

An inverse problem in bifurcation theory, II

By Yutaka KAMIMURA

(Received Sept. 14, 1992)

1. The main result.

We consider the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + \lambda u = u f(u), & 0 < x < \pi, \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where λ is a real parameter and f is a Lipschitz continuous, real function defined on \mathbf{R} . Without loss of generality, we assume that $f(0)=0$. By a solution of (1.1) we mean a pair $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbf{R} \times C^2[0, \pi]$ satisfying (1.1). Let $\Gamma_n(f)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, denote the set of $(\lambda, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ for which there exists a solution (λ, u) of (1.1) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $u(x)$ has exactly $n-1$ zeros in $(0, \pi)$;
- (ii) The first stationary value of $u(x)$ is equal to h .

The set $\Gamma_n(f)$ is considered to be a representation in \mathbf{R}^2 of a set of nontrivial solutions of (1.1) bifurcating from the trivial solution $(n^2, 0)$ (note that n^2 is the n -th eigenvalue of the linearized problem of (1.1)).

In the previous paper [2] the author established a result that a nonlinear term f is determined uniquely from its solution set $\Gamma_1(f)$ and, in particular, that

$$\Gamma_1(f) = \{(1, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : h \neq 0\}$$

implies $f \equiv 0$. The purpose of the present paper is to show that a nonlinear term f is not determined uniquely by the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \Gamma_2(f) = \{(4, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : h \neq 0\}$$

and to find nonlinear terms f satisfying the condition (1.2).

To state our result precisely we need some terminology. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and let X_+ be the function space

$$(1.3) \quad X_+ := \{g(h) \in C^1[0, \infty) : g(0)=0; |g|_0 + |g'(0)| + |g'|_\alpha =: \|g\|_{X_+} < \infty\},$$

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 04640028), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

where

$$|g|_0 := \sup_{0 \leq h < \infty} |g(h)|,$$

and

$$|g'|_\alpha := \sup_{0 \leq h, k < \infty, h \neq k} \frac{|(1+k^{\alpha+1})g'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})g'(h)|}{|k-h|^\alpha}.$$

Also we let

$$(1.4) \quad X_- := \{g(h) \in C^1(-\infty, 0] : g(-h) \in X_+\}$$

and let $\|g\|_{X_-} := \|g(-h)\|_{X_+}$. It is routine to verify that X_\pm become Banach spaces when furnished with the norms $\|g\|_{X_\pm}$, respectively. Moreover we define the sets U_\pm by

$$(1.5) \quad U_\pm := \{f_\pm \in X_\pm : \|f_\pm\|_{X_\pm} < \varepsilon\}.$$

With this notation, the main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, let ε be sufficiently small and let U_\pm be the sets defined by (1.5). Then, for any $f_- \in U_-$, there exists a unique function $f_+ \in U_+$ such that the function*

$$(1.6) \quad f(h) := \begin{cases} f_+(h), & \text{if } h \geq 0, \\ f_-(h), & \text{if } h \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

satisfies the condition (1.2).

Theorem 1.1 implies that a nonlinear term f of (1.1) is determined uniquely if, not only the condition (1.2), but also the section of f on the half interval $(-\infty, 0]$ (or $[0, \infty)$) is prescribed. However, in the case f is an even function, the following uniqueness result can be proved:

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose f is a Lipschitz continuous, real, even function defined on \mathbf{R} . If f satisfies the condition (1.2), then $f \equiv 0$.*

For the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + \lambda g(u) = 0, & 0 < x < \pi, \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $g(u)$ is a continuous function on \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition $ug(u) > 0$ for all $u \neq 0$, a solution set $\Gamma_2(g)$ can be defined by the same way as for (1.1) and the problem of finding nonlinear terms g satisfying the condition $\Gamma_2(g) = \{(4, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : h \neq 0\}$ can be posed. But, as is easily seen by the substitution $\lambda^{1/2}x = t$, this problem is reduced to the problem of finding g such that every solution of $\ddot{u} + g(u) = 0$ ($\cdot = d/dt$) have the same period 2π , which has been

studied by Urabe [8, 9, 10], Levin and Schatz [3] and Obi [5, 6].

The present paper is organized as follows: In Section 3 we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for f to satisfy the condition (1.2), which is described as a nonlinear integral equation of f_+ . In Section 4 we solve the integral equation. To this end we need some estimates, which are picked out in Section 2. Theorem 1.1 is proved at the end of Section 4. In Section 5 we treat the case f is an even function. Theorem 1.2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.4.

2. Function spaces.

In this section we shall present some estimates of functions in the function spaces X_{\pm} defined in (1.3), (1.4) and a function space Y_+ , which will be defined in (2.5). The following is elementary.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let g be in X_+ . Then:*

(i) *For any $h \in [0, \infty)$,*

$$(2.1) \quad |(1+h)g'(h)| \leq 3\|g\|_{X_+}.$$

In particular, for any $h \in [0, \infty)$,

$$(2.2) \quad |hg'(h)| \leq 3\|g\|_{X_+}.$$

(ii) *For any $h, k \in [0, \infty)$,*

$$(2.3) \quad |g'(k) - g'(h)| \leq M_1 |k - h|^{\alpha} \|g\|_{X_+},$$

where M_1 is independent of h, k, g .

(iii) *For any $h, k \in [0, \infty)$,*

$$(2.4) \quad |k^{\alpha+1}g'(k) - h^{\alpha+1}g'(h)| \leq M'_1 |k - h|^{\alpha} \|g\|_{X_+},$$

where M'_1 is independent of h, k, g .

PROOF. From (1.3) we have, for any $h \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(1+h^{\alpha+1})g'(h)| &\leq |(1+h^{\alpha+1})g'(h) - g'(0)| + |g'(0)| \\ &\leq (1+h^{\alpha})\|g\|_{X_+}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). It follows from (1.3) and (2.1) that, for $0 \leq h < k$,

$$\begin{aligned} |g'(k) - g'(h)| &= \left| \frac{1}{1+k^{\alpha+1}}((1+k^{\alpha+1})g'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})g'(h)) - \frac{k^{\alpha+1} - h^{\alpha+1}}{1+k^{\alpha+1}}g'(h) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(k-h)^{\alpha}}{1+k^{\alpha+1}}\|g\|_{X_+} + \frac{(k^{\alpha+1} - h^{\alpha+1})}{(1+h)(1+k^{\alpha+1})}3\|g\|_{X_+} \\ &\leq M_1 |k - h|^{\alpha} \|g\|_{X_+}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii). The assertion (iii) is immediate from (1.3) and (2.3).

