

## The dimensions of self-similar sets

By Wenxia LI and Dongmei XIAO

(Received Oct. 14, 1996)

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $\phi_i$  be similar contraction mappings in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with ratios  $c_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hu [5] proved that there exists unique compact set  $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  such that

$$F = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(F). \quad (1)$$

Further  $\dim_H F = \dim_B F = \dim_P F = s$  and  $F$  is an  $s$ -set where  $s$  is such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^s = 1, \quad (2)$$

if  $\phi_i$ 's satisfy the open set condition, i.e. there is a bounded nonempty open set  $O$  such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(O) \subset O \quad (3)$$

with the left hand is disjoint union. Recently Sc [10] proved that  $F$  is an  $s$ -set here  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^s = 1$  if and only if  $\phi_i$ 's satisfy the open condition.

Now for  $\varepsilon > 0$  write

$$\Omega(\varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in S^* \mid c_\sigma \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } c_{\sigma(|\sigma|-1)} > \varepsilon\},$$

where  $S^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^i$  and  $c_\sigma = c_{\sigma(1)}c_{\sigma(2)} \cdots c_{\sigma(k)}$  for  $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(k)) \in S^*$ . And for  $\sigma \in S^*$ ,  $|\sigma|$  denotes the length of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma|k = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k))$  for  $k \leq |\sigma|$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  be a bounded open set with  $A \supset F$ . It is easy to see that  $c_0\varepsilon < c_\sigma \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)$  where  $c_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i$ . We introduce nonnegative real numbers  $\alpha_0(A)$  and  $\beta_0(A)$  as follows

$$\alpha_0(A) = \sup \left\{ \alpha \mid \underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} = \infty \right\}, \quad (4)$$

$$\beta_0(A) = \sup \left\{ \beta \mid \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\beta)}} = \infty \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\phi_\sigma = \phi_{\sigma(1)} \circ \phi_{\sigma(2)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\sigma(k)}$  for  $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(k)) \in S^*$  and  $m_d(B)$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ .

In this paper we prove

(i)  $\alpha_0(A)$  and  $\beta_0(A)$  are independent of the choice of  $A$  and  $\alpha_0(A) = \beta_0(A)$ . We denote the common value by  $\alpha_0$ .

(ii)  $\dim_H F = \dim_B F = \dim_P F = \alpha_0 s$ .

(For self-similar set  $F$  Fa[4] has proved that its Hausdorff dimension, Box dimension and Packing dimension are equal)

(iii)  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) < \infty$  iff  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} < \infty$ .

(iv) If  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) > 0$  then  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} > 0$ .

(v) We generalize this dimension results into the cases of MW-construction (Ma & Wi [9]) and recurrent sets (De [2], Be [1] and Wen [11]).

## 2. Dimensions of self-similar set.

It is easy to get the following

PROPOSITION 2.1.

$$\alpha_0(A) = \inf \left\{ \alpha \mid \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} = 0 \right\},$$

$$\beta_0(A) = \inf \left\{ \beta \mid \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\beta)}} = 0 \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2.  $0 \leq \alpha_0(A) \leq 1$ ;  $0 \leq \beta_0(A) \leq 1$ .

PROOF. Note that  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^s = 1$ . Taking  $\alpha = 0$  then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^s} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right) \geq c$$

for some positive constant  $c$ . Thus  $\alpha_0(A) \geq 0$ . On the other hand, taking  $\alpha = 1$ , we

have  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\text{Card } \Omega(\varepsilon)} \leq c$  for some constant  $c$ . Thus  $\alpha_0(A) \leq 1$ .

$0 \leq \beta_0(A) \leq 1$  can be proved by the same method. QED

THEOREM 2.3.

