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#### Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to show the nonexistence of tight Euclidean 9-designs on 2 concentric spheres in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ if $n \geq 3$. This in turn implies the nonexistence of minimum cubature formulas of degree 9 (in the sense of Cools and Schmid) for any spherically symmetric integrals in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ if $n \geq 3$.


## 1. Introduction.

The concept of Euclidean $t$-designs $(X, w)$, a pair of finite set $X$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ and a positive weight function $w$ on $X$, is due to Neumaier-Seidel [19], though similar concepts have been existed in statistics as rotatable designs [11] and in numerical analysis as cubature formulas for spherically symmetric integrals in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ ([12], [11], etc.). There exist natural Fisher type lower bounds (Möller's bound) for the size of Euclidean $t$-designs. Those which attain one of such lower bounds are called tight Euclidean $t$-designs. These lower bounds are basically obtained as functions of $t, n$ and the number $p$ of spheres (whose centers are at the origin) which meet the finite set $X$. We have been working on the classification of tight Euclidean $t$-designs, in particular those with $p=2$ (or $p$ being small). In [ $\mathbf{9}]$ and [5], we gave the complete classification of tight Euclidean 5- and 7-designs on 2 concentric spheres in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. (Exactly speaking modulo the existence of tight spherical 4 -designs for $t=5$.) The main purpose of this paper is to show the nonexistence of tight Euclidean 9 -designs on 2 concentric spheres in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ if $n \geq 3$.

The theory of Euclidean $t$-designs has strong connections with the theory of cubature formulas for so called spherically symmetric integrals on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. Here, we consider a pair $(\Omega, d \rho(\boldsymbol{x}))$ such that $\Omega$ is a spherically symmetric (or sometimes called radially symmetric) subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ and a spherically symmetric (or radially symmetric) measure $d \rho(\boldsymbol{x})$ on $\Omega$. (Here, a subset $\Omega \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ is called spherically symmetric if $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$, then any elements having the same distance from the origin as $\boldsymbol{x}$ are also in $\Omega$, and $d \rho(\boldsymbol{x})$ is spherically symmetric if it is invariant under the action of orthogonal transformations.) A cubature formula ( $X, w$ ) of degree $t$ for

[^0]( $\Omega, d \rho(\boldsymbol{x})$ ) is defined as follows.
$X$ is a subset in $\Omega$ containing a finite number of points, $w$ is a positive weight function of $X$, i.e., a map from $X$ to $\boldsymbol{R}_{>0}$, and $(X, w)$ satisfies the following condition:
$$
\int_{\Omega} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d \rho(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X} w(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x})
$$
for any polynomials $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ of degree at most $t$.
Natural lower bounds of the size $|X|$ of a cubature formula $(X, w)$ of degree $t$ for spherically symmetric $(\Omega, d \rho(\boldsymbol{x}))$ are known as Möller's lower bounds as follows ( $[\mathbf{1 7}],[\mathbf{1 8}])$. (It seems that the result for even $t$ was essentially known much older.)

1 . If $t=2 e$, then

$$
|X| \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{e}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

2. If $t=2 e+1$, then

$$
|X| \geq \begin{cases}2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}(\Omega)\right)-1 & \text { if } e \text { is even and } \mathbf{0} \in X \\ 2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}(\Omega)\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In above $\mathscr{P}_{e}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ is the vector space of polynomials of degree at most $e$ and $\mathscr{P}_{e}(\Omega)=\left\{\left.f\right|_{\Omega} \mid f \in \mathscr{P}_{e}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)\right\}$, and $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ is the vector space of polynomials whose terms are all of degrees with the same parity as $e$ and at most $e$. Also $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}(\Omega)=\left\{\left.f\right|_{\Omega} \mid f \in \mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)\right\}$.

It is called a minimal cubature formula of degree $t$, if it satisfies a Möller's lower bound. Finding and classifying minimal cubature formulas have been interested by many researchers in numerical analysis, and have been studied considerably (see [12], [15], [16], [21], etc.). As it was pointed out by Cools-Schmid [12], the problem has a special feature when $t=4 k+1$. In this case, we can conclude that (1) $\mathbf{0} \in X$, (2) $X$ is on $k+1$ concentric spheres, including $S_{1}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Cools-Schmid [12] (cf. also [20]) gave a complete determination of minimal cubature formulas for $n=2$ when $t=4 k+1$. The case of $t=5$ for arbitrary $n$ was solved by Hirao-Sawa [15] completely, in the effect that the existence of minimal cubature formula (for any spherically symmetric $\left(\Omega, d \rho(\boldsymbol{x})\right.$ ) in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ is equivalent to the existence of tight spherical 4-design in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. More recently, Hirao-Sawa [15] discusses the case of $t=9$ for many specific classical $(\Omega, d \rho(\boldsymbol{x}))$. As a corollary of our main theorem: nonexistence of tight Euclidean 9-designs on 2 concentric spheres in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ if $n \geq 3$, we obtain the nonexistence of minimum cubature formulas
of degree 9 (in the sense of Cools and Schmid) for any spherically symmetric integrals in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ if $n \geq 3$. So, we think that this means a usefulness of the concept of Euclidean $t$-design as a master class for all spherically symmetric cubature formulas. At the end, we add our hope to study the classification problems of tight Euclidean $t$-designs (for larger $t$ ) on 2 concentric spheres (or $p$ concentric spheres with small $p$ ), and to study minimal cubature formulas with $t=4 k+1$ for $t \geq 13$, extending the method used in the present paper.