We now define a Banach space Y_+ by

$$(2.5) \quad Y_+ := \{\phi(h) \in C^1[0, \infty) : \phi(0)=0; |\phi|_0 + |\phi'(0)| + |\phi'|_{\alpha+1/2} =: \|\phi\|_{Y_+} < \infty\},$$

where

$$|\phi'|_{\alpha+1/2} := \sup_{0 \leq h, k < \infty, h \neq k} \frac{|k^{1/2}[(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - \phi'(0)] - h^{1/2}[(1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h) - \phi'(0)]|}{|k-h|^{\alpha+1/2}}.$$

The following estimate will be used later.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let ϕ be in Y_+ . Then, for $0 \leq h < k$,*

$$(2.6) \quad |(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)| \leq M_2 \left(1 - \frac{h}{k}\right)^{1/2} (k-h)^\alpha \|\phi\|_{Y_+},$$

where M_2 is independent of h, k .

PROOF. Let $\phi \in Y_+$ and $0 \leq h < k$. By the definition (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} \{k^{1/2}[(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - \phi'(0)] - h^{1/2}[(1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h) - \phi'(0)]\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(1 - \frac{h^{1/2}}{k^{1/2}}\right) [(1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h) - \phi'(0)] \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2} + \left(1 - \frac{h^{1/2}}{k^{1/2}}\right) h^\alpha \right\} \|\phi\|_{Y_+} \\ &\leq M_2 \left(1 - \frac{h}{k}\right)^{1/2} (k-h)^\alpha \|\phi\|_{Y_+}. \end{aligned}$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and let X_+ and Y_+ be function spaces defined by (1.3) and (2.5) respectively. Then $Y_+ \subset X_+$.*

3. Boundary value problem.

In this section we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for f to satisfy the condition (1.2). We start with the following

LEMMA 3.1. *A point $(\lambda, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ belongs to the set $\Gamma_2(f)$ if and only if the point (λ, h) satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) $h \neq 0$;
- (b) For any $t \in [0, 1)$,

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(hs)ds > 0;$$

(c) *There exists a number $H \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*
 (c)₁ *for any $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(Hs)ds > 0;$$

(c)₂ *the equality*

$$(3.3) \quad h\left(\lambda - \int_0^1 2sf(hs)ds\right)^{1/2} + H\left(\lambda - \int_0^1 2sf(Hs)ds\right)^{1/2} = 0$$

holds;

(c)₃ *the equality*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(hs)ds\right)^{-1/2} dt + \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(Hs)ds\right)^{-1/2} dt = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

holds.

PROOF. Suppose that $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ and let (λ, u) be a solution of (1.1). Let ω denote the zero in $(0, \pi)$ of u . By an argument similar to that in the proof of [2, Lemma 2.1], it follows that $u'(\omega/2) = 0$ and $u'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in (0, \omega/2) \cup (\omega/2, (\omega + \pi)/2)$. By multiplying both sides of the differential equation in (1.1) by $2u'(x)$ and integrating from $\omega/2$ to x , we have

$$(3.5) \quad u'(x)^2 = \lambda(h^2 - u(x)^2) + \int_h^{u(x)} 2\xi f(\xi) d\xi.$$

The fact that $u'(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in (0, \omega/2)$ implies (3.1).

Let H be the second stationary value of $u(x)$. Since the above argument remains true if h is replaced by H , we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad u'(x)^2 = \lambda(H^2 - u(x)^2) + \int_H^{u(x)} 2\xi f(\xi) d\xi,$$

and (3.2). Substituting $x = \omega$ for (3.5) and (3.6) and noticing that $hH < 0$ lead to (3.3). Furthermore, from (3.5) and (3.6), it follows that

$$\int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(hs)ds\right)^{-1/2} dt = \frac{\omega}{2}, \quad \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(Hs)ds\right)^{-1/2} dt = \frac{\pi - \omega}{2}.$$

This proves (3.4).

Conversely, suppose that (λ, h) satisfies the conditions (a), (b), (c). Let $h > 0$. By (3.1), the function

$$x_1(u) := \int_0^{u/h} \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(hs)ds \right)^{-1/2} dt$$

is a monotonically increasing function of u , defined on the interval $[0, h)$. From (3.4), we have $\omega := 2x_1(h) < \infty$. Let $u_1(x)$ be the inverse function of $x_1(u)$. Then the function $u_1(x)$ satisfies $u_1(0)=0$, $u_1(\omega/2)=h$ and $u_1'(\omega/2)=0$. In view of $u_1'(\omega/2)=0$ we extend $u_1(x)$ as a function on the interval $[0, \omega]$, by letting $u_1(x)=u_1(\omega-x)$. Similarly, using H which satisfies the condition (c), we define a function u_2 as the inverse of the function

$$x_2(u) := \pi - \int_0^{u/H} \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2sf(Hs)ds \right)^{-1/2} dt.$$

Then, from (3.4), we have $x_2(H)=(\omega+\pi)/2$ and therefore $u_2(x)$ can be defined as a function on the interval $[\omega, \pi]$. By the assumption (3.3), it follows that the function

$$u(x) := \begin{cases} u_1(x) & 0 \leq x \leq \omega \\ u_2(x) & \omega \leq x \leq \pi \end{cases}$$

satisfies (1.1) and has exactly one zero in $(0, \pi)$. This proves $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$. The case $h < 0$ can be treated in a similar fashion.

We turn to the equation (3.3) with $\lambda=4$. Let f_+ and f_- denote the restrictions of f on the intervals $[0, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, 0]$, respectively and let U_{\pm} be sets defined by (1.5).

