

## Non smooth Lagrangian sets and estimations of micro-support

By Andrea D'AGNOLO, Corrado MARASTONI  
and Giuseppe ZAMPIERI

(Received May 25, 1992)

(Revised May 19, 1994)

### 1. Notation and review.

Let  $X$  be a real  $C^1$  manifold and let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed submanifold. One denotes by  $\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$  the cotangent bundle to  $X$  and by  $T^*_Y X$  the conormal bundle to  $Y$  in  $X$ .

One denotes by  $D^b(X)$  the derived category of the category of bounded complexes of sheaves of  $C$ -vector spaces on  $X$ . For  $F$  an object of  $D^b(X)$ , one denotes by  $SS(F)$  its micro-support, a closed, conic, involutive subset of  $T^*X$ .

Let  $A \subset X$  be a closed  $C^1$ -convex subset at  $x_0 \in A$  (i.e.,  $A$  is convex for a choice of local  $C^1$  coordinates at  $x_0$ ). One denotes by  $C_A$  the sheaf which is zero on  $X \setminus A$  and the constant sheaf with fiber  $C$  on  $A$ . In order to describe  $SS(C_A)$  fix a local system of coordinates  $(x) = (x', x'')$  at  $x_0$  so that  $A$  is convex and  $Y = \{x \in X; x'' = 0\}$  is its linear hull. Denote by  $j: Y \rightarrow X$  the embedding and by  ${}^t j': Y \times_X T^*X \rightarrow T^*Y$  the associated projection. One has

$$SS(C_A) = {}^t j'(N^*_Y(A)),$$

where  $N^*_Y(A)$  denotes the conormal cone to  $A$  in  $Y$ . In other words,  $(x; \xi) \in SS(C_A)$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and the half space  $\{y \in X; \langle y - x, \xi \rangle \geq 0\}$  contains  $A$ . By analogy with the smooth case, we set  $T^*_A X = SS(C_A)$ .

For  $p \in T^*X$ ,  $D^b(X; p)$  denotes the localization of  $D^b(X)$  with respect to the null system  $\{F \in D^b(X); p \notin SS(F)\}$ . One also considers the microlocalization bifunctor  $\mu hom(\cdot, \cdot)$  which is defined in [K-S].

REMARK 1.1. In [K-S] the bifunctor  $\mu hom$  is considered only for  $C^2$  manifolds but it is clear that its definition is possible for a  $C^1$  manifold as well. Roughly speaking, this functor is the composition of the specialization functor (which is defined as long as the normal deformation is defined, i.e., for  $C^1$  manifolds) and the Fourier-Sato transform which is defined for vector bundles over any locally compact space.

If  $X$  is of class  $C^2$  one has the following estimate:

$$(1.1) \quad \text{SS}(\mu\text{hom}(F, G)) \subset C(\text{SS}(F), \text{SS}(G)),$$

where  $C(\cdot, \cdot) \subset TT^*X \cong T^*T^*X$  denotes the strict normal cone.

Assume  $X$  of class  $C^2$  and let  $\chi: T^*X \rightarrow T^*X$  be a germ of homogeneous contact transformation at  $p \in T^*X$ , i.e., a diffeomorphism at  $p$  preserving the canonical one-form. Set  $A_\chi^q = \{(x, y; \xi, \eta); \chi(x; \xi) = (y; -\eta)\}$ , the antipodal of the graph of  $\chi$ . It is possible to consider “quantizations” of  $\chi$  in order to make contact transformations operate on sheaves.

**THEOREM 1.2** (cf. [K-S, Chapter 7]). *There exists  $K \in D^b(X \times X)$  with  $\text{SS}(K) \subset A_\chi^q$  in a neighborhood of  $(p, \chi(p)^a)$ , which induces an equivalence of categories  $\Phi_K: D^b(X; p) \rightarrow D^b(X; \chi(p))$  defined by  $\Phi_K(F) = Rq_{2*}(K \otimes q_1^{-1}F)$  where  $q_i$  is the  $i$ -th projection from  $X \times X$  to  $X$ . Moreover one has the relations*

$$(1.2) \quad \text{SS}(\Phi_K(F)) = \chi(\text{SS}(F)),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \chi_*\mu\text{hom}(F, G) \cong \mu\text{hom}(\Phi_K(F), \Phi_K(G)) \quad \text{near } \chi(p).$$

**2. The main theorem.**

The characterization of those sheaves whose microsupport is contained in a smooth Lagrangian is given by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1** (cf. [K-S, Theorem 6.6.1]). *Let  $X$  be a real  $C^2$  manifold, let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed submanifold and take  $p \in T^*X$ . Let  $F$  be an object of  $D^b(X)$  such that*

$$\text{SS}(F) \subset T^*X \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } p.$$

*Then one has  $F \cong M_Y$  in  $D^b(X; p)$  for a complex  $M$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces.*

**REMARK 2.2.** The extension from the  $C^2$  to the  $C^1$  frame has already been given in the paper [D'A-Z]. Concerning this extension, we point out the following fact. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a hypersurface of regularity  $C^1 \setminus C^2$  and let  $Y^+$  be the closed half space with boundary  $Y$  such that  $p \in \text{SS}(A_{Y^+})$ . The crucial point here is that, even though  $T^*X \widehat{+} T^*X \supset \pi^{-1}\pi(p)$ , nevertheless  $N^*(Y^+) \widehat{+} N^*(Y^+) \subset N^*(Y^+)$ .

