$$\varepsilon(a, b) = \exp [\pi i (b_1 b_2 + b_1 + b_2 + a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)]. \quad (2.8)$$

If  $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ , then we have

$$g_a(\alpha(\tau)) = \psi(\alpha) g_{a\alpha}(\tau), \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\psi$  denotes the character of  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  appearing in the transformation formula of the square of the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function. Explicitly the value of  $\psi(\alpha)$  at  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is given by

$$\psi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi i}{12} \{(b-c)d + ac(1-d^2)\} \right] & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ -i(-1)^{(c-1)/2} \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi i}{12} \{(a+d)c + bd(1-c^2)\} \right] & \text{if } c \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, we note that  $\psi(-1_2) = -1$ . (It is known that the kernel of  $\psi$  is a congruence subgroup of level 12 with index 12, and coincides with the commutator subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .)

#### 2.4. Modified Siegel functions relative to the ideal $I$ .

Let  $I = \sqrt{M}\mathcal{O}$  as above. Here we define the modified Siegel functions relative to the ideal  $I$  which are suitable for  $\Gamma(I)$ . In [18], for an arbitrary non-zero ideal  $I (\neq \mathcal{O})$ , the modified Siegel functions relative to the ideal  $I$  are defined, and their basic properties are studied. Also in [16, Section 1] the case where the ideal  $I$  is of the form  $I = n\sqrt{m}\mathcal{O}$  is stated.

Let  $r$  be an element of  $T$  and  $r^* = M/r$ . Let  $A_I^{(r)}$  be the set of all row vectors  $u$  of the form

$$u = \left( \frac{x}{r}\sqrt{r}, \frac{y}{r^*}\sqrt{r^*} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are rational integers satisfying  $u \notin \mathbf{Z}\sqrt{r} \times \mathbf{Z}\sqrt{r^*} = Z^{(r)}$ . We call the element  $r$  of  $T$  above the *type* of  $u$  and denote it by  $t(u)$ . Put  $A_I' = \bigcup_{r \in T} A_I^{(r)}$  (disjoint). If  $u$  is an element of  $A_I'$  of type  $r$ , and  $\alpha$  an element of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  of type  $s$  ( $r, s \in T$ ), then the product  $u\alpha$  is an element of  $A_I'$  of type  $r \circ s$ .

Let  $u = (a_1\sqrt{r}, a_2\sqrt{r^*})$  be an element of  $\mathbf{Q}\sqrt{r} \times \mathbf{Q}\sqrt{r^*} - Z^{(r)}$  ( $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $r \in T$ ), and put  $u^\circ = (a_1, a_2) (\in \mathbf{Q}^2 - \mathbf{Z}^2)$ . We define the *modified Siegel function*  $g_u(\tau)$  ( $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ ) by

$$g_u(\tau) = g_{u^\circ} \left( \sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}} \times \tau \right). \quad (2.12)$$

In particular, if  $u \in A_I'$ , we say that  $g_u(\tau)$  is a modified Siegel function *relative to* the ideal  $I$ .

Let  $u \in A_I'$  be written as (2.11). Then we have the explicit product

$$\begin{aligned} g_u(\tau) &= (-1) \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi i}{2} \cdot \frac{y}{r^*} \left( \frac{x}{r} - 1 \right) \right] \times t^{(\tau/2)B_2(x/\tau)} \\ &\quad \times (1 - \zeta_M^{ry} t^x) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \zeta_M^{ry} t^{x+rk}) (1 - \zeta_M^{-ry} t^{-x+rk}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

with  $\zeta_M = \exp[2\pi i/M]$  and  $t = \exp[2\pi i\tau/\sqrt{M}]$ .

For an element  $v = (b_1\sqrt{r}, b_2\sqrt{r^*})$  of  $Z^{(r)}$  ( $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ ), write  $v^\circ = (b_1, b_2) (\in \mathbf{Z}^2)$ . For elements  $u \in A_I^{(r)}$  and  $v \in Z^{(r)}$ , we put

$$\varepsilon(u, v) = \varepsilon(u^\circ, v^\circ). \quad (2.14)$$



Let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{s} & b\sqrt{s^*} \\ c\sqrt{s^*} & d\sqrt{s} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

be an element of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  of type  $s$  ( $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $s \in T$ ). For an element  $r$  of  $T$ , we put

$$\alpha^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} a(r, s) & b(r, s^*) \\ c(r^*, s^*) & d(r^*, s) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then the matrix  $\alpha^{(r)}$  belongs to  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Now we have the following transformation formulae ([16, Proposition 1.1], [18, Proposition 3.5]).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $u$  be an element of  $A'_I$  of type  $r$ .*

- (1) *Let  $v \in Z^{(r)}$ . Then  $g_{u+v}(\tau) = \varepsilon(u, v)g_u(\tau)$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\alpha \in G(\sqrt{M})$ . Then  $g_u(\alpha(\tau)) = \psi_r(\alpha)g_{u\alpha}(\tau)$ , where  $\psi_r(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha^{(r)})$ .*
- (3) *Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma(I)$ . Then  $g_u(\alpha(\tau)) = \varepsilon_u(\alpha)\psi_r(\alpha)g_u(\tau)$ , where  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = \varepsilon(u, v)$  with  $v = u\alpha - u$  ( $\in Z^{(r)}$ ).*

As an immediate consequence, we have the following proposition, which states that the modified Siegel functions generate modular units on the curve  $X_I$ . (For the proof, see the arguments after [16, Proposition 1.1] or the proof of [18, Theorem 3.1].)

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $u$  be an element of  $A'_I$  of type  $r$ . Let  $[2M, 12]$  be the least common multiple of  $2M$  and  $12$ . Then the function  $g_u^{[2M, 12]}$  depends only on the residue class of  $u$  modulo  $Z^{(r)}$ , and is invariant under the exchange  $u \rightarrow -u$ . Moreover, the function  $g_u^{[2M, 12]}$  is an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$ , has no zeros and poles on  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and its Fourier coefficients belong to the cyclotomic field  $k_M = \mathbf{Q}(e^{2\pi i/M})$ . Hence it is a modular unit contained in the field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ .*

### 2.5. The Galois action on the function $g_u^{[2M, 12]}$ .

By Proposition 2.2 the function  $g_u^{[2M, 12]}$  ( $u \in A'_I$ ) is an element of the function field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Here we consider the action of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{F}_I/\mathfrak{F}_1)$  on  $g_u^{[2M, 12]}$ .

For each  $r \in T$ , put

$$\mathcal{A}'_I{}^{(r)} = (A'_I{}^{(r)}/Z^{(r)})/\{\pm 1\} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}'_I = \bigcup_{r \in T} \mathcal{A}'_I{}^{(r)} \quad (\text{disjoint}). \quad (2.18)$$

Let  $u$  be an element of  $A'_I$ . We denote by  $[u]$  the class of  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}'_I$ . By Proposition 2.2 the function  $g_u^{[2M,12]}$  depends only on the class  $[u]$  of  $u$ . Therefore, for any element  $v$  of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$ , we can denote by  $g_v^{[2M,12]}$  the function  $g_u^{[2M,12]}$  with  $v = [u]$ . If  $v \in \mathcal{A}'_I{}^{(r)}$ , we call  $r$  the *type* of  $v$  and denote it by  $t(v)$ .

Let  $v$  be an element of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$  with  $v = [u]$  ( $u \in A'_I$ ). Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  or  $\mathcal{G}_I(\pm)$ , and represented by a matrix  $A$  of the form (2.4). Then the product  $uA$  belongs to  $A'_I$ . We denote by  $v\alpha$  the element of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$  which is represented by  $uA$ . The class  $v\alpha$  does not depend on the choice of  $u$  and  $A$ . This defines the action of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  on the set  $\mathcal{A}'_I$ , and also of  $\mathcal{G}_I(\pm)$ . If  $v$  is of type  $r$  and  $\alpha$  is of type  $s$ , then  $v\alpha$  is of type  $r \circ s$ . Concerning the Galois action on  $g_v^{[2M,12]}$  we have the following. (For the proof, see [13, (2.5)] or the proof of [18, Theorem 3.2].)

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $v \in \mathcal{A}'_I$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_I$ . Then we have  $(g_v^{[2M,12]})^{\sigma(\alpha)} = g_{v\alpha}^{[2M,12]}$ .*

## 2.6. The Cartan group and the cuspidal prime divisors.

Let  $C_I$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha$  which can be represented by a matrix  $A$  ( $\in M_2(\mathcal{O})$ ) of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{r} & b\sqrt{r^*} \\ b\sqrt{r^*} & a\sqrt{r} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.19)$$

with  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $r \in T$  and  $(ar, br^*, M) = 1$ . It is an abelian subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_I$ , and called a *Cartan group*.

Let  $H_I$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha$  which can be represented by a matrix  $B$  ( $\in M_2(\mathcal{O})$ ) of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.20)$$

with  $d \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $(d, M) = 1$ .

We have the following

$$\mathcal{G}_I = H_I C_I \text{ and } H_I \cap C_I = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Let  $P_\infty$  denote the prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  defined by the  $q$ -expansion. Let  $P$  be a prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ , and  $\nu_P$  the valuation of  $P$ . For any element  $\sigma$  of  $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{F}_I/\mathfrak{F}_1)$ , we define the prime divisor  $P^\sigma$  by  $\nu_{P^\sigma}(h^\sigma) = \nu_P(h)$  ( $h \in \mathfrak{F}_I$ ), which defines a right action of the group  $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{F}_I/\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . We can prove the following (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) (cf. [13, Section 2.1], [18, Proposition 4.1]).

- (i) The conjugates  $P_\infty^\sigma$  are of degree one, hence the prime divisors  $P_\infty^\sigma$  can be regarded as prime divisors of the function field  $C\mathfrak{F}_I$  and identified with points on the curve  $X_I$ .
- (ii) If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_I$  is an element represented by a matrix  $A \in G(\sqrt{M})$ , then the prime divisor  $P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha)}$  corresponds to the cusp on  $X_I$  represented by  $A^{-1}(\infty)$ .
- (iii) The set of all elements  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_I$  satisfying  $P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha)} = P_\infty$  coincides with the group  $\pm H_I$ .
- (iv) Let  $\mathcal{G}_u(I)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  consisting of all elements which can be represented by the matrices  $A$  of the form (2.4) satisfying  $\det(A) \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{G}_I = \mathcal{G}_u(I)H_I$ , and for any element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_u(I)$  there exists an element  $A \in G(\sqrt{M})$  such that  $\alpha = A \pmod{I}$  ([13, Proposition 1.1]).

By (2.21) and (iii), every conjugate  $P_\infty^\sigma$  can be written as  $P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha)}$  with a unique element  $\alpha$  of  $C_I(\pm) = C_I/\{\pm 1_2\}$ . By (i), (ii) and (iv) any conjugate  $P_\infty^\sigma$  can be identified with a cusp on  $X_I$ . Conversely it is known that any cusp on  $X_I$  can be represented by a point  $A^{-1}(\infty)$  with  $A \in G(\sqrt{M})$ . Thus the group  $C_I(\pm)$  and the set of cusps on the curve  $X_I$  correspond bijectively by the mapping  $\alpha \mapsto P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha)}$ .

We call the conjugates  $P_\infty^\sigma$  the *cuspidal prime divisors*.

### 2.7. The divisor of the function $g_v^{[2M,12]}$ .

By Proposition 2.2 the function  $g_v^{[2M,12]}$  ( $v \in \mathcal{A}'_I$ ) is an element of the function field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Here we determine the divisor of  $g_v^{[2M,12]}$  as an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ .

For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  we denote by  $\langle x \rangle$  the real number defined by  $0 \leq \langle x \rangle < 1$  and  $\langle x \rangle \equiv x \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$ . Let  $v = [u]$  with  $u = (a_1\sqrt{r}, a_2\sqrt{r^*}) \in A_I^{(r)}$  ( $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{Q}$ ). Then the number  $B_2(\langle a_1 \rangle)$  depends only on  $v$  but not on the choice of  $u$  because of the equation  $B_2(\langle -x \rangle) = B_2(\langle x \rangle)$ . Therefore we can denote it by  $B_2(\langle v_1^\circ \rangle)$ . The following proposition can be proved in the same way as [13, Proposition 2.3] or [14, Theorem 2.1], and is proved in the case where  $I$  is an arbitrary ideal in [18, Theorem 4.2]. But for completeness we give the proof.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let  $v$  be an element of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$  with  $t(v) = r$ . Then the*

divisor of  $g_v^{[2M,12]}$  as an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  is given by

$$\operatorname{div}(g_v^{[2M,12]}) = \frac{[2M,12]}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} (r \circ t(\alpha)) B_2(\langle (v\alpha)_1^\circ \rangle) P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha^{-1})}.$$

PROOF. Let  $v = [u]$  with  $u = (a_1\sqrt{r}, a_2\sqrt{r^*}) \in A_I^{(r)}$  ( $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{Q}$ ). We can choose the representative  $u$  so as to satisfy  $0 \leq a_1 < 1$ . Let us write  $a_1 = x/r$  with  $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then, in the product (2.13),  $x + rk$  and  $-x + rk$  are non-negative integers for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $k \geq 1$ . Since the number  $\nu_{P_\infty}(g_v^{[2M,12]})$  is equal to the order of  $g_v^{[2M,12]}$  with respect to  $t = \exp[2\pi i\tau/\sqrt{M}]$ , by (2.13), we have

$$\nu_{P_\infty}(g_v^{[2M,12]}) = \frac{[2M,12]}{2} r B_2(a_1) = \frac{[2M,12]}{2} r B_2(\langle v_1^\circ \rangle). \quad (2.22)$$

Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $C_I(\pm)$ . Then  $\nu_{P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha^{-1})}}(g_v^{[2M,12]}) = \nu_{P_\infty}((g_v^{[2M,12]})^{\sigma(\alpha)}) = \nu_{P_\infty}(g_{v\alpha}^{[2M,12]})$  (Proposition 2.3). Combining this equation with (2.22) above, we have the desired formula, which completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the free abelian group generated by the cuspidal prime divisors of  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_0$  the subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}$  consisting of all elements of degree 0. Let  $R = \mathbf{Z}[C_I(\pm)]$  be the group ring of  $C_I(\pm)$ , and  $R_0$  the additive subgroup of  $R$  consisting of all elements of degree 0. Let

$$\varphi: \mathcal{D} \cong R \quad (2.23)$$

be the isomorphism defined by the mapping  $P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha)} \mapsto \alpha$ . Then we have  $\varphi(\mathcal{D}_0) = R_0$ . Concerning the product in  $R$  we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let  $v \in \mathcal{A}'_I$  and  $\alpha \in C_I(\pm)$ . Then we have*

$$\alpha\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_v^{[2M,12]})) = \varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_{v\alpha}^{[2M,12]})).$$

PROOF. Put  $\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_v^{[2M,12]})) = \sum_{\beta \in C_I(\pm)} f_v(\beta)\beta$  with  $f_v(\beta) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $f_v(\beta) = \nu_{P_\infty^{\sigma(\beta)}}(g_v^{[2M,12]})$ . Multiplying  $\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_v^{[2M,12]}))$  by  $\alpha$  we have

$$\alpha\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_v^{[2M,12]})) = \sum_{\beta \in C_I(\pm)} f_v(\beta)\alpha\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in C_I(\pm)} f_v(\alpha^{-1}\gamma)\gamma.$$

The coefficient  $f_v(\alpha^{-1}\gamma)$  is equal to  $\nu_{P_\infty^{\sigma(\alpha^{-1}\gamma)}}(g_v^{[2M,12]}) = \nu_{P_\infty^{\sigma(\gamma)}}((g_v^{[2M,12]})^{\sigma(\alpha)})$ . By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\nu_{P_\infty^{\sigma(\gamma)}}((g_v^{[2M,12]})^{\sigma(\alpha)}) = \nu_{P_\infty^{\sigma(\gamma)}}(g_{v\alpha}^{[2M,12]}).$$

Thus we have  $f_v(\alpha^{-1}\gamma) = f_{v\alpha}(\gamma)$ , which implies  $\alpha\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_v^{[2M,12]})) = \varphi(\operatorname{div}(g_{v\alpha}^{[2M,12]}))$ . Thus the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 2.8. Representatives of $\mathcal{A}'_I$ .

Let  $u$  be an element of  $A'_I$  of type  $r$ . It is obvious that  $Mu \in Z^{(r)}$  (see (2.11)). Let  $l$  be the least natural number such that  $lu \in Z^{(r)}$ . Then we say that  $u$  is of order  $l$ . Since any element  $u'$  of  $[u]$  is also of order  $l$ , we call  $l$  the order of the class  $[u]$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}'_I(l)$  (respectively  $\mathcal{A}'^{(r)}_I(l)$ ) be the subset of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$  (respectively  $\mathcal{A}'^{(r)}_I$ ) consisting of all elements of order  $l$ . Then we have the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}'_I = \bigcup_{l \neq 1, \in T} \mathcal{A}'_I(l) \quad (\text{disjoint}). \quad (2.24)$$

For each  $l$ , we define the element  $w_l \in A'^{(M)}_I$  by

$$w_l = \left( \frac{1}{l} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right). \quad (2.25)$$

Concerning the group action of  $C_I(\pm)$  on  $\mathcal{A}'_I$  we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $l \neq 1, \in T$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{A}'_I(l)$  is an orbit of  $C_I(\pm)$ , and  $\mathcal{A}'_I(l) = [w_l]C_I(\pm)$ .*

**PROOF.** Elementary.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}$  ( $r \in T$ ) be the subset of  $A'^{(r)}_I$  consisting of all elements  $u$  of the form (2.11) satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i)  $x = 0$ , and  $0 < y/r^* \leq 1/2$ ,
- (ii)  $0 < x/r \leq 1/2$ , and  $0 \leq y/r^* < 1$ .