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, let $0 < \varepsilon < 4$ and let $f_{\pm} \in U_{\pm}$ respectively. Then there exists a unique function $H(h)$, defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$, satisfying, for any $h \geq 0$,*

$$(3.7) \quad h \left(4 - \int_0^1 2sf_+(hs)ds \right)^{1/2} + H(h) \left(4 - \int_0^1 2sf_-(H(h)s)ds \right)^{1/2} = 0.$$

The function $H(h)$ possesses the following properties:

- (i) $H(0)=0$.
- (ii) $H'(0)=-1$.
- (iii) There exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 independent of h such that, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$(3.8) \quad -C_1 h \leq H(h) \leq -C_2 h.$$

In particular

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} H(h) = -\infty$$

(iv) The function $H(h)$ is of class C^1 with the derivative

$$(3.10) \quad H'(h) = \frac{h(4-f_+(h))}{H(h)(4-f_-(H(h)))}.$$

PROOF. Put

$$(3.11) \quad \Phi(h, H) := \left(4h^2 - \int_0^h 2\xi f_+(\xi) d\xi\right) - \left(4H^2 - \int_0^H 2\xi f_-(\xi) d\xi\right).$$

For any $H < 0$, in view of the assumption $f_{\pm} \in U_{\pm}$,

$$\Phi_H(h, H) = -2H(4-f_-(H)) > 0.$$

Hence, for each $h \geq 0$, $\Phi(h, H)$ is a monotonically increasing function of H on the interval $(-\infty, 0]$. Since, in view of the assumption $f_{\pm} \in U_{\pm}$,

$$\Phi(h, H) < \left(4h^2 - \int_0^h 2\xi f_+(\xi) d\xi\right) - (4-\varepsilon)H^2,$$

$\Phi(h, H) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $H \rightarrow -\infty$. Moreover $\Phi(h, 0) \geq 0$. Therefore, by virtue of the intermediate-value theorem, we conclude that, for each $h \geq 0$, there exists exactly one number $H(h) \in (-\infty, 0]$ satisfying $\Phi(h, H(h)) = 0$, this is,

$$(3.12) \quad h^2 \left(4 - \int_0^1 2s f_+(hs) ds\right) = H(h)^2 \left(4 - \int_0^1 2s f_-(H(h)s) ds\right).$$

Since $H(h) \leq 0$, the relation (3.12) may be rewritten as (3.7).

Obviously $H(0) = 0$. Also, by (3.12) and the assumption $f_{\pm}(0) = 0$, we have $H'(0) = -1$. It follows from (3.12) and the assumption $f_{\pm} \in U_{\pm}$ that

$$\frac{4-\varepsilon}{4+\varepsilon} \leq \frac{H(h)^2}{h^2} \leq \frac{4+\varepsilon}{4-\varepsilon}$$

This proves (iii). Applying the implicit function theorem to the mapping $\Phi(h, H)$ defined in (3.11) proves (iv).

The following result is basic for our work.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $f_{\pm} \in U_{\pm}$ respectively and let $H(h)$ be the function defined in Lemma 3.2. Then a function f , defined by (1.6), satisfies the condition (1.2) if and only if f_{\pm} satisfies, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$(3.13) \quad \int_0^1 \left(4(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_+(hs) ds\right)^{-1/2} dt + \int_0^1 \left(4(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(H(h)s) ds\right)^{-1/2} dt = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that f_{\pm} satisfies (3.13) for any $h \geq 0$. Then, from Lemma 3.1, $(4, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ for any $h > 0$. An elementary observation shows that if $u(x)$ satisfies (1.1) then $\tilde{u}(x) := u(\pi - x)$ satisfies (1.1). It follows from this fact and

the definition of $\Gamma_2(f)$ that, for each $h > 0$, if $(4, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ then $(4, H(h)) \in \Gamma_2(f)$. Therefore $(4, H(h)) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ for any $h > 0$. This and the property (iii) of Lemma 3.2 prove that $\{(4, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : h \neq 0\} \subset \Gamma_2(f)$.

To prove that $\{(4, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : h \neq 0\} \supset \Gamma_2(f)$, suppose that $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$. Then, from Lemma 3.1, (λ, h) satisfies (3.4) for some H . But the assumption $f_{\pm} \in U_{\pm}$ yields

$$\frac{\pi}{(\lambda + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} \leq \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_+(hs) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt + \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(Hs) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt.$$

Hence we have $(\lambda + \varepsilon)^{-1/2} \pi \leq \pi/2$. This proves that if $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ then $\lambda \geq 4 - \varepsilon (> 3)$. Therefore, to prove that $\{(4, h) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : h \neq 0\} \supset \Gamma_2(f)$, it suffices to show, for each fixed $h \in \mathbf{R}$, the uniqueness of λ such that $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ in $\lambda \geq 3$. It follows from an argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 that there exists a unique function $H(\lambda, h)$ satisfying

$$\lambda H(\lambda, h)^2 - \int_0^{H(\lambda, h)} 2\xi f_-(\xi) d\xi = \lambda h^2 - \int_0^h 2\xi f_+(\xi) d\xi,$$

that the function $H(\lambda, h)$ can be estimated as

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{\lambda - \varepsilon}{\lambda + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{h^2}{H(\lambda, h)^2} \leq \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{\lambda - \varepsilon}$$

and that the derivative $H_{\lambda}(\lambda, h)$ of $H(\lambda, h)$ with respect to λ is written as

$$(3.15) \quad H_{\lambda}(\lambda, h) = \frac{h^2 - H(\lambda, h)^2}{2H(\lambda, h)} \frac{1}{\lambda - f_-(H(\lambda, h))}.$$

From (3.14) and (3.15) we have

$$(3.16) \quad \left| \frac{H_{\lambda}(\lambda, h)}{H(\lambda, h)} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda - \varepsilon)^2}.$$

We now put

$$\begin{aligned} U(\lambda) &:= \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_+(hs) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(H(\lambda, h)s) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

A calculation shows that

$$U_\lambda(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-t^2) \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_+(hs) ds \right)^{-3/2} dt \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left((1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s^2 f_-(H(\lambda, h)s) ds H_\lambda(\lambda, h) \right) \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(H(\lambda, h)s) ds \right)^{-3/2} dt.$$

But, from (2.2) and (3.16), we obtain, for $\lambda \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s^2 f_-(H(\lambda, h)s) ds H_\lambda(\lambda, h) \\ &= (1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s(H(\lambda, h)s f_-(H(\lambda, h)s)) ds \frac{H_\lambda(\lambda, h)}{H(\lambda, h)} \\ &\geq (1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s 3\varepsilon ds \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-\varepsilon)^2} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{(\lambda-\varepsilon)^2}\right)(1-t^2) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $\lambda \geq 3$, $U_\lambda(\lambda) < 0$, which leads to the uniqueness of λ such that $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ in $\lambda \geq 3$. This proves that f satisfies the condition (1.2). The converse is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