For more information on spherical designs, Euclidean designs, please refer [1], [6], etc. Explicit examples of tight 4-, 5-, 7- designs on 2 concentric spheres are given in [10], [9], [5], etc.

The following is the main theorem of this paper.
Theorem 1. Let $(X, w)$ be a tight 9 -design on 2 concentric spheres in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ of positive radii. Let $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$. Then the following hold.

1. $X$ is antipodal.
2. Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{1}, \boldsymbol{y} \in X_{2}$. Then $\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} / r_{1} r_{2}$ is a zero of the Gegenbauer polynomial $Q_{4, n-1}(x)$ of degree 4. More explicitly, $Q_{4, n-1}(x)=(n(n+6) / 24)((n+4)$ $\left.(n+2) x^{4}-6(n+2) x^{2}+3\right)$ (Here Gegenbauer polynomial $Q_{l, n-1}(x)$ of degree $l$ is normalized so that $Q_{l, n-1}(1)$ is the dimension of the vector space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $l$.).
3. $n=2$ and $(X, w)$ must be similar to the following.
$Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}, Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are regular 8-gons given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{1}=\left\{r_{1}\left(\cos \theta_{k}, \sin \theta_{k}\right) \left\lvert\, \theta_{k}=\frac{2 k \pi}{8}\right., 0 \leq k \leq 7\right\} \\
& Y_{2}=\left\{r_{2}\left(\cos \theta_{k}, \sin \theta_{k}\right) \left\lvert\, \theta_{k}=\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{8}\right., 0 \leq k \leq 7\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are any positive real number satisfying $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$. The weight function is defined by $w(\boldsymbol{y})=w_{1}$ on $Y_{1}$ and $w(\boldsymbol{y})=\left(r_{1}^{8} / r_{2}^{8}\right) w_{1}$ on $Y_{2}$.

It is known that tight Euclidean $(2 e+1)$-designs of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ containing the origin exist only when $e$ is an even integer and $p=e / 2+1$ (see Proposition 2.4.5 in [8]). Hence Theorem 1 implies the followings.

Corollary 1. Let $(X, w)$ be a tight 9-design of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ containing the origin. Then $n=2$ and $X$ is supported by 3 concentric spheres and $(X \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}, w)$ is similar to the 9-design $(Y, w)$ given in Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. If $n \geq 3$, then there is no cubature formula of degree 9
for spherically symmetric subset and measure $(\Omega, d \rho(\boldsymbol{x}))$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. (For minimal cubature formulas for $n=2$ see [16].)

## 2. Definition and basic facts on the Euclidean $t$-designs.

We use the following notation.
Let $\mathscr{P}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ be the vector space over real number field $\boldsymbol{R}$ consists of all the polynomials in $n$ variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with real valued coefficients. For $f \in \mathscr{P}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right), \operatorname{deg}(f)$ denotes the degree of the polynomial $f$. Let $\operatorname{Harm}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ the subspace of $\mathscr{P}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ consists of all the harmonic polynomials. For each nonnegative integer $l$, let $\operatorname{Hom}_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)=\left\langle f \in \mathscr{P}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right) \mid \operatorname{deg}(f)=l\right\rangle$. We use the following notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Harm}_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right):=\operatorname{Harm}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right), \quad \mathscr{P}_{e}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right):=\oplus_{l=0}^{e} \operatorname{Hom}_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right), \\
\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right):=\oplus_{l=0}^{[e / 2]} \operatorname{Hom}_{e-2 l}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right), \\
\mathscr{R}_{2(p-1)}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right):=\left\langle\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2 i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\right\rangle \subset \mathscr{P}_{2(p-1)}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For a subset $Y \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \mathscr{P}(Y)=\left\{\left.f\right|_{Y} \mid f \in \mathscr{P}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)\right\} . \mathscr{H}(Y), \operatorname{Hom}_{l}(Y), \operatorname{Harm}_{l}(Y)$, $\ldots$. etc., are defined in the same way.

Let $(X, w)$ be a weighted finite set in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ whose weight satisfies $w(\boldsymbol{x})>0$ for $\boldsymbol{x} \in X$. Let $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{p}\right\}$ be the set $\{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in X\}$ of the length of the vectors in $X$. Where for $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}$. Let $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq p$, be the sphere of radius $r_{i}$ centered at the origin. We say that $X$ is supported by $p$ concentric spheres, or the union of $p$ concentric spheres $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \cdots \cup S_{p}$.