(i)  $\alpha_0(A)$  and  $\beta_0(A)$  are independent of the choice of  $A$  and  $\alpha_0(A) = \beta_0(A)$ , denoting the common value by  $\alpha_0$ ;

(ii)  $\dim_H F = \dim_B F = \dim_P F = \alpha_0 s$ ;

(iii)  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) < \infty$  iff  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} < \infty$ ;

$$(iv) \text{ If } \mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) > 0 \text{ then } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} > 0.$$

PROOF. (i) For  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  let

$$B^\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \text{there exists } y \in B \text{ such that } \rho(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

where  $\rho(x, y)$  is the Euclidean distance between  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $A$  is a bounded open set containing set  $F$ , there are positive numbers  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  such that  $F^{\delta_1} \subset A \subset F^{\delta_2}$  which means  $\alpha_0(F^{\delta_1}) \leq \alpha_0(A) \leq \alpha_0(F^{\delta_2})$  and  $\beta_0(F^{\delta_1}) \leq \beta_0(A) \leq \beta_0(F^{\delta_2})$ . Thus it suffices to prove  $\alpha_0(F^\delta)$  and  $\beta_0(F^\delta)$  are independent of the choice of positive number  $\delta$  and  $\alpha_0(F^\delta) = \beta_0(F^\delta)$ , which follows from the proof of (ii).

(ii) Fixing  $x \in F$  and denoting the diameter of  $A$  by  $|A|$  we choose subfamily  $\Omega^*(\varepsilon)$  from  $\Omega(\varepsilon)$  such that

- (1) for any different  $\sigma, \tau \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\rho(\phi_\sigma(x), \phi_\tau(x)) > 4|A|\varepsilon$ ;
- (2) if  $\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon) \setminus \Omega^*(\varepsilon)$  there exists  $\tau \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\rho(\phi_\sigma(x), \phi_\tau(x)) \leq 4|A|\varepsilon$ .

Let  $J(\varepsilon) = \text{Card } \Omega^*(\varepsilon)$ . Thus

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon)} B(\phi_\sigma(x), 5|A|\varepsilon) \supset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} B(\phi_\sigma(x), |A|\varepsilon) \supset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A)$$

where  $B(x, r)$  denotes a ball in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with center at  $x$  and radius  $r$ . Thus

$$J(\varepsilon) m_d B(\phi_\sigma(x), 5|A|\varepsilon) \geq m_d \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A).$$

Therefore for any nonnegative real number  $\alpha$

$$J(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{\alpha s} \geq \frac{c|A|^{-d} \varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}}, \tag{6}$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant. First we prove  $\dim_H F \geq \alpha_0(A)s$ . It is clear when  $\alpha_0(A) = 0$ . Suppose  $\alpha_0(A) > 0$  and take  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0(A)$ . Thus by the definition of  $\alpha_0(A)$  and (6) we can take  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that

$$J(\varepsilon_1) \varepsilon_1^{\alpha s} \geq 2c_0^{-\alpha s}. \tag{7}$$

Considering any finite open  $c_0 \varepsilon_1 |A|$ -covering  $\{V_i\}$  of  $F$ , we have

- (a) if there exists some  $V_i$  such that  $|V_i| \geq (c_0 \varepsilon_1)^2 |A|$  then

$$\sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha s} \geq (c_0 \varepsilon_1)^{2\alpha s} |A|^{\alpha s}; \tag{8}$$

- (b) otherwise for each  $\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_1)$  let  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma = \{V_i : V_i \cap B(\phi_\sigma(x), \varepsilon_1 |A|) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is a covering of  $\phi_\sigma(F)$  and for any different  $\sigma, \tau \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{V}_\tau = \emptyset$ . Take  $\lambda_1 \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_1)$  such that

$$\sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |V_i|^{\alpha s} = \min_{\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_1)} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma} |V_i|^{\alpha s}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha s} &\geq J(\varepsilon_1) \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |V_i|^{\alpha s} \geq 2c_0^{-\alpha s} \varepsilon_1^{-\alpha s} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |V_i|^{\alpha s} \\ &= 2(c_{\lambda_1} c_0^{-1} \varepsilon_1^{-1})^{\alpha s} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s} \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

by (7).