Put  $\mathcal{R}_I = \bigcup_{r \in T} \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}$ . We call the elements of  $\mathcal{R}_I$  *reduced*. For each  $l$  ( $l \neq 1, \in T$ ), let  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(l)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}$  consisting of all elements of order  $l$ , and put  $\mathcal{R}_I(l) = \bigcup_{r \in T} \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(l)$ . Then the sets  $\mathcal{R}_I$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_I(l)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(l)$  are the complete sets of representatives of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$ ,  $\mathcal{A}'^{(r)}_I$ ,  $\mathcal{A}'_I(l)$  and  $\mathcal{A}'^{(r)}_I(l)$  respectively.

### 2.9. Square roots of $g_u$ with $u$ of order 2.

Let  $l = 2$  (when  $M$  is even). Then the set  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(2)$  contains only one element which is  $(0, (1/2)\sqrt{r^*})$  or  $((1/2)\sqrt{r}, 0)$  according as  $2 \nmid r$  or  $2 \mid r$ . It is known that if  $u$  is of order 2 the function  $g_u(\tau)$  is a square of a product of (modified) Siegel functions up to a constant. For definiteness, for  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(2)$ , we denote by  $\sqrt{g_u}(\tau)$  one of the two square roots of  $g_u(\tau)$  as follows:

$$\sqrt{g_{(0, (1/2)\sqrt{r^*})}}(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{8}\right] \cdot t^{r/24} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + t^{rk}), \quad (2.26)$$

$$\sqrt{g_{((1/2)\sqrt{r}, 0)}}(\tau) = \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{4}\right] \cdot t^{-r/48} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^{rk-r/2}), \quad (2.27)$$

where  $t = \exp[2\pi i(\tau/\sqrt{M})]$ . (These are the same as [16, (2.5), (2.6)].) Then, for example, these functions can be written as follows:

$$\sqrt{g_{(0, (1/2)\sqrt{r^*})}}(\tau) = c \times g_{(0, (1/4)\sqrt{r^*})}(\tau) \times g_{((1/2)\sqrt{r}, (1/4)\sqrt{r^*})}(\tau), \quad (2.28)$$

$$\sqrt{g_{((1/2)\sqrt{r}, 0)}}(\tau) = (-c) \times g_{((1/4)\sqrt{r}, 0)}(\tau) \times g_{((1/4)\sqrt{r}, (1/2)\sqrt{r^*})}(\tau), \quad (2.29)$$

where  $c = \exp[2\pi i \cdot 7/16]$ .

### 2.10. Relations between the modified Siegel functions (1).

We define the notation  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  as follows.

$$\hat{g}_u(\tau) = \begin{cases} g_u(\tau) & \text{if the order of } u \text{ is not } 2, \\ \sqrt{g_u}(\tau) & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2). \end{cases} \quad (2.30)$$

Here, we do not define the notation  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  for  $u$  which is of order 2 but  $\notin \mathcal{R}_I(2)$ .

Put

$$f_r^{(p)}(\tau) = \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(p)} \hat{g}_u(\tau). \quad (2.31)$$

Then the function  $f_r^{(p)}(\tau)$  can be expressed using the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function  $\eta(\tau)$ . In fact, Put

$$H(\tau) = \eta\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{M}}\right) = t^{1/24} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^k) \quad (2.32)$$

with  $t = \exp[2\pi i(\tau/\sqrt{M})]$ . Then we have

$$f_r^{(p)}(\tau) = c(p, r) \times \frac{H((p \circ r)\tau)}{H(r\tau)}, \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$c(p, r) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{p} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \cdot \frac{p-1}{8} \right] & \text{if } p \nmid r, \\ \exp \left[ -2\pi i \cdot \frac{p-1}{4} \right] & \text{if } p \mid r \end{cases} \quad (2.34)$$

([16, Proposition 2.2]). The following proposition gives relations between the functions  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  with  $u$  reduced and of prime orders.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let  $r$  be an element of  $T$ .*

(1) *Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $M$ . Then, we have*

$$f_r^{(p)}(\tau) \times f_{p \circ r}^{(p)}(\tau) = \sqrt{p} \exp \left[ -2\pi i \cdot \frac{p-1}{8} \right].$$

(2) *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two different prime factors of  $M$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{f_r^{(p)}(\tau)}{f_{q \circ r}^{(p)}(\tau)} = \frac{f_r^{(q)}(\tau)}{f_{p \circ r}^{(q)}(\tau)}.$$

PROOF.

(1) By (2.33) we have

$$f_r^{(p)}(\tau) \cdot f_{p \circ r}^{(p)}(\tau) = \frac{c(p, r) \cdot H((p \circ r)\tau)}{H(r\tau)} \cdot \frac{c(p, p \circ r) \cdot H(r\tau)}{H((p \circ r)\tau)} = c(p, r) \cdot c(p, p \circ r),$$

which is equal to  $\sqrt{p} \exp[-2\pi i \cdot (p-1)/8]$  by (2.34).

(2) Since  $c(p, r) = c(p, q \circ r)$  by (2.34), we have, by (2.33),

$$\frac{f_r^{(p)}(\tau)}{f_{q \circ r}^{(p)}(\tau)} = \frac{H((p \circ r)\tau) \cdot H((q \circ r)\tau)}{H(r\tau) \cdot H((p \circ q \circ r)\tau)},$$

which is symmetrical about  $p$  and  $q$ . Hence  $p$  and  $q$  can be exchanged with each other.  $\square$

### 2.11. Relations between the modified Siegel functions (2).

Here we give another type of relations between the functions  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let  $k$  and  $l$  be two elements of  $T$  with  $(k, l) = 1$  and  $l \neq 1$ . Then we have*

$$\hat{g}_{((1/l)\sqrt{l}, 0)}(\tau) = (-1)^{N(k, l)} \prod_{x=0}^{N(k, l)} \hat{g}_{((1/(kl)+x/k)\sqrt{kl}, 0)}(\tau),$$

where  $N(k, l) = k - 1$  or  $(1/2)(k - 1)$  according as  $l \neq 2$  or  $l = 2$ .

REMARK 2.1. Note that if a notation  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  with  $u$  of order 2 appears in the equation of the proposition, then  $u$  is reduced, hence it is well-defined.

PROOF. Put  $t = \exp[2\pi i(\tau/\sqrt{M})]$  and  $u = ((1/(kl) + x/k)\sqrt{kl}, 0)$ . First, we consider the case  $l = 2$ . By (2.27), we have

$$\hat{g}_{((1/l)\sqrt{l}, 0)}(\tau) = \sqrt{g_{((1/2)\sqrt{2}, 0)}}(\tau) = \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{4}\right] \cdot t^{-1/24} \prod_{h=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^{2h-1}). \quad (2.35)$$

If  $0 \leq x \leq (1/2)(k - 1) - 1$ , then the order of  $u$  is not 2, and if  $x = (1/2)(k - 1)$ , then  $u = ((1/2)\sqrt{2k}, 0)$ . Hence, if  $0 \leq x \leq (1/2)(k - 1) - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{g}_{((1/(kl)+x/k)\sqrt{kl}, 0)}(\tau) \\ &= g_{((1/(kl)+x/k)\sqrt{kl}, 0)}(\tau) \\ &= -t^{kB_2(1/(2k)+x/k)} (1 - t^{1+2x}) \prod_{h=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^{2kh+1+2x}) (1 - t^{2kh-1-2x}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

and if  $x = (1/2)(k - 1)$ , by (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{((1/(kl)+x/k)\sqrt{kl}, 0)}(\tau) &= \sqrt{g_{((1/2)\sqrt{2k}, 0)}}(\tau) \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{4}\right] \cdot t^{-k/24} \prod_{h=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^{2kh-k}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

By (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37), we can prove the equation of the proposition easily.



Next, we consider the case  $l \neq 2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{((1/l)\sqrt{l},0)}(\tau) &= g_{((1/l)\sqrt{l},0)}(\tau) \\ &= -t^{(1/2)lB_2(1/l)} \prod_{h=0}^{\infty} (1 - t^{1+lh})(1 - t^{l-1+lh}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Since for all  $x$  the order of  $u$  is not 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{((1/(kl)+x/k)\sqrt{kl},0)}(\tau) &= g_{((1/(kl)+x/k)\sqrt{kl},0)}(\tau) \\ &= -t^{(1/2)klB_2(1/(kl)+x/k)} \prod_{h=0}^{\infty} (1 - t^{1+lx+klh})(1 - t^{l-1+(k-1-x)l+klh}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

By (2.38) and (2.39), we can prove the equation of the proposition easily.  $\square$

REMARK 2.2. Proposition 2.8 is a special case of a general relation concerning the modified Siegel functions, which is a generalization of the distribution relation of the ordinary Siegel functions.

### 2.12. Relations between the elements $\theta_l$ .

Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \cong R$  be the isomorphism (2.23). Put  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  and  $R_{\mathbf{Q}} = R \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ . Then we have the isomorphism  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong R_{\mathbf{Q}}$  extending  $\varphi$ , which we also denote by  $\varphi$ .

Let  $f(\tau)$  be a function such that  $\{f(\tau)\}^e$  is a modular unit in the function field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  for some integer  $e \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then we define the element  $\text{div}(f)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Q}}$  by

$$\text{div}(f) = \frac{1}{e} \text{div}(f^e). \quad (2.40)$$

In particular, let  $v$  be any element of  $\mathcal{A}'_I(l)$  ( $l \neq 1, \in T$ ), and  $v = [u]$  with  $u \in \mathcal{B}_I(l)$ . We denote by  $\text{div}(\hat{g}_v)$  the element  $\text{div}(\hat{g}_u)$ .

Let  $v \in \mathcal{A}'_I$  and  $\alpha \in C_I(\pm)$ . Then, by Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\alpha\varphi(\text{div}(\hat{g}_v)) = \varphi(\text{div}(\hat{g}_{v\alpha})). \quad (2.41)$$

Let  $w_l$  ( $l \neq 1, \in T$ ) be the element (2.25). We denote by  $\theta_l$  the element of  $R_{\mathbf{Q}}$  defined by

$$\theta_l = \varphi(\text{div}(\hat{g}_{[w_l]})). \quad (2.42)$$

Let  $St([w_l])$  be the stabilizer of  $[w_l]$ :

$$St([w_l]) = \{\alpha \in C_I(\pm) \mid [w_l]\alpha = [w_l]\}. \quad (2.43)$$

If  $\alpha \in St([w_l])$ , then, by (2.41), we have

$$\alpha\theta_l = \theta_l. \quad (2.44)$$

We denote by  $C_I^{(r)}$  (respectively  $C_I^{(r)}(\pm)$ ) the subset of  $C_I$  (respectively  $C_I(\pm)$ ) consisting of all elements of type  $r$ .

About the group  $St([w_l])$  we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let  $l$  be an element of  $T$  with  $l \neq 1$ .*

- (1) *The stabilizer  $St([w_l])$  of  $[w_l]$  is the subgroup of  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha$  which can be represented by matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  satisfying  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  and  $(a, M) = 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $l \neq 2$ , then  $St([w_l]) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/l^*\mathbf{Z})^\times$ .*
- (3) *If  $l = 2$ , then  $St([w_l]) = C_I^{(1)}(\pm) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times / \pm 1$ .*

PROOF.

(1) and (3) can be proved easily.

(2) Let  $\alpha \in St([w_l])$  be represented by a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  and  $(a, M) = 1$ . Since  $l \neq 2$ , the class of  $a$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times$  depends only on  $\alpha$ , hence the class of  $a$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/l^*\mathbf{Z})^\times$  is determined by  $\alpha$ , which we denote by  $\psi(\alpha)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\psi$  gives an isomorphism  $St([w_l]) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/l^*\mathbf{Z})^\times$ .  $\square$

Let us denote by  $[r]$  ( $r \in T$ ) the element of  $C_I(\pm)$  which is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r} & \sqrt{r^*} \\ \sqrt{r^*} & \sqrt{r} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.45)$$

The following theorem is a restatement of Proposition 2.7 in the language of divisors, and gives relations between the elements  $\theta_l$  with  $l$  primes.

THEOREM 2.1. *In the group ring  $R_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , we have the following relations.*

- (1) *Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $M$ . Then we have*

$$\left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [p])\theta_p = 0.$$

(2) Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two different prime factors of  $M$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{|St([w_p])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [pq])\theta_p = \frac{1}{|St([w_q])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [pq])\theta_q.$$

PROOF. Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $M$ . For each  $r \in T$ , let  $R(C_I^{(r)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$  be a complete set of representatives ( $\subset C_I^{(r)}(\pm)$ ) of the quotient set  $C_I^{(r)}(\pm)/St([w_p])$ . By Proposition 2.6, the set  $\mathcal{A}_I^{(r)}(p)$  consists of all elements  $[w_p]\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in R(C_I^{(r^*)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$ . Note that if  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$  ( $\alpha_i \in R(C_I^{(r^*)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ), then  $[w_p]\alpha_1 \neq [w_p]\alpha_2$ . By (2.31) and (2.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\operatorname{div}(f_r^{(p)})) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{A}_I^{(r)}(p)} \varphi(\operatorname{div}(\hat{g}_v)) = \sum_{\alpha} \varphi(\operatorname{div}(\hat{g}_{[w_p]\alpha})) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\alpha} \alpha \right) \theta_p, \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through  $R(C_I^{(r^*)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$ . Let  $s$  be any element of  $T$ . Since, if  $\alpha$  runs through  $R(C_I^{(r^*)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$ , then  $\alpha[s]$  runs through a complete set of representatives of  $C_I^{((s \circ r)^*)}(\pm)/St([w_p])$ , we have by (2.46)

$$\varphi(\operatorname{div}(f_{s \circ r}^{(p)})) = \left( \sum_{\alpha} \alpha \right) [s]\theta_p. \tag{2.47}$$

(1) By (2.46), (2.47) and (1) of Proposition 2.7, with  $r = M$ , we have

$$0 = \varphi(\operatorname{div}(f_M^{(p)} \cdot f_{p \circ M}^{(p)})) = \left( \sum_{\alpha} \alpha \right) (1 + [p])\theta_p, \tag{2.48}$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through  $R(C_I^{(1)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$ . Since the right-hand side of (2.48) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|St([w_p])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [p]) \theta_p, \quad (2.49)$$

(1) is proved.

(2) By (1) of Proposition 2.7, (2.46) and (2.47), with  $r = M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \left( \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{f_M^{(p)}}{f_{q \circ M}^{(p)}} \right) \right) &= \varphi(\operatorname{div}(f_M^{(p)} \cdot f_{p \circ q \circ M}^{(p)})) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\alpha} \alpha \right) (1 + [pq]) \theta_p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through  $R(C_I^{(1)}(\pm)/St([w_p]))$ . The term (2.50) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|St([w_p])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [pq]) \theta_p. \quad (2.51)$$

By (2) of Proposition 2.7, we can exchange  $p$  and  $q$  in (2.50), whence the relation of (2) follows.  $\square$

Let  $a$  be an integer which is prime to  $M$ . We denote by  $\delta_{[a]}$  the element of  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  represented by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ .

The following theorem is a restatement of Proposition 2.8 in the language of divisors.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $k$  and  $l$  be two elements of  $T$  with  $(k, l) = 1$  and  $l \neq 1$ .*

(1) *In the group ring  $R_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , we have the following relation*

$$[l^*]^{-1}[(kl)^*] \theta_l = \sum_t \left\{ \frac{1}{|St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}])} \alpha \right) [s]^{-2} \right\} \theta_{lt},$$

where  $t$  runs through all positive divisors of  $k$  with  $s = k/t$ .

(2) *Let  $t$  be a positive divisor of  $k$ . Let  $a$  be an integer satisfying  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{t}$  and  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{t^*}$ , and put  $\delta_t = \delta_{[a]}$ . Then we have*

$$St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}]) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l \neq 2, \\ \{1, \delta_t\} & \text{if } l = 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if  $t = 1$  or  $t^* = 2$ , the intersection is the trivial group.

(3) Let  $t$  be a positive divisor of  $k$ , and put  $s = k/t$ . If  $s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{l}$ , then we have

$$\left( \sum_{\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}])} \alpha \right) [s]^{-2} \theta_{lt} = \left( \sum_{\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}])} \alpha \right) \theta_{lt}.$$

In particular, if  $l = 2$  or  $3$ , then the equation above holds for any  $t$ .

PROOF. (1) Since the following equations hold in the set  $\mathcal{A}'_l$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{l} \sqrt{l}, 0 \right) \right] [l^*] &= \left[ \left( \frac{1}{l} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right], \\ \left[ \left( \frac{1+lx}{kl} \sqrt{kl}, 0 \right) \right] [(kl)^*] &= \left[ \left( \frac{1+lx}{kl} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

we have, by Proposition 2.8,

$$[l^*]^{-1} [(kl)^*] \theta_t = \sum_{x=0}^{N(k,l)} \varphi(\operatorname{div}(\hat{g}_v)), \quad (2.52)$$

where  $v = [((1+lx)/kl)\sqrt{M}, 0]$ .

