

On blowing-up of polarized surfaces

By Koji YOKOYAMA

(Received July 29, 1997)

Abstract. Let (S, L) be a polarized surface and let $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blow-up at r points p_1, \dots, p_r on S . Set $\tilde{L} = \pi^*L - \sum a_i E_i$, where a_i 's are positive integers and E_i 's are the (-1) -curves over p_i . We consider whether \tilde{L} is ample or not if p_i 's are in a general position. The cases of sectional genus two are studied especially precisely.

Introduction.

A polarized surface (S, L) is a pair consisting of a compact complex surface S and an ample line bundle L on S . We consider the following problem: for a polarized surface (S, L) , let p_1, \dots, p_r be r points on S , let $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowing-up at these r points, let a_1, \dots, a_r be r positive integers, and let $\tilde{L} := \pi^*L - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i E_i$ a line bundle on \tilde{S} , where E_i is the (-1) -curve over p_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then is \tilde{L} ample if p_1, \dots, p_r are in general position?

If the answer is YES, we get a new polarized surface (\tilde{S}, \tilde{L}) , but this is not always the case. First obviously $(\tilde{L})^2 > 0$, so we must assume $L^2 > \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2$. In order to apply Nakai's criterion, we should show $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} > 0$ for any curve Z on S , where \tilde{Z} is the strict transform of Z on \tilde{S} . So, if $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} \leq 0$, let us call Z (or \tilde{Z}) a *bad curve*.

In §1 of this paper we give the following results. See [K] and [Xu] for related results.

(1) There is a constant c such that $L \cdot Z \leq c$ for any bad curve Z . c is computable in terms of (S, L, a_1, \dots, a_r) . Thus there are at most finitely many numerical equivalence classes containing a bad curve (c.f. Proposition (1.6)).

(2) In case $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_r = 1$, \tilde{L} is ample if there is an irreducible reduced member C of $|L|$ with $g(C) > h^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$ (c.f. Theorem (1.8)).

In the latter sections, we study the classification of polarized surfaces (S, L) with sectional genus $g(S, L) = 2$, that is defined by the formula $2g(S, L) - 2 = L(K_S + L)$, where K_S is the canonical bundle of S . The classification of such polarized surfaces are given in [BLP] and [F1]. But there are some cases which are uncertain to occur, where (S, L) is obtained by blowing-up another polarized surface. Using the above results (1), (2), we determine whether such cases actually occur or not.

The author would like to thank Professor T. Fujita for invaluable comments during the preparation of this paper and Doctor M. Kobayashi for finding suitable ten points in (5.3.2).

Notation, convention and terminology.

Basically we use the customary notation in algebraic geometry. Throughout this paper a *surface* is a smooth projective algebraic surface defined over the complex number field \mathbf{C} . The pull-back of a line bundle L on Y by a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is denoted by L_X , or sometimes by L if confusion is impossible or harmless. For a vector bundle \mathcal{F} of rank n on a surface S we denote by $P_S(\mathcal{F})$ or $P(\mathcal{F})$ the P^{n-1} -bundle defined by \mathcal{F} and denote the tautological line bundle by $H(\mathcal{F})$ or H .

§ 1. General case.

(1.1) For a given polarized surface $(S_0, L_0) := (S, L)$, we consider a sequence of blowing-ups $\tilde{S} := S_r \rightarrow S_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0 := S$. Let $S_i \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ be the blowing-up of S_i at $p_i \in S_{i-1}$ and let $L_i := L_{i-1} - a_i E_i$ be a line bundle on S_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$, where a_i is a positive integer and E_i is the (-1) -curve over p_i . Moreover we assume the following.

- (1) For each i , the sum of a_j 's at points p_j 's on E_i is less than a_i .
- (2) $(\tilde{L})^2 = L^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 > 0$, where we denote $\tilde{L} := L_r$.

(1.2) Using Nakai's criterion, we can easily see that \tilde{L} is ample if and only if $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} > 0$ for any irreducible reduced curve Z on S , where \tilde{Z} denotes the strict transform of Z on \tilde{S} .