We conclude this section with the following estimates of the function $H(h)$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Under the same assumption as in Lemma 3.2, the function $H(h)$ possesses the following properties:*

(i) *There exist constants C_3, C_4 independent of h such that, for any $h \geq 0$,*

$$(3.17) \quad |H'(h)| \leq C_3; \quad |hH'(h)| \leq C_4|H(h)|.$$

(ii) *There exists a constant C_5 independent of h, k such that, for $0 \leq h \leq k$,*

$$(3.18) \quad |(1+k^{\alpha+1})H'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)| \leq C_5(1+k^{1/2})(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}.$$

(iii) *There exists a constant C_6 independent of h such that, for $h \geq 0$,*

$$(3.19) \quad |(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)f'_-(H(h)) + f'_-(0)| \leq C_6h^\alpha.$$

(iv) *There exists a constant C_7 independent of t, h such that, for $h \geq 0, t \leq 0$,*

$$(3.20) \quad |t(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)(f'_-(t) - f'_-(H(h)))| \leq C_7(|t| + |H(h)|)|H(h) - t|^\alpha.$$

PROOF. Using (3.10) and (3.8), we obtain

$$|H'(h)| \leq \frac{4+\|f_+\|_{X_+}}{C_2(4-\varepsilon)} =: C_3.$$

Hence $|hH'(h)| \leq C_3 h \leq C_3 C_2^{-1} |H(h)|$. This proves (i).

From (3.10) we have

$$(1+k^{\alpha+1})H'(k)-(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h) = \int_h^k \frac{d}{d\xi} \left\{ \frac{(1+\xi^{\alpha+1})\xi(4-f_+(\xi))}{H(\xi)(4-f_-(H(\xi)))} \right\} d\xi.$$

But, using (3.12), (3.8), (2.1), (2.2), (3.17) and a tedious calculation, it follows that, for $\xi \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left\{ \frac{(1+\xi^{\alpha+1})\xi(4-f_+(\xi))}{H(\xi)(4-f_-(H(\xi)))} \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left\{ (1+\xi^{\alpha+1}) \frac{\left(4 - \int_0^1 2s f_-(H(\xi)s) ds\right)^{1/2}}{\left(4 - \int_0^1 2s f_+(\xi s) ds\right)^{1/2}} \frac{(4-f_+(\xi))}{(4-f_-(H(\xi)))} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C_8(1+\xi^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where C_8 is independent of ξ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(1+k^{\alpha+1})H'(k)-(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)| &\leq C_8 \left| \int_h^k (1+\xi^\alpha) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq C_8(1+k^{1/2})(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_8 is independent of h, k . This proves (ii).

From (ii) of Lemma 3.2, (3.18), (2.1), (2.3) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)f'_-(H(h))+f'_-(0)| \\ &= | \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)-H'(0) \} f'_-(H(h)) - (f'_-(H(h))-f'_-(0)) | \\ &\leq C_5(1+h^{1/2})h^{\alpha+1/2}(1+|H(h)|)^{-1} 3\|f_-\|_{X_-} + M_1|H(h)|^\alpha \|f_-\|_{X_-} \\ &\leq C_5(1+h^{1/2})h^{\alpha+1/2}(1+C_2h)^{-1} 3\|f_-\|_{X_-} + M_1C_1^\alpha h^\alpha \|f_-\|_{X_-} \\ &\leq C_6h^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (iii).

To prove (iv), let $g(h) := f_-(-h)$. It follows from the assumption $f_- \in X_-$, (3.8), (3.17) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |t(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)(f'_-(t)-f'_-(H(h)))| \\ &= |H'(h)| |t(1+h^{\alpha+1})(f'_-(-H(h))-g'(-t))| \\ &= |H'(h)| \left| \frac{1+h^{\alpha+1}}{1+|H(h)|^{\alpha+1}} \right| \left| |t| \{ (1+|H(h)|^{\alpha+1})g'(|H(h)|) - (1+|t|^{\alpha+1})g'(-t) \} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (|H(h)|^{\alpha+1} - |t|^{\alpha+1})|t|g'(|t|) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_3 \left(\frac{1+h^{\alpha+1}}{1+C_2^{\alpha+1}h^{\alpha+1}} \right) \left\{ |t| |t-H(h)|^\alpha \|g\|_{x_+} + | |H(h)|^{\alpha+1} - |t|^{\alpha+1} | 3 \|g\|_{x_+} \right\} \\ &\leq C_7 (|t| + |H(h)|) |H(h)-t|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where C_7 is independent of h, t . This proves (iv).

4. Integral equation.

Let $\varepsilon < 4$, $f_\pm \in U_\pm$ respectively and set

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \Omega(f_+, f_-) &= \int_0^1 \left(4(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_+(hs) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left(4(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(H(h)s) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt - \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(h)$ is the function defined in Lemma 3.2. Note that (3.13) is rewritten as $\Omega(f_+, f_-) = 0$. In this section we shall solve the integral equation $\Omega(f_+, f_-) = 0$, where f_- is given in U_- .

To facilitate matters, we let

$$(4.2) \quad D(h, t) := 4(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(H(h)s) ds.$$

Then, by putting $\delta := 4 - \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad D(h, t) > (1-t^2)\delta.$$

The following estimate is useful.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, let $f_\pm \in U_\pm$ respectively and let $D(h, t)$ be defined in (4.2). Then:*

(i) *For $0 \leq h < k$ and $0 \leq t < 1$,*

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(h, t)^{3/2}} \right| \leq \frac{M_3}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} \frac{(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}}{1+h^{\alpha+1/2}},$$

where M_3 is independent of h, k, t .

(ii) *For $0 \leq h < k$ and $0 \leq t < 1$,*

$$(4.5) \quad (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) \left| \frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(0, t)^{3/2}} \right| \leq \frac{M'_3}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2},$$

where M'_3 is independent of h, k, t .