If a finite positive weighted set $(X, w)$ is supported by $p$ concentric spheres, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{R}_{2(p-1)}(X)\right)=p$ holds. For each $l$, we define an inner product $\langle-,-\rangle_{l}$ on $\mathscr{P}_{2(p-1)}(X)$ by $\langle f, g\rangle_{l}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X} w(\boldsymbol{x})\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2 l} f(\boldsymbol{x}) g(\boldsymbol{x})$. Then $\langle-,-\rangle_{l}$ is positive definite for each $l$. For each $l$, we define polynomials $\left\{g_{l, j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\right\} \subset$ $\mathscr{R}_{2(p-1)}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ so that $\left\{\left.g_{l, j}\right|_{X} \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathscr{R}_{2(p-1)}(X)$ with respect to $\langle-,-\rangle_{l}$. We define so that $g_{l, j}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a polynomial of degree $2 j$ and a linear combination of $\left\{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2 i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq j\right\}$. We abuse the notation and we identify $g_{l, j}(\boldsymbol{x})=g_{l, j}\left(r_{\nu}\right)$ for $\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{\nu}(1 \leq \nu \leq p)$.

Definition $1([\mathbf{1 9 ]})$. A weighted finite set $(X, w)$ is a Euclidean $t$-design if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{w\left(X_{i}\right)}{\left|S_{i}\right|} \int_{S_{i}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d \sigma_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X} w(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

holds for any $f \in \mathscr{P}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. In above, $w\left(X_{i}\right)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{i}} w(\boldsymbol{x}), \int_{S_{i}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d \sigma_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the usual surface integral of the sphere $S_{i}$ of radius $r_{i},\left|S_{i}\right|$ is the surface area of $S_{i}$.

Theorem $2\left([\mathbf{1 7}],[\mathbf{1 8}],[\mathbf{1 9}],[\mathbf{1 4}],[\mathbf{9}],[\mathbf{8}]\right.$, etc). Let $X \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ be a Euclidean $t$-design supported by a union $S$ of $p$ concentric spheres. Then the following hold.

1. For $t=2 e$,

$$
|X| \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{e}(S)\right) .
$$

2. For $t=2 e+1$,

$$
|X| \geq \begin{cases}2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}(S)\right)-1 & \text { for e even and } \mathbf{0} \in X \\ 2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}(S)\right) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Definition 2 (Tightness of designs). If an equality holds in one of the inequalities given in Theorem 2, then $(X, w)$ is a tight $t$-design on $p$ concentric spheres in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. Moreover if $\mathscr{P}_{e}(S)=\mathscr{P}_{e}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ holds for $t=2 e$, or $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}(S)=$ $\mathscr{P}_{e}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ holds for $t=2 e+1$, then $(X, w)$ is a tight $t$-design of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.

Möller [18] proved that a tight $(2 e+1)$-design $(X, w)$ on $p$ concentric spheres is antipodal and the weight function is center symmetric if $e$ is odd or $e$ is even and $\mathbf{0} \in X$. For the case $e$ is even and $\mathbf{0} \notin X$, Theorem 2.3.6 in [8] implies if we assume $p \leq(e / 2)+1$, then $X$ is antipodal and the weight function is center symmetric. Hence Lemma 1.10 in [3] and Lemma 1.7 in [9] implies that weight function of a tight $t$-design on $p$ concentric spheres is constant on each $X_{i}$ for $t=2 e ; t=2 e+1$ and $e$ odd; $t=2 e+1, e$ even and $\mathbf{0} \in X ; t=2 e+1, e$ even, $\mathbf{0} \notin X$ and $p \leq(e / 2)+1$;

Proposition 1. Let $(X, w)$ be a positive weighted finite subset in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. Assume $\mathbf{0} \notin X$ and the weight function is constant on each $X_{i}(1 \leq i \leq p)$. Then the following holds.

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} g_{l, j}\left(r_{\nu}\right) g_{l, j}\left(r_{\mu}\right)=\delta_{\nu, \mu} \frac{1}{\left|X_{\nu}\right| w_{\nu} r_{\nu}^{2 l}}
$$

Proof. Let $M_{l}$ be the $p \times p$ matrix whose $(\nu, j)$ entry is defined by $\sqrt{\left|X_{\nu}\right| w_{\nu}} r_{\nu}^{l} g_{l, j}\left(r_{\nu}\right)$ for $1 \leq \nu \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left({ }^{t} M_{l} M_{l}\right)\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\nu=1}^{p} M_{\nu, j_{1}} M_{\nu, j_{2}}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{p}\left|X_{\nu}\right| w_{\nu} r_{\nu}^{2 l} g_{l, j_{1}}\left(r_{\nu}\right) g_{l, j_{2}}\left(r_{\nu}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=1}^{p} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{\nu}} w(\boldsymbol{x})\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2 l} g_{l, j_{1}}\left(r_{\nu}\right) g_{l, j_{2}}\left(r_{\nu}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X} w(\boldsymbol{x})\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2 l} g_{l, j_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}) g_{l, j_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x})=\delta_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $M_{l}$ is invertible and $M_{l}^{-1}={ }^{t} M_{l}$. Hence we have $M_{l}{ }^{t} M_{l}=I$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{l}^{t} M_{l}\right)(\nu, \mu)=r_{\nu}^{l} r_{\mu}^{l} \sqrt{\left|X_{\nu}\right|\left|X_{\mu}\right| w_{\nu} w_{\mu}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} g_{l, j}\left(r_{\nu}\right) g_{l, j}\left(r_{\mu}\right)=\delta_{\nu, \mu} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we must have

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} g_{l, j}\left(r_{\nu}\right) g_{l, j}\left(r_{\mu}\right)=\delta_{\nu, \mu} \frac{1}{\left|X_{\nu}\right| w_{\nu} r_{\nu}^{2 l}}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1 (2).