Let  $A$  be the set of all integers  $1+lx$  with  $0 \leq x \leq N(k,l)$ . Then  $A$  is a complete set of representatives of  $\mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$  or  $(\mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z})/\pm 1$  according as  $l \neq 2$  or  $l = 2$ . Let  $t$  be any positive divisor of  $k$ , and put  $s = k/t$ . Let  $A(t)$  be the subset of  $A$  consisting of all integers  $a$  ( $\in A$ ) with  $(a, k) = s$ . Then we have the disjoint union

$$A = \bigcup_t A(t). \quad (2.53)$$

Let  $B(t)$  be the set of integers  $a/s$  with  $a \in A(t)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $B(t)$  is a complete set of representatives of  $(\mathbf{Z}/t\mathbf{Z})^\times$  or  $(\mathbf{Z}/t\mathbf{Z})^\times/\pm 1$  according as  $l \neq 2$  or  $l = 2$ . By (2.52) and (2.53) we have

$$[l^*]^{-1} [(kl)^*] \theta_t = \sum_t \sum_{b \in B(t)} \varphi(\operatorname{div}(\hat{g}_v)), \quad (2.54)$$

where  $v = [(b/lt)\sqrt{M}, 0]$ .

Let  $b \in B(t)$ . Since  $[s]^2 = \delta_{[s+s^*]}$ , we have

$$\left[ \left( \frac{b}{lt} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right] [s]^2 = \left[ \left( \frac{b(s+s^*)}{lt} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right]. \quad (2.55)$$

Since  $(b, t) = 1$  and  $(s+s^*, M) = 1$ , we have  $(b(s+s^*), t) = 1$ . Let  $a$  be an integer with  $(a, M) = 1$  satisfying

$$a \equiv b(s+s^*) \pmod{t}, \quad (2.56)$$

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{t^*}. \quad (2.57)$$

We have  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  by (2.57) because  $l \mid t^*$ . Also we have  $b(s+s^*) \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  because  $s^* \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$  and  $bs \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  since  $bs \in A$ . Hence we have

$$a \equiv b(s+s^*) \pmod{l}. \quad (2.58)$$

By (2.56) and (2.58) we have

$$a \equiv b(s+s^*) \pmod{lt}. \quad (2.59)$$

By (2.55) and (2.59) we have

$$\left[ \left( \frac{b}{lt} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right] [s]^2 = \left[ \left( \frac{a}{lt} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right] = [w_{lt}] \delta_{[a]}. \quad (2.60)$$

By (2.57), the element  $\delta_{[a]}$  belongs to  $St([w_{t^*}])$ . Thus we have shown that for each  $b \in B(t)$  there exists an element  $\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}])$  such that

$$\left[ \left( \frac{b}{lt} \sqrt{M}, 0 \right) \right] [s]^2 = [w_{lt}] \alpha. \quad (2.61)$$

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be elements of  $St([w_{t^*}])$ . It is easy to see that  $[w_{lt}] \alpha = [w_{lt}] \beta$  if and only if  $\alpha \beta^{-1} \in St([w_{lt}])$ . For any  $b \in B(t)$ , let  $\phi(b)$  be the class of  $\alpha$  in the factor group of  $St([w_{t^*}])$  by  $St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}])$  where  $\alpha$  is an element of  $St([w_{t^*}])$  satisfying the relation (2.61). Then it is easy to see that  $\phi$  is a bijection between the sets  $B(t)$  and  $St([w_{t^*}]) / (St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}]))$ .

Let  $b \in B(t)$  and  $v = [(b/lt)\sqrt{M}, 0]$ . Let  $\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}])$  be an element which satisfies the relation (2.61). Then we have

$$\varphi(\operatorname{div}(\hat{g}_v)) = \alpha[s]^{-2}\theta_{lt}, \quad (2.62)$$

whence

$$\sum_{b \in B(t)} \varphi(\operatorname{div}(\hat{g}_v)) = \left( \sum_{\alpha} \alpha \right) [s]^{-2}\theta_{lt}, \quad (2.63)$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through a complete set of representatives of  $St([w_{t^*}]) / (St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}]))$ . Since the term on the right-hand side of (2.63) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}])} \alpha \right) [s]^{-2}\theta_{lt}, \quad (2.64)$$

(1) is proved.

(2) Let  $\alpha \in St([w_{t^*}]) \cap St([w_{lt}])$ . Then there exist two integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\alpha = \delta_{[a]} = \delta_{[b]}$  with  $(a, M) = (b, M) = 1$ ,  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{t^*}$ , and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{lt}$ . Since  $\delta_{[a]} = \delta_{[b]}$ , we have  $a \equiv \pm b \pmod{M}$ . Assume that  $a \equiv b \pmod{M}$ . Since  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{t^*}$  and  $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ , we have  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ , which gives  $\alpha = 1$ . Next, assume that  $a \equiv -b \pmod{M}$ . Since  $l \mid t^*$ , we have  $1 \equiv a \equiv -b \equiv -1 \pmod{l}$ , whence  $2 \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ , which implies  $l = 2$ . Thus, if  $l \neq 2$ , the intersection contains only the unity. If  $l = 2$ , we have  $a \equiv -b \equiv -1 \pmod{t}$  and  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{t^*}$ , hence the unique possible element is  $\alpha = \delta_t$ . In fact, if  $l = 2$ , it is easy to see that the element  $\delta_t$  is contained in both  $St([w_{t^*}])$  and  $St([w_{lt}])$ . This proves the equation of (2). If  $t = 1$  or  $t^* = 2$ , then  $\delta_t = 1$ , which proves (2).

(3) If  $s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{l}$ , then we have  $s + s^* \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{l}$  because  $l \mid s^*$ . If  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  (respectively  $s \equiv -1 \pmod{l}$ ), let  $a$  be an integer with  $(a, M) = 1$ ,  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{t^*}$  and  $a \equiv s + s^* \pmod{t}$  (respectively  $a \equiv -(s + s^*) \pmod{t}$ ), and  $b$  be an integer with  $(b, M) = 1$ ,  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{lt}$  and  $b \equiv s + s^* \pmod{t^*/l}$  (respectively  $b \equiv -(s + s^*) \pmod{t^*/l}$ ). Then  $\delta_{[a]} \in St([w_{t^*}])$  and  $\delta_{[b]} \in St([w_{lt}])$ . It is easy to see that  $ab \equiv s + s^* \pmod{M}$  or  $-(s + s^*) \pmod{M}$  according as  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  or  $-1 \pmod{l}$  respectively. Since  $[s]^2 = \delta_{[s+s^*]}$ , we have  $[s]^2 = \delta_{[ab]} = \delta_{[a]}\delta_{[b]} \in St([w_{t^*}])St([w_{lt}])$ . This proves (3).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *Assume that  $M$  is even with  $M \neq 2$  and  $l$  is an odd factor of  $M$  with  $l \neq 1$ . Then we have*

$$\theta_{2l} = ([l^*]^{-1}[(2l)^*] - [2]^{-2})\theta_l.$$

**PROOF.** In the theorem, put  $k = 2$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.2. *Assume that  $M = p_1 p_2$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  different primes.*

(1) *We have the following relation*

$$\left( \sum_{\alpha \in \text{St}(\{w_{p_1}\})} \alpha \right) \theta_M = ([p_2]^{-1} - [p_2]^{-2}) \theta_{p_1}.$$

(2) *In particular, if  $p_1 = 2$ , then we have*

$$\left( \sum_{\alpha \in C^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) \theta_M = ([p_2]^{-1} - 1) \theta_2.$$

(3) *In particular, if  $p_2 = 2$ , then we have*

$$\theta_M = ([2]^{-1} - [2]^{-2}) \theta_{p_1}.$$

PROOF. In the theorem, put  $l = p_1$  and  $k = p_2$ . □

REMARK 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the group of all Siegel units in the field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ , where we say that a modular unit in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  is a Siegel unit if it can be expressed as a product of the modified Siegel functions  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I$  up to a constant. Let  $\text{div}(\mathcal{S})$  denote the group of principal divisors of all Siegel units in the field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the isomorphism (2.23). Then  $\varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{S}))$  is an ideal of the group ring  $R$ , and generated by the elements  $\theta_l$  with  $l \neq 1, \in T$ . If  $M$  is a prime, then the ideal  $\varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{S}))$  is generated by only one element  $\theta_M$ . But, if  $M$  is composite, except the case where  $M = 2p$  with  $p$  a prime  $\neq 2$ , the ideal  $\varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{S}))$  has the generator set  $\{\theta_l\}$  consisting of more than two elements, and the relations between the elements  $\theta_l$  are complicated. On the contrary, in the case where  $M = 2p$  with  $p$  a prime  $\neq 2$ , the ideal  $\varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{S}))$  is generated by two elements  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_p$  by the relation (3) of Corollary 2.2. This is the reason why we confine our study to the case  $M = 2p$  in the later sections.

### 3. The fullness of the Siegel units.

Henceforth we consider the modular curve  $X_1(2p)$ . We use the notation and the results in the previous section under the assumption that

$$M = 2p, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $p$  is a prime with  $p \neq 2$ .



In this section, we prove that the group  $\mathcal{F}$  of the modular units in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  has the maximal possible rank  $2p - 3$ , and that any function in  $\mathcal{F}$  can be expressed as a product of the modified Siegel functions up to a constant. In later sections we shall assume that  $p \neq 3$ .

### 3.1. The cuspidal divisor class group.

It is easy to see that the number of the elements of  $C_I(\pm)$  is equal to  $2(p - 1)$ . Hence the number of the cusps on the curve  $X_I$  (or  $X_1(2p)$ ) is also equal to  $2(p - 1)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0$  be as before. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{F}_C$  be the group of all modular units in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  or  $C\mathfrak{F}_I$  respectively. Later (in Corollary 3.1) we shall see that  $\mathcal{F}_C = C^\times \mathcal{F}$  and the divisor group  $\text{div}(\mathcal{F})$  can be identified with the divisor group  $\text{div}(\mathcal{F}_C)$ . Therefore we call the factor group

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_0 / \text{div}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{3.2}$$

the *cuspidal divisor class group* on the curve  $X_I$  and the order of  $\mathcal{C}$  the *cuspidal class number* of  $X_I$  or of  $X_1(2p)$ .

### 3.2. Divisors of modified Siegel functions.

The following proposition gives the explicit representations of  $\theta_l$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For each  $l = 2, p, 2p$ , the element  $\theta_l$  is given as follows. In each summation, the element  $\alpha$  runs through the group  $C_I(\pm)$  with the described type.*

(1) *Let  $l = 2$ . Then we have*

$$\theta_2 = \frac{1}{24} \left\{ - \sum_{t(\alpha)=1} p \cdot \alpha^{-1} + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2} p \cdot \alpha^{-1} - \sum_{t(\alpha)=p} \alpha^{-1} + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2p} \alpha^{-1} \right\}.$$

(2) *Let  $l = p$ . If  $t(\alpha) = 1$  or  $2$ , we assume that  $\alpha$  is represented by a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{p} \\ \sqrt{p} & a\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$  ( $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) respectively. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_p &= \sum_{t(\alpha)=1} p B_2 \left( \left\langle \frac{a}{p} \right\rangle \right) \cdot \alpha^{-1} + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2} \frac{p}{2} B_2 \left( \left\langle \frac{2a}{p} \right\rangle \right) \cdot \alpha^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{t(\alpha)=p} \alpha^{-1} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{t(\alpha)=2p} \alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *Let  $l = 2p$ . If  $t(\alpha) = 1$  or  $2$ , we assume that  $\alpha$  is represented by a matrix*

$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{p} \\ \sqrt{p} & a\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$  ( $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{2p} &= \sum_{t(\alpha)=1} pB_2\left(\left\langle\left\langle\frac{a}{2p}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right) \cdot \alpha^{-1} + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2} \frac{p}{2}B_2\left(\left\langle\left\langle\frac{a}{p}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right) \cdot \alpha^{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{t(\alpha)=p} \alpha^{-1} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{t(\alpha)=2p} \alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. These follow from Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

The following proposition gives relations concerning the elements  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_p$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Concerning the elements  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_p$ , we have the following relations. In the relations in (2) and (3), the element  $\alpha$  runs through the group  $C_I(\pm)$  with the described type.*

(1) For  $\theta_2$  we have

$$(1 + \beta_2)\theta_2 = 0,$$

where  $\beta_2$  denotes any element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type 2.

(2) For  $\theta_p$  we have

$$\left(\sum_{t(\alpha)=1} \alpha + \sum_{t(\alpha)=p} \alpha\right)\theta_p = 0.$$

(3) For  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_p$  we have

$$\left(\sum_{t(\alpha)=1} \alpha + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2p} \alpha\right)\theta_p = (1 + \beta_{2p})\theta_2,$$

where  $\beta_{2p}$  denotes any element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type  $2p$ .

PROOF.

(1) By (1) of Theorem 2.1 we have  $(\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha)(1 + [2])\theta_2 = 0$ . Since  $\alpha\theta_2 = \theta_2$  for any  $\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  by (3) of Proposition 2.9, we have  $(\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha)\theta_2 = |C_I^{(1)}(\pm)|\theta_2$  and  $(\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha)[2]\theta_2 = |C_I^{(1)}(\pm)|\beta_2\theta_2$  with  $\beta_2$  any element of  $C_I^{(2)}(\pm)$ . Hence we have  $|C_I^{(1)}(\pm)|(1 + \beta_2)\theta_2 = 0$ . This proves (1).

- (2) By (1) of Theorem 2.1 we have  $(\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha)(1 + [p])\theta_p = 0$ . Since  $(\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha)[p] = \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(p)}(\pm)} \alpha$ , we have the relation of (2).  
 (3) By (2) of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\frac{1}{|St([w_p])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [2p])\theta_p = \frac{1}{|St([w_2])|} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \right) (1 + [2p])\theta_2.$$

Since  $|St([w_p])| = 1$  by (2) of Proposition 2.9, the left-hand side of the equation above is equal to  $(\sum_{t(\alpha)=1} \alpha + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2p} \alpha)\theta_p$ . Also since  $St([w_2]) = C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  by (3) of Proposition 2.9, the right-hand side of the equation above is equal to  $(1 + \beta_{2p})\theta_2$ . This proves (3).  $\square$

The following proposition gives relations between  $\theta_{2p}$  and  $\theta_l$  with  $l = 2, p$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Concerning the elements  $\theta_{2p}$  and  $\theta_l$  with  $l = 2, p$ , we have the following relations.*

- (1) For  $\theta_2$  we have

$$\left( \sum_{t(\alpha)=1} \alpha \right) \theta_{2p} = ([p]^{-1} - 1)\theta_2,$$

where  $\alpha$  runs through the group  $C_I(\pm)$  with  $t(\alpha) = 1$ .

- (2) For  $\theta_p$  we have

$$\theta_{2p} = ([2]^{-1} - [2]^{-2})\theta_p.$$

**PROOF.** These are the same as (2) and (3) of Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

### 3.3. Relations between the modified Siegel functions.

The following proposition gives relations between the functions  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.4.**

- (1) Let  $u$  be any element of  $\mathcal{R}_I(2p)$ . Then the function  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  can be expressed as a product of the functions  $\hat{g}_{u'}(\tau)$  with  $u' \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$  up to a constant.  
 (2) The products  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)} \hat{g}_u(\tau)$  and  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p)} \hat{g}_u(\tau)$  are constants.  
 (3) The products  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(2)} \hat{g}_u(\tau)$  and  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2)} \hat{g}_u(\tau)$  are con-

stants.

- (4) The products  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2)} \hat{g}_u(\tau)$  and  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(2)} \hat{g}_u(\tau)$  can be expressed as products of the functions  $\hat{g}_{u'}(\tau)$  with  $u' \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$  up to constants.

PROOF. These statements follow from the relations between the elements  $\theta_l$ , the equation (2.41) and Proposition 2.6.

- (1) This follows from (2) of Proposition 3.3.  
(2) Multiplying the relation of (2) of Proposition 3.2 by an element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type 2, we have  $(\sum_{t(\alpha)=2} \alpha + \sum_{t(\alpha)=2p} \alpha) \theta_p = 0$ . The statement of (2) follows from these relations.  
(3) Multiplying the relation of (1) of Proposition 3.2 by an element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type  $p$ , we have  $\beta_p \theta_2 + \beta_{2p} \theta_2 = 0$ , where  $\beta_p$  (respectively  $\beta_{2p}$ ) denotes an element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type  $p$  (respectively  $2p$ ). The statement of (3) follows from these relations.  
(4) Multiplying the relation of (3) of Proposition 3.2 by an element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type 2, we have  $(\sum_{t(\alpha)=2} \alpha + \sum_{t(\alpha)=p} \alpha) \theta_p = \beta_2 \theta_2 + \beta_p \theta_2$ , where  $\beta_2$  (respectively  $\beta_p$ ) denotes an element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type 2 (respectively  $p$ ). The statement of (4) follows from these relations.  $\square$

### 3.4. A generating set of Siegel units.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the group of all Siegel units in the field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ , where we say that a modular unit in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  is a *Siegel unit* if it can be expressed as a product of the modified Siegel functions  $\hat{g}_u(\tau)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I$  up to a constant.

We define the subsets  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ$  of  $\mathcal{R}_I$  by

$$\mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p) = \left( \bigcup_{i=1,p} \mathcal{R}_I^{(i)}(p) - \{u_{(1)}\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=2,2p} \mathcal{R}_I^{(i)}(p) - \{u_{(2)}\} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_I^\circ = \mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p) \cup \{w_2\} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $u_{(1)}$  (respectively  $u_{(2)}$ ) is an arbitrarily chosen element of  $\bigcup_{i=1,p} \mathcal{R}_I^{(i)}(p)$  (respectively  $\bigcup_{i=2,2p} \mathcal{R}_I^{(i)}(p)$ ), and  $w_2$  is the element defined by (2.25). We note that  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2) = \{w_2\}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. Any function  $g$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  can be written as  $g = c \cdot (i\hat{g}_{w_2})^{m(w_2)} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p)} (g_u)^{m(u)}$  with  $c \in k_M^\times$  and  $m(u) \in \mathbf{Z}$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ).