PROOF. It follows from (4.3), (2.1), (3.17), (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(h, t)^{3/2}} \right| \\
&= \left| 3 \int_h^k \frac{d\xi}{D(\xi, t)^{5/2}} \int_t^1 s^2 f'(H(\xi)s) H'(\xi) ds \right| \\
&\leq 3\delta^{-5/2} (1-t^2)^{-5/2} \int_t^1 s^2 ds \int_h^k 3(1+|H(\xi)s|)^{-1} d\xi C_3 \|f\|_{X_-} \\
&\leq 3\delta^{-5/2} (1-t^2)^{-5/2} \int_t^1 s ds \int_h^k 3(1+C_2\xi)^{-1} d\xi C_3 \|f\|_{X_-} \\
&\leq 9C_3 \delta^{-5/2} (1-t^2)^{-3/2} \log \frac{1+C_2k}{1+C_2h} \|f\|_{X_-} \\
&\leq \frac{M_4}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} \frac{(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}}{1+h^{\alpha+1/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where M_4 is independent of h, k . This proves (i).

In the case of $k \leq 1$, it follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) \left| \frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(0, t)^{3/2}} \right| &\leq \frac{M_3}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) k^{\alpha+1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{M_5}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of $k \geq 1$, it follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) \left| \frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(0, t)^{3/2}} \right| &\leq (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{D(0, t)^{3/2}} \right| \right\} \\
&\leq 2\delta^{-3/2} \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) \\
&\leq \frac{M'_5}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the constants M_5, M'_5 are independent of h, k , the proof of (ii) is complete.

We are now in a position to prove the following

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose that $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$, $\varepsilon < 4$. Let U_{\pm} be the sets defined by (1.5), let Y_+ be the function space defined by (2.5) and let $\Omega(f_+, f_-)$ be the mapping defined by (4.1). Then:

(i) $\Omega(f_+, f_-)$ is a continuous mapping of $U_+ \times U_-$ to Y_+ .

(ii) $\Omega(f_+, f_-)$ is Fréchet differentiable with respect to f_+ in $U_+ \times U_-$. The Fréchet derivative $\Omega_{f_+}(0, 0)$ of $\Omega(f_+, f_-)$ at $(0, 0)$ is written in the form

$$(4.6) \quad (\Omega_{f_+}(0, 0)f)(h) = \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} \int_t^1 s f(hs) ds.$$

(iii) The Fréchet derivative $\Omega_{f_+}(f_+, f_-)$ is continuous in $U_+ \times U_-$.

PROOF. We shall show only that if $(f_+, f_-) \in U_+ \times U_-$ then $\Omega(f_+, f_-) \in Y_+$, because other assertions can be shown similarly.

Let (f_+, f_-) be fixed in $U_+ \times U_-$ and put

$$\phi(h) := \int_0^1 \left(4(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_-(H(h)s) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt.$$

By (i), (ii) of Lemma 3.2, a calculation shows that

$$(1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h) - \phi'(0) = p_1(h) + p_2(h),$$

where

$$p_1(h) := \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{D(h, t)^{3/2}} \int_t^1 s^2 \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)f'_-(H(h)s) + f'_-(0) \} ds;$$

$$p_2(h) := -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{D(h, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(0, t)^{3/2}} \right) D_h(0, t) dt.$$

This yields

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & k^{1/2}[(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - \phi'(0)] - h^{1/2}[(1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h) - \phi'(0)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \{ k^{1/2} p_i(k) - h^{1/2} p_i(h) \}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $0 \leq h < k$ and shall estimate $k^{1/2} p_i(k) - h^{1/2} p_i(h)$, $i=1, 2$, separately.

From (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |k^{1/2} p_2(k) - h^{1/2} p_2(h)| \\ &= \left| -\frac{1}{2} (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{D(h, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(0, t)^{3/2}} \right) D_h(0, t) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} h^{1/2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{D(k, t)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(h, t)^{3/2}} \right) D_h(0, t) dt \right| \\ &\leq (\pi/4) M'_3 \|f_-\|_{X_-} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2} \\ &\quad + (\pi/4) M_3 \|f_-\|_{X_-} \frac{h^{1/2}}{1+h^{\alpha+1/2}} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that there exists a constant M_6 independent of h, k such that

$$(4.8) \quad |k^{1/2} p_2(k) - h^{1/2} p_2(h)| \leq M_6 (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}.$$

Changing the order of integration shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& k^{1/2} p_1(k) - h^{1/2} p_1(h) \\
&= k^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+k^{\alpha+1}) H'(k) f'_-(H(k)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \\
&\quad - h^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(H(h)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(h, s)^{3/2}} \\
&= h^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(H(h)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(h, s)^{3/2}} \right) ds \\
&\quad + k^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+k^{\alpha+1}) H'(k) f'_-(H(k)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \\
&\quad - h^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(H(h)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \\
&= h^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(H(h)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(h, s)^{3/2}} \right) ds \\
&\quad + k^{1/2} \int_0^{H(k)} \frac{t^2}{H(k)^3} \{ (1+k^{\alpha+1}) H'(k) f'_-(t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \\
&\quad - h^{1/2} \int_0^{H(h)} \frac{t^2}{H(h)^3} \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \\
&= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &:= h^{1/2} \int_0^1 t^2 \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(H(h)t) + f'_-(0) \} dt \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{D(h, s)^{3/2}} \right) ds; \\
J_2 &:= \int_0^{H(k)} \frac{k^{1/2} t^2}{H(k)^3} f'_-(t) dt \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \{ (1+k^{\alpha+1}) H'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) \}; \\
J_3 &:= \int_{H(h)}^{H(k)} \frac{k^{1/2} t^2}{H(k)^3} (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) (f'_-(t) - f'_-(H(h))) dt \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}}; \\
J_4 &:= \int_0^{H(h)} \left\{ \frac{k^{1/2}}{H(k)^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{H(h)^3} \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times t^2 (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) (f'_-(t) - f'_-(H(h))) dt \right\}; \\
J_5 &:= \left\{ \int_0^{H(k)} \frac{k^{1/2} t^2}{H(k)^3} dt \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \int_0^{H(h)} \frac{h^{1/2} t^2}{H(h)^3} dt \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \{ (1+h^{\alpha+1}) H'(h) f'_-(H(h)) + f'_-(0) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, by (2.1), (3.17), (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& |t\{(1+h^{\alpha+1})H'(h)f'_-(H(h)t)+f'(0)\}| \\
& \leq t\{(1+h^{\alpha+1})|H'(h)|3(1+|H(h)|t)^{-1}\|f_-\|_{X_-}+\|f_-\|_{X_-}\} \\
& \leq t\{(1+h^{\alpha+1})C_33(1+C_2ht)^{-1}\|f_-\|_{X_-}+\|f_-\|_{X_-}\} \\
& \leq 3C_3(1+h^{\alpha+1})(1+C_2h)^{-1}\|f_-\|_{X_-}+\|f_-\|_{X_-}
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, it follows from (4.4) that there exists a constant M_7 independent of h, k such that $|J_1| \leq M_7(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}$.

