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## 0 . Introduction.

In [16] Narasimhan and Seshadri proved that every stable vector bundle on a compact Riemann surface comes from an irreducible projective unitary representation of the fundamental group. In other words there exists an irreducible Hermitian Einstein metric on every stable vector bundle. In [2] Atiyah and Bott observed that the moduli space of stable vector bundles is considered as a Kähler quotient of the space of all holomorphic structures by the gauge group. In [6] Donaldson gave a different proof of the theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri in this context. This theorem was generalized to higher dimensional cases by Donaldson [7, 8] and Uhlenbeck and Yau [19].

In [11] Hitchin extended this theory in another direction. He introduced the notion of a Higgs bundle, which is a generalization of a holomorphic vector bundle. He also introduced the notion of stability for Higgs bundles and showed that there exist irreducible Hermitian Einstein metrics on stable Higgs bundles and that stable Higgs bundles correspond to irreducible projective (not necessary unitary) representations of the fundamental group. He also pointed out that the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles can be viewed as a hyperkähler quotient. In [17] Simpson generalized this result to higher dimensional cases.

It seems quite natural to generalize these results to the case when base spaces are noncompact. In [15] Mehta and Seshadri introduced the notion of a parabolic vector bundle, which is a pair of a vector bundle and flags of fibers over some points called parabolic points. They showed that every stable parabolic vector bundle comes from an irreducible projective unitary representations of the fundamental group of the complement of the parabolic points. In [3] Biquard gave a different proof of this theorem from a gauge theoretical point of view by choosing the appropriate Sobolev completion of the space of all parabolic holomorphic structures.

In the case of stable parabolic Higgs bundles Simpson [17] showed the existence of Hermitian Einstein metrics. To do this, he solved a PDE in some
function space. However to construct the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles, we must solve this PDE in a smaller function space.

In this paper we give the appropriate Sobolev completion of the space of
all parabolic Higgs structures and show the existence of Hermitian Einstein metrics on stable parabolic Higgs bundles in this function space. Then we construct the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles as a hyperkähler quotient by the gauge group.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we fix our notation and state our results. In section 2 we discussed the Sobolev completion of the space of all parabolic Higgs structures and construct the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles. In section 3 we construct and study the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles. In section 4 we show the existence of Hermitian Einstein metrics on stable parabolic Higgs bundles so that we identify the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles and that of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles.

The author would like to thank Professor Ochiai for his advice and constant encouragement. The author is also happy to thank Dr. Nakajima and Dr. Gocho for their valuable comments.

After completing this work, the referees informed the author that in [20] Nasatyr proved a construction of the parabolic Higgs bundle moduli space by using orbifold methods. The author would like to thank the referees for it.

## 1. Notation and the results.

Let $\Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface with a Kähler form $\omega$. We normalize the volume of $\Sigma$ to be 1 . Let $E$ be a smooth vector bundle on $\Sigma$. We fix a finite set of points $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{n}$ of $\Sigma$, which we call parabolic points. We set $\Sigma_{0}=\Sigma \backslash\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{n}\right\}$. Moreover at each parabolic point $P_{i}$ we fix a flag and an increasing sequence of real numbers called weights:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{P_{i}}=F_{1} E_{P_{i}} \supsetneq F_{2} E_{P_{i}} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F_{a_{i}} E_{P_{i}} \supsetneq F_{a_{i}+1} E_{P_{i}}=\{0\}, \\
w_{1}^{(i)}<w_{2}^{(i)}<\cdots<w_{a_{i}}^{(i)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where we assume $w_{a_{i}}^{(i)}-w_{1}^{(i)}<1$. We define $\alpha_{k}^{(i)}(1 \leqq k \leqq r)$ by

$$
\alpha_{k}^{(i)}=w_{j}^{(i)} \quad \text { if } r-\operatorname{dim} F_{j} E_{P_{i}}<k \leqq r-\operatorname{dim} F_{j+1} E_{P_{i}}
$$

where $r=\operatorname{rank} E$. We define the parabolic degree by

$$
\operatorname{pardeg} E=\operatorname{deg} E+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \alpha_{k}^{(i)},
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} E$ is the degree of $E$ in the usual sense. Moreover we set

$$
\mu=\frac{\operatorname{pardeg} E}{\operatorname{rank} E} .
$$

We fix a Hermitian metric $K$ on $E$, which is smooth and non singular on $\Sigma_{0}$ but singular at $P_{i}$ as follows. Let $U_{i}$ be a neighbourhood of $P_{i}$. We fix a local coordinate $z_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ with $z_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)=0$. Then we take a smooth frame $\left\{e_{k}^{(i)}\right\}$ for $E \mid U_{i}$ such that $F_{j} E_{P_{i}}$ is spanned by $\left\{e_{k}^{(i)}\left(P_{i}\right) \mid \alpha_{k}^{(i)} \geqq \omega_{j}^{(i)}\right\}$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq a_{i}$. Then define $K$ so that $\left\{e_{k}^{(i)} /\left|z_{i}\right|_{k}^{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}}\right\}$ is a unitary frame for $E \mid \Sigma_{0}$.

We shall write $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ for the space of smooth holomorphic structures on $E$. For $\widehat{\partial}_{B} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ let $d_{B}, R_{B}$ denote the Hermitian connection on $E$ with respect to $K$ induced by $\bar{\partial}_{B}$ and its curvature, let $d_{B}^{Z}, R_{B}^{Z}$ denote the induced connection on $\wedge^{r} E$ and its curvature. We fix $\bar{\partial}_{B_{0}} \in \mathscr{B}$ such that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \Lambda R_{B_{0}}^{Z}=\mu \mathrm{id}_{\wedge} r_{E},
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the contraction with the Kähler form $\omega$. It is easy to see that there always exists a holomorphic structure that satisfies the above condition. We set

$$
\mathscr{B}=\left\{\bar{\partial}_{B} \in \mathscr{B}^{\prime} \mid d_{B}^{Z}=d_{B_{0}}^{Z}\right\}
$$

At each $P_{i}$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i} & =\left\{g \in \operatorname{End} E_{P_{i}} \mid g\left(F_{j} E_{P_{i}}\right) \subset F_{j} E_{P_{i}} \text { for any } j\right\} \\
N_{i} & =\left\{g \in \operatorname{End} E_{P_{i}} \mid g\left(F_{j} E_{P_{i}}\right) \subset F_{j+1} E_{P_{i}} \text { for any } j\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega^{0}(\operatorname{ParEnd} E)=\left\{g \in \Omega^{0}(\text { End } E) \mid g_{P_{i}} \in B_{i}\right\} \\
& g^{c}=\left\{g \in \Omega^{0}(\operatorname{ParEnd} E) \mid \operatorname{det} g_{x}=1 \quad \text { for any } x \in \Sigma\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $E n d{ }^{0} E$ denote the vector bundle of trace free endomorphisms of $E$. Now we can define the space of parabolic Higgs structures $\mathscr{D}$ as follows.

Definition 1.1. We say $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathscr{D}$ if the following conditions hold:
(1) $\bar{\partial}_{B} \in \mathcal{B}$.
(2) $\theta$ is an $E^{0} E$ valued $\bar{\partial}_{B}$-meromorphic (1,0) form on $\Sigma$ and $\bar{\partial}_{B}$-holomorphic on $\Sigma_{0}$.
(3) $\theta$ has a pole of at most 1st order with the residue in $N_{i}$ at each $P_{i}$.