PROOF. Let  $g$  be any function in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $g$  is a product of the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I$  up to a constant. We can remove the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2p)$  from the expression of  $g$  by (1) of Proposition 3.4. Also we can remove the functions

$\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(2)$  by (3) of Proposition 3.4, and the function  $\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(2)$  by the combination of (3) and (4) of Proposition 3.4. (Note that each set  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(2)$  ( $r \in T$ ) contains only one element.) Thus  $g$  can be expressed as a product of  $\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2)$  up to a constant. Let  $u_{(1)}$  (respectively  $u_{(2)}$ ) be the element of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)$  (respectively  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p)$ ) in (3.3). Then we can remove the functions  $\hat{g}_{u_{(1)}}$  and  $\hat{g}_{u_{(2)}}$  from the expression of  $g$  by (2) of Proposition 3.4. Thus  $g$  can be written as a product of the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2)$  and a constant. Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p)$ . Then, by (2.13), the Fourier coefficients of  $\hat{g}_u = g_u$  belong to  $k_M$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(2) = \{w_2\}$ , we have  $\hat{g}_u = \hat{g}_{w_2}$ . By the definition (2.27) the Fourier coefficients of the product  $i \cdot \hat{g}_{w_2}$  belong to  $k_M$ . This proves the proposition.  $\square$

### 3.5. The fullness of the Siegel units.

Here we prove that the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$  are independent, and that  $\mathcal{S}$  coincides with the group  $\mathcal{F}$  of all modular units in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}^{(M)}$  be the function field defined in [13, Section 1.5]. It is the field of all automorphic functions with respect to the congruence subgroups of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  such that their Fourier coefficients belong to the cyclotomic fields. Then the field  $C\mathfrak{F}^{(M)}$  coincides with the field of all automorphic functions with respect to the congruence subgroups of  $G(\sqrt{M})$ .

Let  $u$  be any element of  $\mathcal{R}_I$ . Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $\mathcal{G}_I$  or  $\mathcal{G}_I(\pm)$ . Since the set  $\mathcal{R}_I$  is a complete set of representatives of  $\mathcal{A}'_I$ , there exists a uniquely determined element  $u' \in \mathcal{R}_I$  such that  $[u'] = [u]\alpha$ , which we denote by  $u \circ \alpha$ :

$$[u \circ \alpha] = [u]\alpha. \tag{3.5}$$

It is obvious that if  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(l)$  and  $t(\alpha) = s$ , then  $u \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(ros)}(l)$ .

Since each set  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(2)$  ( $r \in T$ ) contains only one element, we denote the unique element of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(2)$  by  $u^{(r)}(2)$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $l$  be a prime, and let  $m : \mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be a mapping. Assume that there exists a function  $g \in C\mathfrak{F}^{(M)}$  such that*

$$\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (\hat{g}_u)^{m(u)} = g^l.$$

*Then we have the following.*

- (1) *Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $\mathcal{G}_I$ , and let  $u$  be any element of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& -m(u^{(1)}(2) \circ \alpha) + m(u^{(2)}(2) \circ \alpha) + m\left(\left(\frac{1}{p}\sqrt{p}, 0\right) \circ \alpha\right) \\
& \equiv m(u \circ \alpha) \pmod{l}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be any elements of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(p)$  ( $r \in T$ ). Then  $m(u_1) \equiv m(u_2) \pmod{l}$ .

PROOF. The statement (2) follows immediately from (1) if we take as  $\alpha$  any element of type  $r$ . We prove (1). The relation of the lemma implies that  $g$  belongs to the field  $\mathfrak{F}^{(M)}$ . Let  $G_{A+}$  and  $U$  be the groups defined in [13, p. 352], and  $\sigma : G_{A+} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{F}^{(M)})$  be the homomorphism defined in [13, p. 355]. Let  $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ , and  $\beta_1$  an element of  $U$  such that  $\beta \equiv \beta_1 \pmod{I}$  (cf. [13, Proposition 1.4]). Then we have  $\hat{g}_u^{\sigma(\beta_1)} = (\text{a constant})\hat{g}_{u \circ \beta}$  by Proposition 2.3. Applying the element  $\sigma(\beta_1)$  to the equation of the lemma, we have  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (\hat{g}_{u \circ \beta})^{m(u)} = g_1^l$  where  $g_1 = (\text{a constant})g^{\sigma(\beta_1)}$ . Let  $m_1$  be the mapping from  $\mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)$  to  $\mathbf{Z}$  defined by  $m_1(u) = m(u \circ \alpha)$ . If  $u$  runs through  $\mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ , then so does  $u \circ \beta$ . We have, therefore,  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (\hat{g}_u)^{m_1(u)} = g_1^l$ . Let  $f$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{F}^{(M)}$  with  $\sum_k a_k q_N^k$  its Fourier expansion where  $q_N = \exp[2\pi i(\tau/(N\sqrt{M}))]$  ( $N \in \mathbf{N}$ ). Let  $a_h q_N^h$  be the lowest term. Then the power series  $f^* = f/(a_h q_N^h)$  is called the *reduced form* of  $f$  (cf. [7, p. 88]). Let  $\hat{g}_u^*$  and  $g_1^*$  be the reduced forms of  $\hat{g}_u$  and  $g_1$  respectively. Then we have

$$\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (\hat{g}_u^*)^{m_1(u)} = (g_1^*)^l. \quad (3.6)$$

By the  $q$ -products (2.13), (2.26) and (2.27), we see that each  $\hat{g}_u^*$  is a power series  $1 + a_1 t + \dots$  in  $t = \exp[2\pi i(\tau/\sqrt{M})]$  with coefficients in the ring  $\mathfrak{o}_M$  of the algebraic integers in the field  $k_M$ . By (3.6),  $g_1^*$  is also a power series  $1 + b_1 t + \dots$  in  $t$  with coefficients in the field  $k_M$ . By a consequence of a theorem of Shimura [7, Lemma 3.1 in Chapter 4], the coefficients of  $g_1^*$  have bounded denominators. Thus, by (3.6) and the Gauss lemma for power series with bounded denominators, we see that the power series  $g_1^*$  also has coefficients in the integer ring  $\mathfrak{o}_M$ . Let  $a_1$  be the coefficient of  $t$  in the power series  $\hat{g}_u^*$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(2)$ , then we have

$$a_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1, \text{ i.e. } u = u^{(1)}(2) = (0, (1/2)\sqrt{2p}), \\ -1 & \text{if } r = 2, \text{ i.e. } u = u^{(2)}(2) = ((1/2)\sqrt{2}, 0), \\ 0 & \text{if } r = p \text{ or } 2p, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

by (2.26) and (2.27). If  $u \in R_I^{(r)}(p)$ , then we have

$$a_1 = \begin{cases} -(\zeta_p^b + \zeta_p^{-b}) & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } u = (0, (b/p)\sqrt{2p}) \ (1 \leq b \leq (p-1)/2), \\ -1 & \text{if } r = p \text{ and } u = ((1/p)\sqrt{p}, 0), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

by (2.13), where  $\zeta_p = \exp[2\pi i/p]$ . Let  $c_1$  be the coefficient of  $t$  in the power series expansion of the left-hand side of (3.6). Then we have, by (3.7) and (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= m_1(u^{(1)}(2)) - m_1(u^{(2)}(2)) - m_1\left(\left(\frac{1}{p}\sqrt{p}, 0\right)\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{b=1}^{(p-1)/2} (\zeta_p^b + \zeta_p^{-b}) m_1\left(\left(0, \frac{b}{p}\sqrt{2p}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Since  $c_1$  is also the coefficient of  $t$  in the power series expansion of  $(g_1^*)^l$ , and the coefficients of the power series  $g_1^*$  are integers, it must be congruent to 0 modulo  $l$ . This implies that, by [7, Lemma 2.3 in Chapter 4], the following congruences hold

$$\begin{aligned} &m_1(u^{(1)}(2)) - m_1(u^{(2)}(2)) - m_1\left(\left(\frac{1}{p}\sqrt{p}, 0\right)\right) \\ &\equiv -m_1\left(\left(0, \frac{b}{p}\sqrt{2p}\right)\right) \pmod{l} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $b$  ( $1 \leq b \leq (p-1)/2$ ). Since any element of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p)$  can be written as  $(0, (b/p)\sqrt{2p})$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $l$  be a prime, and let  $m : \mathcal{R}_I^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be a mapping. Assume that there exists a function  $g \in \mathcal{C}\mathfrak{F}^{(M)}$  such that*

$$\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ} (\hat{g}_u)^{m(u)} = g^l.$$

*Then  $m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ .*

PROOF. We extend the domain of the mapping  $m$  from  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ$  to  $\mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)$  by setting  $m(u) = 0$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p) - \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ . Since  $m(u^{(1)}(2)) =$

$m(u^{(2)}(2)) = 0$ , we have  $m(((1/p)\sqrt{p}, 0)) \equiv m(u) \pmod{l}$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p)$  by (1) of Lemma 3.1 with  $\alpha = 1$ . By this and (2) of Lemma 3.1, we have  $m(u_1) \equiv m(u_2) \pmod{l}$  for any  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)$ . By the definition (3.3) of  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ , there exists an element  $u_{(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)$  which is not contained in  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ . Then  $m(u_{(1)}) = 0$ , hence we have

$$m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p). \quad (3.11)$$

Next, in (1) of Lemma 3.1, we assume that  $\alpha$  is an element of type 2. Then  $u^{(1)}(2) \circ \alpha = u^{(2)}(2)$  and  $u^{(2)}(2) \circ \alpha = u^{(1)}(2)$ . Since  $m(u^{(1)}(2)) = m(u^{(2)}(2)) = 0$ , we have  $m(((1/p)\sqrt{p}, 0) \circ \alpha) \equiv m(u) \pmod{l}$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p)$ . By this and (2) of Lemma 3.1, we have  $m(u_1) \equiv m(u_2) \pmod{l}$  for any  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p)$ . Again by the definition (3.3) of  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ , there exists an element  $u_{(2)}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p)$  which does not contained in  $\mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ . Since  $m(u_{(2)}) = 0$ , we have

$$m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p). \quad (3.12)$$

Last, in (1) of Lemma 3.1, we assume that  $\alpha$  is an element of type  $p$ . Then  $u^{(1)}(2) \circ \alpha = u^{(p)}(2)$  and  $u^{(2)}(2) \circ \alpha = u^{(2p)}(2)$ . Since  $m(u^{(p)}(2)) = 0$ , we have  $m(u^{(2p)}(2)) + m(((1/p)\sqrt{p}, 0) \circ \alpha) \equiv m(u) \pmod{l}$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)$ . This and (3.11) imply that

$$m(u^{(2p)}(2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}. \quad (3.13)$$

By the congruences (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) we have the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem shows the fullness of the Siegel units in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The functions  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ) are independent, and the group  $\mathcal{S}/k_I^\times$  has the maximal possible rank  $2p - 3$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ} (\hat{g}_u)^{m(u)} = 1$  with  $m(u) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $1 = 1^l$  for any prime  $l$ , we have  $m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$  by Lemma 3.2. Since  $l$  can be any prime, we have  $m(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ . This proves the independence of the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ). Since the functions  $(\hat{g}_u)^{24p}$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ) are contained in  $\mathcal{S}$  (Proposition 2.2), the independence and Proposition 3.5 show that the rank of the group  $\mathcal{S}/k_I^\times$  is equal to  $|\mathcal{R}_I^\circ| = 2p - 3$ . On the other hand, since the number of the cusps on the curve  $X_I$  is  $2(p - 1)$ , it is the maximal possible value. This proves the theorem.  $\square$



The following theorem shows that the unit group  $\mathcal{F}$  coincides with the group  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Concerning the group  $\mathcal{F}$  we have the following.*

- (1) *The unit group  $\mathcal{F}$  coincides with the group  $\mathcal{S}$ .*
- (2) *The group  $\mathcal{F}/k_M^\times$  has the maximal possible rank  $2p - 3$ .*
- (3) *Any function  $g$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  can be written as  $g = c \cdot (i\hat{g}_{w_2})^{m(w_2)} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p)} (g_u)^{m(u)}$  with  $c \in k_M^\times$  and  $m(u) \in \mathbf{Z}$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ), and this expression is unique.*

**PROOF.** Since  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $\mathcal{S}$ , (2) follows immediately from Theorem 3.1. We prove (1). Let  $g$  be any function in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1, some power of  $g$  can be expressed as a product of the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ) up to a constant. By the repeated use of Lemma 3.2, we obtain that  $g$  itself can be expressed as a product of the functions  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ) up to a constant, whence  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ . This proves (1). (3) follows from (1), Proposition 3.5 and the independence of  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ) (Theorem 3.1). Note that  $\hat{g}_u = g_u$  for  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ(p)$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.1.** In the next section (in Theorem 4.1) we shall prove that the integer  $m(w_2)$  must be even.

**COROLLARY 3.1.**  $\mathcal{F}_C = \mathbf{C}^\times \mathcal{F}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $g$  be any function in  $\mathcal{F}_C$ . Then, by (2) of Theorem 3.2, some power of  $g$  belongs to  $\mathbf{C}^\times \mathcal{F}$ . This implies that there exists a non-zero constant  $c$  such that the Fourier coefficients of  $cg$  belong to  $k_M$ . Hence, we have  $g \in \mathbf{C}^\times \mathcal{F}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Determination of the unit group on $X_1(2p)$ .

In this section we determine the group  $\mathcal{F}$  of the modular units in the function field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Henceforth we assume that  $p \neq 3$ , therefore

$$p \neq 2, 3. \tag{4.1}$$

The reason why we exclude the case  $p = 3$  is that it is exceptional and that the modular curve  $X_1(6)$  coincides with the modular curve  $X_0(6)$ . The group of the modular units and the cuspidal class number of the curve  $X_0(6)$  are already determined in [16].

**REMARK 4.1.** In [16], the group of modular units of  $X_0(6)$  is described by the functions  $h_{[r]}(\tau)$  ( $r \in T$ ). We give the relations of these functions and our

functions  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^\circ$ ) in the following. Assume that  $p = 3$ . Since the set  $\mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(3)$  ( $r \in T$ ) contains only one element, we denote it by  $u^{(r)}(3)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}_{w_2}(\tau) &= c_1 \cdot h_{[3]}(\tau) \{h_{[6]}(\tau)\}^{-1}, \\ g_{u^{(r)}(3)}(\tau) &= c_2 \cdot h_{[3 \circ r]}(\tau) \{h_{[r]}(\tau)\}^{-1}\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

( $r \in T$ ), where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are non-zero constants.

#### 4.1. Definition of the characters $\Phi_u$ .

Let  $u$  be any element of  $\mathcal{R}_I$ . Since  $(\hat{g}_u)^{24p}$  is an automorphic function with respect to the group  $\Gamma(I)$  (Proposition 2.2), we can define the character  $\Phi_u$  of  $\Gamma(I)$  by

$$\hat{g}_u(\alpha(\tau)) = \Phi_u(\alpha) \hat{g}_u(\tau).\tag{4.3}$$

Let  $g(\tau)$  be a function of the form

$$g(\tau) = (\hat{g}_{w_2}(\tau))^{m(w_2)} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (g_u(\tau))^{m(u)}\tag{4.4}$$

where  $m(w_2)$  and  $m(u)$  are integers. Then the function  $g(\tau)$  is an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$  if and only if the following equation holds for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma(I)$ :

$$\{\Phi_{w_2}(\alpha)\}^{m(w_2)} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} \{\Phi_u(\alpha)\}^{m(u)} = 1.\tag{4.5}$$

#### 4.2. Generators of the factor group $\Gamma(I)/\Gamma(48p\mathcal{O})$ .

In addition to the fact that the power  $(\hat{g}_u)^{24p}$  of any function  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I$ ) is automorphic, the function  $\hat{g}_u$  itself is an automorphic function. In fact we have the following.

PROPOSITION 4.1.

- (1) Let  $u$  be an element of  $\mathcal{R}_I(2)$ . Then the function  $\hat{g}_u$  is an automorphic function with respect to the principal congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(48\mathcal{O})$ .
- (2) Let  $u$  be an element of  $\mathcal{R}_I(p)$ . Then the function  $\hat{g}_u$  ( $= g_u$ ) is an automorphic function with respect to the principal congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(12p\mathcal{O})$ .
- (3) Let  $u$  be an element of  $\mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ . Then the function  $\hat{g}_u$  is an automorphic function with respect to the principal congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(48p\mathcal{O})$ .

PROOF. (3) follows immediately from (1) and (2). We prove (1). By (2.31) the function  $\hat{g}_u$  coincides with the function  $f_r^{(2)}$  with  $r = t(u)$ , and it coincides with the function  $f_{[r]}^{(2)}$  defined in [16, (2.9) in Section 2] under the condition that  $M = 2p$  and  $T_0 = 1$ . Since the function  $f_{[r]}^{(2)}$  is an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(48\mathcal{O})$  by [16, Lemma 4.1], (1) is proved. Next, we prove (2). Since our  $u$  belongs to the set  $A'_J$  in the notation of [16, Section 1.3] with  $J = \sqrt{p}\mathcal{O}$ , the function  $g_u$  is an automorphic function with respect to the group  $\Gamma([2L_J^2, 12]\mathcal{O})$  by [16, Proposition 1.3] where  $L_J = p$ . Since  $[2L_J^2, 12] = 12p$ , (2) is proved.  $\square$

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let  $u$  be an element of  $\mathcal{R}_I(2) \cup \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ . Then the character  $\Phi_u$  is trivial on the subgroup  $\Gamma(48p\mathcal{O})$  of  $\Gamma(I)$ .*

By Corollary 4.1, in order to determine the character  $\Phi_u$ , it is sufficient to determine its values at some elements of  $\Gamma(I)$  which generate the factor group  $\Gamma(I)/\Gamma(48p\mathcal{O})$ .