Since  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  is a covering of  $\phi_{\lambda_1}(F)$ ,  $\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1} = \{\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}(V_i) : V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}\}$  is a finite open  $c_0\varepsilon_1|A|$ -covering of  $F$ . As above we have

(a') if there exists  $\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}(V_i) \in \phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  such that  $|\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}(V_i)| \geq (c_0\varepsilon_1)^2|A|$  then (8) holds by (9);

(b') otherwise denote  $\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  by  $\{U_i\}$ . Repeating the above step for the covering  $\{U_i\}$  of  $F$  and noticing that  $\text{Card}\{V_i\}$  is finite, thus (8) holds after finite steps. Consequently  $\dim_H F \geq \alpha s$  which means  $\dim_H F \geq \alpha_0(A)s$ .

Now taking  $\delta_1 > 0$  we prove that  $\dim_H F \leq \underline{\dim}_B F \leq \alpha_0(F^{\delta_1})s$ . Letting  $\alpha > \alpha_0(F^{\delta_1})$  there exists sequence  $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$  such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon_n^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_{\sigma}(F^{\delta_1}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} c_{\sigma}^{s(1-\alpha)}} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_{\sigma}(F^{\delta_1}) \right) &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} c_{\sigma}^{s(1-\alpha)} \leq (c_0\varepsilon_n)^{-s\alpha}, \\ (c_0\varepsilon_n)^{d-s\alpha} &\geq c_0^d \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_{\sigma}(F^{\delta_1}) \right) \geq c_0^d \mathbf{m}_d(F^{c_0\varepsilon_n\delta_1}), \\ d - s\alpha &\leq \frac{\log(\mathbf{m}_d(F^{c_0\varepsilon_n\delta_1})c_0^d)}{\log(c_0\varepsilon_n)}, \\ d - s\alpha &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log[c_0^d \mathbf{m}_d(F^{c_0\varepsilon_n\delta_1})]}{\log(c_0\varepsilon_n)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log[\mathbf{m}_d(F^{c_0\varepsilon\delta_1})]}{\log(c_0\varepsilon\delta_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\underline{\dim}_B F \leq s\alpha$  by the Proposition 3.2 of Fa [3]. Therefore  $\underline{\dim}_B F \leq s\alpha_0(F^{\delta_1})$ .

Repeating the above procedure of proof with  $\beta_0(A)$  instead of  $\alpha_0(A)$  we can attain  $\dim_H F \geq \beta_0(A)s$  and  $\dim_H F \leq \underline{\dim}_B F \leq \beta_0(F^{\delta_1})s$  for any given  $\delta_1 > 0$ . As a result, we get  $\dim_H F = \dim_P F = \dim_B F = \alpha_0(F^{\delta_1})s = \beta_0(F^{\delta_1})s$  for any given  $\delta_1 > 0$  which indicates  $\alpha_0(F^{\delta_1})$  and  $\beta_0(F^{\delta_1})$  are independent of the choice of  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $\alpha_0(F^{\delta_1}) = \beta_0(F^{\delta_1})$ . Furthermore  $\alpha_0(A) = \beta_0(A)$  and they are independent of the choice of open set  $A$  by (i).

(iii) Now we prove  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) < \infty$  iff  $\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_{\sigma}(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_{\sigma}^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} < \infty$ .

Suppose that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} = \infty$ . Then we can take  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that (7) holds with  $\alpha_0$  instead of  $\alpha$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any finite open  $(c_0\varepsilon_1)^k |A|$ -covering  $\{V_i\}$  of  $F$ , repeating  $k - 1$  time steps of proof of the above we can get

$$\sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha_0 s} \geq 2^{k-1} \sum_j |U_j|^{\alpha_0 s},$$