(1.3) Let m_i be the multiplicity of Z on p_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} = L \cdot Z - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i m_i = L \cdot Z - (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^r a_i - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i (m_i - (1/2))$. Schwarz' inequality gives $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} \geq L \cdot Z - (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^r a_i - \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - (1/2))^2}$. On the other hand $g(\tilde{Z}) = g(Z) - (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^r m_i (m_i - 1) \geq 0$. So $2g(Z) + (r/4) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i - (1/2))^2$. Combining them, we get $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} \geq L \cdot Z - (1/2) \sum a_i - \sqrt{\sum a_i^2} \sqrt{2g(Z) + (r/4)} = \sqrt{(L \cdot Z - (1/2) \sum a_i)^2} - \sqrt{(2g(Z) + (r/4)) \sum a_i^2}$. Therefore if Z is a bad curve, then $(L \cdot Z - (1/2) \sum a_i)^2 - (2g(Z) + (r/4)) \sum a_i^2 \leq 0$. Consequently we obtain

(1.4) LEMMA. $(L \cdot Z)^2 - \sum a_i (L \cdot Z) - 2g(Z) \sum a_i^2 + (1/4)((\sum a_i)^2 - r \sum a_i^2) \leq 0$ if Z is a bad curve.

(1.5) Next we consider the numerical equivalence classes that contain bad curves, which are determined independently of the position of p_1, \dots, p_r .

(1.6) PROPOSITION. For fixed a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r , the number of numerical equivalence classes that contain a bad curve is finite.

PROOF. We denote $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i$ by α_1 and $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2$ by α_2 . For any curve Z , we have the following inequality by using the genus formula together with the Hodge index theorem:

$$(L \cdot Z)^2 - \alpha_1 (L \cdot Z) - 2\alpha_2 g(Z) + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_1^2 - r\alpha_2) \geq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{L^2}\right) (L \cdot Z)^2 + (-\alpha_1 L - \alpha_2 K_S) \cdot Z + c_1$$

for some constant c_1 independent of Z . On the other hand, $mL - \alpha_1 L - \alpha_2 K_S$ is ample for sufficiently large $m \gg 0$ because L is ample. So $(mL - \alpha_1 L - \alpha_2 K_S) \cdot Z \geq 0$ for any Z . Hence

$$(L \cdot Z)^2 - \alpha_1(L \cdot Z) - 2\alpha_2 g(Z) + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_1^2 - r\alpha_2) \geq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{L^2}\right)(L \cdot Z)^2 - m(L \cdot Z) + c_2$$

for some constant c_2 independent of Z . $1 - \alpha_2/L^2$, the coefficient of $(L \cdot Z)^2$, is positive since $(\tilde{L})^2 = L^2 - \alpha_2 > 0$. So $(L \cdot Z)^2 - \alpha_1(L \cdot Z) - 2\alpha_2 g(Z) + (1/4)(\alpha_1^2 - r\alpha_2) > 0$ for sufficiently large $(L \cdot Z)$. By (1.4) these Z cannot be a bad curve, hence $\{L \cdot Z | Z \text{ is a bad curve}\}$ is bounded, and it follows that the number of numerical equivalence classes containing a bad curve is finite, since L is ample. Therefore the genera of bad curves are bounded, so the multiplicities of them on each p_i is bounded. Hence the number of numerical equivalence classes that contain the strict transform of some bad curve is also finite.

(1.7) Next we give a sufficient condition for \tilde{L} to be ample for r points p_1, \dots, p_r in a general position, which means that \tilde{L} is ample for p_1, \dots, p_r outside a Zariski closed proper subset of $S^r := \underbrace{S \times \dots \times S}_{r \text{ times}}$.

(1.8) THEOREM. *We further assume $a_1 = \dots = a_r = 1$ and there is an irreducible reduced member C of $|L|$ such that $g(C) > h^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then \tilde{L} is ample for r generic points p_1, \dots, p_r on S .*

PROOF: Ampleness is an open condition, so it is sufficient to show the existence of an r -tuple p_1, \dots, p_r such that \tilde{L} is ample. Let p_1, \dots, p_r be r generic points on C , and blow-up S at these points. (If C is singular, we replace C with $C \setminus \text{Sing}(C)$ from here). Let Z be a bad curve, and m_i be the multiplicity of Z at p_i . By (1.6) there are only finitely many possibilities for $m := (m_1, \dots, m_r)$. We fix one such m . By the assumption $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) > h^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$, we can show that the linear equivalence class of $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i p_i$ is not contained in the image of $\text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$ for a general choice of p_1, \dots, p_r . Assume that there is a bad curve Z , then $0 \geq \tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} = \tilde{C} \cdot \tilde{Z}$, where \tilde{C}, \tilde{Z} are strict transforms of C, Z . So $\tilde{C} \cap \tilde{Z} = \emptyset$, and $0 = (\pi^* Z - \sum_{j=1}^r m_j E_j) \cdot \tilde{C}$. Therefore $Z|_C = \sum_{j=1}^r m_j p_j$ in $\text{Pic}(C)$. This contradicts the above choice of p_1, \dots, p_r . So there is no bad curve for a general choice of p_1, \dots, p_r .