For $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}$ we call a pair $\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ a parabolic Higgs bundle. We define the right action of $\mathscr{G}^{c}$ on $\mathscr{D}$ by

$$
D^{\prime \prime} \longmapsto g^{-1} \circ D^{\prime \prime} \circ g \quad \text { for any } g \in G^{c}, D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}
$$

Let $\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta\right)$ be a parabolic Higgs bundle. Let $V$ be a subbundle of $E$. We say $V$ is a sub Higgs bundle if $D^{\prime \prime} \Omega^{0}(V) \subset \Omega^{1}(V)$. This condition is equi-
valent to that $V$ is a $\bar{\partial}_{B}$-holomorphic subbundle and $\theta(V) \subset K \otimes V$, where $K$ is the canonical bundle of $\Sigma$. Next we define the induced parabolic structure on $V$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{P_{i}}=F_{1} V_{P_{i}} \supsetneq F_{2} V_{P_{i}} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F_{b_{i}} V_{P_{i}} \supsetneq F_{b_{i}+1} V_{P_{i}}=\{0\}, \\
x_{1}^{(i)}<x_{2}^{(i)}<\cdots<x_{b_{i}}^{(i)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking the greatest $k$ such that $V_{P_{i}} \subset F_{k} E_{P_{i}}$, then we define $x_{1}^{(i)}=w_{k}^{(i)}$. To define $F_{j} V_{P_{i}}$ and $x_{j}^{(i)}$ inductively, assume $x_{j-1}^{(i)}=w_{k}^{(i)}$ and $F_{j-1} V_{P_{i}}=V_{P_{i}} \cap F_{k} E_{P_{i}}$. Then we define $F_{j} V_{P_{i}}=V_{P_{i}} \cap F_{k+1} E_{P_{i}}$ and, taking the greatest $l$ such that $F_{j} V_{P_{i}}$ $\subset F_{l} E_{P_{i}}$, we set $x_{j}^{(i)}=w_{l}^{(i)}$.

Now we can introduce the notion of stability for parabolic Higgs bundles.
DEFINITION 1.2. We say $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}$ is stable if for any sub Higgs bundle $V$ of ( $E, D^{\prime \prime}$ ),

$$
\frac{\operatorname{pardeg} V}{\operatorname{rank} V}<\mu
$$

We set $\mathscr{D}^{s t}=\left\{D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D} \mid D^{\prime \prime}\right.$ is stable $\}$. Since $\mathcal{G}^{c}$ preserves $\mathscr{D}^{s t}$, we can construct the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles with fixed determinant and parabolic structures as $\mathscr{D}^{s t} / \mathcal{G}^{c}$. In this paper we study this moduli space.

First we give the appropriate Sobolev completion of $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{D}$. To do this, we use the weighted Sobolev norm $\left\|\|_{D_{k}^{p}}\right.$. See Section 2 for the precise definition. Let $\mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}, \mathcal{G}^{c}{ }_{2}^{p}$ be the completions of $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{c}$ with respect to the norms $\left\|\|_{D_{1}^{p}}\right.$ and $\| \|_{D_{2}^{p}}$ respectively. Then we show the following.

Proposition 1.3. (2.7). There exists $p>1$ such that the natural map

$$
i: \mathscr{D} / \mathcal{G}^{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}^{C}{ }_{2}^{p}
$$

is bijective.
Now we fix $p>1$ in Proposition 1.3. So we can define $\mathscr{D}^{s t p}$ naturally and the quotient space $\mathscr{D}^{s t}{ }_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{C}{ }_{2}^{p}$ is the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ be the Sobolev completion of $\mathscr{B} \times \Omega^{1,0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$. As in the usual Higgs bundle case, there exists a hyperkähler structure $(g ; I, J, K)$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$, which is preserved under the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$. There exist the moment maps $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$ corresponding to $I, J, K$ respectively. Then we have $\mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}=\mu_{2}^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_{3}^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$. For $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}, \mu_{1}\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)=R_{D}^{\frac{1}{D}}$, where $R_{D}^{1}$ is a trace free part of the curvature of the connection on $E$ corresponding to $D^{\prime \prime}$. See Section 3.1 for detail. So we define $\mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}^{-1}(0)$. Define $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}=\mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{D}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$, where $\mathscr{D}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$ is the space of irreducible parabolic Higgs structures on $E$. So we can construct the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles as $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r}{ }_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$, which is a hyperkähler quotient of $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ by $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ in the
sense of [13]. Then we have the following.
Proposition 1.4 (4.2). $\quad \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p} \subset \mathscr{D}^{s t}{ }_{1}^{p}$.
Now we can identify the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles with that of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles as follows.

Theorem 1.5 (4.3). The natural map

$$
j: \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r_{1}^{p}} / \mathcal{Q}_{2}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{s t p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{c}{ }_{2}^{p}
$$

is bijective.
Since Hermitian Einstein metrics is not smooth at parabolic points, the Sobolev completion is essential for the above bijection. The above theorem implies that there exists a unique Hermitian Einstein metric on every stable parabolic Higgs bundle. By studying $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p} / G_{2}^{p}$, we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 (3.9, 3.10, 3.11). The moduli space $\mathscr{D}^{s t} / \mathcal{G}^{c}$ is a smooth hyperkähler manifold, whose complex dimension is

$$
2\left\{(g-1)\left(r^{2}-1\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{C} N_{i}\right\},
$$

If $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}=\mathscr{G}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}$, the Riemannian metric on the moduli space is complete.

## 2. Sobolev completion of the space of parabolic Higgs structures.

In [3] Biquard introduced the appropriate Sobolev completion of the space of parabolic holomorphic structures. In this section we observe this completion also gives suitable settings in the case of parabolic Higgs bundles.
2.1. Weighted Sobolev spaces. In this subsection we review weighted Sobolev spaces. We set

$$
U=\{z=x+\sqrt{-1} y=\rho \exp \sqrt{-1} \theta \in \boldsymbol{C} \mid \rho \leqq 1\}
$$

Let $L_{k}^{p}$ denote the usual Sobolev space of functions on $U$ with $k$ derivatives in $L^{p}$. For $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$ we define

$$
\|f\|_{W_{k, \delta}^{p}}=\left\{\int_{U i+j \leq k} \sum\left|\rho^{i+j-\delta} \frac{d^{i}}{d x^{i}} \frac{d^{j}}{d y^{j}} f\right|^{p} \frac{d x d y}{\rho^{2}}\right\}^{1 / p} .
$$

We set $\|f\|_{W_{k}^{p}}=\|f\|_{W_{k, k-2 / p^{p}}}$, that is,

$$
\|f\|_{W_{k}^{p}}=\left\{\int_{U i+j \leq k} \sum\left|\rho^{i+j-k} \frac{d^{i}}{d x^{i}} \frac{d^{j}}{d y^{j}} f\right|^{p} d x d y\right\}^{1 / p} .
$$

Let $W_{k}^{p}$ be the completion of $C^{\infty}(U)$ by the norm $\left\|\|_{W_{k}^{p}}\right.$. If we write this norm in the coordinate $(\theta, \zeta)$ on $U \backslash\{0\}$, where $\zeta=-\log \rho$, then this norm equivalent to

$$
\|f\|_{W_{k, \delta}^{p}}^{\prime}=\left\{\int_{U i+j \leqslant k} \sum\left|e^{\delta \zeta} \frac{d^{i}}{d \theta^{i}} \frac{d^{j}}{d \zeta^{j}} f\right|^{p} d \theta d \zeta\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Therefore this norm is essentially the same as the one treated in Lockhart and McOwen [14]. Clearly we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $k>0$. If $f \in W_{k}^{p}$ then $f / \rho \in W_{k-1}^{p}$.
Biquard [3] showed the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that for nonnegative integer $l, l-1<k-2 / p<l$ holds. Then we have

$$
W_{k}^{p}=\left\{f \in L_{k}^{p} \mid f(0)=0, \cdots,\left(\nabla^{l-1} f\right)(0)=0\right\}
$$