Now we prove Theorem 1. Let $(X, w)$ be a tight 9-design on 2 concentric spheres and $\mathbf{0} \notin X$. Let $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$. By assumption

$$
|X|=2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{4}^{*}(S)\right)=2\left(\sum_{i=0}^{1}\binom{n+4-2 i-1}{4-2 i}\right)=\frac{n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right)}{12} .
$$

Then, as we mentioned in Section 2, $X$ is antipodal and the weight function is constant on each $X_{i}, i=1,2$. Let $w_{i}=w(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{i}$.

Let $A\left(X_{i}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} / r_{i}^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y} \in X_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. Let $A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} / r_{1} r_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in X_{1}, \boldsymbol{y} \in X_{2}\right\}$. Then $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are spherical 7 -designs and $\left|A\left(X_{1}\right)\right|,\left|A\left(X_{2}\right)\right| \leq 5$ and $\left|A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right| \leq 4$. Since $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are spherical 7-designs, $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geq 1 / 3(n+2)(n+1) n$. We may assume $\left|X_{1}\right| \leq\left|X_{2}\right|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3}(n+2)(n+1) n & \leq\left|X_{1}\right| \leq \frac{|X|}{2} \leq\left|X_{2}\right| \leq|X|-\left|X_{1}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{12} n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds. If $n=2$, then we must have $\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|X_{2}\right|=8$ and $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are spherical tight 7 -designs. We can easily check that for any $A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\{\cos (k \pi / 8) \mid$ $k=1,3,5,7\}=\{\sqrt{2 \pm \sqrt{2}} / 2,-\sqrt{2 \pm \sqrt{2}} / 2\}$. Hence $\gamma \in A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is a zero of Gegenbauer polynomial $Q_{4,1}(x)=16 x^{2}-16 x+2$.

In the following we assume $n \geq 3$, then

$$
\left|X_{2}\right| \geq \frac{|X|}{2}=\frac{n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right)}{24}>\frac{1}{3}(n+2)(n+1) n
$$

holds and $X_{2}$ is not a spherical tight 7 -design. Hence $X_{2}$ is a 5 -distance set, i.e., $\left|A\left(X_{2}\right)\right|=5$. Let $X_{i}$ be an antipodal half of $X_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. That is, $X_{i}=X_{i}^{*} \cup\left(-X_{i}^{*}\right), X_{i}^{*} \cap\left(-X_{i}^{*}\right)=\emptyset$. Then $\left|A\left(X_{i}^{*}\right)\right| \leq 4$ for $i=1,2$, and $\left|A\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}\right)\right| \leq 4$ hold.

Then equations (3.1) and (3.2) in the proof of Lemma 1.7 in [9] imply the following equations.
$\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{1}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{8} g_{4,0}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2} Q_{4}(1)+r_{1}^{4} Q_{2}(1) \sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{2, j}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{0, j}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{w_{1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x \in X_{2}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{8} g_{4,0}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2} Q_{4}(1)+r_{2}^{4} Q_{2}(1) \sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{2, j}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{0, j}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{w_{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y} \in X_{1}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{8} g_{4,0}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2} Q_{4}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)+r_{1}^{4} Q_{2}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}{r_{1}^{2}}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{2, j}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{0, j}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y} \in X_{2}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{8} g_{4,0}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2} Q_{4}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}{r_{2}^{2}}\right)+r_{2}^{4} Q_{2}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}{r_{2}^{2}}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{2, j}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{0, j}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{1}^{*}, \boldsymbol{y} \in X_{2}^{*}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}^{4} r_{2}^{4} g_{4,0}\left(r_{1}\right) g_{4,0}\left(r_{2}\right) Q_{4}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}{r_{1} r_{2}}\right)+r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2} Q_{2}\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})}{r_{1} r_{2}}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{2, j}\left(r_{1}\right) g_{2, j}\left(r_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=0}^{1} g_{0, j}\left(r_{1}\right) g_{0, j}\left(r_{2}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

In above $g_{l, j}$ are defined for antipodal half $X^{*}=X_{1}^{*} \cup X_{2}^{*}$ of $X$. Since $X_{i}^{*}$ is any antipodal half of $X_{i}$ for $i=1,2$, Proposition 1 implies

$$
Q_{4, n-1}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}}{r_{1} r_{2}}\right)=0
$$