For each prime factor  $q$  of  $48p$ , let  $\alpha_q$  and  $\beta_q$  be arbitrarily chosen elements of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  of type 1 so as to satisfy the following congruences:

$$\alpha_q \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{M} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^f \mathcal{O}}, \quad \equiv 1_2 \pmod{q^{-f} 48p\mathcal{O}}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\beta_q \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{M} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^f \mathcal{O}}, \quad \equiv 1_2 \pmod{q^{-f} 48p\mathcal{O}}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $f = 4$  or  $1$  according as  $q = 2$  or  $\neq 2$ .

For  $q = 2$  or  $3$ , let  $\gamma_q$  be an arbitrarily chosen element of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  of type 1 so as to satisfy the following congruences:

$$\gamma_q \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^f \mathcal{O}}, \quad \equiv 1_2 \pmod{q^{-f} 48p\mathcal{O}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $f = 4$  or  $1$  according as  $q = 2$  or  $3$ , and  $d = 5$  or  $-1$  according as  $q = 2$  or  $3$ .

Let  $\gamma'_2$  be an arbitrarily chosen element of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  of type 1 so as to satisfy the following congruences:

$$\gamma'_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{16\mathcal{O}}, \quad \equiv 1_2 \pmod{3p\mathcal{O}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then it is easy to see that the set of the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  defined above

is contained in  $\Gamma(I)$  and generates the factor group  $\Gamma(I)/\Gamma(48p\mathcal{O})$ .

### 4.3. The values of $\Phi_{w_2}$ .

Here we give the values of the character  $\Phi_{w_2}$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The values of the character  $\Phi_{w_2}$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows:*

$$\Phi_{w_2}(\alpha_q) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{8} \cdot 5p\right] & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_{w_2}(\beta_q) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{8} \cdot 5\right] & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_{w_2}(\gamma_2) = -1, \quad \Phi_{w_2}(\gamma'_2) = \Phi_{w_2}(\gamma_3) = 1.$$

PROOF. The character  $\Phi_{w_2}$  is already determined in [16]. In fact, as was seen in the proof of (1) of Proposition 4.1, the function  $\hat{g}_{w_2}(\tau)$  coincides with the function  $f_{[2p]}^{(2)}(\tau)$  defined in [16, Section 2, (2.9)] under the condition that  $M = 2p$  and  $T_0 = 1$ , whence the character  $\Phi_{w_2}$  coincides with the character  $\Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}$  defined in [16, Section 4.1]. In fact, the domain of the character  $\Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}$  is the subgroup  $\Gamma_{T_0}$  with  $T_0 = 1$  which is the subgroup of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  consisting of all elements of type 1, and our character  $\Phi_{w_2}$  is the restriction of  $\Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}$  to the group  $\Gamma(I)$ .

The value  $\Phi_{w_2}(\alpha_q)$  is given in [16, Proposition 4.1] as  $\Phi_{\rho}^{(p)}(\alpha_q)$  with  $\rho = [2p]$  and  $p = 2$ . For example, let  $q = 2$ . Then  $\Phi_{w_2}(\alpha_2) = \Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}(\alpha_2) = -\exp[(-2\pi i/8) \cdot (p - 2p)]$ . Since  $-\exp[(-2\pi i/8)(p - 2p)] = \exp[(2\pi i/8) \cdot 4p] \cdot \exp[(2\pi i/8) \cdot p] = \exp[(2\pi i/8) \cdot 5p]$ , we have the desired value. The values  $\Phi_{w_2}(\alpha_q)$  for other  $q$  can be obtained similarly. The value  $\Phi_{w_2}(\beta_q)$  is given in [16, Proposition 4.1] as  $\Phi_{\rho}^{(p)}(\beta_q)$  with  $\rho = [2p]$  and  $p = 2$ . Let  $q = 2$  as an example. Then  $\Phi_{w_2}(\beta_2) = \Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}(\beta_2) = -\exp[(2\pi i/8)(2 - 1)]$ , which is equal to  $\exp[(2\pi i/8) \cdot 5]$  and gives the desired value. The values  $\Phi_{w_2}(\beta_q)$  for other  $q$  can also be obtained similarly. The value  $\Phi_{w_2}(\gamma_q)$  ( $q = 2, 3$ ) is given in [16, Proposition 4.1] as  $\Phi_{\rho}^{(p)}(\gamma_q)$  with  $\rho = [2p]$  and  $p = 2$ ,

which gives the desired value. We prove that  $\Phi_{w_2}(\gamma'_2) = 1$ . Let  $\gamma_p$  be the element of  $G(\sqrt{M})$  defined in [16, p. 195]. It is an element of type 1 chosen so as to satisfy the following congruences:

$$\gamma_p \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p\mathcal{O}}, \quad \equiv 1_2 \pmod{48\mathcal{O}},$$

where  $d$  is a primitive root modulo  $p$ . (Though the element  $\gamma_p$  depends on the choice of  $d$ , we did not indicate its dependence in its notation because as is seen in [16, Proposition 4.2] the values of  $\Phi_\rho^{(p)}$  do not depend on  $d$ .) Then we have  $\gamma'_2 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_p^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1_2 \pmod{48p\mathcal{O}}$ . Since  $\Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}(-1_2) = 1$  by definition and  $\Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}(\gamma_3) = \Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}(\gamma_p) = 1$  by [16, Proposition 4.1], we have  $\Phi_{[2p]}^{(2)}(\gamma'_2) = 1$ , which gives  $\Phi_{w_2}(\gamma'_2) = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.4. The values of  $\Phi_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ .**

Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(p)$ . Then  $\hat{g}_u = g_u$ . The value of the character  $\Phi_u$  at  $\alpha \in \Gamma(I)$  is given by

$$\Phi_u(\alpha) = \varepsilon_u(\alpha)\psi_r(\alpha) \tag{4.10}$$

by Proposition 2.1.

Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\Gamma(I)$  written as

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\sqrt{2p} \\ c\sqrt{2p} & d \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.11}$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then, by (2.10), (2.16) and the definition of  $\psi_r(\alpha)$ , we have

$$\psi_r(\alpha) = (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi i}{12} \{ (br - cr^*)d + acr^*(1 - d^2) \} \right]. \tag{4.12}$$

LEMMA 4.1. *The values of  $\psi_r$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows.*

(1) For  $\alpha = \gamma_2, \gamma'_2, \gamma_3, \alpha_p, \beta_p$ , we have

$$\psi_r(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \alpha_p, \beta_p, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma'_2. \end{cases}$$

(2) For  $\alpha = \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , we have

$$\psi_r(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{4} \cdot r\right] & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot r\right] & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_3. \end{cases}$$

(3) For  $\alpha = \beta_2, \beta_3$ , we have

$$\psi_r(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{4} \cdot r^*\right] & \text{if } \alpha = \beta_2, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot r^*\right] & \text{if } \alpha = \beta_3. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. In the following we assume that the element  $\alpha$  is written as in (4.11).

- (1) In this case we have  $b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , whence  $\psi_r(\alpha) = (-1)^{(d-1)/2}$  by (4.12). Since  $d \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$  according as  $\alpha = \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \alpha_p, \beta_p$  or  $\alpha = \gamma'_2$ , we have the desired value.
- (2) In this case we have  $c \equiv d-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , whence  $\psi_r(\alpha) = \exp[(2\pi i/12) \cdot br]$  by (4.12). If  $\alpha = \alpha_2$ , we have  $b = 3b_1$  with some  $b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we have  $b_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . These imply  $\psi_r(\alpha_2) = \exp[(-2\pi i/4) \cdot r]$ . If  $\alpha = \alpha_3$ , we have  $b = 4b_1$  with some  $b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , we have  $b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . These imply  $\psi_r(\alpha_3) = \exp[(2\pi i/3) \cdot r]$ .
- (3) In this case we have  $b \equiv d-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , whence  $\psi_r(\alpha) = \exp[(2\pi i/12) \cdot (-cr^*)]$  by (4.12). If  $\alpha = \beta_2$ , we have  $c = 3c_1$  with some  $c_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we have  $c_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . These imply  $\psi_r(\beta_2) = \exp[(2\pi i/4) \cdot r^*]$ . If  $\alpha = \beta_3$ , we have  $c = 4c_1$  with some  $c_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , we have  $c_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . These imply  $\psi_r(\beta_3) = \exp[(-2\pi i/3) \cdot r^*]$ .  $\square$

The value  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha)$  ( $\alpha \in \Gamma(I)$ ) is given by

$$\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = \varepsilon(u, v) \tag{4.13}$$

with

$$v = u(\alpha - 1_2), \tag{4.14}$$

where  $\varepsilon(u, v)$  is defined by (2.14) and (2.8).

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(p)$  be of the form  $u = ((x/p)\sqrt{r}, 0)$  ( $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) with  $r = 2p, p$ . Then the values of  $\varepsilon_u$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows.*

- (1) For  $\alpha = \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \gamma'_2, \alpha_3, \beta_3, \gamma_3, \beta_p$ , we have  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = 1$ .
- (2) For  $\alpha = \alpha_p$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_u(\alpha_p) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot x^2\right] & \text{if } r = 2p, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot \frac{1+p}{2} x^2\right] & \text{if } r = p. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $\alpha$  be written as in (4.11). Then the element  $v$  in (4.14) is given by

$$v = \left( \frac{(a-1)x}{p} \sqrt{r}, \frac{brx}{p} \sqrt{r^*} \right),$$

whence we have  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = \exp[\pi i \xi]$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\mathbf{Q}$  satisfying

$$\xi \equiv bx \cdot \frac{r}{p} + \frac{x}{p} \cdot bx \cdot \frac{r}{p} \equiv \frac{b}{p} \cdot x(p+x) \cdot \frac{r}{p} \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}. \quad (4.15)$$

We note that  $r/p \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(1) For  $\alpha = \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \gamma'_2, \alpha_3, \beta_3, \gamma_3, \beta_p$ , we have  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , whence the last term in (4.15) is an integer. Since  $x(p+x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have  $\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . Thus we have  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = 1$ .

(2) For  $\alpha = \alpha_p$ , we have  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , which implies  $\xi \equiv (x/p) \cdot bx \cdot (r/p) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$  by the second term in (4.15). Since we also have  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , put  $b = 1 + b_1p$  with an integer  $b_1$ . Then we have  $\xi \equiv (x^2/p) \cdot (r/p) + b_1x^2 \cdot (r/p) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . If  $r = 2p$ , then we have  $\xi \equiv 2x^2/p \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ , which gives the desired value of  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha_p)$  for the case  $r = 2p$ . If  $r = p$ , then we have  $\xi \equiv x^2/p + b_1x^2 \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . Since  $b = 1 + b_1p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have  $b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , whence we have  $\xi \equiv x^2/p + x^2 \equiv (x^2/p)(1+p) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . This gives the desired value of  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha_p)$  for the case  $r = p$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(r)}(p)$  be of the form  $u = (0, (y/p)\sqrt{r^*})$  ( $y \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) with  $r = 1, 2$ . Then the values of  $\varepsilon_u$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows.*

- (1) For  $\alpha = \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \gamma'_2, \alpha_3, \beta_3, \gamma_3, \alpha_p$ , we have  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = 1$ .
- (2) For  $\alpha = \beta_p$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_u(\beta_p) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot y^2\right] & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot \frac{1+p}{2} y^2\right] & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $\alpha$  be written as in (4.11). Then the element  $v$  in (4.14) is given by

$$v = \left( \frac{cr^*y}{p} \sqrt{r}, \frac{(d-1)y}{p} \sqrt{r^*} \right),$$

whence we have  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = \exp[\pi i \xi]$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\mathbf{Q}$  satisfying

$$\xi \equiv cy \cdot \frac{r^*}{p} - \frac{y}{p} \cdot cy \cdot \frac{r^*}{p} \equiv \frac{c}{p} \cdot y(p-y) \cdot \frac{r^*}{p} \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}. \quad (4.16)$$

We note that  $r^*/p \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(1) For  $\alpha = \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \gamma_2', \alpha_3, \beta_3, \gamma_3, \alpha_p$ , we have  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , whence the last term in (4.16) is an integer. Since  $y(p-y) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have  $\xi \equiv 0 \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . Thus we have  $\varepsilon_u(\alpha) = 1$ .

(2) For  $\alpha = \beta_p$ , we have  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , which implies  $\xi \equiv (-y/p) \cdot cy \cdot (r^*/p) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$  by the second term in (4.15). Since we also have  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , put  $c = 1 + c_1p$  with an integer  $b_1$ . Then we have  $\xi \equiv (-y^2/p) \cdot (r^*/p) - c_1y^2 \cdot (r^*/p) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . If  $r = 1$ , then  $r^* = 2p$ , whence we have  $\xi \equiv -2y^2/p \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ , which gives the desired value of  $\varepsilon_u(\beta_p)$  for the case  $r = 1$ . If  $r = 2$ , then  $r^* = p$ , whence we have  $\xi \equiv -y^2/p - c_1y^2 \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . Since  $c = 1 + c_1p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have  $c_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , whence we have  $\xi \equiv -y^2/p - y^2 \equiv (-y^2/p)(1+p) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ . This gives the desired value of  $\varepsilon_u(\beta_p)$  for the case  $r = 2$ .  $\square$

By Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we have the values of the character  $\Phi_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$  as follows.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p)$  and write  $u = ((x/p)\sqrt{2p}, 0)$  ( $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). Then the values of the character  $\Phi_u$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma_q'$  are given as follows:*



$$\Phi_u(\alpha_q) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot x^2\right] & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\beta_q) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{4}\right] & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\gamma_2) = \Phi_u(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad \Phi_u(\gamma'_2) = -1.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)$  and write  $u = ((x/p)\sqrt{p}, 0)$  ( $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). Then the values of the character  $\Phi_u$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows:

$$\Phi_u(\alpha_q) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{4} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot \frac{p+1}{2} x^2\right] & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\beta_q) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\gamma_2) = \Phi_u(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad \Phi_u(\gamma'_2) = -1.$$

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p)$  and write  $u = (0, (y/p)\sqrt{p})$  ( $y \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). Then the values of the character  $\Phi_u$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows:

$$\Phi_u(\alpha_q) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\beta_q) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{4} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot \frac{p+1}{2} y^2\right] & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\gamma_2) = \Phi_u(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad \Phi_u(\gamma'_2) = -1.$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p)$  and write  $u = (0, (y/p)\sqrt{2p})$  ( $y \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). Then the values of the character  $\Phi_u$  at the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  are given as follows:*

$$\Phi_u(\alpha_q) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{4}\right] & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3}\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\beta_q) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i}{3} \cdot p\right] & \text{if } q = 3, \\ \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i}{p} \cdot y^2\right] & \text{if } q = p, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_u(\gamma_2) = \Phi_u(\gamma_3) = 1, \quad \Phi_u(\gamma'_2) = -1.$$

#### 4.5. Determination of the unit group.

Let  $g(\tau)$  be a function of the form (4.4). The following theorem gives the condition that  $g(\tau)$  is an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$ .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $g$  be a function given by  $g = (\hat{g}_{w_2})^{m(w_2)} \cdot \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (g_u)^{m(u)}$  with  $m(w_2)$  and  $m(u)$  integers. Then it is an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$  if and only if the integers  $m(w_2)$  and  $m(u)$  satisfy the following conditions (i)–(v):*

- (i)  $m(w_2)$  is an even integer, which we express as  $m(w_2) = 2k$ ,
- (ii)  $k + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (t(u))^* m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)} m(u) + p \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p) \cup \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p)} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,
- (iv)  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2p)}(p)} x^2 m(u) + ((p+1)/2) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(p)}(p)} x^2 m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,
- (v)  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(1)}(p)} y^2 m(u) + ((p+1)/2) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I^{(2)}(p)} y^2 m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

In the condition (iv) (respectively (v)) above, it is assumed that  $u = ((x/p)\sqrt{r}, 0)$  for  $r = 2p, p$  with  $x$  an integer (respectively  $u = (0, (y/p)\sqrt{r^*})$  for  $r = 1, 2$  with  $y$  an integer).

PROOF. The condition that  $g(\tau)$  is an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma(I)$  is equivalent to that the equation (4.5) holds for the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  by Corollary 4.1 and the fact that those elements generate the factor group  $\Gamma(I)/\Gamma(48p\mathcal{O})$ . We prove that the relations obtained by the substitutions of the elements  $\alpha_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q, \gamma'_2$  in (4.5) are equivalent to the conditions (i)–(v) in the statement. In our proof Propositions 4.2–4.6 will be used freely without any reference.

The substitution of  $\gamma_3$  gives no relation about the integers  $m(w_2)$  and  $m(u)$  because  $\Phi_{w_2}(\gamma_3) = 1$  and  $\Phi_u(\gamma_3) = 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ . The relation by the substitution of  $\gamma_2$  is equivalent to (i) because  $\Phi_{w_2}(\gamma_2) = -1$  and  $\Phi_u(\gamma_2) = 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ .

The relation by the substitution of  $\beta_2$  is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{8}m(w_2) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) \\ & + \frac{p}{4} \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

We use (i) and multiply (4.17) by 4. Then, since  $5k \equiv k \pmod{4}$  and  $2 \equiv 2p \pmod{4}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k + \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + 2 \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) + p \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + 2p \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

which is the condition (ii) with the modulo 12 part replaced by modulo 4. The relation by the substitution of  $\alpha_2$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{5}{8}pm(w_2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) - \frac{p}{4} \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}. \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (i) and multiplying (4.19) by 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 5pk + 2 \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) - p \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) + 2 \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) - \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \\
& \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \tag{4.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.20) by  $p$  and using the congruences  $5p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $2p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $-p^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $-p \equiv 3p \pmod{4}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& k + 2 \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + 3 \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) + 2p \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + 3p \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \\
& \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (4.18) from (4.21) term by term gives

$$\sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) + p \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + p \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \tag{4.22}$$

which is the condition (iii). The relation by the substitution of  $\gamma'_2$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which follows from (4.22). The relation by the substitution of  $\beta_3$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{3}m(w_2) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) \\
& - \frac{p}{3} \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + \frac{p}{3} \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

We use (i) and multiply (4.23) by  $-3$ . Then, by  $-2k \equiv k \pmod{3}$ ,  $-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

and  $-p \equiv 2p \pmod{3}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} k + \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + 2 \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) + p \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + 2p \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \\ \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

which is the condition (ii) with the modulo 12 part replaced by modulo 3. The relation by the substitution of  $\alpha_3$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{3} m(w_2) - \frac{p}{3} \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + \frac{p}{3} \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) \\ - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Using (i) and multiplying (4.25) by 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2pk - p \sum_{t(u)=2p} m(u) + p \sum_{t(u)=p} m(u) - \sum_{t(u)=2} m(u) + \sum_{t(u)=1} m(u) \\ \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Multiplying (4.26) by  $-p$  and using the congruences  $-2p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $(-p)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $-p^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $-p \equiv 2p \pmod{3}$ , we have the congruence (4.24). Summing up the arguments above, we see that the relations obtained by the substitutions of the elements  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\gamma'_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  are equivalent to the conditions (i)–(iii).

The relation by the substitution of  $\alpha_p$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t(u)=2p} x^2 m(u) + \frac{p+1}{2p} \sum_{t(u)=p} x^2 m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}. \quad (4.27)$$

Multiplying (4.27) by  $p$ , we obtain the congruence in the condition (iv). The relation by the substitution of  $\beta_p$  is equivalent to

$$-\frac{p+1}{2p} \sum_{t(u)=2} y^2 m(u) - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t(u)=1} y^2 m(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}. \quad (4.28)$$

Multiplying (4.28) by  $-p$ , we obtain the congruence in the condition (v). This

completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we can determine the group  $\mathcal{F}$  of the modular units in the function field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . The following is the main theorem in this section.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *The group  $\mathcal{F}$  of the modular units in the function field  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  consists of all functions  $g$  of the form*

$$g = c(g_{w_2})^k \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (g_u)^{m(u)},$$

where  $c \in k_M^\times$ , and  $k$  and  $m(u)$  are integers satisfying the relations (ii)–(v) of Theorem 4.1.

Let  $u_1$  (respectively  $u_2$ ) be any element of  $\mathcal{R}_I(p)$  with  $t(u_1) = 1$  or  $p$  (respectively  $t(u_2) = 2$  or  $2p$ ). Then in the expression of  $g$  we can make  $m(u_1)$  and  $m(u_2)$  equal to 0, and in that case the integers  $k$  and  $m(u)$  ( $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$ ) are uniquely determined by  $g$ .

**PROOF.** This follows immediately from Theorems 3.2 and 4.1.  $\square$

**REMARK 4.2.** Since  $\hat{g}_{w_2} = f_{2p}^{(2)}$  by (2.31), the function  $g_{w_2} = (\hat{g}_{w_2})^2$  can be expressed by the Dedekind  $\eta$ -function  $\eta(\tau)$ :  $g_{w_2}(\tau) = -H^2(p\tau)H^{-2}(2p\tau)$ , where  $H(\tau) = \eta(\tau/\sqrt{2p})$ .

## 5. Computation of the cuspidal class number.

In this section we determine the cuspidal class number of the modular curve  $X_1(2p)$ .

### 5.1. The image $I_P$ of the principal divisors in the group ring.

Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \cong R$  be the isomorphism (2.23). In this subsection we determine the image  $\varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{F}))$ , which we denote by  $I_P$ , of the principal divisors  $\text{div}(\mathcal{F})$  of the modular units in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Let  $R_0$  be the subgroup of  $R$  of all elements of degree 0. Then  $I_P = \varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{F}))$  is an additive subgroup of  $R_0$ .

By Theorem 4.2 any function  $g$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a product of the functions  $g_{w_2}$  and  $g_u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)$  up to a constant. The set  $\mathcal{R}_I(p)$  is a complete set of representatives of  $\mathcal{A}'_I(p)$ . By Proposition 2.6 the set  $\mathcal{A}'_I(p)$  is an orbit of  $C_I(\pm)$  with  $\mathcal{A}'_I(p) = [w_p]C_I(\pm)$ , and by (2) of Proposition 2.9 the stabilizer  $St([w_p])$  of  $[w_p]$  is trivial because  $p^* = 2$  and  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^\times = 1$ . Hence the sets  $C_I(\pm)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_I(p)$  correspond bijectively by the mapping  $\alpha \mapsto w_p \circ \alpha$ . (For the definition of the product  $w_p \circ \alpha$ , see (3.5).)

Let  $\alpha$  be any element of  $C_I(\pm)$  of type  $r$ . Let  $a(\alpha)$  and  $b(\alpha)$  be integers such

that  $\alpha$  can be represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(\alpha)\sqrt{r} & b(\alpha)\sqrt{r^*} \\ b(\alpha)\sqrt{r^*} & a(\alpha)\sqrt{r} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

Although such integers  $a(\alpha)$  and  $b(\alpha)$  are not unique, the residue classes  $a(\alpha) \pmod{r^*}$  and  $b(\alpha) \pmod{r}$  are uniquely determined up to the multiplication by  $\pm 1$ . In particular, the element  $\alpha$  determines the residue class  $a(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$  (respectively  $b(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$ ) uniquely when  $r = 1, 2$  (respectively  $r = p, 2p$ ).

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \cong R$  be the isomorphism (2.23). Let  $\text{div}(\mathcal{F})$  be the group of the principal divisors of the modular units in  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Then the image  $I_P = \varphi(\text{div}(\mathcal{F}))$  is the subgroup of  $R_0$  consisting of all elements*

$$2k\theta_2 + \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} m(\alpha)\alpha \right\} \theta_p, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $k$  and  $m(\alpha)$  are integers such that the following congruences (i)–(iv) hold:

- (i)  $k + \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} t(\alpha)m(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm) \cup C_I^{(2)}(\pm)} m(\alpha) + p \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(p)}(\pm) \cup C_I^{(2p)}(\pm)} m(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} a(\alpha)^2 m(\alpha) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(2)}(\pm)} a(\alpha)^2 m(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,
- (iv)  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(2p)}(\pm)} b(\alpha)^2 m(\alpha) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(p)}(\pm)} b(\alpha)^2 m(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $g$  be any function in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then by Theorem 4.2 we have

$$g = c(g_{w_2})^k \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_I(p)} (g_u)^{m(u)},$$

where  $k$  and  $m(u)$  are integers satisfying (ii)–(v) of Theorem 4.1. Let  $u = w_p \circ \alpha$  with  $\alpha \in C_I(\pm)$ . Since the mapping  $\alpha \mapsto w_p \circ \alpha$  between  $C_I(\pm)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_I(p)$  is bijective, we can express  $m(u)$  as  $m(\alpha)$ . By (2.41) and (2.42), we have  $\varphi(\text{div}(g_{w_2})) = 2\theta_2$  and  $\varphi(\text{div}(g_u)) = \alpha\theta_p$ , hence

$$\varphi(\text{div}(g)) = 2k\theta_2 + \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} m(\alpha)\alpha \right\} \theta_p.$$

Since  $(t(u))^* = t(\alpha)$ , the relation (ii) (respectively (iii)) of Theorem 4.1 coincides with the relation (i) (respectively (ii)) in our statement. When  $t(u)(= r) = 2p$

or  $p$ , write  $u = ((x/p)\sqrt{r}, 0)$  with  $x$  an integer. It is easy to see that  $x^2 \equiv a(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$  or  $4a(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$  according as  $r = 2p$  or  $p$ . When  $r = p$ , we have  $((p+1)/2)x^2 \equiv 2(p+1)a(\alpha)^2 \equiv 2a(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$ . These imply that the relation (iv) of Theorem 4.1 coincides with the relation (iii) in our statement. When  $t(u)(=r) = 1$  or  $2$ , write  $u = (0, (y/p)\sqrt{r^*})$  with  $y$  an integer. Then it is easy to see that  $y^2 \equiv b(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$  or  $4b(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$  according as  $r = 1$  or  $2$ . When  $r = 2$ , we have  $((p+1)/2)y^2 \equiv 2(p+1)b(\alpha)^2 \equiv 2b(\alpha)^2 \pmod{p}$ . These imply that the relation (v) of Theorem 4.1 coincides with the relation (iv) in our statement. Thus  $\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g))$  satisfies the conditions (i)–(iv). Conversely, by the arguments above, it is obvious that any element (5.2) of  $R$  satisfying the conditions (i)–(iv) of our statement can be expressed as  $\varphi(\operatorname{div}(g))$  with  $g \in \mathcal{F}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 5.1. The subgroup  $I_P$  of  $R$  is an ideal of  $R$ . In fact, for any modular unit  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and any element  $\alpha \in C_I(\pm)$ , the divisor  $\operatorname{div}(f^{\sigma(\alpha)})$  of  $f^{\sigma(\alpha)}$  ( $\in \mathfrak{F}_I$ ) is supported only on the cuspidal prime divisors since any conjugate of a cuspidal prime divisor is itself a cuspidal prime divisor (cf. Section 2.6). Hence  $f^{\sigma(\alpha)}$  is also a modular unit. The relation  $\alpha \operatorname{div}(f) = \operatorname{div}(f^{\sigma(\alpha)})$  follows from the argument similar to the one in the proof of Proposition 2.5. This proves that  $I_P$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

### 5.2. The subgroup $24Z\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$ of $I_P$ .

By Theorem 5.1 the cuspidal divisor class group  $\mathcal{C}$  (3.2) is isomorphic to the factor group  $R_0/I_P$ :

$$\mathcal{C} \cong R_0/I_P. \quad (5.3)$$

We denote by  $h$  the cuspidal class number of the modular curve  $X_1(2p)$ . Then we have

$$h = [R_0 : I_P]. \quad (5.4)$$

In the group  $I_P$  the  $\theta_2$ -part and  $\theta_p$ -part affect each other by the relation (i) of Theorem 5.1. We introduce the subgroup  $I_{12}$  of  $R$  in order to separate the two parts as follows. Let  $I_{12}$  be the subgroup of  $R$  consisting of all elements  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} m(\alpha)\alpha$  of  $R$  with  $m(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that the integers  $m(\alpha)$  satisfy the following condition (i\*) and the conditions (ii)–(iv) of Theorem 5.1:

$$(i^*) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} t(\alpha)m(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}. \quad (5.5)$$



We shall prove that  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$  is a subgroup of  $I_P$  of index 12, and that it is a direct sum.

REMARK 5.2. It can be proved that the subgroup  $I_{12}$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Since this fact is not used in this paper, we omit the proof.

Let  $R_{\mathbf{C}} = R \otimes \mathbf{C}$  be the group ring of  $C_I(\pm)$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\chi$  be any character of  $C_I(\pm)$ , and let  $e_\chi$  be the elementary idempotent defined by

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|C_I(\pm)|} \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} \chi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}. \quad (5.6)$$

As is well-known these elements  $e_\chi$  constitute a basis of  $R_{\mathbf{C}}$  and satisfy the orthogonality relation.

We determine the  $e_\chi$ -components of  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_p$ . Let  $\phi$  be the natural homomorphism of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$  to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2p\mathbf{Z})^\times / \pm 1$  defined by

$$\phi : (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2p\mathbf{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/2p\mathbf{Z})^\times / \pm 1. \quad (5.7)$$

For any character  $\chi$  of  $C_I(\pm)$  we define the character  $\psi_\chi$  of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$  by

$$\psi_\chi(a) = \chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} \phi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \phi(a) \end{pmatrix} \right). \quad (5.8)$$

It is obvious that  $\psi_\chi(-1) = 1$ .

Let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial character of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$ . Let  $B_2(X)$  be the second Bernoulli polynomial (cf. (2.6)). Let  $B_{2,\psi}$  be the generalized Bernoulli number associated to  $\psi$  which is defined by

$$B_{2,\psi} = p \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \psi(a) B_2 \left( \frac{a}{p} \right). \quad (5.9)$$

Then the  $e_\chi$ -components of  $\theta_p$  are given as follows.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $C_I(\pm)$ , and put  $\psi = \psi_\chi$ . We denote by  $\bar{\psi}$  the complex conjugate of  $\psi$ . Let  $[2]$  and  $[p]$  be the elements of  $C_I(\pm)$  defined by (2.45). Then we have*

$$\theta_p e_\chi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(2 + \chi([2]))B_{2,\bar{\psi}}e_\chi & \text{if } \chi \text{ is non-trivial on } C_I^{(1)}(\pm), \\ -\frac{p-1}{24}(2 + \chi([2]))(1 - \chi([p]))e_\chi & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial on } C_I^{(1)}(\pm). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $f(\alpha)$  be the function on  $C_I(\pm)$  defined by  $\theta_p = \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} f(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$ . Then we have

$$\theta_p e_\chi = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} \right\} e_\chi.$$

The values of  $f(\alpha)$  are given in (2) of Proposition 3.1.

Let  $t(\alpha) = 1$ . Then  $\alpha$  can be represented by a matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & a(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We have  $f(\alpha) = pB_2(\langle a(\alpha)/p \rangle)$  and  $\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = \bar{\psi}(a(\alpha))$ . If  $\alpha$  runs through  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , the class of  $a(\alpha)$  runs through all elements of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times / \pm 1$ . This implies that  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = (1/2)B_{2,\bar{\psi}}$  or  $-(p-1)/12$  according as  $\chi$  is non-trivial or trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  respectively.

Let  $t(\alpha) = 2$ . Then  $\alpha$  can be represented by a matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a(\alpha)\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{p} \\ \sqrt{p} & a(\alpha)\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and  $f(\alpha) = (p/2)B_2(\langle 2a(\alpha)/p \rangle)$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha[2]$ . Then  $\beta$  is represented by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 2a(\alpha)+p & 0 \\ 0 & 2a(\alpha)+p \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = \chi(\beta)^{-1}\chi([2]) = \bar{\psi}(2a(\alpha))\chi([2])$ . If  $\alpha$  runs through  $C_I^{(2)}(\pm)$ , the class of  $2a(\alpha)$  runs through all elements of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times / \pm 1$ . This implies that  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(2)}(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = (1/4)B_{2,\bar{\psi}}\chi([2])$  or  $-((p-1)/24)\chi([2])$  according as  $\chi$  is non-trivial or trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  respectively.

Let  $t(\alpha) = p$ . Then  $f(\alpha) = 1/6$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha[p]$ . Then  $\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = \chi(\beta)^{-1}\chi([p])$ , and if  $\alpha$  runs through  $C_I^{(p)}(\pm)$ ,  $\beta$  runs through  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ . This implies that  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(p)}(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = 0$  or  $((p-1)/12)\chi([p])$  according as  $\chi$  is non-trivial or trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  respectively.

Let  $t(\alpha) = 2p$ . Then  $f(\alpha) = 1/12$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha[2][p]$ . Then  $\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = \chi(\beta)^{-1}\chi([2])\chi([p])$ , and if  $\alpha$  runs through  $C_I^{(2p)}(\pm)$ ,  $\beta$  runs through  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ . This implies that  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(2p)}(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = 0$  or  $((p-1)/24)\chi([2])\chi([p])$  according as  $\chi$  is non-trivial or trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  respectively.

Summing up the results above, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}B_{2,\bar{\psi}} + \frac{1}{4}B_{2,\bar{\psi}}\chi([2]) = \frac{1}{4}(2 + \chi([2]))B_{2,\bar{\psi}}$$

if  $\chi$  is non-trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} f(\alpha)\chi(\alpha)^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{p-1}{12} - \frac{p-1}{24}\chi([2]) + \frac{p-1}{12}\chi([p]) + \frac{p-1}{24}\chi([2])\chi([p]) \\ &= -\frac{p-1}{24}(2 + \chi([2]))(1 - \chi([p])) \end{aligned}$$

if  $\chi$  is trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let  $\chi$  and  $[p]$  be as in Proposition 5.1.*

- (1) *If  $\chi$  is non-trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , then  $\theta_p e_\chi \neq 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\chi$  is trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , then  $\theta_p e_\chi \neq 0$  or  $= 0$  according as  $\chi([p]) \neq 1$  or  $= 1$  respectively.*

PROOF.

- (1) In this case the character  $\psi$  (also  $\bar{\psi}$ ) is non-trivial. Since it is well-known that  $B_{2,\bar{\psi}} \neq 0$  if  $\bar{\psi}$  is non-trivial, we have the proof by Proposition 5.1.
- (2) This follows immediately from Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

Let  $\chi$  be anyone of the four characters of  $C_I(\pm)$  which are trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ . If  $\chi$  satisfies the condition

$$\chi([2]) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi([p]) = 1, \tag{5.10}$$

then we write  $\chi = \chi_{(2)}$ . If  $\chi$  satisfies the condition

$$\chi([2]) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi([p]) = -1, \tag{5.11}$$

then we write  $\chi = \chi_{(p)}$ . Also, we denote the product  $\chi_{(2)}\chi_{(p)}$  by  $\chi_{(2,p)}$  and the trivial character of  $C_I(\pm)$  by  $\chi_{(0)}$ .