where  $\{U_j\}$  is a finite open  $c_0\varepsilon_1 |A|$ -covering of  $F$ . According to the same method of (ii) after finite steps, saying  $l$  steps, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j |U_j|^{\alpha_0 s} &\geq 2^l (c_0\varepsilon_1)^{2\alpha_0 s} |A|^{\alpha_0 s}, \\ \sum_j |V_j|^{\alpha_0 s} &\geq 2^{l+k-1} (c_0\varepsilon_1)^{2\alpha_0 s} |A|^{\alpha_0 s}, \end{aligned}$$

which means  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) = \infty$  if letting  $k$  tends to  $\infty$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) = \infty$ . Thus for any  $M > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon_0$ -covering  $\{V_i\}$  of  $F$

$$\sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha_0 s} > M.$$

On the other hand, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$J(\varepsilon)(\varepsilon\delta_1)^d \leq \text{const.} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right),$$

since  $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon)} B(\phi_\sigma(x), c_0\varepsilon\delta_1) \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A)$  where  $\delta_1$  is such that  $F^{\delta_1} \subset A$ . Thus

$$J(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\alpha_0 s} \leq \text{const.} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}}.$$

Now taking  $\varepsilon$  such that  $10\varepsilon|A| < \varepsilon_0$  and considering the covering  $\{B(\phi_\sigma(x), 5|A|\varepsilon), \sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon)\}$  of  $F$  which is an  $\varepsilon_0$ -covering of  $F$  we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon)} (10|A|\varepsilon)^{\alpha_0 s} = \text{const.} J(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\alpha_0 s} \geq M.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} \geq \text{const.} M,$$

for  $\varepsilon < (10|A|)^{-1} \varepsilon_0$  which indicates

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} \mathbf{m}_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} = \infty.$$

(iv) Suppose  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} = 0$ . Then for any  $h > 0$  there exist sequence  $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$  such that

$$\varepsilon_n^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right) < h \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)} \leq h c_0^{-\alpha_0 s} \varepsilon_n^{-\alpha_0 s}.$$

We consider the covering  $\{B(\phi_\sigma(x), 5\varepsilon_n|A|), \sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_n)\}$  of  $F$ . Since

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_n)} B(\phi_\sigma(x), c_0 \varepsilon_n \delta_1) \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_\sigma(A)$$

where  $\delta_1$  is such that  $F^{\delta_1} \subset A$ , then

$$J(\varepsilon_n) m_d(B(\phi_\sigma(x), c_0 \varepsilon_n \delta_1)) \leq m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right), \tag{10}$$

$$J(\varepsilon_n) \leq \text{const.} \varepsilon_n^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon_n)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right) \leq \text{const.} h \varepsilon_n^{-\alpha_0 s}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega^*(\varepsilon_n)} |B(\phi_\sigma(x), 5\varepsilon_n|A|)|^{\alpha_0 s} = J(\varepsilon_n) (10|A|\varepsilon_n)^{\alpha_0 s} \leq \text{const.} h,$$

which indicates  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) = 0$ . As a result, we get that  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) > 0$  implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} > 0. \tag{QED}$$

CONJECTURE: If  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} > 0$  then  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F) > 0$ .

COROLLARY 2.4. If  $\phi_i$ 's satisfy the open set condition then  $\dim_H F = \dim_B F = \dim_P F = s$ .

PROOF. Let bounded nonempty open set  $O$  make  $\phi_i$ 's satisfy the open set condition. Taking  $A = O^1$  thus

$$\text{const.} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(O^1) \right)}{\text{Card } \Omega(\varepsilon)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(\bar{O}) \right)}{\text{Card } \Omega(\varepsilon)} \geq \text{const.} > 0,$$

which means  $\alpha_0 = 1$ . Therefore  $\dim_H F = \dim_B F = \dim_P F = s$  by Theorem 2.3. QED

REMARK 2.5. If the above Conjecture holds then it is easy to get

(a)  $F$  is an  $\alpha_0 s$ -set iff  $0 < \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} < \infty$ ;

- (b)  $\phi_i$ 's satisfy the open set condition iff  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\text{Card } \Omega(\varepsilon)} > 0$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{H}^s(F) = 0$  iff  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A) \right)}{\text{Card } \Omega(\varepsilon)} = 0$ .