holds for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} \in X_{2}$.
Proposition 2. Notation and definition are given as above. $\left|A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right|=$ 4 holds and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\{ & \pm \sqrt{\frac{3 n+6+\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{(n+4)(n+2)}} \\
& \left. \pm \sqrt{\frac{3 n+6-\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{(n+4)(n+2)}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 in $[\mathbf{7}]$ imply that $X$ has the structure of a coherent configuration. Since $X$ is antipodal and $0 \notin A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$, either $\left|A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right|=2$ or $\left|A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right|=4$ holds. First assume $\left|A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right|=2$. Then $A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\{\gamma,-\gamma\}$ with some $\gamma>0$ satisfying $Q_{4, n-1}(\gamma)=0$. Let $\gamma_{1}=\gamma$ and $\gamma_{2}=-\gamma$. Since $X_{2}$ is a 5 -distance set let $A\left(X_{2}\right)=\left\{-1, \pm \beta_{2}, \pm \beta_{4}\right\}$ with real numbers $\beta_{2}>\beta_{4}>0$. Let $\beta_{0}=1, \beta_{1}=-1, \beta_{3}=-\beta_{2}, \beta_{5}=-\beta_{4}$. Then Proposition 3.2 (1) in $[\boldsymbol{7}]$ the following hold for any nonnegative integers $l, k, j$ satisfying $l+k+2 j \leq 9$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=2}^{5} \sum_{v=2}^{5} w_{2} r_{2}^{l+k+2 j} Q_{l, n-1}\left(\beta_{u}\right) Q_{k, n-1}\left(\beta_{v}\right) p_{\beta_{u}, \beta_{v}}^{\beta_{0}} \\
& +\sum_{u=1}^{2} \sum_{v=1}^{2} w_{1} r_{1}^{l+k+2 j} Q_{l, n-1}\left(\gamma_{u}\right) Q_{k, n-1}\left(\gamma_{v}\right) p_{\gamma_{u}, \gamma_{v}}^{\beta_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \delta_{l, k} Q_{l, n-1}(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{2} N_{\nu} w_{\nu} r_{\nu}^{2 l+2 j} \\
& -w_{2} r_{2}^{l+k+2 j}\left((-1)^{l+k}+1\right) Q_{l, n-1}(1) Q_{k, n-1}(1) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$N_{\nu}=\left|X_{\nu}\right|$ for $\nu=1,2$ and $p_{\beta_{u}, \beta_{v}}^{\beta_{0}}, p_{\gamma_{u}, \gamma_{v}}^{\beta_{0}}$ denotes the corresponding intersection numbers. Since $Q_{4, n-1}(\gamma)=Q_{4, n-1}(-\gamma)=0, p_{\beta_{u}, \beta_{v}}^{\alpha_{0}}=0$, for any $2 \leq u \neq v \leq 5$, and $p_{\gamma_{u}, \gamma_{v}}^{\alpha_{0}}=0$, for any $1 \leq u \neq v \leq 2, p_{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}}^{\beta_{0}}=p_{\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}}^{\beta_{0}}=\left|X_{1}\right| / 2, p_{\beta_{3}, \beta_{3}}^{\beta_{0}}=p_{\beta_{2}, \beta_{2}}^{\alpha_{0}}$, $p_{\beta_{5}, \beta_{5}}^{\beta_{0}}=p_{\beta_{4}, \beta_{4}}^{\alpha_{0}}$, equations for $(l, k, j)=(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,1,0),(2,1,1)$ imply

$$
p_{\beta_{2}, \beta_{2}}^{\beta_{0}}=\frac{-w_{2} r_{2}^{2}\left(n\left(N_{2}-2\right) \beta_{4}^{2}-N_{2}+2 n\right)-N_{1} w_{1} r_{1}^{2}\left(-1+n \gamma_{1}^{2}\right)}{2 n w_{2} r_{2}^{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}\right)}
$$

and

$$
p_{\beta_{4}, \beta_{4}}^{\beta_{0}}=\frac{w_{2} r_{2}^{2}\left(n\left(N_{2}-2\right) \beta_{2}^{2}-N_{2}+2 n\right)+N_{1} w_{1} r_{1}^{2}\left(-1+n \gamma_{1}^{2}\right)}{2 n w_{2} r_{2}^{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}\right)} .
$$

Then equation for $(l, k, j)=(1,1,1)$ implies

$$
\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)\left(-1+n \gamma_{1}^{2}\right) r_{2}^{2} w_{1} N_{1} n=0
$$

Since $\gamma_{1}$ is a zero of $Q_{4, n-1}(x)$, this is a contradiction.
Since $n \geq 3$, we have $\left|X_{2}\right| \geq(1 / 2)|X|=(1 / 24) n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right)>$ $(1 / 3)(n+2)(n+1) n$. We divide the proof of Theorem 1 into two cases I and II. In Case I, we assume $X_{1}$ is not a tight spherical 7-design, i.e. $\left|X_{1}\right|>(1 / 3)(n+$ 2) $(n+1) n$, and in Case II, we assume $X_{1}$ is a tight spherical 7-design, i.e. $\left|X_{1}\right|=$ $(1 / 3)(n+2)(n+1) n$.
Case I: $\left|X_{2}\right| \geq\left|X_{1}\right|>(1 / 3)(n+2)(n+1) n$
In this case both $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are antipodal spherical 7-designs and 5-distance sets.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A\left(X_{1}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}\right\}, & \alpha_{0}=1, \alpha_{1}=-1, \alpha_{3}=-\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{5}=-\alpha_{4} \\
A\left(X_{2}\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}, \beta_{5}\right\}, & \beta_{0}=1, \beta_{1}=-1, \beta_{3}=-\beta_{2}, \beta_{5}=-\beta_{4} \\
A\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{3 n+6+\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{(n+4)(n+2)}}, \quad \gamma_{2}=-\gamma_{1} \\
& \gamma_{3}=\sqrt{\frac{3 n+6-\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{(n+4)(n+2)}}, \quad \gamma_{4}=-\gamma_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may assume $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{4}>0, \beta_{2}>\beta_{4}>0$. Then Proposition 9.1 and Theorem 9.2 in [5] imply the followings (see also [2], [4]).