Next, from (3.18), (2.1), (4.3), (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_2| & \leq \int_0^1 k^{1/2} t^2 |f'_-(H(k)t)| dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} C_5 (1+k^{1/2})(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2} \\
& \leq \int_0^1 k^{1/2} t^3 3(1+|H(k)t|)^{-1} \|f_-\|_{X_-} \delta^{-3/2} (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt C_5 (1+k^{1/2})(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2} \\
& \leq 3\delta^{-3/2} C_5 \int_0^1 t^3 (1+C_2 kt)^{-1} (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt \|f_-\|_{X_-} k^{1/2} (1+k^{1/2})(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2} \\
& \leq 3\delta^{-3/2} C_5 \int_0^1 t^2 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt \|f_-\|_{X_-} (1+C_2 k)^{-1} k^{1/2} (1+k^{1/2})(k-h)^{\alpha+1/2} \\
& \leq M_8 (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where M_8 is a constant independent of h, k . Moreover, by virtue of (3.20), (4.3), (3.8) and (3.17), the term J_3 may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3| & \leq \left| \int_{H(h)}^{H(k)} \frac{k^{1/2}|t|}{|H(k)|^3} 2C_7 |t| |H(h)-t|^\alpha \delta^{-3/2} \frac{|t|}{(H(k)^2-t^2)^{1/2}} dt \right| \\
& \leq 2C_7 \delta^{-3/2} \int_{H(k)}^{H(h)} \frac{k^{1/2}}{|H(k)|^{1/2}} (H(h)-t)^\alpha (t-H(k))^{-1/2} dt \\
& \leq 2C_7 C_2^{-1/2} \delta^{-3/2} \int_{H(k)}^{H(h)} (H(h)-t)^\alpha (t-H(k))^{-1/2} dt \\
& = 2C_7 C_2^{-1/2} \delta^{-3/2} B(1/2, \alpha+1) (H(h)-H(k))^{\alpha+1/2}, \\
& \leq M_9 (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the beta function.

The estimate (3.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_4| & \leq \int_{H(h)}^0 \left| \frac{k^{1/2}}{H(k)^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{H(h)^3} \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right| \\
& \quad \times 2C_7 |t| |H(h)| (t-H(h))^\alpha dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{H(h)}^0 \left| \frac{k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}}{H(k)^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right| 2C_7 |t| |H(h)| (t - H(h))^\alpha dt \\
&\quad + \int_{H(h)}^0 h^{1/2} \left| \frac{1}{H(k)^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{H(h)^3} \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right| \\
&\quad \times 2C_7 |t| |H(h)| (t - H(h))^\alpha dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.3) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H(h)}^0 \left| \frac{k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}}{H(k)^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right| |t| |H(h)| (t - H(h))^\alpha dt \\
&\leq \delta^{-3/2} \frac{k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}}{|H(k)|^3} |H(h)| \int_{H(h)}^0 \frac{t^2 (t - H(h))^\alpha}{(H(k)^2 - t^2)^{1/2}} dt \\
&\leq \delta^{-3/2} \frac{k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}}{|H(k)|^{7/2}} |H(h)|^3 \int_{H(h)}^0 (t - H(h))^{\alpha-1/2} dt \\
&\leq \delta^{-3/2} (\alpha + 1/2)^{-1} (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) (C_2 k)^{-7/2} (C_1 h)^{\alpha+7/2} \\
&\leq M_{10} (k - h)^{\alpha+1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where M_{10} is independent of h, k . Change of variables shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H(h)}^0 h^{1/2} \left| \frac{1}{H(k)^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{H(h)^3} \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right| |t| |H(h)| (t - H(h))^\alpha dt \\
&= h^{1/2} \int_{H(h)}^0 \left\{ \frac{1}{|H(h)|^3} \int_0^{t/H(h)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{|H(k)|^3} \int_0^{t/H(k)} \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right\} \\
&\quad \times |t| |H(h)| (t - H(h))^\alpha dt \\
&= h^{1/2} (-H(h))^\alpha \left\{ \int_0^1 t(1-t)^\alpha dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{H(h)/H(k)} \frac{H(h)}{H(k)} t(1-(H(k)/H(h))t)^\alpha dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right\} \\
&= h^{1/2} (-H(h))^\alpha \left\{ \int_{H(h)/H(k)}^1 t(1-t)^\alpha dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^{H(h)/H(k)} t \left[(1-t)^\alpha - \frac{H(h)}{H(k)} (1-(H(k)/H(h))t)^\alpha \right] dt \int_0^t \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which, by a similar argument to that in the end of the proof of [2, Lemma 3.1], may be bounded from above by the quantity $\text{constant} \times |H(k) - H(h)|^{\alpha+1/2}$ and therefore, in view of (3.17), by the quantity $\text{constant} \times (k - h)^{\alpha+1/2}$. Thus the term J_4 may be estimated as $|J_4| \leq M_{11} (k - h)^{\alpha+1/2}$, where M_{11} is independent of h, k .

By changing the order of integration and using (3.19), (4.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_5| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} \left(\int_{H(k)s}^{H(k)} \frac{k^{1/2} t^2}{H(k)^3} dt - \int_{H(h)s}^{H(h)} \frac{h^{1/2} t^2}{H(h)^3} dt \right) \right| C_6 h^\alpha \\
&= (1/3) \int_0^1 \frac{1-s^3}{D(k, s)^{3/2}} ds C_6 (k^{1/2} - h^{1/2}) h^\alpha \\
&\leq M_{12} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where M_{12} is independent of h, k . Thus we conclude that

$$(4.9) \quad |k^{1/2} p_1(k) - h^{1/2} p_1(h)| \leq M_{13} (k-h)^{\alpha+1/2}.$$

Combining (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) shows that $\phi \in Y_+$. Similarly, the first term in the right side of (4.1) belongs to the space Y_+ . Therefore $\Omega(f_+, f_-) \in Y_+$.