Moreover $\left\|\|_{W_{k}^{p}}\right.$ and $\| \|_{L_{k}^{p}}$ are equivalent in $W_{k}^{p}$.
By this lemma we have for $1<p<2$

$$
W_{0}^{p}=L^{p}, \quad W_{1}^{p}=L_{1}^{p}, \quad W_{2}^{p}=\left\{f \in L_{2}^{p} \mid f(0)=0\right\}
$$

We need the following lemma later.
Lemma 2.3. Assume $0<\varepsilon<1,1<p<2 /(2-\varepsilon), 2 /(1+\varepsilon)$. Then the following holds.
(1) $\rho^{\varepsilon}, \rho^{-\varepsilon+1} \in W_{2}^{p}$.
(2) $\rho^{-1}$ does not belong to $L_{1}^{p}$.
(3) $\rho^{\varepsilon} \times C^{\infty}(U)$ is dense in $L_{1}^{p}$.
(4) $\rho^{-\varepsilon} \times C^{\infty}(U)$ is dense in $L_{1}^{p}$.

Proof. (1), (2) are clear. For (3) we fix $g \in L_{1}^{p}$. We can find $h \in$ $C^{\infty}(U)$, which is near to $g$ in $L_{1}^{p}$. Since $\rho^{-8} h \in L_{1}^{p}$, we can find $k \in C^{\infty}$, which is near to $\rho^{-\varepsilon} h$ in $L_{1}^{p}$. Then $\rho^{\varepsilon} k$ is near to $g$ in $L_{1}^{p}$ because the map from $L_{1}^{p}$ to $L_{1}^{p}$ defined by

$$
f \longmapsto \rho^{\varepsilon} f
$$

is continuous. By a similar argument we can show (4).
2.2. Singular Hermitian connections. Recall that the Hermitian metric $K$ on $E$ is singular at parabolic points. So the Hermitian connection corresponding to a holomorphic structure on $E$ is singular at parabolic points. In this subsection we describe this singular Hermitian connection around parabolic points and gives the definition the appropriate Sobolev norm.

Fix a parabolic point $P_{i}$. Recall that $U_{i}$ is a neighbourhood of $P_{i}, z_{i}$ is a holomorphic local coordinate on $U_{i}$ with $z_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)=0$ and $\left\{e_{k}^{(i)}\right\}_{k=1}^{r}$ is a smooth frame of $E \mid U_{i}$. From now on we omit the suffix $i$ if there is no confusion. We set

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
|z|^{-\alpha_{1}} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & |z|^{-\alpha_{r}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{1} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & \alpha_{r}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $\bar{\partial}_{B}$ be a holomorphic structure on $E \mid U$. We write

$$
\bar{\partial}_{B}=\bar{\partial}+B \quad \text { with respect to }\left\{e_{j}\right\},
$$

where $B$ is an $\operatorname{End}\left(\boldsymbol{C}^{r}\right)$ valued $(0,1)$ form. Then we have

$$
\bar{\partial}_{B}=\bar{\partial}-\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{d \bar{z}}{\bar{z}}+S^{-1} B S \quad \text { with respect to }\left\{\frac{e_{k}}{|z|^{\alpha_{k}}}\right\} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{B} & =d+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{d z}{z}-\frac{d \bar{z}}{\bar{z}}\right)+\left\{S^{-1} B S-\left(S^{-1} B S\right)^{*}\right\} \\
& =d+\sqrt{-1} \alpha d \theta+\left\{S^{-1} B S-\left(S^{-1} B S\right)^{*}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we write $z=\rho \exp \sqrt{-1} \theta$. We set

$$
d_{0}=d+\sqrt{-1} \alpha d \theta \quad \text { with respect to }\left\{\frac{e_{k}}{|z|^{\alpha_{k}}}\right\} .
$$

Note that $d \theta$ has a pole at the origin.
Remark. $\quad d_{0}$ is a unitary flat connection on $U \backslash\{P\}$. Let $R_{0}$ be the curvature of $d_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \Lambda R_{0}=-\alpha \delta_{0}
$$

where $\delta_{0}$ is Dirac's delta function with the support at the origin.
Decompose $E \mid U=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{a} E_{j}$ such that $E_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{k} \mid \alpha_{k}=w_{j}\right\}$. Then we have End $(E \mid U)=E_{D} \oplus E_{H}$, where

$$
E_{D}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{a} \operatorname{End} E_{j}, \quad E_{H}=\underset{j \neq k}{\oplus} \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{j}, E_{k}\right) .
$$

For $u \in \Omega^{0}($ End $(E \mid U))$ we write

$$
u=u_{D}+u_{H}
$$

corresponding to the above decomposition. Since

$$
d_{0} u=d u+[\sqrt{-1} \alpha, u] d \theta \quad \text { with respect to }\left\{\frac{e_{k}}{|z|^{\alpha_{k}}}\right\},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d_{0} u\right)_{D} & =d\left(u_{D}\right), \\
\left(d_{0} u\right)_{H} & =d\left(u_{H}\right)+\left[\sqrt{-1} \alpha, u_{H}\right] d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore Biquard [3] introduced the following Sobolev norms

$$
\|u\|_{D_{k}^{p}}=\left\|u_{D}\right\|_{L_{k}^{p}}+\left\|u_{H}\right\|_{W_{k}^{p}}^{p} .
$$

where we use the Hermitian metric $K$ on $E$ to define this Sobolev norm. So we have continuous maps

$$
d_{0}: D_{k}^{p} \Omega^{p}(\operatorname{End}(E \mid U)) \longrightarrow D_{k-1}^{p} \Omega^{1}(\operatorname{End}(E \mid U)),
$$

where the function space $D_{k}^{p} \Omega^{0}(\operatorname{End}(E \mid U))$ is the Sobolev completion of $\Omega^{0}(\operatorname{End}(E \mid U))$ with respect to the norm $\left\|\|_{D_{k}^{p}}\right.$.
2.3. Sobolev completion of the space of parabolic Higgs structures. In the last subsection we defined the Sobolev norms in a neighbourhood of each parabolic point. We patch them with the usual Sobolev norms on the complement to get the Sobolev norms on $\Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$. Let $D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$ denote the Sobolev completion of $\Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$ with respect to the norm $\left\|\|_{D_{2}^{p}}\right.$. Recall that we have fixed $\bar{\delta}_{B_{0}} \in \mathscr{B}$ in Section 1. Define

$$
\mathscr{B}_{1}^{p}=\bar{\partial}_{B_{0}}+D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\text { End }^{0} E\right) .
$$

So we have the continuous map

$$
\grave{\partial}_{B}: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right),
$$

where $\bar{\delta}_{B} \in \mathscr{B}_{1}^{p}$. Biquard [3] showed the following lemma using the theory of Lockhart and McOwen [14].

Lemma 2.4. If $p>1$ satisfies the following condition

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1<p<\frac{2}{2+\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{j}^{(i)}} & \text { if } \alpha_{j}^{(i)}>\alpha_{k}^{(i)}, \\
1<p<\frac{2}{1+\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{j}^{(i)}} & \text { if } \alpha_{j}^{(i)}<\alpha_{k}^{(i)}
\end{array}
$$

for each parabolic point $P_{i}$, then the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\partial}_{B}: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right), \\
& \bar{\partial}_{B}: D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1,0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{1,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

are Fredholm operators.