- $X_{i}^{*}(1 \leq i \leq 2)$ has the structure of a strongly regular graphs.
- $\left(1-\alpha_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{4}^{2}\right)$ and $\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}\right)$ are integers.
- $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}$ are the zeros of the following polynomial $a(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(x)= & (n+4)(n+2)\left(N_{1}-n^{2}-n\right) x^{4}+(n+2)\left(n^{3}+6 n^{2}+5 n-6 N_{1}\right) x^{2} \\
& +3 N_{1}-n^{3}-3 n^{2}-2 n
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}, \beta_{5}$ are the zeros of the following polynomial $b(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(x)= & (n+4)(n+2)\left(N_{2}-n^{2}-n\right) x^{4}+(n+2)\left(n^{3}+6 n^{2}+5 n-6 N_{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +3 N_{2}-n^{3}-3 n^{2}-2 n
\end{aligned}
$$

- $n \geq 4$ and $\alpha_{i}$, and $\beta_{i}, i=2,3,4$, are rational numbers.

In above $N_{i}=\left|X_{i}\right|$ for $i=1,2$.
Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2}^{2}=\frac{(n+2)\left(6 N_{1}-n(n+1)(n+5)\right)+\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2) D_{1}}}{2(n+4)(n+2)\left(N_{1}-n^{2}-n\right)}  \tag{10}\\
& \alpha_{4}^{2}=\frac{(n+2)\left(6 N_{1}-n(n+1)(n+5)\right)-\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2) D_{1}}}{2(n+4)(n+2)\left(N_{1}-n^{2}-n\right)}  \tag{11}\\
& \beta_{2}^{2}=\frac{(n+2)\left(6 N_{2}-n(n+1)(n+5)\right)+\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2) D_{2}}}{2(n+4)(n+2)\left(N_{2}-n^{2}-n\right)}  \tag{12}\\
& \beta_{4}^{2}=\frac{(n+2)\left(6 N_{2}-n(n+1)(n+5)\right)-\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2) D_{2}}}{2(n+4)(n+2)\left(N_{2}-n^{2}-n\right)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{1}=n^{2}(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)^{2}-8 n(n+1)(n+5) N_{1}+24 N_{1}^{2}, D_{2}=n^{2}(n+$ 1) $(n+2)(n+3)^{2}-8 n(n+1)(n+5) N_{1}+24 N_{2}^{2}, N_{i}=\left|X_{i}\right|(1 \leq i \leq 2)$.

Next proposition is very important.
Proposition 3. Notation and definition are given as above. Assume $n \geq 3$, then $\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}$ is an integer and $\gamma_{i}^{2}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are rational numbers.

Proof. Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 in $[\mathbf{7}]$ imply that $X$ has the structure of a coherent configuration. Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in X_{1}$ and $p_{\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\left|\left\{\boldsymbol{z} \in X_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{z} / r_{1} r_{2}=\gamma_{i}\right\}\right|$. Using the equations given in Proposition 3.2 (1) in [7] the following hold for any nonnegative integers $l, k, j$ satisfying $l+k+2 j \leq 9$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{u=2}^{5} \sum_{v=2}^{5} w_{1} r_{1}^{l+k+2 j} Q_{l, n-1}\left(\alpha_{u}\right) Q_{k, n-1}\left(\alpha_{v}\right) p_{\alpha_{u}, \alpha_{v}}^{\alpha_{0}} \\
& +\sum_{u=1}^{4} \sum_{v=1}^{4} w_{2} r_{2}^{l+k+2 j} Q_{l, n-1}\left(\gamma_{u}\right) Q_{k, n-1}\left(\gamma_{v}\right) p_{\gamma_{u}, \gamma_{v}}^{\alpha_{0}} \\
& = \\
& \quad \delta_{l, k} Q_{l, n-1}(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{2} N_{\nu} w_{\nu} r_{\nu}^{2 l+2 j}  \tag{14}\\
& \quad-w_{1} r_{1}^{l+k+2 j}\left((-1)^{l+k}+1\right) Q_{l, n-1}(1) Q_{k, n-1}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}}^{\alpha_{0}}=1, p_{\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}}^{\alpha_{0}}=0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 5$, and $p_{\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}}^{\alpha_{0}}=0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$, we have the followings.

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}}^{\alpha_{0}}=p_{\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\frac{N_{2}\left(1-n \gamma_{3}^{2}\right)}{2 n\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}-\gamma_{3}^{2}\right)} \\
& p_{\gamma_{3}, \gamma_{3}}^{\alpha_{0}}=p_{\gamma_{4}, \gamma_{4}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\frac{N_{2}\left(n \gamma_{1}^{2}-1\right)}{2 n\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}-\gamma_{3}^{2}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $p_{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\left(3 n^{2}+3 n-(n-2) \sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}\right) N_{2} / 12 n(n+1)$. Hence $\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}$ is an integer. This completes the proof.