§2. Classification of polarized surfaces with sectional genus two.

In the following sections, we will treat polarized surfaces with sectional genus two.

(2.1) DEFINITION. The sectional genus $g(S, L)$ of a polarized surface (S, L) is defined to be $(1/2)L \cdot (K_S + L) + 1$, where K_S is the canonical bundle of S .

(2.2) DEFINITION. A polarized surface (S', L') is called the simple blowing-up of a polarized surface (S, L) at p_1, \dots, p_r , if S' is the blowing-up of S at p_1, \dots, p_r and $L' = L_{S'} - E_1 - \dots - E_r$, where E_i is the (-1) -curve over p_i .

(2.3) REMARK.

(1) For a simple blowing-up of a polarized surface (S, L) , there are at most $L^2 - 1$ points to blow-up because $(L')^2 > 0$.

(2) If there is a curve Z such that $L \cdot Z = 1$, then there exists no simple blowing-up of (S, L) at a point on Z .

(2.4) DEFINITION. A polarized surface (S, L) is a scroll over a curve C if S is a \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle over C and $L \cdot F = 1$ for any fiber F of $S \rightarrow C$.

For $g(S, L) \leq 1$, we have a complete classification of (S, L) (c.f. [F1]). As for the case $g(S, L) = 2$, we know the following fact (c.f. [BLP], [F2]).

(2.5) THEOREM. Any polarized surface (S, L) with $g(S, L) = 2$ satisfies one of the following conditions.

(0) There is another polarized surface (S_0, L_0) with sectional genus two such that (S, L) is a simple blowing-up of (S_0, L_0) at a point.

(1) The canonical bundle K of S is numerically equivalent to L and $L^2 = 1$.

(1') S is a minimal elliptic surface and $KL = L^2 = 1$.

(2₁) S is the Jacobian of a curve C of genus two, and L is the class of a translation of the Θ -divisor.

(2_{1'}) $S \simeq C_1 \times C_2$ for some elliptic curves C_1, C_2 and $L = [F_1 + F_2]$, where F_j is a fiber of $S \rightarrow C_j$.

(2₂) S is a hyperelliptic surface and $L = [Z + F]$, where F is a fiber of the Albanese fibration $\alpha: S \rightarrow \text{Alb}(S)$ and Z is a section of α .

(2₃) There is a finite double covering $f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ branched along a smooth curve of degree six and $L = f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)$.

(2₄) S is an Enriques surface and its K3-cover \tilde{S} is a finite double covering of $\mathbf{P}_\sigma^1 \times \mathbf{P}_\tau^1$ branched along a smooth member of $|4H_\sigma + 4H_\tau|$. $L_{\tilde{S}}$ is the pull-back of $H_\sigma + H_\tau$.

(3) There is a rank two ample vector bundle \mathcal{F} on an elliptic curve C such that $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, $(S, L) \simeq (\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}), 3H(\mathcal{F}) - A_S)$ for some $A \in \text{Pic}(C)$ with $\deg(A) = 1$. $L^2 = 3$.

(4) There is a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{F} on an elliptic curve C such that $S \simeq \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ and $L = 2H(\mathcal{F}) + B_S$ for some $B \in \text{Pic}(C)$ with $(c_1(\mathcal{F}), \deg B) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$. $L^2 = 4$.

(5) There is a rank two vector bundle \mathcal{F} on an elliptic curve C together a point p on $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, S is the blowing-up of \mathbf{P} at p and $L = 5H(\mathcal{F})_S - 2A_S - 2E_p$, where A is a line bundle on C with $\deg(A) = 1$ and E_p is the (-1) -curve over p . $L^2 = 1$.

(6₀) $S \simeq \mathbf{P}_\alpha^1 \times \mathbf{P}_\beta^1$ and $L = 2H_\alpha + 3H_\beta$. $L^2 = 12$.