So it is natural to define the following.
Definition 2.5. We say $p>1$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of $E$ if the assumption in Lemma 2.4 holds.

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}_{2}^{c p}=\left\{g \in D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}(\text { End } E) \mid \operatorname{det} g_{x}=1 \text { for any } x \in \Sigma\right\} \\
& \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}=\left\{g \in \mathcal{G}^{c}{ }_{2}^{p} \mid g_{x} g_{x}^{*}=1 \text { for any } x \in \Sigma_{0}\right\} \\
& \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}=\left\{D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathcal{B}_{1}^{p} \times D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1,0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \mid \bar{\partial}_{B} \theta=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, it is easy to see that for $p>1, q^{c}{ }_{2}^{p}$ forms a group and that $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{c p}$ acts on $\mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ from the right. We can show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. If $p>1$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of $E$, then the following holds.
(1) $\mathcal{B}$ is dense in $\mathscr{B}_{1}^{p}$.
(2) $\mathcal{G}^{c}$ is dense in $\mathcal{G}^{c p}$.
(3) $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$.
(4) Assume $\bar{\partial}_{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $s$ is a section of $\operatorname{End}^{0} E$ and $\bar{\partial}_{B} s=0$ on $\Sigma$.
(a) If $s \in D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}($ End $E)$ then $s$ is $\partial_{B}$-holomorphic on $\Sigma$ and $s\left(P_{i}\right) \in B_{i}$.
(b) If $s \in D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0}($ End $E)$ then $s$ is $\partial_{B}$-holomorphic on $\Sigma_{0}$ and has a pole of at most 1st order with the residue in $N_{i}$ at $P_{i}$.

Proof. First we prove (1). If we write

$$
s e_{k}^{(i)}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} s_{j k}^{(i)} e_{j}^{(i)} \quad \text { on } U_{i}
$$

then we have

$$
s \frac{e_{k}^{(i)}}{\left|z_{i}\right|_{k}^{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}}}=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left|z_{i}\right|_{j}^{\alpha_{j}^{(i)-\alpha_{k}^{(i)}} s_{j k}^{(i)} \frac{e_{j}^{(i)}}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{\alpha(i)}} . . . . ~ . ~}
$$

So by Lemma 2.3 we can conclude that (1) holds. Since $s\left(P_{i}\right) \in B_{i}$ if and only if $s_{j k}^{(i)}\left(P_{i}\right)=0$ for $\alpha_{j}^{(i)}<\alpha_{k}^{(i)}$, (2) follows. Since $s\left(P_{i}\right) \in N_{i}$ if and only if $s_{j k}^{(i)}\left(P_{i}\right)=0$ for $\alpha_{j}^{(i)} \leqq \alpha_{k}^{(i)}$, (3) follows. We can prove (4) similarly.

Since $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ and $g^{c} \subset G^{c}{ }_{2}^{p}$, we can define the map

$$
i: \mathscr{D} / G^{c} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p} / G_{2}^{c p} .
$$

Now we state main result of this section.
Proposition 2.7. If $p>1$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of $E$, the map $i$ is bijective.

Proof. First we show the injectivity of the map $i$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B_{i}}+\theta_{i} \in \mathscr{D} \quad(i=1,2) \\
& D_{1}^{\prime \prime}=g^{-1} \circ D_{2}^{\prime \prime} \circ g \quad \text { for some } g \in G^{c}{ }_{2}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $\bar{\partial}_{B_{1}}=g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_{B_{2}} \circ g$. By Lemma 2.6 (4) $g$ is smooth on whole $\Sigma$. So $g \in g^{c}$.

To prove the surjectivity of the map $i$, we fix $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$. Lemma 2.4 and 2.6 says that

$$
\bar{\partial}_{B}: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)
$$

is Fredholm and that $\mathscr{B}$ is dense in $\mathscr{B}_{1}^{p}$. So by the argument of Atiyah and Bott [1] (Lemma 14.8) we conclude that there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}^{c p}{ }_{2}^{p}$ such that $g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_{B^{\circ}} g$ $\in \mathscr{B}$. By Lemma 2.6 (4) we have $g^{-1} \circ D^{\prime \prime} \circ g \in \mathscr{D}$.

By this proposition we can define $\mathscr{D}^{s t}{ }_{1}^{p}$ naturally. The quotient space $\mathscr{D}^{s t p} / \mathcal{G}^{C}{ }_{2}^{p}$ is the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles. From now on we always assume that $p>1$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of $E$.

## 3. Irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles.

We set $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}=\mathscr{B}_{1}^{p} \times D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1,0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$. In this section we construct and study the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles as a hyperkähler quotient of $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$.
3.1. Construction of the moduli space. In this subsection we construct the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles. The tangent space of $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ at any $\left(\bar{\partial}_{B}, \theta\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ is naturally isomorphic to

$$
\mathscr{F}_{1}^{p}=D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \times D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1,0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) .
$$

We define a Riemannian metric $g$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g((\xi, \phi),(\eta, \phi))= & -\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(\xi-\xi^{*}\right) \wedge \sqrt{-1}\left(\eta+\eta^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(\phi-\phi^{*}\right) \wedge \sqrt{-1}\left(\phi+\psi^{*}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(\xi, \phi),(\eta, \psi) \in \mathscr{F}_{1}^{p}$. This is well defined thanks to the Sobolev embedding theorem. Moreover we define three complex structures $I, J, K: \mathscr{I}_{1}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{1}^{p}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(\xi, \phi)=(\sqrt{-1} \xi, \quad \sqrt{-1} \phi), \quad J(\xi, \phi)=\left(\sqrt{-1} \phi^{*},-\sqrt{-1} \xi^{*}\right), \\
K(\xi, \phi)=\left(-\phi^{*}, \xi^{*}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easy to see that $g$ is a Kähler metric for $I, J, K$ respectively and that $I, J, K$ satisfy the relation $I J=-J I=K$. Therefore ( $g ; I, J, K$ ) is a hyperkähler structure on $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ by definition. We define the Kähler form $\omega_{1}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ by

$$
\omega_{1}((\xi, \phi),(\eta, \phi))=g(I(\xi, \phi),(\eta, \phi)),
$$

for $(\xi, \phi),(\eta, \psi) \in \mathscr{T}_{1}^{p}$. Similarly we define the Kähler forms $\omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ corresponding to $J, K$ respectively. The natural right action of $\mathcal{Q}_{2}^{p}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ is given by

$$
\left(\bar{\partial}_{B}, \theta\right) \longmapsto\left(g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_{B^{\circ}} g, g^{-1} \circ \theta \circ g\right),
$$

for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p},\left(\grave{\partial}_{B}, \theta\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$. This action preserves the hyperkähler structure. We write $\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E$ for the vector bundle of trace free skew endomorphisms of $E$. Then there exists the moment map

$$
\mu_{i}: \mathcal{E}_{1}^{p} \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right)
$$

for each action of $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ on $\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}, \omega_{i}\right)$. Since the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ is $D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right)$, we consider $D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right)$ as a subspace of the dual space of the Lie algebra of $\mathscr{G}_{2}^{p}$ by the natural pairing

$$
\langle\alpha, \xi\rangle=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha \xi),
$$

for $\alpha \in D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right), \xi \in D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right)$. This is well defined thanks to the Sobolev embedding theorem. We can write down the moment maps explicitly.