The  $e_\chi$ -components of  $\theta_2$  are given as follows.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $C_I(\pm)$ . Then*

$$\theta_2 e_\chi = \begin{cases} -\frac{p-1}{24}(p + \chi([p]))e_\chi & \text{if } \chi = \chi_{(2)} \text{ or } \chi_{(2,p)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. By (1) of Proposition 3.1 and the fact that  $C_I^{(r)}(\pm) = [r]C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  for  $r = 2, p$  and  $C_I^{(2p)}(\pm) = [2][p]C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_2 &= \frac{1}{24}(-p + p[2] - [p] + [2][p]) \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha \\ &= -\frac{1}{24}(1 - [2])(p + [p]) \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

By this equation we have

$$\theta_2 e_\chi = -\frac{1}{24}(1 - \chi([2]))(p + \chi([p])) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \chi(\alpha) \right\} e_\chi.$$

Let  $\chi$  be non-trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ . Then  $\sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(1)}(\pm)} \chi(\alpha) = 0$ , therefore  $\theta_2 e_\chi = 0$ . Let  $\chi$  be trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  and  $\chi([2]) = 1$ . Then  $\chi = \chi_{(0)}$  or  $\chi_{(p)}$ , and  $\theta_2 e_\chi = 0$ . Let  $\chi = \chi_{(2)}$  or  $\chi_{(2,p)}$ . Then  $\chi([2]) = -1$ . Since  $|C_I^{(1)}(\pm)| = (1/2)(p-1)$ , we have  $\theta_2 e_\chi = -((p-1)/24)(p + \chi([p]))e_\chi$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following proposition suggests that it is easier to consider the subgroup  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$  of  $I_P$  than  $I_P$  itself.

PROPOSITION 5.3.

(1) *The group  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$  is a subgroup of  $I_P$ , and*

$$I_P / (24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p) \cong \mathbf{Z}/12\mathbf{Z}.$$

(2) *We have  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 \cap I_{12}\theta_p = 0$ , therefore  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$  is a direct sum.*

PROOF.

(1) It is obvious that the group  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$  is contained in  $I_P$ . Let  $\eta = 2k\theta_2 + \xi\theta_p$  be any element of  $I_P$  with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\xi \in R$ . By Corollary 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 we have  $\eta e_{\chi_{(2)}} = 2k\theta_2 e_{\chi_{(2)}} + \xi\theta_p e_{\chi_{(2)}} = 2k\{- (1/24)(p^2 - 1)\}e_{\chi_{(2)}}$ . This implies that the integer  $k$  is uniquely determined by  $\eta$ . Let  $\varphi(\eta)$  be the residue class of  $k$  modulo 12. Then we have a homomorphism  $\varphi : I_P \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/12\mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $k$  and  $\xi$  satisfy the conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 5.1, it is obvious that  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = 24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$ . We prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Put  $\eta_1 = 2p^2\theta_2 + p^2(1 - [2])\theta_p$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta_1 \in I_P$ . Since  $p \neq 2, 3$ , we have  $\varphi(\eta_1) = p^2(\text{mod } 12) = 1(\text{mod } 12)$ .

This implies that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Thus the proof is completed.

(2) Let  $\eta$  be any element of  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 \cap I_{12}\theta_p$ . Then  $\eta = 2k\theta_2 = \xi\theta_p$  with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\xi \in I_{12}$ . Since  $\eta = 2k\theta_2 + 0 \cdot \theta_p = 2 \cdot 0 \cdot \theta_2 + \xi\theta_p$ , we have  $k = 0$  by the uniqueness of  $k$  proved in (1). Therefore we have  $\eta = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 5.3. Extending $I_{12}\theta_p$ to $R_{0,0}$ .

By (5.4) and Proposition 5.3 we have

$$h = \frac{1}{12} [R_0 : (24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p)]. \quad (5.12)$$

Since  $\theta_p$  satisfies  $\theta_p e_{\chi(0)} = \theta_p e_{\chi(2)} = 0$  by Corollary 5.1, the group  $I_{12}\theta_p$  is contained in the subgroup  $R_{0,0}$  of  $R$  which consists of all elements  $\xi \in R$  satisfying

$$\xi e_{\chi(0)} = \xi e_{\chi(2)} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

We consider here the extension  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$  of  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p$ .

Let  $\chi$  be any character of  $C_I(\pm)$ . Let  $\xi = \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} m(\alpha)\alpha$  be any element of  $R_{\mathcal{C}}$  with  $m(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}$ . We denote by  $\chi(\xi)$  the number defined by

$$\chi(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} m(\alpha)\chi(\alpha). \quad (5.14)$$

Then  $\xi e_{\chi} = \chi(\xi)e_{\chi}$ . The mapping  $\xi \mapsto \chi(\xi)$  defines a  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\chi : R_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . We denote by  $\xi^{(r)}$  ( $r \in T$ ) the element of  $R_{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by

$$\xi^{(r)} = \sum_{\alpha \in C_I^{(r)}(\pm)} m(\alpha)\alpha. \quad (5.15)$$

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let  $R_{0,0}$  be as above. Then we have the following.*

- (1)  $I_{12}\theta_p \subset R_{0,0} \subset R_0$ .
- (2)  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 \cap R_{0,0} = 0$ , therefore the sum  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$  is a direct sum.
- (3)  $(24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0})/(24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p) \cong R_{0,0}/I_{12}\theta_p$ .
- (4)  $R_0/(24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/((1/2)(p^2 - 1))\mathbf{Z}$ .

PROOF.

- (1) The inclusion  $I_{12}\theta_p \subset R_{0,0}$  is obvious by the definition of  $R_{0,0}$ . Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $R_{0,0}$ . Then  $\xi e_{\chi(0)} = 0$ . Since  $\xi e_{\chi(0)} = \deg(\xi)e_{\chi(0)}$ , we have  $\deg(\xi) = 0$ , whence  $\xi \in R_0$ . This proves (1).

- (2) Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 \cap R_{0,0}$ . Then  $\xi = 24k\theta_2$  with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . By Proposition 5.2 we have  $\xi e_{\chi(2)} = 24k\theta_2 e_{\chi(2)} = -k(p^2 - 1)e_{\chi(2)}$ . On the other hand, by the definition of  $R_{0,0}$ , we have  $\xi e_{\chi(2)} = 0$ . This gives  $k = 0$ , hence  $\xi = 0$ . This proves (2).
- (3) This follows immediately from (2) of Proposition 5.3 and (1), (2) above.
- (4) Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $R_0$ . We have  $\xi e_{\chi(2)} = \chi(2)(\xi)e_{\chi(2)}$ , where

$$\chi(2)(\xi) = \deg \xi^{(1)} - \deg \xi^{(2)} + \deg \xi^{(p)} - \deg \xi^{(2p)}. \quad (5.16)$$

On the other hand, since  $\xi \in R_0$ , we have

$$\deg \xi = \deg \xi^{(1)} + \deg \xi^{(2)} + \deg \xi^{(p)} + \deg \xi^{(2p)} = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

By (5.16) and (5.17) we have

$$\chi(2)(\xi) = 2(\deg \xi^{(1)} + \deg \xi^{(p)}) \in 2\mathbf{Z}.$$

Let  $\varphi(\xi)$  be the residue class of  $(1/2)\chi(2)(\xi) \pmod{(1/2)(p^2 - 1)}$  ( $\in \mathbf{Z}$ ) modulo  $(1/2)(p^2 - 1)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism from  $R_0$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/((1/2)(p^2 - 1))\mathbf{Z}$ . First we prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective. In fact, put  $\xi_1 = 1 - [2] \pmod{(1/2)(p^2 - 1)}$  ( $\in R_0$ ). Then we have  $\varphi(\xi_1) = 1 \pmod{(1/2)(p^2 - 1)}$ , which proves that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Next we prove that the kernel of  $\varphi$  coincides with  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$ . Let  $\eta = 24k\theta_2 + \xi$  be any element of  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$  with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\xi \in R_{0,0}$ . Then  $\eta e_{\chi(2)} = 24k\theta_2 e_{\chi(2)} + \xi e_{\chi(2)} = -k(p^2 - 1)e_{\chi(2)}$  by Proposition 5.2 and (5.13). This implies that  $(1/2)\chi(2)(\eta) = -k((1/2)(p^2 - 1))$  and  $\varphi(\eta) = 0$ , hence we have  $24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0} \subset \varphi^{-1}(0)$ . Conversely, let  $\eta$  be any element of  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $(1/2)\chi(2)(\eta) = k((1/2)(p^2 - 1))$  with some  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Put  $\xi = \eta + 24k\theta_2$ . Then we have  $\xi e_{\chi(2)} = \eta e_{\chi(2)} + 24k\theta_2 e_{\chi(2)} = k(p^2 - 1)e_{\chi(2)} - k(p^2 - 1)e_{\chi(2)} = 0$ , which implies that  $\xi \in R_{0,0}$  and  $\eta \in 24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$ . Thus we have  $\varphi^{-1}(0) \subset 24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$ , therefore  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = 24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}$ . This gives the isomorphism  $R_0/(24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/((1/2)(p^2 - 1))\mathbf{Z}$ , which proves (4).  $\square$

#### 5.4. The invertible element $\theta'$ .

By (5.12) and Proposition 5.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{1}{12} [R_0 : (24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0})] [(24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + R_{0,0}) : (24\mathbf{Z}\theta_2 + I_{12}\theta_p)] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (p^2 - 1) \cdot [R_{0,0} : I_{12}\theta_p] = \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} [R_{0,0} : I_{12}\theta_p]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

The element  $\theta_p$  is not invertible in  $R_C$  because the  $e_\chi$ -components for  $\chi = \chi(0)$

and  $\chi = \chi_{(2)}$  are 0 by Corollary 5.1. We want an element  $\theta'$  which has the same non-zero  $e_\chi$ -components as  $\theta_p$  and is invertible. Since the  $e_\chi$ -component of  $\theta_p$  for any  $\chi \neq \chi_{(0)}, \chi_{(2)}$  is non-zero by Corollary 5.1, it is sufficient to put  $\theta' = \theta_p - s$  where  $s$  is an element which has only two non-zero  $e_\chi$ -components for  $\chi = \chi_{(0)}$  and  $\chi = \chi_{(2)}$ .

We denote by  $\mu$  the element of  $R$  defined by

$$\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in C_I(\pm)} \alpha. \quad (5.19)$$

Then we have the following lemma that gives all elements of  $R$  such that they have only two non-zero  $e_\chi$ -components for  $\chi = \chi_{(0)}$  and  $\chi = \chi_{(2)}$  at most.

LEMMA 5.1.  $R \cap (\mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(0)}} + \mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(2)}}) = \mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}) + \mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)}).$

PROOF. Let  $\xi = xe_{\chi_{(0)}} + ye_{\chi_{(2)}}$  with  $x, y \in \mathbf{C}$  be any element of  $R \cap (\mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(0)}} + \mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(2)}})$ . By the definition of  $e_{\chi_{(0)}}$  and  $e_{\chi_{(2)}}$  we have

$$\xi = \frac{x+y}{|C_I(\pm)|}(\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}) + \frac{x-y}{|C_I(\pm)|}(\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)}).$$

Since  $\xi \in R$ , we have  $(x+y)/|C_I(\pm)|, (x-y)/|C_I(\pm)| \in \mathbf{Z}$ . This implies that  $R \cap (\mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(0)}} + \mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(2)}})$  is contained in  $\mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}) + \mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)})$ . Conversely, let  $\xi$  be any element of  $\mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}) + \mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)})$ . Since  $\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)} = (p-1)(e_{\chi_{(0)}} + e_{\chi_{(2)}})$  and  $\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)} = (p-1)(e_{\chi_{(0)}} - e_{\chi_{(2)}})$ , we have  $\xi \in \mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(0)}} + \mathbf{C}e_{\chi_{(2)}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathcal{Z}$  the subgroup of  $R$  defined by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}) + \mathbf{Z}(\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)}). \quad (5.20)$$

As the element  $s$  mentioned above, we take the following one of  $\mathcal{Z}$

$$s = \mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}, \quad (5.21)$$

and put

$$\theta' = \theta_p - s. \quad (5.22)$$

Concerning the  $e_\chi$ -components of  $s$  we have the following:

$$s = (p-1)(e_{\chi(0)} + e_{\chi(2)}). \quad (5.23)$$

The following proposition says that we can replace the index  $[R_{0,0} : I_{12}\theta_p]$  in (5.18) by  $[(R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}) : (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z})]$ .

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let  $s, \theta'$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  be as above. Then we have the following.*

- (1)  $Rs = \mathcal{Z}$ .
- (2)  $R_{0,0} \cap \mathcal{Z} = 0$ .
- (3)  $I_{12}\theta_p \subset I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}$ .
- (4)  $R_{0,0} \cap (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) = I_{12}\theta_p$ .
- (5)  $R_{0,0} + I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z} = R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ .
- (6)  $(R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}) / (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) \cong R_{0,0} / (I_{12}\theta_p)$ .

PROOF.

(1) Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $R$ . Then,  $\xi s = \xi\mu^{(1)} + \xi\mu^{(p)} = \sum_{r \in T} \deg \xi^{(r)} \mu^{(r)} + \sum_{r \in T} \deg \xi^{(r \circ p)} \mu^{(r)} = \sum_{r=1,p} \deg \xi^{(r)} \cdot \sum_{r=1,p} \mu^{(r)} + \sum_{r=2,2p} \deg \xi^{(r)} \cdot \sum_{r=2,2p} \mu^{(r)} \in \mathcal{Z}$ , which implies  $Rs \subset \mathcal{Z}$ . Conversely, let  $\xi = a(\mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(p)}) + b(\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)})$  with  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  be any element of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Since  $\mu^{(2)} + \mu^{(2p)} = [2]s$ , we have  $\xi = as + b[2]s = (a + b[2])s \in Rs$ . This implies  $\mathcal{Z} \subset Rs$ . Hence, (1) is proved.

(2) This is obvious from the definition of  $R_{0,0}$  and Lemma 5.1 because the  $e_\chi$ -component is 0 for every character  $\chi$  of  $C_I(\pm)$ .

(3) Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $I_{12}$ . Then  $\xi\theta_p = \xi(\theta' + s) = \xi\theta' + \xi s$ . Since  $\xi s \in \mathcal{Z}$  by (1), this proves (3).

(4) By (1) of Proposition 5.4 and (3) above, we have  $I_{12}\theta_p \subset R_{0,0} \cap (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z})$ . Conversely, let  $\eta$  be any element of  $R_{0,0} \cap (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z})$ . Let us write  $\eta = \xi\theta' + as + b[2]s$  with  $\xi \in I_{12}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Put  $\eta_1 = \xi\theta_p \in I_{12}\theta_p$ . Then  $\eta_1 = \xi\theta' + \xi s = \xi\theta' + \{ \sum_{r=1,p} \deg \xi^{(r)} \} s + \{ \sum_{r=2,2p} \deg \xi^{(r)} \} [2]s$ . Hence  $\eta - \eta_1 = \{ a - \sum_{r=1,p} \deg \xi^{(r)} \} s + \{ b - \sum_{r=2,2p} \deg \xi^{(r)} \} [2]s \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Since  $\eta \in R_{0,0}$  by the assumption and  $\eta_1 \in I_{12}\theta_p \subset R_{0,0}$ , we have  $\eta - \eta_1 \in R_{0,0} \cap \mathcal{Z}$ . This implies  $\eta = \eta_1$  by (2) above, whence  $\eta \in I_{12}\theta_p$ . We have, therefore,  $R_{0,0} \cap (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) \subset I_{12}\theta_p$ . This proves (4).

(5) It is sufficient to prove  $I_{12}\theta' \subset R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $I_{12}$ . Then  $\xi\theta' = \xi\theta_p - \xi s$ . Since  $\xi\theta_p \in I_{12}\theta_p \subset R_{0,0}$  by (1) of Proposition 5.4 and  $\xi s \in \mathcal{Z}$  by (1) above, we have  $\xi\theta' \in R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ . This proves (5).

(6) By (4) and (5) above, we have the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}) / (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) &= (R_{0,0} + I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) / (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) \\ &\cong R_{0,0} / \{ R_{0,0} \cap (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}) \} = R_{0,0} / (I_{12}\theta_p), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (6).  $\square$



### 5.5. Extending $R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ to $R$ .

By (5.18) and (6) of Proposition 5.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} [R_{0,0} : I_{12}\theta_p] \\ &= \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} [(R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}) : (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z})]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Here we consider the extension  $R$  of  $R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ , and determine its index  $[R : R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}]$  in two steps. Let  $R_{p-1,p-1}$  be the subgroup of  $R$  consisting of all elements  $\xi$  such that the following congruences hold (note that  $\chi_{(0)}(\xi)$  and  $\chi_{(2)}(\xi)$  are integers):

$$\chi_{(0)}(\xi) \equiv \chi_{(2)}(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}. \quad (5.25)$$

First we determine the index  $[R_{p-1,p-1} : R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}]$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.6.** *Let  $R_{p-1,p-1}$  be as above. Then we have the following.*

- (1)  $R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z} \subset R_{p-1,p-1}$ .
- (2)  $R_{p-1,p-1}/(R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ .

**PROOF.**

(1) The inclusion  $R_{0,0} \subset R_{p-1,p-1}$  is obvious by their definitions. By (5.23) we have  $\chi_{(0)}(s) = \chi_{(2)}(s) = p-1$ . Hence we have  $\chi_{(0)}([2]s) = p-1$  and  $\chi_{(2)}([2]s) = -(p-1)$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{Z}s + \mathbf{Z}[2]s$ , this implies  $\mathcal{Z} \subset R_{p-1,p-1}$ , which proves (1).