(6₁) $S \simeq \Sigma_1 = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{F} \simeq [H_\xi] \oplus \mathcal{O}$ on \mathbf{P}_ξ^1 and $L = 2H(\mathcal{F}) + 2H_\xi$. $L^2 = 12$.

(6₂) $S \simeq \Sigma_2 = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{F} \simeq [2H_\xi] \oplus \mathcal{O}$ on \mathbf{P}_ξ^1 and $L = 2H(\mathcal{F}) + H_\xi$. $L^2 = 12$.

(7) $-K$ is ample, $K^2 = 1$ and $L = -2K$. $L^2 = 4$.

(8) There is a del Pezzo surface (S'', L'') with $(L'')^2 = 1$ and two points p_1, p_2 on S'' such that S is the blowing-up of S'' at these points and $L = 3L'' - 2E_1 - 2E_2$, where each E_i is the (-1) -curve on p_i for $i = 1, 2$. $L^2 = 1$.

(9) (S, L) is a scroll over a curve of genus two.

A polarized surface of type (0) is obtained as a simple blowing-up of a polarized surface of another type, in fact, one of the types (2₁), (2₃), (2₄), (3), (4), (6₀), (6₁), (6₂), (7) in (2.5) by (2.3). But the existence of a simple blowing-up of each of these types

was unknown, for the ampleness may be destroyed by the blowing-up. Similarly, the existence of the types (5) and (8) was unknown too.

We will consider this existence problem for the types (2₁), (2₃), (2₄) in §3, (3), (4), (5) in §4, and (6₀), (6₁), (6₂), (7), (8) in §5.

§3. The case $K \equiv 0$ and $L^2 = 2$.

In this section we consider the existence of simple blowing-ups obtained from the types (2₁), (2₃), (2₄). Since $L^2 = 2$, a polarized surface obtained from these types must be a simple blowing-up at one point. We prove the existence of these polarized surfaces:

(3.1) THEOREM. *There is a simple blowing-up of polarized surfaces of the types (2₁), (2₃), (2₄) in (2.5) at one point. More precisely, for any polarized surface (S, L) of these types and for any general point p on S , $\tilde{L} = \pi^*L - E_p$ is ample on \tilde{S} .*

The proof is given in (3.2) and (3.3).

(3.2) Let (S, L) be of the type (2₃) or (2₄). Then $g(S, L) > h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, so we can apply (1.8) if there is an irreducible reduced member of $|L|$. This is obvious in case (2₃) by Bertini Theorem.

In case (2₄), we have $\dim|L| = 1$ by Riemann-Roch Theorem and Vanishing Theorem. Hence a general member D of $|L|$ is irreducible or of the form $D = D_1 + D_2, L \cdot D_1 = L \cdot D_2 = 1$ and $D_1^2 \geq 0$, since $L \cdot D = 2$ and L is ample. But $D_1 \cdot D_2 > 0$ since D is connected, so $L \cdot D_1 = 1$ implies $D_1 \cdot D_2 = 1$ and $D_1^2 = 0$, hence $D_2^2 = 0$. We may assume that D_1 is not a fixed component, and then $D_1^2 = 0$ implies that $|D_1|$ has no base point, therefore $D_2 \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ since $D_1 \cdot D_2 = 1$. This contradicts $D_2^2 = 0$. Thus any general member D must be irreducible, as desired.

(3.3) When (S, L) is of the type (2₁), we cannot apply (1.8) since $g(S, L) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. So we will show directly that there is no bad curve. Since there is no rational curve on S , we have $1 \leq g(\tilde{Z})$ for any bad curve, where we employ the same notation as in §1. Hence we obtain $0 \geq (L \cdot Z)^2 - (L \cdot Z) - 2(g(Z) - 1) \geq (1/2)(L \cdot Z)((L \cdot Z) - 2)$ as in (1.3) and (1.6). Thus we should consider the following two cases:

- (a) Z is an elliptic curve and $L \cdot Z = 1$,
- (b) Z is a singular curve of genus two and $L \cdot Z = 2$.

We will show that neither of the types really exists.

(3.3.1) In case (a), the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow S$ is a group homomorphism, so by taking the quotient $Z' = S/Z$ we obtain a fibration $S \rightarrow Z'$ whose fiber is isomorphic to Z . On the other hand $C \in |L|$ is a section of this map since $L \cdot Z = 1$. This contradicts $g(C) = 2$.