$$
\mu_{1}\left(\bar{\partial}_{B}, \theta\right)=R_{B}^{\perp}+\left[\theta, \theta^{*}\right], \quad\left\{\mu_{2}+\sqrt{-1} \mu_{3}\right\}\left(\bar{\partial}_{B}, \theta\right)=-2 \bar{\partial}_{B} \theta,
$$

where $R_{\bar{B}}^{\perp}$ is the trace free part of $R_{B}$. Therefore we conclude

$$
\mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}=\mu_{2}^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_{3}^{-1}(0) .
$$

For $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p} \subset \mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$, we set

$$
D^{\prime}=\partial_{B}+\theta^{*}, \quad D=D^{\prime \prime}+D^{\prime}, \quad R_{D}=D^{2}=R_{B}+\left[\theta, \theta^{*}\right]
$$

Definition 3.1. We say $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p} \subset \mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ is Hermitian Einstein if

$$
R_{D}^{\perp}=0 .
$$

We set

$$
\mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}=\left\{D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p} \mid D^{\prime \prime} \text { is Hermitian Einstein }\right\} .
$$

So we have

$$
\mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}^{-1}(0) .
$$

Definition 3.2. We say $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ is reducible if there exist sub Higgs bundles $V, W \subset\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $E=V \oplus W$. We say irreducible otherwise.

We set

$$
\mathscr{D}^{\text {ir r }} \underset{1}{p}=\left\{D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p} \mid D^{\prime \prime} \text { is irreducible }\right\},
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r_{E} r_{1}^{p}}=\mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{D}^{i r r_{1}^{p}} .
$$

Thus we can construct the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles as $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r}{ }_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$. This is a hyperkähler quotient of $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ by $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ in the sense of [13].
3.2. Vanishing theorems. In principle Higgs bundles share many properties with vector bundles. One of them is the following Kähler identity.

Lemma 3.3. For $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ we have
(1) $D^{\prime \prime *}=-\sqrt{-1}\left[\Lambda, D^{\prime}\right]$
(2) $D^{\prime *}=\sqrt{-1}\left[\Lambda, D^{\prime \prime}\right]$
(3) $D^{*}=D^{\prime \prime *}+D^{\prime *}$.

Now we introduce the Laplacian for Hermitian Higgs bundles as follows.

$$
\Delta^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime \prime}+D^{\prime \prime *} D^{\prime \prime}, \quad \Delta^{\prime}=D^{\prime} D^{\prime *}+D^{*} D^{\prime}, \quad \Delta=D D^{*}+D^{*} D .
$$

Then we have
Lemma 3.4.
(1) $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime \prime}+\Delta^{\prime}$
(2) $\Delta^{\prime}-\Delta^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{-1}\left[\Lambda, R_{D}\right]$.

We need the following vanishing theorem for irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles.

Proposition 3.5. If $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$, then the map

$$
D^{\prime \prime}: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{\circ}\left(\text { End }^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\text { End }^{0} E\right)
$$

has a trivial kernel.
Proof. If $D^{\prime \prime} s=0$, and $s \in D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\left[\sqrt{-1} \Lambda R_{D}, s\right], s\right\rangle \omega=\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\left(\Delta^{\prime}-\Delta^{\prime \prime}\right) s, s\right\rangle \omega \\
& =\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle D^{\prime *} D^{\prime} s, s\right\rangle \omega=\int_{\Sigma}\left\|D^{\prime} s\right\|^{2} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $D^{\prime} s=0$. So we have

$$
d_{B} s=0, \quad \theta s=s \theta, \quad \theta^{*} s=s \theta^{*}
$$

where $D^{\prime \prime}=\check{\partial}_{B}+\theta$. If we set $t=s+s^{*}$, then $d_{B} t=0, \theta t=t \theta$. So we conclude
that the eigenvalue of $t$ is constant on $\Sigma_{0}$. We assume $t$ is non trivial. Let $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{l}$ be the eigenvalues of $t$. Since $\operatorname{Tr} t \equiv 0$, we have $l \geqq 2$. Let $E_{m}(m=1$, $\cdots, l)$ be the vector bundle on $\Sigma_{0}$, which consist of the eigenspace of $t$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{m}$. Then we have

$$
\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(E_{1}, D^{\prime \prime} \mid E_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(E_{l}, D^{\prime \prime} \mid E_{l}\right) \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{0} .
$$

We have to show that this splitting extends to whole $\Sigma$. Let $\pi_{m}$ be the orthogonal projection of $E$ to $E_{m}$. Then we have

$$
\bar{\partial}_{B} \pi_{m}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{0} .
$$

If we represent

$$
\pi_{m} \frac{e_{k}^{(i)}}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}}}=\sum_{j} a_{j k}^{(i)} \frac{e_{j}^{(i)}}{\left|z_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{j}^{(i)}}} \quad \text { on } U_{i},
$$

then $a_{j k}^{(i)}$ is bounded on $U_{i}$, because $\pi_{m}$ is an orthogonal projection. We note $\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{j}^{(i)}>-1$ and rewrite

$$
\pi_{m} e_{k}^{(i)}=\sum_{j}\left|z_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{j}^{(i)}} a_{j k}^{(i)} e_{j}^{(i)},
$$

then we conclude that $\pi_{m}$ is $\bar{\partial}_{B}$-holomorphic on whole $\Sigma$.
If we note at $P_{i}$

$$
\operatorname{rank} E=\operatorname{rank}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{l} \pi_{m}\right) \leqq \sum_{m=1}^{l} \operatorname{rank} \pi_{m} \leqq \sum_{m=1}^{l} \operatorname{rank} E_{m}=\operatorname{rank} E,
$$

we have at $P_{i}$

$$
\operatorname{rank} \pi_{m}=\operatorname{rank} E_{m}, \quad E_{P_{i}}=\operatorname{Im} \pi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{Im} \pi_{l} .
$$

If we set $E_{m}=\operatorname{Im} \pi_{m}$, then we have

$$
\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(E_{1}, D^{\prime \prime} \mid E_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(E_{l}, D^{\prime \prime} \mid E_{l}\right) \quad \text { on } \Sigma .
$$

Since $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$, this is a contradiction. Therefore $t=s+s^{*}=0$. By the same argument $s-s^{*}=0$. So we have $s=0$.

Next we show the following Hodge decomposition theorem for parabolic Higgs bundles.

Proposition 3.6.
(1) If $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$, we have

$$
D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)=D^{\prime \prime}\left(D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\right) \oplus D^{\prime}\left(D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{H}^{1}=\left\{a \in D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \mid D^{\prime \prime} a=0, D^{\prime} a=0\right\}$.
(2) Let $\operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime \prime}, \operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime}$ be the kernel of the map

$$
D^{\prime \prime}, D^{\prime}: D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime \prime}\left(D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1}, \\
& \operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime}=D^{\prime}\left(D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 $D^{\prime \prime}\left(D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\right)$ and $D^{\prime}\left(D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\right)$ is closed in $D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$. So we can prove (1), (2) by the standard argument.

We note that there is a following real structure of $\operatorname{End}^{\circ} E$.

$$
\operatorname{End}^{0} E=\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E \oplus \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E .
$$

Then we have the following proposition.
Proposition 3.7. If $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$, then the map

$$
\Delta: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{\circ}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{\circ}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, which preserves the real structure.
Proof. Thanks to Proposition 3.5 we have only to show that the map

$$
\Delta: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{\circ}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)
$$

is a Fredholm operator with index zero. However we can prove this by the similar argument in the proof of Proposition 2.13 in [3].
3.3. Properties of the moduli space. In subsection 3.1 we have constructed the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r}{ }_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ by a hyperkähler quotient method. In this subsection we study this moduli space.