Next, we express $\left(1-\alpha_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{4}^{2}\right)$ and $\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}\right)$ in terms of $n$ and $N_{1}, N_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-\alpha_{2}^{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{4}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{1}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{1-\beta_{2}^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(n, x) \\
& \quad=\frac{\left(2 x-n^{2}-3 n\right) \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)\left(n^{2}(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)^{2}\right.}}{2\left(n^{2}(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)^{2}-8 n(n+1)(n+5) x+24 x^{2}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(n+2)(n+1) n}{3} & <N_{1} \leq \frac{1}{24} n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right) \\
& \leq N_{2} \leq \frac{1}{12} n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(n, x)=\frac{\left(1-\frac{n^{2}+3 n}{2 x}\right)}{\left(\frac{n^{6}+9 n^{5}+29 n^{4}+39 n^{3}+18 n^{2}}{2 x^{2}}-\frac{4 n\left(n^{2}+6 n+5\right)}{x}+12\right)} \\
& \quad \times \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)\left(\frac{n^{6}+9 n^{5}+29 n^{4}+39 n^{3}+18 n^{2}}{24 x^{2}}-\frac{n\left(n^{2}+6 n+5\right)}{3 x}+1\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

we can observe that for $x>(1 / 24) n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right), F(n, x) \approx$ $\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} / 12$. More precisely we have the followings.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial F(n, x)}{\partial x}=\frac{(n-1)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)\left(n^{3}+4 n^{2}+3 n-4 x\right) n}{\left.\left.\sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)\left(n^{2}(n+2)(n+1)(n+3)^{2}\right.}-8 n(n+5)(n+1) x+24 x^{2}\right)\right)^{3}} \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

Hence $F(n, x)$ decreases for $x \geq(1 / 4) n(n+1)(n+3)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(n, \frac{1}{12} n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right)}{12 \sqrt{n^{2}-n+4}}>\frac{\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}}{12} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(n, \frac{1}{24} n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\sqrt{6(n+2)}\left(n^{2}+7 n+18\right)}{12 \sqrt{n^{3}+5 n^{2}+16 n+36}}<1+\frac{\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}}{12} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}}{12}<-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{2}\right)<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}}{12}
$$

holds. Since $\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}$ is an integer, $\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}=\sqrt{6^{2} k^{2}}=6 k$ with an integer $k>0$. Hence

$$
\frac{k-1}{2}<-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{2}\right)<\frac{k+1}{2}
$$

If $k$ is an odd integer, then $-(1 / 2)+F\left(n, N_{2}\right)$ cannot be an integer. Hence $k$ must be an even integer and we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{2}\right)=\frac{k}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{12} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known $n=23,2399,235223$ satisfy this condition. Otherwise $n>300000$. The equation (22) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}= & \frac{n}{36\left(2 n^{2}+6 n+1\right)} \times\left\{9(n+3)(n+1)\left(n^{2}+6 n+2\right)\right. \\
& +(n-1)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1) \sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)} \\
& +\varepsilon(n-1)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-1\right)+3 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)} \sqrt{(n+5)(n+1)-\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}\} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=1$ or -1 . If $\varepsilon=-1$, then we have

$$
N_{2}<\frac{1}{24} n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+5 n+18\right) .
$$

This contradicts the assumption. Hence we must have $\varepsilon=1$. Then we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{1}= & \frac{n}{36\left(2 n^{2}+6 n+1\right)} \times\left\{3 n(n+1)\left(2 n^{3}+13 n^{2}+40 n+53\right)\right. \\
& -(n-1)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1) \sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)} \\
& -(n-1)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-1\right)+3 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)} \sqrt{(n+5)(n+1)-\sqrt{6(n+1)(n+2)}}\} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $n=23,2399$, and 235223 do not give integral value for $N_{2}$, we must have $n>300000$. Solve $-(1 / 2)+F(n, x)=(\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} / 12)+2$ for $x$, then we must have $x=K_{\varepsilon}$ given below.

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\varepsilon}= & \frac{n}{60\left(6 n^{2}+18 n-213\right)} \times\left\{45(n+1)\left(n^{3}+9 n^{2}-28 n-234\right)\right. \\
& +(n-1)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1) \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} \\
& +\varepsilon(n-1)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-73\right)+15 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \left.\times \sqrt{n^{2}+6 n-67-5 \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}\right\} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Now we may assume $n>300000$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{+}\left(=K_{+1}\right)> & \frac{n}{60\left(6 n^{2}+18 n-213\right)} \\
& \times(n-1)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1) \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} \\
& >\frac{\sqrt{6} n^{5}(n-1)}{60\left(6 n^{2}+18 n-213\right)}>\frac{n(n+1)(n+3)}{4} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Next compare $K_{+}$and $N_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1}-K_{+}= & \frac{n(n-1)}{180\left(2 n^{2}+6 n+1\right)\left(2 n^{2}+6 n-71\right)} \\
\times & \left\{15(n+2)(n+1)\left(4 n^{4}+28 n^{3}-76 n^{2}-442 n-351\right)\right. \\
& -6(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)\left(2 n^{2}+6 n-59\right) \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} \\
& -\left(2 n^{2}+6 n+1\right)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-73\right)+15 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)} \sqrt{n^{2}+6 n-67-5 \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}} \\
& -5\left(2 n^{2}+6 n-71\right)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-1\right)+3 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \left.\quad \times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)} \sqrt{n^{2}+6 n+5-\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}\right\} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The order of the formula in $\{\cdots\}$ in above equals $2(30-11 \sqrt{6}) n^{6}$. Hence $N_{1}>K_{+}$ holds for any $n$ sufficiently large, in particular for $n>300000$. This means

$$
-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{1}\right)<\frac{\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{12}+2
$$

holds for any $n$ sufficiently large. Since $N_{2}>N_{1}$, we must have $\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} / 12=-(1 / 2)+F\left(n, N_{2}\right)<-(1 / 2)+F\left(n, N_{1}\right)$. Hence we must have $-(1 / 2)+F\left(n, N_{1}\right)=\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} / 12+1$. Next solve for $F(n, x)=$ $\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} / 12+1$ then we have $x=G_{\varepsilon}$ given below.

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\varepsilon}= & \frac{n}{6 n^{2}+18 n-69} \times\left\{27(n+1)\left(n^{3}+9 n^{2}+4 n-74\right)\right. \\
+ & (n-1)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1) \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} \\
+ & \varepsilon(n-1)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-25\right)+9 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \left.\times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)\left(n^{2}+6 n-19-3 \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}\right)}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Compare $N_{1}$ and $G_{+}\left(=G_{+1}\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{+}-N_{1}= & \frac{n(n-1)}{108\left(2 n^{2}+6 n+1\right)\left(2 n^{2}+6 n-23\right)} \\
& \times\left\{-9(n+2)(n+1)\left(4 n^{4}+28 n^{3}+20 n^{2}-106 n-111\right)\right. \\
+ & 4(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)\left(2 n^{2}+6 n-17\right) \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)} \\
+ & \left(2 n^{2}+6 n+1\right)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-25\right)+9 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)\left(n^{2}+6 n-19-3 \sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}\right)} \\
+ & 3\left(2 n^{2}+6 n-23\right)\left(\sqrt{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n-1\right)+3 \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)}\right) \\
& \left.\times \sqrt{(n+4)(n+1)\left(n^{2}+6 n+5-\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}\right)}\right\} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The order of the formula in $\{\cdots\}$ given above equals $4(4 \sqrt{6}-9) n^{6}$. Hence $G_{+}>N_{1}$ holds for any $n$ sufficiently large, in particular $n>300000$. Since $F(n, x)$ decreases for $x \geq(n+3)(n+1) n / 4$, we have

$$
N_{2}>G_{+}>N_{1}>K_{+}>\frac{(n+3)(n+1) n}{4}
$$

Hence we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{12} & =-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{2}\right)<-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, G_{+}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{12}+1<-\frac{1}{2}+F\left(n, N_{1}\right) \\
& <\frac{\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}}{12}+2 . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $-(1 / 2)+F\left(n, N_{1}\right)$ cannot be an integer for any sufficiently large $n$, in particular for $n>300000$.

Case II: $\left|X_{2}\right|>\left|X_{1}\right|=(1 / 3)(n+2)(n+1) n$
In this case we must have $\left|X_{2}\right|=(1 / 12) n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)$. Since $X_{1}$ is a tight spherical 7 -design, $X_{1}$ is a 4 -distance set. On the other hand $X_{2}$ is a 5 distance set. It is known that $A\left(X_{1}\right)=\{0,-1, \pm \sqrt{3 /(n+4)}\}, \sqrt{(n+4) / 3}$ is an integer. Let $\alpha_{1}=-1, \alpha_{2}=0, \alpha_{3}=\sqrt{3 /(n+4)}, \alpha_{4}=-\sqrt{3 /(n+4)}$ and $\alpha_{0}=1$. By Proposition 2, we have $\gamma_{1}=\sqrt{3 n+6+\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}} / \sqrt{(n+4)(n+2)}$, $\gamma_{3}=\sqrt{3 n+6-\sqrt{6(n+2)(n+1)}} / \sqrt{(n+4)(n+2)}$. Proposition 9.1 and Theorem 9.2 in [5] imply that (12) and (13) also hold in this case. Since $N_{2}=\left|X_{2}\right|=$ $(1 / 12) n(n+1)\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)$, we obtain $\beta_{2}=\sqrt{(n+4)(n+2)\left(3 n+\sqrt{6 n^{2}-6 n+24}\right)}$ $/(n+4)(n+2)$ and $\beta_{4}=\sqrt{(n+4)(n+2)\left(3 n-\sqrt{6 n^{2}-6 n+24}\right)} /(n+4)(n+2)$. Hence we have $\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}\right)=-(1 / 2)+\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right) / 2 \sqrt{6 n^{2}-6 n+24}$. Therefore

$$
-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n^{2}+3 n+8}{2 \sqrt{6 n^{2}-6 n+24}}
$$

is an integer. Then $24\left(\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right) / 2 \sqrt{6 n^{2}-6 n+24}\right)^{2}$ must be an integer. Since

$$
24\left(\frac{n^{2}+3 n+8}{2 \sqrt{6 n^{2}-6 n+24}}\right)^{2}=\frac{\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right)^{2}}{n^{2}-n+4}=n^{2}+7 n+28+\frac{48(n-1)}{n^{2}-n+4}
$$

there is no integer $n$ satisfying the condition. This implies that for $n \geq 3$, there is no tight 9-design on two concentric spheres satisfying $N_{1}=(n+2)(n+1) n / 3$.
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