(3.3.2) In case (b), the resolution Z_0 of Z is an elliptic curve and we have the following commutative diagram induced by $Z_0 \rightarrow Z \hookrightarrow S$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\
 h_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
 \text{Alb}(Z_0) & \xrightarrow{f_0} & \text{Alb}(S)
 \end{array}$$

Then $h \circ f(Z_0) \simeq Z$ is a singular curve, but $f_0 \circ h_0(Z_0) \simeq Z_0$ is a non-singular curve. This is absurd.

Thus both cases (a) and (b) cannot occur, and the proof of (3.1) is completed.

§4. The case of scrolls over an elliptic curve.

In this section we will show the following theorem.

(4.1) THEOREM.

(i) *Let (S, L) be of the types (3), (4) in (2.5), and assume that $\mathcal{F} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$. Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r be points on S in a general position, where $r < L^2$. Then the simple blowing-up of (S, L) at these points is actually a polarized surface, i.e., $\tilde{L} = \pi^*L - \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$ is ample on \tilde{S} .*

(ii) *There is no polarized surface of the type (5) in (2.5).*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. We denote a fiber of $S \rightarrow C$ by F .

(4.2) Let (S, L) be of the type (3). Since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1, \tilde{L}$ is ample if there is an irreducible reduced member of $|L|$ by (1.8). Since $L \equiv 3H(\mathcal{F}) - F$, any irreducible component Z of a member of $|L|$ is numerically equivalent to one of $H(\mathcal{F}), 2H(\mathcal{F}) - F$ or $3H(\mathcal{F}) - F$. To show the existence of an irreducible reduced member of $|L|$ we will compute the dimension of the complete linear systems that contain such curves. Since $H(\mathcal{F}) - K_S, 3H(\mathcal{F}) - F - K_S$ are ample, we obtain $h^1(H(\mathcal{F})) = h^2(H(\mathcal{F})) = h^1(3H(\mathcal{F}) - F) = h^2(3H(\mathcal{F}) - F) = 0$ by using the Kodaira vanishing theorem. So we have $h^0(H(\mathcal{F})) = 1$ and $h^0(3H(\mathcal{F}) - F) = 2$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem. Hence a generic member of $|L|$ is irreducible and reduced if there are at most finitely many Z with $Z \equiv 2H(\mathcal{F}) - F$. We will show this by constructing explicitly the surface of the type (3) in (2.5).

(4.2.1) We can get the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(p) \rightarrow 0$, if necessary, by replacing \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{F} \otimes [G]$ for a suitable line bundle G and a point p on C . Since $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C(p), \mathcal{O}_C) \simeq \mathbf{C}$, S is essentially unique. Next we fix the origin o of C and identify C with the Jacobian of it. Then we have the two-fold symmetric product $S^2(C)$ of C defined by the involution $\iota(x, y) := (y, x)$, and we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C \times C & \xrightarrow{g} & S^2(C) \\
 h_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
 C & \xlongequal{\quad} & C,
 \end{array}$$

where h and h_0 are defined by $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$. $S^2(C) \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle over C because $[[x] + [y]] \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ for every $(x, y) \in C \times C$. We set $H := g(\{o\} \times C)$, which is a section of h . Then H is ample since $g^*(H) = (\{o\} \times C) + (C \times \{o\})$ is. Moreover $H^2 = (1/2)((\{o\} \times C) + (C \times \{o\}))^2 = 1$, so the above (S, H) is isomorphic to $(S, H(\mathcal{F}))$ of the type (3) in (2.5). More precisely, $H(\mathcal{F})$ is numerically equivalent to H and there is a translation of C which induces a bijection $\tau : S \rightarrow S$ with $\tau^*H = H(\mathcal{F})$.

(4.2.2) For any point q on C , we denote by F_q the fiber of $S \rightarrow C$ over q . For any member Z'_q of $|g^*(2H - F_q)|$ and any point x on C , $Z'_q \cdot (\{x\} \times C) = g^*(2H - F_q) \cdot (\{x\} \times C) = 1$. Since Z'_q does not contain $(\{x\} \times C)$, Z'_q meets $(\{x\} \times C)$ at one point. Let us denote the point by y . Then $[y] = [g^*(2H - F_q)]_{\{x\} \times C} = 2[o] - [q - x]$, so $y = x - q$ by Abel's theorem. Hence $Z'_q = \{(x, x - q) \in C \times C\}_{x \in C}$. Now Z'_q is t -invariant if and only if $2q = o$. In case $q = o$, $g^*(g(Z'_o)) = 2Z'_o$. Hence $|2H - F_q| \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $2q = o$ and $q \neq o$. So there are exactly three points q_1, q_2, q_3 such that $|2H - F_{q_i}| \neq \emptyset$. Consequently there are only three curves that are numerically equivalent to $2H - F$. Hence a general member of $|L|$ is irreducible and reduced, and our assertion is true for the type (3) by (1.8).

(4.3) Let (S, L) be of the type (4) with $(c_1(\mathcal{F}), \deg B) = (1, 0)$. The surface S and ample line bundle $H(\mathcal{F})$ is the same as those in (4.2.1). So we employ the same notation as there. To prove the ampleness of \tilde{L} , it is enough to show that there is an irreducible reduced member of $|L|$ as in (4.2). Since $L \equiv 2H$, any irreducible component Z of a member of $|L|$ is one of the following: $Z \equiv H, 2H - F, 2H$, or F . Obviously we have $h^0(F) = 1$. On the other hand $H - K_S$ and $2H - K_S$ are ample. So we have $h^0(H) = 1$ and $h^0(2H) = 3$ by the Kodaira vanishing theorem and the Riemann-Roch theorem. For only three points $q = q_1, q_2, q_3$, there is a member of $|2H - F_q|$ as we have seen in (4.2.2). Since $h^0(L) = 3$, a generic member of $|L|$ is irreducible and reduced. So (1.8) applies.

(4.4) Let (S, L) be of the type (4) with $(c_1(\mathcal{F}), \deg B) = (0, 1)$. If $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$, then $S \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^1$ and $C \cdot L = 1$ for any fiber C of the second projection. Hence there is no simple blowing-up of (S, L) . So we assume $\mathcal{F} \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$. We will show that there is an irreducible reduced member of $|L|$. This is enough as in (4.2) and (4.3). As $L \equiv 2H + F$, any numerical equivalence class of an irreducible component Z of a member of $|L|$ is one of $2H + F, 2H, H + F, H$, or F . For $2H + F$ and $H + F$, we can apply the Kodaira vanishing theorem together with the Riemann-Roch theorem, and obtain $h^0(2H + F) = 3$ and $h^0(H + F) = 2$. And obviously $h^0(F) = 1$. In order to study divisors which are numerically equivalent to $2H$ and H , we consider the extension type of \mathcal{F} . If necessary, we replace \mathcal{F} by $\mathcal{F} \oplus [G]$ for a suitable line bundle G on C so that we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(A) \rightarrow 0$, where A is a line bundle on C with $\deg(A) = 0$. Then we have

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C(A), \mathcal{O}_C) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} & (A = 0), \\ 0 & (A \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

(4.4.1) When $A = 0$, \mathcal{F} is indecomposable since $\mathcal{F} \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$. In this case we have the following:

LEMMA. For any $G \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$, we have

$$(a) \quad h^0(H + G_S) = \begin{cases} 1 & (G = 0), \\ 0 & (G \neq 0), \end{cases}$$

$$(b) \quad h^0(2H + G_S) = \begin{cases} 1 & (G = 0), \\ 0 & (G \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. (a) Since $h^i(S, H + G_S) = h^i(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes [G])$, we calculate $h^i(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes [G])$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(C, G) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes [G]) \rightarrow H^0(C, G) \\ \rightarrow H^1(C, G) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes [G]) \rightarrow H^1(C, G) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

If $G = 0$, we have $h^0(C, G) = h^1(C, G) = 1$. So by the above exact sequence $h^0(C, \mathcal{F}) = h^1(C, \mathcal{F}) = 1$ or 2 . Suppose that $h^0(C, \mathcal{F}) = 2$. This yields $\text{Bs}|H| = \emptyset$, and $|H|$ defines a morphism $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Hence we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^1 \times C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C, \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{P}^1 \times C \rightarrow C$ is the second projection. This contradicts that \mathcal{F} is indecomposable. Hence $h^0(S, H) = h^1(S, H) = 1$.

If $G \neq 0$, we have $h^0(C, G) = h^1(C, G) = 0$. Hence $h^0(S, H + G_S) = h^1(S, H + G_S) = 0$.