Here we give the following extension of this result.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a real  $C^1$  manifold, let  $A \subset X$  be a closed  $C^1$ -convex subset at  $x_0$  and take  $p \in (T^*_A X)_{x_0}$ . Let  $F$  and  $G$  be objects of  $D^b(X)$  such that*

$$\text{SS}(F), \text{SS}(G) \subset T^*_A X \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } p.$$

*Then*

- (i)  $\mu\text{hom}(F, G) \cong N_{T^*_A X}$  for a complex  $N$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces;

- (ii)  $F \cong M_A$  in  $D^b(X; p)$  for a complex  $M$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces;
- (iii) for  $M$  as in (ii), one has  $M \cong \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{C}_A, F)_p$ .

REMARK 2.4. Let  $X$  be a real  $C^2$  manifold and  $Y \subset X$  a closed submanifold. In this context, the assertion (ii) already appears in [U-Z] for any closed subset  $A \subset Y$  satisfying  $N_{\mathbb{P}}^*(A)_{x_0} \neq T_{x_0}^*Y$  (which holds true, in particular, for  $C^1$ -convex subsets at  $x_0$ ), but only for  $p \in T_{\mathbb{P}}^*X \cap T_A^*X$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. The problem being local, fix a system of local coordinates at  $x_0$  so that  $A$  is convex in  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  with coordinates  $(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $(x; \xi)$  be the associated symplectic coordinates of  $T^*X$  and consider the contact transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \chi: T^*X &\longrightarrow T^*X \\ (x; \xi) &\longmapsto \left(x - \varepsilon \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}; \xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

The set  $A_\varepsilon = \{x \in X; \text{dist}(x, A) \leq \varepsilon\}$  has a  $C^1$  boundary for  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  and one has  $\chi(T_A^*X) = T_{A_\varepsilon}^*X$  near  $\chi(p)$ . It is also easy to verify that, setting

$$S = \{(x, y) \in X \times X; \text{dist}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\},$$

the complex  $K = C_S$  verifies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 for such a  $\chi$ .

Let  $\phi: X \rightarrow X$  be a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism so that  $\phi(A_\varepsilon) = \{x \in X; x_1 \leq 0\}$  and set  $Z = \{x \in X; x_1 = 0\}$ . By (1.2) one has that  $\text{SS}(\phi_*(\Phi_K(\cdot))) \subset T_Z^*X$  near  ${}^t\phi'(\chi(p))$  for  $(\cdot = F, G)$  and hence, by (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SS}(\mu\text{hom}(\phi_*(\Phi_K(F)), \phi_*(\Phi_K(G)))) &\subset C(T_Z^*X, T_Z^*X) \\ &\cong T_{T_Z^*X}^*T^*X. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 one then has

$$\mu\text{hom}(\phi_*(\Phi_K(F)), \phi_*(\Phi_K(G))) \cong N_{T_Z^*X}$$

for a complex  $N$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces. It follows by (1.3) that

$$\mu\text{hom}(F, G) \cong {}^t\phi'^{-1}(\chi^{-1}(N_{T_Z^*X})) \cong N_{T_A^*X},$$

which proves (i).

For any complex  $M$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector spaces let us now compute  $\Phi_K(M_A)$ . There is an isomorphism  $(Rq_{2!}M_{S \cap (A \times X)})_x \cong R\Gamma_c(q_2^{-1}(x); M_{S \cap (A \times X)})$ . Since  $q_2^{-1}(x) \cap S \cap (A \times X)$  is either empty if  $x \notin A_\varepsilon$  or compact convex if  $x \in A_\varepsilon$ , one has:

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_K(M_A) \cong M_{A_\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_*(\Phi_K(F)) &\cong M_Z \\
&\cong \phi_*(M_{A_*}) \\
&\cong \phi_*(\Phi_K(M_A)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Theorem 2.1 and the third from (2.1). Since  $\phi_* \circ \Phi_K$  is an equivalence of categories, assertion (ii) follows.

As for (iii), one has the chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\text{hom}(C_A, F)_p &\cong \mu\text{hom}(C_Z, M_Z)_{t\phi'(\chi(p))} \\
&\cong \mu\text{hom}(C_{\{x_1 \leq 0\}}, M_{\{x_1 \leq 0\}})_{t\phi'(\chi(p))} \\
&\cong R\Gamma_{\{x_1 \leq 0\}}(M_{\{x_1 \leq 0\}})_{\pi(t\phi'(\chi(p)))} \\
&\cong M.
\end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors wish to thank Jean-Pierre Schneiders for useful discussions.

### References

- [D'A-Z] A. D'Agnolo and G. Zampieri, A propagation theorem for a class of microfunctions, *Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei*, s. 9, 1 (1990), 53-58.
- [K-S] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Springer-Verlag, **292**, 1990.
- [U-Z] M. Uchida and G. Zampieri, Second microfunctions at the boundary and microhyperbolicity, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ.*, **26** (1990), 205-219.

Andrea D'AGNOLO  
 Institut de Mathématiques  
 Analyse Algébrique (Case 247)  
 Université Pierre et Marie Curie  
 4, place Jussieu  
 F-75252 Paris Cedex 05  
 France

Corrado MARASTONI  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Università di Padova  
 via Belzoni 7  
 I-35131 Padova  
 Italy

Giuseppe ZAMPIERI  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Università di Padova  
 via Belzoni 7  
 I-35131 Padova  
 Italy