First we introduce a manifold structure on the moduli space. We fix $D^{\prime \prime}=$ $\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$. We define an elliptic complex which describes deformations of a Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundle. We define

$$
C^{0}=D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right), \quad C^{1}=D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)
$$

Note that $C^{0}$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ and that $C^{1}$ is the tangent space of $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{p}$ at $D^{\prime \prime}$. Define $d^{0}: C^{0} \rightarrow C^{1}$ by

$$
d^{0} X=D^{\prime \prime} X \quad \text { for } X \in C^{0} .
$$

Note that $d^{0}$ is the differential of the map $G_{2}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ defined by

$$
g \longmapsto g^{-1} \circ D^{\prime \prime} \circ g \quad \text { for } g \in \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p} .
$$

Define $\quad C^{2}=D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right) \oplus D_{0}^{p} Q^{2}\left(\right.$ End $\left.^{0} E\right) . \quad$ For $\quad \xi \in D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right), \quad \phi \in$ $D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1,0}\left(\mathrm{End}^{0} E\right)$,

$$
\left(\bar{\partial}_{B}+\xi\right)+(\theta+\phi) \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}
$$

if and only if

$$
d^{1}(\xi+\phi)+Q(\xi+\phi)=0
$$

where $d^{1}, Q: C^{1} \rightarrow C^{2}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
d^{1}(\xi+\phi)=\left(D^{\prime}(\xi+\phi)-\left\{D^{\prime}(\xi+\phi)\right\}^{*}, D^{\prime \prime}(\xi+\phi)\right) \\
Q(\xi+\phi)=\left(-\left[\xi, \xi^{*}\right]+\left[\phi, \phi^{*}\right],[\xi, \phi]\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So we have defined the fundamental elliptic complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow C^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} C^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} C^{2} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The next lemma admits us to calculate the cohomology groups associated to this complex.

LEMMA 3.8.
(1) $\operatorname{Ker} d^{0}=0$.
(2) $\quad C^{1}=\operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1} \oplus \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \operatorname{Im} D^{\prime}$ as a vector space over $\boldsymbol{R}$.
(3) $\operatorname{Ker} d^{1}=\operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1}$.
(4) If we set $W=\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \operatorname{Im} D^{\prime}$, then

$$
d^{1} \mid W: W \longrightarrow C^{2}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. By Proposition 3.5 we have (1). By Proposition 3.5 and 3.6 we have (2). To prove (3) and (4) we write $d^{1}(\xi+\phi)=\left(d_{r}^{1}(\xi+\phi), d_{c}^{1}(\xi+\phi)\right)$. First by Proposition 3.7 we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker} d_{r}^{1}=\operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1} \oplus \operatorname{Im} D^{\prime}
$$

Moreover we conclude that the map

$$
d_{r}^{1} \mid \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im} d^{0}: \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im} d^{0} \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}_{s k}^{0} E\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. Again by Proposition 3.7 we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker} d_{c}^{1}=\operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \boldsymbol{H}^{1} \oplus \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im} d^{0}
$$

Moreover we conclude that the map

$$
d_{c}^{1} \mid \operatorname{Im} D^{\prime}: \operatorname{Im} D^{\prime} \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. So we have (3), (4).
Now we define Kuranishi map $F: C^{1} \rightarrow C^{1}$ by

$$
F(\xi+\phi)=(\xi+\phi)+\left(d^{1} \mid W\right)^{-1} Q(\xi+\phi .
$$

Since $Q$ is quadratic with respect to $\xi, \phi$, there exists a neighbourhood of 0 in $C^{1}$ such that

$$
F \mid U: U \longrightarrow F(U)
$$

is a diffeomorphism. If we set

$$
V=\left\{\xi+\phi \in \boldsymbol{H}^{1} \oplus \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im} d^{0} \oplus \operatorname{Im} D^{\prime} \mid d^{1}(\xi+\phi)+Q(\xi+\phi)=0\right\},
$$

then

$$
F \mid V \cap U: V \cap U \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{H}^{1} \cap U
$$

is_a_diffeomorphism. By the same argument in [2] we can show $V \cap U$ is a slice of the action of $\mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ on $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r_{1}^{p}}$ if we choose $U$ small enough. So we have the following theorem.

ThEOREM 3.9. $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ is a smooth hyperkähler manifold.
Proof. By the above argument we have introduced a smooth manifold structure on $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r_{1}^{p}} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$. Since $\mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}^{-1}(0)$ as we saw in Section 3.1, this manifold has a natural hyperkähler structure by the same argument in the proof of Theorem 6.7 in [11].

Now we can show the following theorem by the same argument in the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [11].

Theorem 3.10. If $\mathscr{D}_{\text {HE }}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}=\mathscr{D}_{\text {HE }}^{p}$, the natural Riemannian metric on the moduli space is complete.

Now we can calculate the dimension of $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r_{1}^{p}} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$.
Theorem 3.11. If $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{HE}}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p} \neq \varnothing$, then the complex dimension of $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{HE}}^{i r r_{1}^{p}} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$ is

$$
2\left\{(g-1)\left(r^{2}-1\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{C} N_{i}\right\},
$$

where $g$ is the genus of $\Sigma$.
Proof. Suppose $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r} r_{1}^{p}$. By the above argument we saw that
 to calculate the dimension of $\boldsymbol{H}^{1}$. If we define the map

$$
S: D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(\mathrm{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\mathrm{End}^{0} E\right) \oplus D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\mathrm{End}^{0} E\right)
$$

by

$$
S(a)=\left(D^{\prime \prime} a, D^{\prime} a\right),
$$

then $S$ is a Fredholm operator. We write ind $(S)$ for the Fredholm index of $S$. By Proposition 3.7 we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{C} \boldsymbol{H}^{1}=\operatorname{ind}(S) .
$$

Since the Fredholm index is invariant under the deformation by compact operators, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ind} S= & \operatorname{ind}\left\{\widehat{\partial}_{B}: D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{1,0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)\right\} \\
& +\operatorname{ind}\left\{\partial_{B}: D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)\right\} \\
= & 2 \operatorname{ind}\left\{\partial_{B}: D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Proposition 3.7 we have

$$
\text { ind } S=-2 \text { ind }\left\{\bar{\partial}_{B}: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)\right\}
$$

By Lemma 2. 4 and 2.6 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ind}\left\{\bar{\partial}_{B}\right. & \left.: D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow D_{1}^{p} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{ind}\left\{\partial_{B}: \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{ParEnd}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the standard argument we see that the Dolbeault cohomology group of

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{ParEnd}{ }^{0} E\right) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{B}} \Omega^{0,1}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology group of $\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{ParEnd}^{0} E\right)$, which is a sheaf of $\bar{\partial}_{B}$-holomorphic sections of End ${ }^{0} E$ preserving the flag at each parabolic point $P_{i}$. By considering the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{ParEnd}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) / \mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{ParEnd}^{0} E\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

we have the theorem.

## 4. Identification of the two moduli spaces.

In Section 2 we have constructed the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles as $\mathscr{D}^{s t p}{ }_{1} / \mathcal{G}^{c}{ }_{2}^{p}$. In the last section we have constructed the moduli space of irreducible Hermitian Einstein parabolic Higgs bundles as $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r_{1}^{p}} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p}$. In this section we identify these two moduli spaces. As stated at the end of Section 2 , we assume that $p>1$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of $E$.
4.1. Hermitian Einstein metrics. First we review the Chern-Weil formula for parabolic Higgs bundles. (See [17] Lemma 3.2, Lemma 10.5.)