(2) Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $R_{p-1,p-1}$ . Write  $\chi_{(0)}(\xi) = a(p-1)$  and  $\chi_{(2)}(\xi) = b(p-1)$  with  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\varphi(\xi)$  be the residue class of  $a-b$  modulo 2. Then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism from  $R_{p-1,p-1}$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ . First we prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Put  $\xi_1 = \mu^{(1)} + \mu^{(2)}$ . Then  $\chi_{(0)}(\xi_1) = p-1$  and  $\chi_{(2)}(\xi_1) = 0$ , which implies that  $\xi_1 \in R_{p-1,p-1}$ , and that  $\varphi(\xi_1) = 1 \pmod{2}$ . This proves the surjectivity of  $\varphi$ . Next we prove that the kernel of  $\varphi$  coincides with  $R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ . By the definition of  $R_{0,0}$  we have  $\varphi(R_{0,0}) = 0$ . By the values of  $\chi_{(0)}(\xi)$  and  $\chi_{(2)}(\xi)$  for  $\xi = s$  and  $[2]s$  in (1) above, we have  $\varphi(s) = \varphi([2]s) = 0$ . These imply that  $R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z} \subset \varphi^{-1}(0)$ . Conversely, let  $\xi$  be any element of  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ , and write  $\chi_{(0)}(\xi) = a(p-1)$ ,  $\chi_{(2)}(\xi) = b(p-1)$  and  $a-b = 2m$  with  $a, b, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Put  $\xi_2 = \xi - \{(a-m)s + m[2]s\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(0)}(\xi_2) &= \chi_{(0)}(\xi) - (a-m)\chi_{(0)}(s) - m \cdot \chi_{(0)}([2]s) = 0, \\ \chi_{(2)}(\xi_2) &= \chi_{(2)}(\xi) - (a-m)\chi_{(2)}(s) - m \cdot \chi_{(2)}([2]s) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\xi_2 \in R_{0,0}$ . Since  $(a-m)s + m[2]s \in \mathcal{Z}$ , we have  $\xi \in R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ , hence  $\varphi^{-1}(0) \subset R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ . This gives  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = R_{0,0} + \mathcal{Z}$ , and completes the proof of (2).  $\square$

Next we determine the index  $[R : R_{p-1,p-1}]$ .

PROPOSITION 5.7. *We have  $[R : R_{p-1,p-1}] = (1/2)(p-1)^2$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $R$ . Let  $\varphi(\xi)$  be the element of  $(\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z})^2$  defined by

$$\varphi(\xi) = (\chi_{(0)}(\xi)(\bmod(p-1)), \chi_{(2)}(\xi)(\bmod(p-1))).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism from  $R$  to  $(\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z})^2$ . For each element  $\alpha \in C_I(\pm)$ , we have  $\varphi(\alpha) = (1, 1)$  or  $(1, -1)$  according as  $t(\alpha) = 1, p$  or  $2, 2p$ , respectively. This implies that the image  $\text{Im } \varphi$  of  $\varphi$  is the subgroup of  $(\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z})^2$  generated by  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, -1)$ . Since  $(2, 0) = (1, 1) + (1, -1)$ ,  $(0, 2) = (1, 1) - (1, -1)$ , and  $(1, -1) = (1, 1) - (0, 2)$ , the group  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is generated by the three elements  $(2, 0)$ ,  $(0, 2)$  and  $(1, 1)$ . Let  $A$  be the subgroup of  $(\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z})^2$  generated by  $(2, 0)$  and  $(0, 2)$ . Then, since  $\text{Im } \varphi \neq A$  and  $2(1, 1) \in A$ , we have  $[\text{Im } \varphi : A] = 2$ . Since  $(2, 0)$  and  $(0, 2)$  are independent and of order  $(1/2)(p-1)$ , we have  $|A| = \{(1/2)(p-1)\}^2$ , whence  $|\text{Im } \varphi| = 2 \cdot \{(1/2)(p-1)\}^2 = (1/2)(p-1)^2$ . Since  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = R_{p-1,p-1}$ , the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 5.6. The subgroup $I_{12}\theta'$ of $I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}$ .

In the equation (5.24) the group  $I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}$  appears. In this subsection we consider the subgroup  $I_{12}\theta'$  of  $I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z}$ , and determine its index  $[I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z} : I_{12}\theta']$ .

PROPOSITION 5.8. *We have the following.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z} \cap I_{12}\theta' = (p-1)\mathcal{Z}$ .
- (2)  $(I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{Z})/I_{12}\theta' \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z})^2$ .

PROOF.

(1) By the definition (5.22) of  $\theta'$ , the  $e_\chi$ -components of  $\theta'$  are all non-zero, hence  $\theta'$  is invertible in  $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ . In particular, since  $\theta_p e_{\chi(0)} = \theta_p e_{\chi(2)} = 0$  (cf. Corollary 5.1), we have  $\theta' e_{\chi(0)} = -s e_{\chi(0)}$  and  $\theta' e_{\chi(2)} = -s e_{\chi(2)}$ , hence  $s(\theta')^{-1} e_{\chi(0)} = -e_{\chi(0)}$  and  $s(\theta')^{-1} e_{\chi(2)} = -e_{\chi(2)}$ . Since  $s(e_{\chi(0)} + e_{\chi(2)}) = s$  by (5.23), we have  $s(\theta')^{-1} = s(\theta')^{-1}(e_{\chi(0)} + e_{\chi(2)}) = -(e_{\chi(0)} + e_{\chi(2)}) = -(1/(p-1))s$ . Let  $\eta = as + b[2]s$  be any element of  $\mathcal{Z}$  ( $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). Then we have

$$\eta(\theta')^{-1} = (a + b[2])s(\theta')^{-1} = -\frac{1}{p-1}(a + b[2])s = -\frac{1}{p-1}\eta. \quad (5.26)$$

Now let  $\eta$  be any element of  $\mathcal{L} \cap I_{12}\theta'$ , and write  $\eta = as + b[2]s = \xi\theta'$  with  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\xi \in I_{12}$ . Then by (5.26) we have  $\xi = \eta(\theta')^{-1} = -(a/(p-1))s - b/(p-1)[2]s$ . Since  $\xi \in R$ , both the numbers  $a/(p-1)$  and  $b/(p-1)$  are integers. This implies that  $a, b \in (p-1)\mathbf{Z}$  and that  $\eta \in (p-1)\mathcal{L}$ , namely  $\mathcal{L} \cap I_{12}\theta' \subset (p-1)\mathcal{L}$ . Conversely, let  $\eta$  be any element of  $(p-1)\mathcal{L}$ . Then by (5.26) we have  $\eta(\theta')^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ . We can verify by elementary calculations that both the elements  $s$  and  $[2]s$  satisfy the congruence (i\*) in (5.5) and the congruences (ii)–(iv) of Theorem 5.1. This implies that the elements  $s$  and  $[2]s$  are contained in  $I_{12}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{L} \subset I_{12}$ . Put  $\xi = \eta(\theta')^{-1}$ . Then we have  $\eta = \xi\theta' \in I_{12}\theta'$ , which implies that  $(p-1)\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap I_{12}\theta'$ . This proves (1).

(2) By (1) we have  $(I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{L})/I_{12}\theta' \cong \mathcal{L}/(\mathcal{L} \cap I_{12}\theta') = \mathcal{L}/(p-1)\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $s$  and  $[2]s$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}/(p-1)\mathcal{L} \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p-1)\mathbf{Z})^2$ . This proves (2).  $\square$

### 5.7. The cuspidal class number.

By (2) of Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.7, we have  $[R : R_{0,0} + \mathcal{L}] = (p-1)^2$ . Also, by (2) of Proposition 5.8, we have  $[I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{L} : I_{12}\theta'] = (p-1)^2$ . Combining these equalities with (5.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} [(R_{0,0} + \mathcal{L}) : (I_{12}\theta' + \mathcal{L})] \\ &= \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{(p-1)^4} [R : I_{12}\theta']. \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two lattices of  $R_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , and let  $C$  be a lattice contained in  $A \cap B$ . Then the quotient  $[A : C]/[B : C]$  does not depend on the choice of  $C$ . We denote this number by  $[A : B]$ . It satisfies the usual multiplicative property, namely  $[A : B] = [A : D][D : B]$ . In particular, we have  $[R : I_{12}\theta'] = [R : R\theta'][R\theta' : I_{12}\theta']$ . Since  $\theta'$  is invertible, we have  $[R\theta' : I_{12}\theta'] = [R : I_{12}]$ . By these equalities and (5.27) we have

$$h = \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{(p-1)^4} [R : R\theta'][R : I_{12}]. \quad (5.28)$$

We determine the values  $[R : I_{12}]$  and  $[R : R\theta']$ .

PROPOSITION 5.9. *We have  $[R : I_{12}] = 48p^2$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $R$ . Let  $\varphi_1(\xi)$  be the element of  $\mathbf{Z}/12\mathbf{Z}$  defined by the expression on the left-hand side of (i\*) in (5.5). Let  $\varphi_2(\xi)$  be the element of  $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$  defined by the expression on the left-hand side of (ii) in Theorem 5.1. Similarly, let  $\varphi_3(\xi)$  (respectively  $\varphi_4(\xi)$ ) be the element of  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  defined by the expression on the left-hand side of (iii) (respectively (iv)) in Theorem 5.1. Then  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4)$  is a homomorphism from  $R$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/12\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . The kernel of  $\varphi$  is  $I_{12}$ . We prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Put  $\xi_1 = 7px \cdot 1_2 - 3px[2]$ , where  $x$  is an integer such that  $px \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ . Then we have  $\varphi(\xi_1) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ . Put  $\xi_2 = 6px \cdot 1_2 + 3px[2]$ , where  $x$  is the same integer as in  $\xi_1$ . Then  $\varphi(\xi_2) = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ . Put  $\xi_3 = 12y \cdot 1_2$ , where  $y$  is an integer such that  $12y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $\varphi(\xi_3) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ . Put  $\xi_4 = 12y[2p]$ , where  $y$  is the same integer as in  $\xi_3$ . Then  $\varphi(\xi_4) = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ . This proves that  $\varphi$  is surjective, and hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.10. *We have the following equation*

$$[R : R\theta'] = \frac{(p-1)^4}{48} \prod_{\psi} \left\{ (4 - \psi(2))^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} B_{2,\psi} \right)^4 \right\},$$

where  $\psi$  runs through all even, primitive Dirichlet characters modulo  $p$ .

PROOF. Let  $f : R_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow R_{\mathbf{Q}}$  be the linear transformation on the vector space  $R_{\mathbf{Q}}$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  defined by the multiplication by  $\theta'$ . Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a basis of  $R$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $M(f)$  be the matrix of  $f$  determined by  $\{x_i\}$ . Then we have  $[R : R\theta'] = |\det M(f)|$  by the theory of elementary divisor and the definition of  $[R : R\theta']$ . Since  $\theta' e_{\chi} = \chi(\theta') e_{\chi}$  for any character  $\chi$  of  $C_I(\pm)$  and the set  $\{e_{\chi}\}$  is a basis of  $R_{\mathbf{C}}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ , we have  $\det M(f) = \prod_{\chi} \chi(\theta')$  where  $\chi$  runs through all characters of  $C_I(\pm)$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $C_I(\pm)$ . Then, by the definition (5.22) of  $\theta'$ , Proposition 5.1 and (5.23), we have

$$\chi(\theta') = \frac{1}{4} (2 + \chi([2])) B_{2,\bar{\psi}}, \quad (5.29)$$

where  $\psi = \psi_{\chi}$ , if  $\chi$  is non-trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ . When  $\chi$  is trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , it is one of  $\chi_{(0)}$ ,  $\chi_{(2)}$ ,  $\chi_{(p)}$  or  $\chi_{(2,p)}$ , and we have

$$\chi(\theta') = \begin{cases} -(p-1) & \text{if } \chi = \chi_{(0)} \text{ or } \chi_{(2)}, \\ -\frac{1}{4}(p-1) & \text{if } \chi = \chi_{(p)}, \\ -\frac{1}{12}(p-1) & \text{if } \chi = \chi_{(2,p)}. \end{cases} \quad (5.30)$$

Let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial, even character of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$ . Then the set of characters  $\chi$  of  $C_I(\pm)$  with  $\psi_\chi = \psi$  consists of four elements. Let  $\chi$  be anyone of them. Then the other characters are  $\chi\chi_{(2)}$ ,  $\chi\chi_{(p)}$  and  $\chi\chi_{(2,p)}$ . Put  $\chi_0 = \chi_{(0)}$ ,  $\chi_1 = \chi_{(2)}$ ,  $\chi_2 = \chi_{(p)}$  and  $\chi_3 = \chi_{(2,p)}$ . We prove that

$$\prod_{i=0}^3 (2 + (\chi\chi_i)([2])) = (4 - \psi(2))^2. \quad (5.31)$$

In fact, since  $\chi_0([2]) = \chi_2([2]) = 1$  and  $\chi_1([2]) = \chi_3([2]) = -1$ , the left-hand side of (5.31) is equal to

$$\{4 - \chi([2])^2\}^2 = \{4 - \chi([2]^2)\}^2. \quad (5.32)$$

By the definition of  $[2]$  (cf. (2.45)), the element  $[2]^2$  is an element of  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$  represented by the matrix  $(2+p)1_2$ . Hence we have  $\chi([2]^2) = \psi(2)$ , which proves (5.31). Now, by (5.31) and (5.29), we have

$$\prod_{i=0}^3 (\chi\chi_i)(\theta') = (4 - \psi(2))^2 \left(\frac{1}{4}B_{2,\bar{\psi}}\right)^4. \quad (5.33)$$

On the other hand, for four characters which are trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , we have

$$\prod_{i=0}^3 \chi_i(\theta') = \frac{1}{48}(p-1)^4 \quad (5.34)$$

by (5.30).

Since the product of (5.33) with  $\psi$  ranging over all even, primitive Dirichlet characters modulo  $p$  coincides with the product  $\prod_\chi \chi(\theta')$  with  $\chi$  non-trivial on  $C_I^{(1)}(\pm)$ , we have, by this and (5.34),

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\chi} \chi(\theta') &= \frac{(p-1)^4}{48} \prod_{\psi} \left\{ (4 - \psi(2))^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} B_{2, \bar{\psi}} \right)^4 \right\} \\ &= \frac{(p-1)^4}{48} \prod_{\psi} \left\{ (4 - \psi(2))^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} B_{2, \psi} \right)^4 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

where  $\chi$  runs through all characters of  $C_I(\pm)$ . Since the value of the right-hand side of (5.35) is a positive real number, combining the equality (5.35) with the relation  $[R : R\theta'] = |\det M(f)| = |\prod_{\chi} \chi(\theta')|$ , we have the proof.  $\square$

By (5.28) and Propositions 5.9–5.10, we have the following formula for the cuspidal class number.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime  $\neq 2, 3$ . Let  $h$  be the cuspidal class number of the modular curve  $X_1(2p)$ . Then we have*

$$h = \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} \cdot p^2 \cdot \prod_{\psi} \left\{ (4 - \psi(2))^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} B_{2, \psi} \right)^4 \right\},$$

where  $\psi$  runs through all even, primitive Dirichlet characters modulo  $p$ .

## References

- [1] A. Agashe, Rational torsion in elliptic curves and the cuspidal subgroup, preprint, arXiv:math/0810.5181, 2008.
- [2] Y. Chen, Cuspidal  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational torsion subgroup of  $J(\Gamma)$  of level  $p$ , Taiwanese J. Math., **15** (2011), 1305–1323.
- [3] B. Conrad, B. Edixhoven and W. Stein,  $J_1(p)$  has connected fibers, Doc. Math., **8** (2003), 331–408.
- [4] V. G. Drinfeld, Two theorems on modular curves, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **7** (1973), 155–156.
- [5] S. Klimek, Thesis, Berkeley, 1975.
- [6] D. Kubert, The square root of the Siegel group, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **43** (1981), 193–226.
- [7] D. Kubert and S. Lang, Modular Units, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **244**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [8] J. Manin, Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **36** (1972), 19–64.
- [9] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **47** (1977), 33–186.
- [10] A. Ogg, Rational points on certain elliptic modular curves, AMS Conference, St. Louis, 1972, pp. 211–231.
- [11] A. Ogg, Diophantine equations and modular forms, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81** (1975), 14–27.
- [12] G. Stevens, The cuspidal group and special values of  $L$ -functions, *Trans. Amer. Math.*

- Soc., **291** (1985), 519–550.
- [13] T. Takagi, Cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves  $X_1(p)$ , *J. Algebra*, **151** (1992), 348–374.
- [14] T. Takagi, The cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves  $X_1(p^m)$ , *J. Algebra*, **158** (1993), 515–549.
- [15] T. Takagi, The cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves  $X_1(3^m)$ , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **47** (1995), 671–686.
- [16] T. Takagi, The cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves  $X_0(M)$  with  $M$  square-free, *J. Algebra*, **193** (1997), 180–213.
- [17] T. Takagi, The cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves  $X_1(2^{2n+1})$ , *J. Algebra*, **319** (2008), 3535–3566.
- [18] T. Takagi, Modified Siegel functions relative to the principal congruence subgroups of  $G(\sqrt{M})$ , *J. Faculty of Arts and Sciences at Fujiyoshida, Showa University*, **4** (2009), 1–16.
- [19] T. Takagi, The cuspidal class number formula for certain quotient curves of the modular curve  $X_0(M)$  by Atkin-Lehner involutions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **62** (2010), 13–47.
- [20] Y. Yang, Modular units and cuspidal divisor class groups of  $X_1(N)$ , *J. Algebra*, **322** (2009), 514–553.
- [21] J. Yu, A cuspidal class number formula for the modular curves  $X_1(N)$ , *Math. Ann.*, **252** (1980), 197–216.

Toshikazu TAKAGI

Faculty of Arts and Sciences at Fujiyoshida  
Showa University  
Fujiyoshida  
Yamanashi 403-0005, Japan  
E-mail: takagi@cas.showa-u.ac.jp