The following lemma is an analogue of [2, Theorem 4.1].

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, $\varepsilon < 4$. Let U_\pm be the sets defined by (1.5), let Y_+ be the function space defined by (2.5) and let $\Omega(f_+, f_-)$ be the mapping defined by (4.1). Then the Fréchet derivative $\Omega_{f_+}(0, 0)$ of $\Omega(f_+, f_-)$ at $(0, 0)$ is a linear homeomorphism of X_+ onto Y_+ .

PROOF. In view of (4.6) the mapping $\Omega_{f_+}(0, 0)$ may be written in the form

$$(4.10) \quad 8(\Omega_{f_+}(0, 0)f)(h) = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} \int_t^1 s f(hs) ds = \int_0^1 \frac{t^2 f(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt.$$

By the open mapping theorem, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the equation

$$(4.11) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{t^2 f(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt = \phi(h),$$

where ϕ is given in Y_+ , has a unique solution f in X_+ . But, as was shown in [2, §4], the equation (4.11) may be solved as

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad f(h) &= (2/\pi) h^{-2} \frac{d}{dh} \left\{ h^3 \int_0^1 \frac{t^3 \phi(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt \right\} \\
&= (6/\pi) \int_0^1 \frac{t^3 \phi(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt + (2/\pi) h \int_0^1 \frac{t^4 \phi'(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that, for each $\phi \in Y_+$, the function f defined by (4.12) belongs to the space X_+ . To facilitate matters we let

$$q(h) := \int_0^1 \frac{t^3 \phi(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt; \quad r(h) := h \int_0^1 \frac{t^4 \phi'(ht)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt.$$

Obviously, $\sup_{0 \leq h < \infty} |q(h)| \leq (2/3) \|\phi\|_{Y_+}$. By Lemma 2.3, the assumption $\phi \in Y_+$ implies $\phi \in X_+$. Therefore, using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |t^{\alpha+1}\{(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt)-(1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(ht)\}| \\
&= |(t^{\alpha+1}-1)(\phi'(kt)-\phi'(ht))+\{(1+(kt)^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt)-(1+(ht)^{\alpha+1})\phi'(ht)\}| \\
&\leq (M_1+1)\|\phi\|_{x_+}(k-h)^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$(4.13) \quad |(1+k^{\alpha+1})q'(k)-(1+h^{\alpha+1})q'(h)| \leq (\pi/2)(M_1+1)\|\phi\|_{x_+}(k-h)^\alpha.$$

We now turn to the function $r(h)$. By virtue of (2.2), $\sup_{0 \leq h < \infty} |r(h)| \leq 2\|\phi\|_{x_+}$. By integrating by parts, the function $r(h)$ may be rewritten as

$$r(h) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} (\phi(h) - \phi(ht)) dt.$$

Differentiating this with respect to h leads to

$$r'(h) = (3\pi/16)\phi'(h) + \int_0^1 \left\{ t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} (\phi'(h) - \phi'(ht)) dt.$$

Hence, for $0 \leq h < k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad & (1+k^{\alpha+1})r'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})r'(h) \\
&= (3\pi/16)\{(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)\} \\
&+ \int_0^{h/k} \left\{ t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} \{((1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)) \\
&\quad - ((1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(ht))\} dt \\
&+ \int_{h/k}^1 \left\{ t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} \{(1+k^{\alpha+1})(\phi'(k) - \phi'(kt)) \\
&\quad - (1+h^{\alpha+1})(\phi'(h) - \phi'(ht))\} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.6) the first term in the right side of (4.14) may be estimated as

$$|(3\pi/16)\{(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)\}| \leq (3\pi/16)M_2\|\phi\|_{x_+}(k-h)^\alpha.$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |((1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)) - ((1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(ht))| \\
&\leq |(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)| \\
&\quad + |(1+(kt)^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt) - (1+(ht)^{\alpha+1})\phi'(ht)| \\
&\quad + |t^{-\alpha-1}(1-t^{\alpha+1})((kt)^{\alpha+1}\phi'(kt) - (ht)^{\alpha+1}\phi'(ht))| \\
&\leq 2M_2\left(1 - \frac{h}{k}\right)^{1/2}(k-h)^\alpha\|\phi\|_{x_+} + M_1 t^{-1}(1-t^{\alpha+1})(k-h)^\alpha\|\phi\|_{x_+}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the second term in the right side of (4.14) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^{h/k} \left\{ t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} \{((1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(h)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - ((1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})\phi'(ht))\} dt \right| \\
& \leq 2M_2 \left(1 - \frac{h}{k}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^{h/k} \frac{5}{(1-t)^{3/2}} dt \|\phi\|_{X_+} (k-h)^\alpha \\
& \quad + M_1' \int_0^1 (1-t^{\alpha+1}) \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} dt \|\phi\|_{X_+} (k-h)^\alpha \\
& \leq M_{14} (k-h)^\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

where M_{14} is independent of h, k . It follows from (2.2), (2.6) that there exists a constant M_{15} independent of k such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(1+k^{\alpha+1})(\phi'(k) - \phi'(kt))| \\
& = |(1+k^{\alpha+1})\phi'(k) - (1+(kt)^{\alpha+1})\phi'(kt)| - (1-t^{\alpha+1})k^{\alpha+1}\phi'(kt)| \\
& \leq M_2(1-t)^{\alpha+1/2} \|\phi\|_{X_+} k^\alpha + 3t^{-1}(1-t^{\alpha+1}) \|\phi\|_{X_+} k^\alpha \\
& \leq M_{15} t^{-1} (1-t)^{\alpha+1/2} k^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the third term in the right side of (4.14) may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{h/k}^1 \left\{ t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{t^4}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} \right\} \{ (1+k^{\alpha+1})(\phi'(k) - \phi'(kt)) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})(\phi'(h) - \phi'(ht)) \} dt \right| \\
& \leq 4M_{15} (k^\alpha + h^\alpha) \int_{h/k}^1 (1-t)^{\alpha-1} dt \\
& \leq 8M_{15} (k-h)^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that

$$(4.14) \quad |(1+k^{\alpha+1})r'(k) - (1+h^{\alpha+1})r'(h)| \leq M_{16} (k-h)^\alpha,$$

where M_{16} is independent of h, k .