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ and $V \subset\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a sub Higgs bundle. Let $\pi: E\left|\Sigma_{0} \rightarrow V\right| \Sigma_{0}$ be an orthogonal projection. Then the following holds.

$$
\text { pardeg } V=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi R_{D}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma}\left|D^{\prime \prime} \pi\right|^{2}
$$

By this lemma we can show the following.
PRoposition 4.2. $\mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r}{ }^{p}{ }_{1}^{p} \subset \mathscr{D}^{s t} t_{1}^{p}$.
Proof. We fix $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r r}{ }_{1}^{p}$. Let $V \subset\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a sub Higgs bundle with $0<\operatorname{rank} V<r$. By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{pardeg} V}{\operatorname{rank} V} \leqq \frac{\operatorname{pardeg} E}{\operatorname{rank} E}=\mu
$$

We have to show that the equality does not occur. Assume the equality holds. Then we have $D^{\prime \prime} \pi=0$ on $\Sigma_{0}$ by Lemma 4.1. Since $\pi=\pi^{*}$, we have $d_{B} \pi=0$, $\theta \pi=\pi \theta$. By the same argument in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we can show that there exists a sub Higgs bundle $W \subset\left(E, D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $E=V \oplus W$ on $\Sigma$, and $W=V^{\perp}$ on $\Sigma_{0}$. Since $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}^{i r r} p_{1}^{p}$ this is a contradiction. Therefore we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{pardeg} V}{\operatorname{rank} V}<\mu
$$

So we can define the map

$$
j: \mathscr{D}_{H E}^{i r_{r} r_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{s t}{ }_{1}^{p} / \mathcal{G}_{2}^{c p} .}
$$

Now we state main result of this section.
ThEOREM 4.3. $j$ is bijective.
To prove this theorem, we reformulate Theorem 4.3. We have fixed the Hermitian metric $K$ on $E$ and varied Higgs structures on $E$. But from now on we fix a Higgs structure $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{B}+\theta \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$ and vary Hermitian metrics on $E$. So we have to write explicitly which Hermitian metric we use. For example

$$
D_{K}^{\prime}=\partial_{K}+\theta^{* K}, \quad D_{K}=D^{\prime \prime}+D_{K}^{\prime}, \quad R_{K}=D_{K}^{2} .
$$

We define the space of Hermitian metrics compatible with the parabolic structure on $E$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(K)_{2}^{p}=\left\{s \in D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right) \mid s^{* K}=s\right\}, \\
& M E T_{2}^{p}=\left\{H=K e^{s} \mid s \in S(K)_{2}^{p}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $H=K h \in M E T_{2}^{p}$, a Hermitian metric is defined by

$$
\langle v, w\rangle_{H}=\langle h v, w\rangle_{K} \quad \text { for } v, w \in E_{x} \quad \text { for some } x \in \Sigma_{0} .
$$

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 4.4. For $H=K h \in M E T_{2}^{p}$,
(1) $D_{H}^{\prime}=h^{-1} \circ D_{K}^{\prime} \circ h$,
(2) $R_{H}=R_{K}+D^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{-1} D_{K}^{\prime} h\right)$.

On the other hand, if we fix a Hermitian metric $K$ on $E$, the corresponding connection to $g^{-1} \circ D^{\prime \prime} \circ g$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{2}^{c p}$ is

$$
g^{-1} \circ\left(D^{\prime \prime}+h^{-1} \circ D_{K^{\prime}} \circ h\right) \circ g,
$$

where $h=\left(g g^{* K}\right)^{-1}$. Therefore if there is a Hermitian metric $H=K e^{s} \in M E T_{2}^{p}$ such that $R_{H}^{\perp}=0$, then $g=e^{-s / 2} \in \mathcal{G}^{c}{ }_{2}^{p}$ and $g^{-1} \circ D^{\prime \prime} \circ g \in \mathscr{D}_{H E}{ }_{1}^{p}$. So the following theorem is equivalent to Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. Assume $p>1$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of E. Fix $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}^{s t}$. Then there exists a unique Hermitian metric $H=K e^{s} \in M E T_{2}^{p}$ such that $R_{H}^{\perp}=0$.
4.2. The Donaldson functional. In this subsection we define the Donaldson functional (See [7, 8]) in the formulation of Simpson [17].

For a smooth function $f: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$, we define $f: S(K) \rightarrow S(K)$ as follows. Let $s \in S(K)$. For any $x \in \Sigma$ there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ for $E_{x}$ such that $s e_{j}=\lambda_{j} e_{j}$ for all $j$. Then we set $f(s) e_{j}=f\left(\lambda_{j}\right) e_{j}$.

For a smooth function $F: \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$, we define $F: S(K) \rightarrow S_{K}($ End $E)$, where $S_{K}(\operatorname{End} E)=\left\{T \in \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{End} E) \mid T^{* K}=T\right\}$. For $A \in \operatorname{End} E_{x}$, we write $A e_{k}=\sum_{j} a_{j k} e_{j}$. Then we define

$$
\{F(s) A\} e_{k}=\sum_{j} F\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{j}\right) a_{j k} e_{j}
$$

Simpson [17] showed how these constructions behave on $L_{k}^{p}$ spaces as follows.
Lemma 4.6. Let $S(K)_{k, b}^{p}=\left\{s \in D_{k}^{p} \Omega^{\circ}(\right.$ End $E) \mid s^{* K}=s$, sup $\left.|s|_{K} \leqq b\right\}$. Let $f: S(K)$ $\rightarrow S(K)$ and $F: S(K) \rightarrow S_{K}($ End $E)$ as above. Then we have the following.
(1) The map $F$ extends to a map

$$
F: S(K)_{0, b}^{p} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(D_{0}^{p} \Omega^{0}(\operatorname{End} E), D_{0}^{q} \Omega^{0}(\text { End } E)\right)
$$

for $q \leqq p$. For $q<p$ the map is continuous in the operator norm topology.
(2) The map $f$ extends to a map

$$
f: S(K)_{1, b}^{p} \longrightarrow S(K)_{1, b^{\prime}}^{q},
$$

for $q \leqq p$. For $q<p$ the map is continuous.
(3) Define df: $\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d f(x, y)=\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} \quad \text { if } x \neq y \\
& d f(x, x)=f^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $s \in S(K)_{1, b}^{p}$ and $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}^{p}$,

$$
D^{\prime \prime} f(s)=d f(s)\left(D^{\prime \prime} s\right) .
$$

We define $\Psi: \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{>0}$ by

$$
\Psi(x, y)=\frac{e^{y-x}-(y-x)-1}{(y-x)^{2}} .
$$

Now we can define the Donaldson functional.
Definition 4.7. The Donaldson functional $M: M E T_{2}^{p} \times M E T_{2}^{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is defined by

$$
M\left(K, K e^{s}\right)=2 \sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s R_{K}\right)+2 \int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\Psi(s)\left(D^{\prime \prime} s\right), D^{\prime \prime} s\right\rangle_{K} .
$$

Following properties of this functional are proved by Donaldson [7, 8] for vector bundles and by Simpson [17] for Higgs bundles.

Lemma 4.8. Suppose $K, H, J \in M E T_{2}^{p}$. Then
(1) $\quad M(K, H)+M(H, J)=M(K, J)$.
(2) $d / d t M\left(K, H e^{t s}\right)=2 \sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s R_{H e^{t s}}\right)$ for $t \geqq 0$ and $s \in S(H)_{2}^{p}$.
(3) $d^{2} /\left.d t^{2} M\left(K, H e^{t s}\right)\right|_{t=0}=2 \int_{\Sigma}\left\|D^{\prime \prime} s\right\|_{H}^{2}$.
4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.5. To prove Theorem 4.5 we have to solve the variational problem with respect to the Donaldson functional. In [17] Simpson solve this problem in a certain function space. However, to construct the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles, we have to solve this variational problem in $M E T_{2}^{p}$, which is different from Simpson's function space.