**3. Generalization to MW-construction and generalized recurrent set.**

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  be an irreducible 0–1 matrix.  $\{\phi_{ij} : a_{ij} = 1\}$  is a family of similar maps in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with the ratio  $c_{ij}$  for  $\phi_{ij}$ . Let  $s$  be such that the spectral radius of  $(a_{ij}c_{ij}^s)_{n \times n}$  is 1 where we take  $a_{ij}c_{ij}^s = 0$  when  $a_{ij} = 0$ . Write

$$\Omega_A = \left\{ \sigma \in \prod_1^\infty \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : \sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots), a_{\sigma(l), \sigma(l+1)} = 1, l \in \mathbf{N} \right\},$$

$$\Omega_A^* = \left\{ \sigma \in \bigcup_{i=2}^\infty \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^i : \sigma = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)), a_{\sigma(l), \sigma(l+1)} = 1, 1 \leq l \leq k-1 \right\}.$$

There exist unique compact sets  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$  which sometimes is called MW-construction such that

$$F_i = \bigcup_{\{j: a_{ij}=1\}} \phi_{ij}(F_j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{11}$$

It is well-known that when  $\{\phi_{ij} : a_{ij} = 1\}$  satisfy the open condition, i.e. there are nonempty bounded open sets  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n$  such that

$$O_i \supset \bigcup_{\{j: a_{ij}=1\}} \phi_{ij}(O_j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

with the right hand being disjoint union, we have

$$\dim_H F_i = \dim_B F_i = \dim_P F_i = s, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and  $F_i$  are all  $s$ -set.

Furthermore in Li [6] we prove that

**PROPOSITION 3.1.**  $\{\phi_{ij} : a_{ij} = 1\}$  satisfies the open set condition iff  $F_i$  is an  $s$ -set for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  where  $s$  is given above.

Now for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let

$$\alpha_i = \sup \left\{ \alpha : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} = \infty \right\} \tag{12}$$

$$\beta_i = \sup \left\{ \beta : \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\beta)}} = \infty \right\}$$

where  $A_i \supset F_i$  are bounded open sets;  $|\sigma|$  denotes the length of  $\sigma$ ;  $\Omega_i(\varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in \Omega_A^* : \sigma(1) = i, c_\sigma \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } c_{\sigma(|\sigma|-1)} > \varepsilon\}$ ;  $c_\sigma = c_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} c_{\sigma(2), \sigma(3)} \cdots c_{\sigma(|\sigma|-1), \sigma(|\sigma|)}$ ;  $\phi_\sigma = \phi_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} \circ \phi_{\sigma(2), \sigma(3)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\sigma(|\sigma|-1), \sigma(|\sigma|)}$ . Write  $c_0 = \min_{a_{ij}=1} c_{ij}$ .

In usual, we always take some bounded open set  $A$  with  $A \supset \bigcup_i F_i$  instead of  $A_i$ 's in (12).

Similarly it is easy to get

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** (1)  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ;

(2) When  $\{\phi_{ij} : a_{ij} = 1\}$  satisfies the open set condition, we have  $\alpha_i = \beta_i = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Similar to Theorem 2.3 we have

**THEOREM 3.3.** (I) All  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are equal, denoting by  $\alpha_0$  the common value. And  $\dim_H F_i = \dim_B F_i = \dim_P F_i = \alpha_0 s$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(II)  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F_i) < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  iff  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} < \infty$

for some  $1 < i < n$ . And if  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha_0 s}(F_i) > 0$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  then  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha_0)}} > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**PROOF.** (I) Without loss of generality we suppose that  $\alpha_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \beta_i$ ,  $\beta_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \beta_i$ .