Combining (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) shows that $f \in X_+$.

We now present

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 enable us to apply the implicit function theorem (see e.g., [4, Theorem 2.7.2]) to the operator $\mathcal{Q}(f_+, f_-)$ and to conclude that there exists a neighborhood V_- and a unique continuous mapping $S: f_- \rightarrow f_+$, defined in V_- , such that $\mathcal{Q}(f_+, f_-) = 0$ with $f_- \in V_-$ is uniquely solvable as $f_+ = S(f_-)$. Combining this observation and Lemma 3.3 proves Theorem 1.1.

5. Even nonlinear term.

In this section we shall treat the case the nonlinear term f of (1.1) is even. We start with the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose that f is a Lipschitz continuous, even, real function defined on \mathbf{R} . Let $u(x)$ be a solution of (1.1) with exactly one zero in $(0, \pi)$ and let h be the first stationary value of $u(x)$. Then the second stationary value H is equal to $-h$.*

PROOF. Let ω denote the zero of $u(x)$ in $(0, \pi)$. As is easily seen from the assumption that f is even, the function $v(x) := -u(2\omega - x)$ is a solution of $u'' + \lambda u = u f(u)$ satisfying $v(\omega) = u(\omega)$, $v'(\omega) = u'(\omega)$. Hence, by the uniqueness theorem for an initial-value problem, $v(x) \equiv u(x)$, that is,

$$(5.1) \quad u(x) \equiv -u(2\omega - x).$$

By substituting $x=0$ for (5.1), we have $\omega = \pi/2$. Therefore, from (5.1), it follows that $u(x) \equiv -u(\pi - x)$, which proves the lemma.

The following is an analogue of the corresponding result [2, Lemma 2.2] for $\Gamma_1(f)$.

LEMMA 5.2. *Under the same assumption on f as in Lemma 5.1, a point $(\lambda, h) \in \mathbf{R}$ belongs to $\Gamma_2(f)$ if and only if the point (λ, h) satisfies the following condition:*

- (a) $h \neq 0$;
- (b)

$$\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f(hs) ds > 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, 1];$$

- (c)

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f(hs) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

PROOF. Let $(\lambda, h) \in \Gamma_2(f)$ and let u be a solution of (1.1) associated with (λ, h) . The condition (3.3) implies that H is the second stationary value of $u(x)$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, the equation (3.3) of H has a unique solution $H = -h$. In view of this observation, Lemma 5.2 is direct from Lemma 3.1.

The methods in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.3] apply to the equation (5.2), giving the following result.

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose that f is a Lipschitz continuous, even, real function defined on \mathbf{R} . Then there exists a continuous, even function $\lambda(h)$, defined on \mathbf{R} ,*

such that $\Gamma_2(f) = \{(\lambda(h), h) : h \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

The main result of this section can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 5.4. Suppose that f_1, f_2 are Lipschitz continuous, even, real functions defined on \mathbf{R} . If $\Gamma_2(f_1) = \Gamma_2(f_2)$ then $f_1 \equiv f_2$.

PROOF. By Theorem 5.3, there exists an even function $\lambda(h)$ defined on \mathbf{R} such that, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$(5.3) \quad \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(h)(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_i(hs) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (i=1, 2).$$

Put

$$D_i(h, t) := \lambda(h)(1-t^2) - \int_t^1 2s f_i(hs) ds \quad (i=1, 2).$$

Using (5.3) and an interchange of the order of integration, we obtain, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{D_2(h, t)^{1/2}} - \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{D_1(h, t)^{1/2}} \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{D_2(h, t)^{1/2} D_1(h, t)^{1/2} \{D_2(h, t)^{1/2} + D_1(h, t)^{1/2}\}} \int_t^1 2s(f_2(hs) - f_1(hs)) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 2s(f_2(hs) - f_1(hs)) ds \int_0^s \frac{dt}{D_2(h, t)^{1/2} D_1(h, t)^{1/2} \{D_2(h, t)^{1/2} + D_1(h, t)^{1/2}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$(5.4) \quad \int_0^h 2\xi(f_2(\xi) - f_1(\xi)) d\xi \int_0^{\xi/h} \frac{dt}{D_2(h, t)^{1/2} D_1(h, t)^{1/2} \{D_2(h, t)^{1/2} + D_1(h, t)^{1/2}\}} = 0.$$

If the assertion is not true, then there exist numbers a, b ($0 \leq a < b$) such that (i) $f_2(\xi) - f_1(\xi) = 0$ for $0 \leq \xi \leq a$, (ii) $f_2(\xi) - f_1(\xi) > 0$ (or < 0) for $a < \xi \leq b$. Substituting $h = b$ to (5.4) yields a contradiction and proves Theorem.

References

- [1] M.G. Crandall and P.H. Rabinowitz, Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues, J. Funct. Anal., **8** (1971), 321-340.
- [2] Y. Kamimura, An inverse problem in bifurcation theory, J. Differential Equations, **106** (1993), 10-26.
- [3] J.J. Levin and S.S. Schatz, Non-linear oscillations of fixed period, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **7** (1963), 284-288.
- [4] L. Nierenberg, Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Courant Institute of mathematical Sciences, 1974.
- [5] Chike Obi, Analytical theory of non-linear oscillations. VII, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **55** (1976), 295-301.

- [6] Chike Obi, Analytical theory of non-linear oscillations. VIII, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 117 (1978), 339-347.
- [7] P.H. Rabinowitz, Nonlinear Sturm-Liouville problems for second order ordinary differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 23 (1970), 939-961.
- [8] M. Urabe, Potential forces which yield periodic motion of a fixed period, J. Math. Mech., 10 (1961), 569-578.
- [9] M. Urabe, Relations between periods and amplitudes of periodic solutions of $\ddot{x}+g(x)=0$, Funkcial. Ekvac., 6 (1964), 63-88.
- [10] M. Urabe, Nonlinear Autonomous Oscillations, Academic Press, New York, London, 1967.

Yutaka KAMIMURA

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo University of Fisheries
Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108
Japan