For positive number $B>0$, we set

$$
M E T_{2}^{p}(B)=\left\{H \in M E T_{2}^{p}\left\|\Lambda R_{H}\right\|_{L^{p}, H} \leqq B\right\},
$$

where $\left\|\|_{L^{p}, H}\right.$ is the norm with respect to $H$.
The following lemma is due to Simpson [17] (Proposition 5.3, Corollary 10.7 and 10.8).

Lemma 4.9. Fix $D^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}^{s t}$ and $B>0$. Then there are constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\sup |s|_{K} \leqq C_{1} M\left(K, K e^{s}\right)+C_{2} \quad \text { for any } K e^{s} \in M E T_{2}^{p}(B) .
$$

Corollary 4.10. $\left\{M\left(K, K e^{s}\right) \mid K e^{s} \in M E T_{2}^{p}(B)\right\}$ is bounded from below.
The next lemma shows this variational problem is solvable in $\operatorname{MET}_{2}^{p}(B)$.
Lemma 4.11. There exists $H_{\infty}=\operatorname{Ke}^{s_{\infty}} \in \operatorname{MET}_{2}^{p}(B)$ such that

$$
M\left(K, K e^{s_{\infty}}\right)=\inf \left\{M\left(K, K e^{s}\right) \mid K e^{s} \in M E T_{2}^{p}(B)\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{H_{i}=K e^{s_{i}}=K h_{i}\right\} \subset M E T_{2}^{p}(B)$ be a minimizing sequence of $M(K$,$) in \operatorname{MET}_{2}^{p}(B)$. By Lemma 4.9 we have the following estimate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left|s_{i}\right|_{K} \leqq C_{1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is independent of $i$.
Recall that the Donaldson functional is the following

$$
M\left(K, K e^{s_{i}}\right)=2 \sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s_{i} R_{K}\right)+2 \int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\Psi\left(s_{i}\right)\left(D^{\prime \prime} s_{i}\right), D^{\prime \prime} s_{i}\right\rangle_{K}
$$

So we have

$$
\int_{\Sigma}\left\langle\Psi\left(s_{i}\right)\left(D^{\prime \prime} s_{i}\right), D^{\prime \prime} s_{i}\right\rangle_{K} \leqq C_{2}
$$

where $C_{2}$ is independent of $i$. Therefore $\left\|D^{\prime \prime} s_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leqq C_{3}$. So we have the following estimate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|s_{i}\right\|_{L_{1}^{2}} \leqq C_{4} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand by Lemma 4. 4 we have

$$
R_{H_{i}}=R_{K}+D^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{i}^{-1} D_{K}^{\prime} h_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $H_{i} \in \operatorname{MET}_{2}^{p}(B)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{i}^{-1} D_{K}^{\prime} h_{i}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqq C_{5} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{i}^{-1} D_{K}^{\prime} h_{i}\right)=\widehat{\partial}_{B}\left(h_{i}^{-1} \partial_{K} h_{i}\right)+\left[\theta, h_{i}^{-1}\left[\theta^{* K}, h_{i}\right]\right], \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{\prime \prime}=\widehat{\partial}_{B}+\theta$. By (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[\theta, h_{i}^{-1}\left[\theta^{* K}, h_{i}\right]\right]\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqq C_{6} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3), (4) and (5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{\partial}_{B}\left(h_{i}^{-1} \partial_{K} h_{i}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqq C_{7} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2), (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{i}^{-1} \partial_{K} h_{i}\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}} \leqq C_{8} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $a_{i}=h_{i}^{-1} \partial_{K} h_{i}$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{K} h_{i}=h_{i} a_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7) we have $\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}} \leqq C_{8}$. By (1) we can show $\left\|h_{i} a_{i}\right\|_{L^{2 p}} \leqq C_{9}$. By (8) we have $\left\|h_{i}\right\|_{L_{1}^{2 p}} \leqq C_{10}$. Then we have $\left\|h_{i} a_{i}\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}} \leqq C_{11}$. Again by ( 8 ) we have

$$
\left\|h_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}^{p}} \leqq C_{12} .
$$

By taking a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ converges to $s_{\infty}$ weakly in $D_{2}^{p} \Omega^{0}\left(\operatorname{End}^{0} E\right)$ and strongly in $D_{1}^{2 p} \Omega^{0}\left(\mathrm{End}^{0} E\right)$. Then by Lemma 4.6 we have

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} M\left(K, K e^{s_{i}}\right)=M\left(K, K e^{s_{\infty}}\right) .
$$

So we have completed the proof of Lemma 4.11.
Next we have to show that $H_{\infty}=K e^{s_{\infty}}$ is a Hermitian Einstein metric. To do this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.12. Assume $H \in M E T_{2}^{p}$ and $s \in S(H)_{2}^{p}$. Then
(1) If $D^{\prime \prime} s=0$, then $s=0$.
(2) If $D_{H}^{\prime} s=0$, then $s=0$.

Proof. First we prove (1). Since $D^{\prime \prime} s=0$ and $s^{* H}=s$, we have $D_{H}^{\prime} s=0$. By the same argument in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we have $s=0$. The proof of (2) is the same.

Now we come to the final stage of the proof of Theorem 4.5.
Lemma 4.13. $H_{\infty} \in M E T_{2}^{p}$ is a Hermitian Einstein metric, that is, $R_{H_{\infty}}^{\perp}=0$.
Proof. First we claim that there exists $s \in S\left(H_{\infty}\right)_{2}^{p}$ such that

$$
D^{\prime \prime} D_{H_{\infty}}^{\prime} s=-R_{H_{\infty}}^{1} .
$$

In fact, since by Proposition 3.7 the map

$$
\sqrt{-1} \Lambda D^{\prime \prime} D_{H_{\infty}}^{\prime}: S\left(H_{\infty}\right)_{2}^{p} \longrightarrow S\left(H_{\infty}\right)_{0}^{p}
$$

is a Fredholm operator with index zero, by Lemma 4.12 we conclude that this map is surjective. So the existence of $s$ is clear.

Since

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \| \Lambda R_{H_{\infty} e^{t s}\left\|_{L}^{p} p,\left.H_{\infty} e^{t s}\right|_{t=0}=-p\right\| \Lambda R_{H_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{m_{\infty}}} \|_{L}^{p}, H_{\infty},},
$$

we have $H_{\infty} e^{t s} \in M E T_{2}^{p}(B)$ for small $t \geqq 0$. By Lemma 4.8 we have

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} M\left(K, H_{\infty} e^{t s}\right)\right|_{t=0}=2 \sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s R_{H_{\infty}}\right)=-2 \int_{\Sigma}\left\|D^{\prime \prime} s\right\|_{H_{\infty}}^{2}
$$

Since $H_{\infty}$ attains the minimum of $M(K$,$) on M E T_{2}^{p}(B)$, we have $D^{\prime \prime} s=0$. By Lemma 4.12 we have $s=0$. Therefore

$$
R_{H_{\infty}}^{\perp}=-D^{\prime \prime} D_{H_{\infty}}^{\prime} s=0 .
$$

We have to show the uniqueness of Hermitian Einstein metric. If we use Lemma 4.8 (3), the proof is easy. So we omit the proof. Thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.5.
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