Fix some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . First step we prove  $\dim_H F_j \geq \alpha_1 s$ . Taking  $x_i \in F_i$  and writing  $\delta = \max_i |F_i|$ . We choose the subfamily  $\Omega_i^*(\varepsilon)$  from  $\Omega_i(\varepsilon)$  such that

(1) for any  $\sigma, \tau \in \Omega_i^*(\varepsilon)$  and  $\sigma \neq \tau$

$$\rho(\phi_\sigma(x_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}), \phi_\tau(x_{\tau(|\tau|)})) > 4\delta\varepsilon;$$

(2) if  $\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon) \setminus \Omega_i^*(\varepsilon)$  there exists  $\tau \in \Omega_i^*(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\rho(\phi_\sigma(x_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}), \phi_\tau(x_{\tau(|\tau|)})) \leq 4\delta\varepsilon.$$

Let  $J_i(\varepsilon) = \text{Card } \Omega_i^*(\varepsilon)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i^*(\varepsilon)} B(\phi_\sigma(x_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}), 5\delta\varepsilon) &\supset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} B(\phi_\sigma(x_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}), \delta\varepsilon) \\ &\supset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(\varepsilon) m_d B(\phi_\sigma(x_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}), 5\delta\varepsilon) &\geq m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right), \\ J_i(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{\alpha s} &\geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)} \right) \delta^{-d} \text{const. } \varepsilon^{\alpha s}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  be the strictly positive right eigenvector responding to the eigenvalue 1. Then

$$(c_{ij}^s a_{ij})_{n \times n} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix}.$$



Therefore

$$\left[ \frac{\min m_i}{\max m_i} \right]^2 \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^s \leq \left[ \frac{\max m_i}{\min m_i} \right]^2.$$

In addition

$$1 \leq (\varepsilon c_\sigma^{-1})^{\alpha s} \leq c_0^{-\alpha s}.$$

Therefore

$$J_i(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\alpha s} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} \delta^{-d} \text{const.} \tag{13}$$

If  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , it is trivial. We assume  $\alpha_1 > 0$  and take  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$ . Thus we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_i(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{\alpha s} = \infty,$$

by (13) for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Take  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $J_i(\varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_1^{\alpha s} c_0^{\alpha s} \geq 2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Considering the arbitrary finite open  $c_0\varepsilon_1\delta$ -covering  $\{V_i\}$  of  $F_j$ , thus

(a) if there exists some  $V_i$  with  $|V_i| \geq (c_0\varepsilon_1)^2\delta$  then

$$\sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha s} \geq (c_0\varepsilon_1)^{2\alpha s} \delta^{\alpha s}; \tag{14}$$

(b) otherwise we have

$$\sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha s} = \varepsilon_1^{\alpha s} \sum_i |\varepsilon_1^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s}.$$

For each  $\sigma \in \Omega_j^*(\varepsilon_1)$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma = \{V_i : V_i \cap B(\phi_\sigma(x_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}), \varepsilon_1\delta) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  is a covering of  $\phi_\sigma(F_{\sigma(|\sigma|)})$  and for any  $\sigma, \tau \in \Omega_j^*(\varepsilon_1)$ ,  $\sigma \neq \tau$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{V}_\tau = \emptyset.$$

Take  $\lambda_1 \in \Omega_j^*(\varepsilon_1)$  such that

$$\sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |V_i|^{\alpha s} = \min_{\sigma \in \Omega_j^*(\varepsilon_1)} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma} |V_i|^{\alpha s}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |V_i|^{\alpha s} &\geq J(\varepsilon_1) \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |V_i|^{\alpha s} \geq J(\varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_1^{\alpha s} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |\varepsilon_1^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s} \\ &\geq 2c_0^{-\alpha s} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |\varepsilon_1^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s} = 2(c_{\lambda_1} c_0^{-1} \varepsilon_1^{-1})^{\alpha s} \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s} \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}} |\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1} V_i|^{\alpha s}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  is a covering of  $\phi_{\lambda_1}(F_{\lambda_1(|\lambda_1|)})$ ,  $\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  is a finite open  $c_0\varepsilon_1\delta$ -covering of  $F_{\lambda_1(|\lambda_1|)}$ . Denoting  $\phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  by  $\{u_i\}$  as above we have

(a') if there exists  $u_i \in \phi_{\lambda_1}^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1}$  such that  $|u_i| \geq (c_0 \varepsilon_1)^2 \delta$  then (14) holds by (15).