(b) We denote by C the member of $|H|$. For any $G \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(S, H + G_S) \rightarrow H^0(S, 2H + G_S) \rightarrow H^0(C, G) \\ \rightarrow H^1(S, H + G_S) \rightarrow H^1(S, 2H + G_S) \rightarrow H^1(C, G) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $G = 0$, then $h^0(S, 2H) = h^1(S, 2H) = 1$ or 2 . Suppose $h^0(S, 2H) = 2$. Then we have $\text{Bs}|2H| = \emptyset$ and we can define the finite morphism $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1 \times C$ of degree two similarly as above. Now all of the members in $|2H|$ are irreducible and reduced except for $2C$, so the branch locus of the morphism is of the form $\{p\} \times C$ for some point p on \mathbf{P}^1 . This contradicts $[\{p\} \times C] \notin 2 \cdot \text{Pic}(\mathbf{P}^1 \times C)$. Hence $h^0(S, 2H) = h^1(S, 2H) = 1$.

When $G \neq 0$, we have $h^i(H + G_S) = h^i(C, G) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence $h^0(H + G_S) = h^0(C, G) = 0$.

By this lemma, we easily see that a general member of $|L|$ is irreducible and reduced since $h^0(L) = 3$. So (1.8) applies.

(4.4.2) When $A \neq 0$, we have $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(A)$ since $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C(A), \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$. Since $h^0(H + G_S) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(G) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(A + G))$, we have

$$h^0(H + G_S) = \begin{cases} 1 & (G = 0, -A), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

And by $h^0(2H + G_S) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(G) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(A + G) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(2A + G))$, we have

$$(1) \quad \text{if } 2A \neq 0, h^0(2H + G_S) = \begin{cases} 1 & (G = 0, -A, -2A), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{if } 2A = 0, h^0(2H + G_S) = \begin{cases} 2 & (G = 0), \\ 1 & (G = 0, -A), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

By direct calculation, we see that any general member of $|L|$ is irreducible and reduced. Hence (1.8) applies.

(4.5) Now we should show that the case (5) in (2.5) does not occur. Let $(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}))$ of the type (5). Similarly as in (4.2.1), $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the 2-fold symmetric product of C . In this case, we will show that there is a morphism $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and $L \cdot F' = 2$ for its fiber F' .

We employ the same notation as in (4.2.1). Let $\sigma : C \times C \rightarrow C$ be the map such that $\sigma(x, y) = x - y$ and let $g' : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be the rational map defined by $|2o|$. Since $g'(-x) = g'(x)$, the map $g' \circ \sigma : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ factors through $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) = C \times C / \iota$, and we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times C & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma' \\ C & \xrightarrow{g'} & \mathbf{P}^1 \end{array}$$

Let F' be the fiber of σ' over $\sigma'(p)$. Then $(5H - 2F) \cdot F' = (1/2)g^*(5H - 2F) \cdot g^*F' = 2$. Hence $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{F}' = 0$ for any p on $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$, where \tilde{F}' is the proper transform of F' . So we have no polarized surface of the type (5) in (2.5).

§5. Rational case.

In this section we will show the following

(5.1) THEOREM.

(a) *Let (S, L) be of the types $(6_0), (6_1), (6_2), (7)$ in (2.5). Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r be points on S in a general position, where $r < L^2$. Then the simple blowing-up of (S, L) at these points is actually a polarized surface.*

(b) *There exists a polarized surface of the type (8) in (2.5).*

The proof is as follows.

(5.2) It is easy to see that the assertion (a) follows from (1.8).

(5.3) To see the case (8) really occurs, we fix a minimalization $S'' \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ of S'' , which is an eight-points blowing-up of \mathbf{P}^2 . To follow the same notation as in (1.1), we denote (S, L) by (\tilde{S}, \tilde{L}) and $(\mathbf{P}^2, 9H)$ by (S, L) . Let the following sequence of blowing-ups be as in (1.1).

$$\tilde{S} =: S_{10} \rightarrow S_9 \rightarrow S_8 := S'' \rightarrow S_7 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_0 := \mathbf{P}^2$$

Let $\tilde{L} = L_{10} := 9H - 3E_1 - \dots - 3E_8 - 2E_9 - 2E_{10}$. For a bad curve Z of degree d , we have $d^2 - 12d - 164 \leq 0$ by (1.4), hence $0 < d \leq 20$. We can compute the degree d and the multiplicity m_i at each p_i of the bad curve by the aid of a computer. (In fact, we enumerate all the multiples $(d, (m_1, \dots, m_{10}))$ such that $2g(\tilde{Z}) = (d - 1)(d - 2) - \sum_{i=1}^{10} m_i(m_i - 1) \geq 0$ and $\tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{Z} = 9d - 3 \sum_{i=1}^8 m_i - 2(m_9 + m_{10}) \leq 0$). The result is listed below:

	degree	$(m_1 \cdots \cdots m_{10})$
(1)	1	(1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0)
(2)	2	(1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0)
(3-1)	3	(2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0)
(3-2)	3	(1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1)
(4)	4	(2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1)
(5)	5	(2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1)
(6-1)	6	(3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1)
(6-2)	6	(2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2)
(6-3)	6	(2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1)
(7)	7	(3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 1)
(8)	8	(3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 1)
(9-1)	9	(4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 1)
(9-2)	9	(3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2)
(10)	10	(4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3)
(11)	11	(4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3)
(12-1)	12	(5 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3)
(12-2)	12	(4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 2)
(12-3)	12	(4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3)
(13)	13	(5 5 5 4 4 4 4 4 3 3)
(14)	14	(5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 3 3)
(15)	15	(6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 3 3)
(18)	18	(6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 4)

To show that there is no such curve for any ten points in a general position, we use the following result due to Xu:

(5.3.1) PROPOSITION. *Let p_1, \dots, p_r be r points on \mathbf{P}^2 in a general position, and Z be an irreducible reduced curve of degree d with $\text{mult}_{p_i}(Z) = m_i$. Then $d^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^r m_i^2 - m_q$ for any $q \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $m_q > 0$.*

For the proof, see [Xu].

This proposition rules out the above cases except for the cases (3-2), (6-3) and (12-3).

In case (3-2), we have $h^0(3H) = 10$, so $h^0(3H - \sum_{i=1}^{10} E_i) = 0$, hence this case does not occur.

In case (6.3), we have $\tilde{Z} \in |-2K'' - 2E_9 - E_{10}|$, where K'' is the canonical bundle of the Del Pezzo surface $S'' = S_8$. Note that $h^0(-2K'') = 4$ and $|-2K''|$ has no base

point by Reider's criterion. Let $\rho : S'' \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ be the induced morphism. A member of $|-2K'' - 2E_9 - E_{10}|$ corresponds to a (hyper)plane in \mathbf{P}^3 which is tangent to $\rho(S'')$ at $\rho(p_9)$ and passes $\rho(p_{10})$. Clearly there is no such plane, thus this case is ruled out.

(5.3.2) The case (12-3) is ruled out by the following direct computation. $H^0(12H - 4E_1 - \dots - 4E_8 - 3E_9 - 3E_{10})$ is a subspace of $H^0(12H) \simeq \mathbf{C}^{91}$, satisfying 92 linear equations. By the aid of computer we can show that there is no solution for the ten points $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (2, 1), (3, 2), (-2, 3), (-1, 5), (1, -2), (-3, 2) \in \mathbf{P}^2 - H_\infty = \mathbf{C}^2$, for example. So there is no curve of this type for any ten points in a general position.

Hence there exists a polarized surface of the type (8) in (2.5).

References

- [BLP] M. Beltrametti, A. Lanteri, M. Palleschi, Algebraic surfaces containing an ample divisor of arithmetic genus two, *Arkiv för mat.* **25** (1987), 149–162.
- [F] T. Fujita, “Classification Theories of Polarized Varieties,” *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series* **155**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [F1] T. Fujita, On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive, *Algebraic Geometry Sendai 1985*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10**, Kinokuniya (1987), 167–178.
- [F2] T. Fujita, Classification of polarized manifolds of sectional genus two, in *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, in honor of Masayoshi Nagata, Kinokuniya (1987), 73–98.
- [K] O. Küchle, Ample line bundles on blown up surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996), 151–155.
- [Xu] G. Xu, Ample line bundles on smooth surfaces, *J. f. d. rein. u. angew. Math.* **469** (1995), 199–209.

Koji YOKOYAMA
 Department of Mathematics,
 Tokyo Institute of Technology,
 Oh-Okayama, Meguro-ku,
 Tokyo 152-8551,
 Japan

Current address:
 Ministry of Health and Welfare