(b') otherwise repeating the above step and considering  $\text{Card}\{V_i\}$  finite, thus (14) holds after finite steps. Therefore

$$\dim_H F_j \geq \alpha s$$

for any  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$  which means

$$\dim_H F_j \geq \alpha_1 s.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3 we also get  $\alpha_1 s \leq \dim_H F_j \leq \underline{\dim}_B F_j \leq \alpha_1 s$  and  $\beta_1 s \leq \dim_H F_j \leq \overline{\dim}_B F_j \leq \beta_1 s$  and  $\overline{\dim}_B F_j = \beta_n s$ . Thus we complete the proof. In addition it is easy to find that all  $\alpha_i$ 's and  $\beta_i$ 's are equal and independent of the choice of  $A_i$ 's.

(II) Finally using the same method as those in proof of Theorem 2.3 (III) and (IV) we can complete the proof of (II). QED

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *When  $\{\phi_{ij} : a_{ij} = 1\}$  satisfies the open set condition, we have for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$*

$$\dim_H F_i = \dim_B F_i = \dim_P F_i = s.$$

**CONJECTURE:** if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} > 0$$

for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha s}(F_i) > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**REMARK 3.5.** (1) Since the recurrent set (Dekking [2]) and the generalized recurrent set (Li [8]) are all the special cases of MW-construction (Bedford [1] & Li [7]) the Theorem 3.3 also works there. Thus our Theorem 3.3 actually improves the main results of [11] [12] which discussed the lower bound of Hausdorff dimension of recurrent sets and self-similar sets.

(2) If the above conjecture is true, it is easy to get

(a)  $F_i$  is an  $\alpha s$ -set for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  iff

$$0 < \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} c_\sigma^{s(1-\alpha)}} < \infty$$

for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(b)  $F_i$ 's satisfy the open set condition iff

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-d} m_d \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} \phi_\sigma(A_{\sigma(|\sigma|)}) \right)}{\text{Card } \Omega_i(\varepsilon)} > 0$$

for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** The authors thank Prof. M. W. Hirsch for his kind help and support.

### References

- [ 1 ] Bedford, T., Dimension and dynamics of fractal recurrent set. *J. London Math. Soc.*, **33** (1986), 89–100.
- [ 2 ] Dekking, F. M., Recurrent sets. *Advances in Math.*, **44** (1982), 78–104.
- [ 3 ] Falconer, K., *Fractal geometry — Mathematical foundation and applications*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sopens Ltd., 1990.
- [ 4 ] Falconer, K., Dimensions and measures of quasi self-similar sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **106** (1989), 543–554.
- [ 5 ] Hutchinson, J. E., Fractals and self-similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **30** (1981), 713–747.
- [ 6 ] Li, W. X., Separation properties for MW-fractals. to appear in *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **41** (1998).
- [ 7 ] Li, W. X., Researches on a class of partially-self-similar sets. *Chinese Ann. of Math.*, **15A** (1994), 681–688.
- [ 8 ] Li, W. X., Generalized recurrent set. *Acta Math. Sinica*. **39** (1996) 78–88.
- [ 9 ] Mauldin, R. D. & Williams, S. C., Hausdorff dimension in graph directed constructions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **309** (1988) 811–829.
- [10] Schief, A., Separation properties for self-similar sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1994), 111–115.
- [11] Wen, Z. Y., Wu, L. M., Zhong, H. L., A note on recurrent sets. *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **15B** (1994), 321–326.
- [12] Wu, M., The Hausdorff dimensions of several kinds of set. Doctoral dissertation, Wuhan University, China, 1993.

Wenxia LI and Dongmei XIAO

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley