

Indivisibility of class numbers of totally imaginary quadratic extensions and their Iwasawa invariants

By Hirotada NAITO

(Received Feb. 16, 1990)

§ 0. Introduction.

We denote by l an odd prime number. Hartung [2] proved that there exist infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose class numbers are not divisible by l . In this paper, we generalize this result to the case of totally imaginary quadratic extensions over a totally real algebraic number field. Moreover we generalize the result due to Horie [3] on Iwasawa invariants of basic \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions.

We denote by F a totally real algebraic number field and by m its degree over the field \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers. We denote by $n(p)$ for a prime p the maximum value of n such that the primitive p^n -th roots ζ_{p^n} of unity are at most of degree 2 over F . If F is fixed we have $n(p)=0$ for almost all p . So we put $w_F=2^{n(2)+1}\prod_{p\neq 2}p^{n(p)}$. We denote by $\zeta_F(s)$ the Dedekind zeta function of F . We know by Serre [9] that $w_F\zeta_F(-1)$ is a rational integer. We denote by h_K the class number of an algebraic number field K . The relative class number $h_{K/F}=h_K/h_F$ is an integer when K is a totally imaginary quadratic extension over a totally real algebraic number field F . The main result of this paper is the following:

THEOREM. *Let F be a totally real algebraic number field of finite degree. Let l be an odd prime which does not divide $w_F\zeta_F(-1)$. Then there exist infinitely many quadratic extensions K/F with the following properties:*

- (i) K is totally imaginary,
- (ii) the relative class number $h_{K/F}$ of K/F is not divisible by l ,
- (iii) each prime ideal of F over l does not split in K .

If $F=\mathbf{Q}$, this is the result due to Hartung [2], since $w_{\mathbf{Q}}\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(-1)=-2$. In order to get Theorem, we use trace formulas and l -adic representations related to automorphic forms obtained from division quaternion algebras over F .

Let K/F be a totally imaginary quadratic extension. We denote by $\mu_{\bar{K}}$

(resp. $\lambda_{\bar{K}}$) the minus μ -invariant (resp. λ -invariant) of the basic Z_l -extension of K . We get:

COROLLARY. *Let F be a totally real algebraic number field. Let l be an odd prime which does not divide $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$. Then there exist infinitely many totally imaginary quadratic extensions K/F such that $\mu_{\bar{K}} = \lambda_{\bar{K}} = 0$.*

In §1, we summarize the result of Ohta [4] about the l -adic representations of the absolute Galois group of F related to automorphic forms. In §2, we summarize the trace formulas of Hecke operators obtained by Shimizu [6], [7] and [8]. In §3, we prove our Theorem by using the results summarized in the previous sections. Moreover we prove our Corollary by using the criterion in Friedman [1]. In §4, we discuss the case that l divides $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$ for real quadratic fields F/\mathbf{Q} . The author does not know whether the condition on $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$ is indispensable or not. We have never gotten any counterexamples of the Theorem under the case that l divides $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$.

NOTATION. We denote by \mathbf{C} (resp. \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{Q}_l) the field of complex numbers (resp. real numbers, l -adic numbers). We denote by R^\times the group of invertible elements of a ring R with unity. We denote by \bar{F} the algebraic closure of F and $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ the absolute Galois group over F . We denote by $N_{K/k}$ the norm map from K to k . We denote by $M_n(K)$ the ring of matrices of degree n with coefficients in K . We put $\text{GL}_n(K) = (M_n(K))^\times$.

The author wishes to express his heartfelt thanks to Professor M. Ohta who has read the first draft of this paper and given him valuable comments. He also wishes to express his thanks to the referee for the valuable advice.

§1. l -adic representations.

Let B be a division quaternion algebra over F such that $B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong M_2(\mathbf{R}) \times H^{m-1}$, where H denotes the Hamilton quaternion algebra over \mathbf{R} . We denote by ι an involution of B and by $\nu = \nu_{B/F}$ the reduced norm of B/F . We denote by B_A^\times the idele group of B . Let S be an open subgroup of B_A^\times such that $S' = S$ and $S = B_{\infty+}^\times \times S_0$, where $B_{\infty+}^\times = \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times : \nu(t_1) > 0\}$ and S_0 is an open compact subgroup of the finite part B_f^\times of B_A^\times . Let ρ be a representation of B^\times , which will be constructed later as in Ohta [4]. Let $\mathfrak{S}(S, \rho)$ be the space of automorphic forms introduced later. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})$ the Hecke operators acting on $\mathfrak{S}(S, \rho)$ for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of F . Ohta [4] got:

THEOREM. *There exists an l -adic representation*

$$\psi_{S, \rho} : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho)}(\mathbf{Q}_l),$$

which has the following properties:

(i) If a prime \mathfrak{p} of F divides neither l nor the discriminant $D(B/F)$ of B/F and S contains the group of units in a maximal order of the completion $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of B at \mathfrak{p} , then $\phi_{S, \rho}$ is unramified at \mathfrak{p} ,

(ii) $\det(1 - \phi_{S, \rho}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})T) = \det(1 - \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{p})T + N_{F/Q}(\mathfrak{p})\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p})T^2|_{\mathfrak{E}(S, \rho)})$, where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Frobenius element at \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$.

Next we take S and ρ . We put $S_0 = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, where $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a closure of a maximal order \mathfrak{o} in B . We put $n=2$ (resp. $n=4$) for $l \geq 5$ (resp. $l=3$). We get the representation ρ as in p. 41 of Ohta [4], putting by $n_1 = \dots = n_m = n$ and $w=0$. Next we define the space $\mathfrak{E}(S, \rho)$ of automorphic forms. Let $B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ be a subgroup of B^{\times} consisting of elements $x \in B^{\times}$ whose reduced norm $\nu(x)$ is totally positive. We decompose $B_{A^+}^{\times} = B_{\infty^+}^{\times} \times B_f^{\times}$ into $B_{A^+}^{\times} = \bigcup_{i=1}^h Sx_i B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$, where $h = h_B$ is the class number of B . We put $\Gamma_{S_i} = x_i^{-1} Sx_i \cap B_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. Thus Γ_{S_i} is a Fuchsian group of the first kind in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. We introduce the representation Ψ of $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbf{R}) \times (\mathbf{H}^{\times})^{m-1}$ by

$$\Psi((t_1, \dots, t_m)) = \prod_{i=2}^m \nu(t_i)^{-n/2} \rho_n(t_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n(t_m),$$

where ρ_n is the symmetric tensor representation of degree n of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{C})$. We see that the degree of Ψ is $(n+1)^{m-1}$. We denote by p the composite of the natural embedding $B^{\times} \rightarrow (B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^{\times} = \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times (\mathbf{H}^{\times})^{m-1}$ and the projection to $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. For a $\mathbf{C}^{(n+1)^{m-1}}$ -valued function $f(z)$ on the complex upper half plane \mathfrak{H} , we put

$f|_{[\gamma]}(z) = \Psi(\gamma)^{-1} j(p(\gamma), z)^{-(n+2)} f(z)$, where $j(p(\gamma), z) = cz + d$ for $p(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. We denote by $\mathfrak{E}(\Gamma_{S_i}, \Psi)$ the space of $\mathbf{C}^{(n+1)^{m-1}}$ -valued holomorphic functions $f(z)$ on \mathfrak{H} such that $f|_{[\gamma]}(z) = f(z)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{S_i}$. We put $\mathfrak{E}(S, \rho) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \mathfrak{E}(\Gamma_{S_i}, \Psi)$. The Theorem in this section is valid for $\mathfrak{E}(S, \rho)$.

§ 2. Trace formulas.

Many people calculated the traces of Hecke operators. In this section we compute the traces of Hecke operators referring to Shimizu [6], [7] and [8].

Let Γ be a Fuchsian group of the first kind such that $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ is compact. So far as we use in this paper, we quote the formula, for example, from Shimizu [7] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr. } \mathfrak{F}(\Gamma \alpha \Gamma) &:= \nu(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}) \text{tr. } \Psi(g_0) (\text{sgn } g_0)^{k+2} \frac{k-1}{4\pi} \\ &- \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{G}_1} \frac{\text{tr. } \Psi(g)}{[\Gamma(g) : Z(\Gamma)]} \frac{\zeta(g)^{k-1} - \eta(g)^{k-1}}{\zeta(g) - \eta(g)} (\det g)^{1-k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Notations are the same as in Theorem 1 of Shimizu [7]. \mathfrak{G}_1 is a complete system of inequivalent elliptic elements.

Next we compute traces of Hecke operators acting on $\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_{S_i}, \Psi)$. We set $k=n+2$, where n is as in § 1. We put $\mathfrak{I}(q, \mathfrak{D}_i) = \sum_{\nu(\alpha)=q} \Gamma_{S_i} \alpha \Gamma_{S_i}$ for an integral ideal q of F as in Shimizu [6], where $\mathfrak{D}_i = x_i^{-1} S x_i \cap B$. For investigating \mathfrak{G}_1 we put \mathcal{Q}_0 the set of isomorphism classes of orders \mathfrak{o} of totally imaginary quadratic extensions over F in B satisfying the following properties:

- (i) no prime factor of $D(B/F)$ splits in $F(\mathfrak{o})$,
- (ii) the conductor of \mathfrak{o} is prime to $D(B/F)$.

We put $\mathfrak{o} = F(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{D}_i$ for an elliptic element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}_i$ such that $\nu(\alpha) = q$. It follows that \mathfrak{o} is in \mathcal{Q}_0 . We know that there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_{S_i}$ such that $\alpha' = \pm \gamma^{-1} \alpha \gamma$ if and only if $\mathfrak{o} = F(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{D}_i$ and $\mathfrak{o}' = F(\alpha') \cap \mathfrak{D}_i$ are Γ_{S_i} -conjugate to each other. Therefore the number of Γ_{S_i} -equivalence classes of elliptic elements α such that $F(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{D}_i = \mathfrak{o}$ is equal to the number of Γ_{S_i} -conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{o} . Let Γ_0 be the group of all units in \mathfrak{D}_i . By Shimizu [7] the number of Γ_0 -conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{o} is equal to $\frac{h(\mathfrak{o})}{2h_B} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} (1 - (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}))$, where $h(\mathfrak{o})$ is the class number of \mathfrak{o} and $(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ (resp. $-1, 0$) when \mathfrak{p} splits completely (resp. remains prime, is ramified) in $F(\mathfrak{o})/F$. We denote by E (resp. E^+) the group of units (resp. totally positive units) of the ring of integers of F . We get by $[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma_{S_i}] = [E : E^+]$ that the number of Γ_{S_i} -conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{o} is equal to

$$\frac{h(\mathfrak{o})}{h_B} \frac{[E : E^+]}{2} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{o}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right).$$

We see that $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $q_0 \in F$ such that $q = (q_0^2)$. We notice that $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the group $E(\mathfrak{o})$ of units of $\mathfrak{o} = F(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{D}_i$ and $Z(\Gamma) = E$ (cf. Shimizu [6]). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr.} \mathfrak{I}(q, \mathfrak{D}_i) &= \delta(q) \mathrm{tr.} \Psi(g_0) \nu(\Gamma_{S_i} \backslash \mathfrak{H}) \frac{n+1}{4\pi} \\ &= \frac{[E : E^+]}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathcal{Q}_0} \frac{h(\mathfrak{o})}{h_B} \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{o}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)}{[E(\mathfrak{o}) : E]} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in J(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \alpha \bmod E}} \mathrm{tr.} \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha} (\det \alpha)^{-n/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $J(\mathfrak{o}) = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{o} : \alpha \in F, \nu(\alpha) = q\}$, ζ_α and η_α are eigenvalues of α , and $\delta(q) = 1$ in the case of $q = (q_0^2)$ for some integer q_0 of F and otherwise $\delta(q) = 0$.

We know that the Hecke operators $\mathfrak{I}(q)$ of Ohta [4] act on $\mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_{S_i}, \Psi)$. Hence we have $\mathrm{tr.} \mathfrak{I}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathrm{tr.} \mathfrak{I}(q, \mathfrak{D}_i)$. As we see that the formula of $\mathrm{tr.} \mathfrak{I}(q, \mathfrak{D}_i)$ is independent of i and that $\delta(q) = 0$ for a prime ideal q of F , we get:

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{tr.} \mathfrak{I}(q) = \frac{[E : E^+]}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathcal{Q}_0} h(\mathfrak{o}) \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{o}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)}{[E(\mathfrak{o}) : E]} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in J(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \alpha \bmod E}} \mathrm{tr.} \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha} (\det \alpha)^{-n/2}.$$

We see $\mathrm{tr.} \mathfrak{I}((1)) = \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho)$ and $\delta((1)) = 1$. In this case, we can take $g_0 = 1$. By using $\nu(\Gamma_{S_i} \backslash \mathfrak{H}) = \nu(\Gamma_{S_1} \backslash \mathfrak{H})$, we get:

$$(2) \quad \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) = h_B(n+1)^m \nu(\Gamma_{S_1} \setminus \mathfrak{H}) / 4\pi \\ - \frac{[E : E^+]}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \in \Omega_0} h(\mathfrak{o}) \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{o}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)}{[E(\mathfrak{o}) : E]} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in J(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \alpha \pmod{E}} \text{tr. } \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha} (\det \alpha)^{-n/2}.$$

By Shimizu [6] (See also [8].), we have

$$\nu(\Gamma_0 \setminus \mathfrak{H}) = \frac{D_F^{3/2} 2^{2-m} \zeta_F(2)}{\pi^{2m-1} [E : E^+]} \frac{h_F}{h_B \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} (N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p} - 1)},$$

where D_F is the discriminant of F . From (2) we get by the functional equation of $\zeta_F(s)$ and the equality $\nu(\Gamma_{S_i} \setminus \mathfrak{H}) = [E : E^+] \nu(\Gamma_0 \setminus \mathfrak{H})$,

$$(3) \quad \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) = (-1)^m (n+1)^m h_F \zeta_F(-1) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} (N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p} - 1) \\ - \frac{[E : E^+]}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \in \Omega_0} h(\mathfrak{o}) \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{o}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)}{[E(\mathfrak{o}) : E]} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in J(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \alpha \pmod{E}} \text{tr. } \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha} (\det \alpha)^{-n/2}.$$

§ 3. Proof of Theorem.

Let l be an odd prime which does not divide $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$.

First we prove that there exists at least one totally imaginary quadratic extension K/F whose relative class number $h_{K/F}$ is not divisible by l .

For the case of $n(l) > 0$, we take a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_l of F as follows. Because $k_l = F(\zeta_l)$ is a totally imaginary quadratic extension over F , we take a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_l of F which is unramified and of degree 1 over \mathbb{Q} and splits completely in k_l/\mathbb{Q} but not in $k_l(\zeta_{l^{n(l)+1}})/\mathbb{Q}$. We see $N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p}_l \equiv 1 \pmod{l^{n(l)}}$ and $N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p}_l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l^{n(l)+1}}$.

We can determine the quaternion algebra B/F by giving even number of prime spots which are ramified in B/F (e. g. Weil [10] Chap. XIII). We take B/F as follows:

- (i) the only one real prime is unramified in B/F and other real primes are ramified,
- (ii) \mathfrak{p}_l is ramified in B/F , if $n(l) > 0$,
- (iii) each prime ideal \mathfrak{l} over l is ramified in B/F ,
- (iv) the other prime ideals \mathfrak{p} which are ramified in B/F satisfy $N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$.

Then $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} (N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p} - 1)$ is divisible by $l^{n(l)}$ not by $l^{n(l)+1}$. Thus the l -adic order of $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} (N_{F/Q} \mathfrak{p} - 1)$ is equal to that of w_F . By the assumption that l does not divide $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$, we see that the first term of the formula (3) is divisible by l^{e_F} but not by l^{e_F+1} , where e_F stands for the exponent of l in h_F . We take S and ρ as in § 1.

Now we assume that every totally imaginary quadratic extension K over F

has the relative class number $h_{K/F}$ which is divisible by l . Because \mathfrak{p}_l divides $D(B/F)$ for the case of $n(l) > 0$, Ω_0 does not contain any order \mathfrak{o} containing the primitive l -th roots of unity. Thus we see that the second term of (2) is divisible by $l^{e_{F+1}}$, because $h(\mathfrak{o})$ is a multiple of $h_{F(\mathfrak{o})/F} h_F$ and an l -adic integer (e.g. Prestel [5] p. 188). Thus we get:

$$(4) \quad \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) \equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_F}} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_{F+1}}}.$$

We put $H_l = \{g \in \text{GL}_{2 \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho)}(\mathbb{Q}_l) : g \equiv 1 \pmod{l^{e_{F+1}}}\}$. Let M_l be the fixed field by $\phi_{S, \rho}^{-1}(H_l)$, where $\phi_{S, \rho}$ is the l -adic representation as in §1. Let \mathfrak{q} be a prime ideal of F such that \mathfrak{q} splits completely in M_l/F and does not divide $lD(B/F)$. Therefore we get $\phi_{S, \rho}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) \equiv 1 \pmod{l^{e_{F+1}}}$, and by (4)

$$\text{tr. } \phi_{S, \rho}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}) \equiv 2 \dim_c \mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_{F+1}}},$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a Frobenius element at \mathfrak{q} in $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. By (1), we get:

$$(5) \quad \text{tr. } \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{q}) =$$

$$-\frac{[E : E^+]}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \in \Omega_0} h(\mathfrak{o}) \frac{\prod \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathfrak{o}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)}{[E(\mathfrak{o}) : E]} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in J(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \alpha \pmod{E}} \text{tr. } \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_{\alpha}^{n+1} - \eta_{\alpha}^{n+1}}{\zeta_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}} (\det \alpha)^{-n/2}.$$

We see by $(\nu(\alpha)) = \mathfrak{q}$ that $(\det \alpha)^{-n/2}$ is an l -adic integer in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. We see that $[E(\mathfrak{o}) : E]$ is prime to l , because of the assumption that \mathfrak{p}_l divides $D(B/F)$ for the case of $n(l) > 0$. Because $h_{F(\mathfrak{o})/F}$ is divisible by l and $h(\mathfrak{o})$ is a multiple of $h_{F(\mathfrak{o})/F} h_F$ and an l -adic integer, we get $\text{tr. } \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{q}) \equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_{F+1}}}$. This contradicts the equality $\text{tr. } \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{q}) = \text{tr. } \phi_{S, \rho}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})$, which is contained in Theorem of Ohta [4] cited in §1. Thus we see that there exists a totally imaginary quadratic extension K over F whose relative class number $h_{K/F}$ is not divisible by l . We see by (iii) that each prime ideal of F over l does not split in K . Moreover we can take K which contains no primitive l -th root of unity, because \mathfrak{p}_l divides $D(B/F)$, if $n(l) > 0$.

Finally we prove that there exist infinitely many totally imaginary quadratic extensions over F whose relative class numbers are not divisible by l .

Let K_1, \dots, K_s be totally imaginary quadratic extensions over F whose relative class numbers are not divisible by l . Here we can assume that K_i contains no primitive l -th root of unity. We take a prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_i of F such that \mathfrak{q}_i splits completely in K_i/F and $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{q}_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. We take a division quaternion algebra B/F as follows:

(i) the only one real prime is unramified in B/F and other real primes are ramified,

(ii) \mathfrak{p}_l is ramified in B/F , if $n(l) > 0$,

(iii) $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s$ are ramified in B/F ,

(iv) each prime ideal \mathfrak{l} over l is ramified in B/F ,

(v) the other prime ideals \mathfrak{p} which are ramified in B/F satisfy $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$.

We take S and ρ as in §1. We get as before $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) \equiv 0 \pmod{l^e}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{S}(S, \rho) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e+1}}$. No order of K_1, \dots, K_s is contained in Ω_0 , because q_1, \dots, q_s divide $D(B/F)$. We get a contradiction by a similar argument as before, if we assume there exists no other totally imaginary quadratic extension over F other than K_1, \dots, K_s whose relative class number is not divisible by l . We see by (iv) that each prime ideal of F over l does not split in these totally imaginary quadratic extensions. The proof of Theorem is complete.

Next we prove Corollary.

We take totally imaginary quadratic extensions K/F such as in Theorem. We see that the relative class numbers $h_{K/F}$ are not divisible by l and each prime ideal \mathfrak{l} of F over l does not split completely in K/F . Therefore we get $\mu_{\bar{K}} = \lambda_{\bar{K}} = 0$ by the criterion in Friedman [1]. By the same discussion in the proof of Theorem, we also see that there exist infinitely many totally imaginary quadratic extensions K/F such that $\mu_{\bar{K}} = \lambda_{\bar{K}} = 0$.

§4. The case that l divides $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$.

We now take a prime number l which divides $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$. To construct numerical examples for this case we first prove the following:

PROPOSITION. *We assume that there exists at least one totally imaginary quadratic extension k/F with the following properties:*

- (i) *the roots of unity of k are ± 1 ,*
- (ii) *at least one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of F which does not divide $2l$ is ramified in k/F ,*
- (iii) *the relative class number $h_{k/F}$ is not divisible by l .*

Then there exist infinitely many totally imaginary quadratic extensions K/F whose relative class numbers $h_{K/F}$ are not divisible by l .

First we prove that there exists at least one totally imaginary quadratic extension K/F other than k whose relative class number $h_{K/F}$ is not divisible by l .

We denote by \mathfrak{o}_k the ring of integers of k . Let α be an imaginary element of \mathfrak{o}_k such that $N_{k/F}(\alpha)$ is prime to l . We put $\phi_n(\alpha) = (\alpha^{n+1} - (\alpha^\sigma)^{n+1}) / (\alpha - \alpha^\sigma)$, where α^σ is the conjugate of α over F . We put $T(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^\sigma$ and $N(\alpha) = \alpha\alpha^\sigma$. We show that we may assume, by changing α if necessary, $\phi_n(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ and $N(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{l} of F over l . For $l \geq 5$, we put $n=2$ in §1. If $\phi_2(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$, we change α to $\alpha + x$ for an integer x of F . We get $\phi_2(\alpha + x) \equiv 3x(x + T(\alpha))$ and $N(\alpha + x) \equiv x^2 + T(\alpha)x + N(\alpha) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$. We see that there exists x

such that $\phi_2(\alpha+x) \not\equiv 0$ and $N(\alpha+x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$, because there exist at least 5 residue classes modulo \mathfrak{l} . For $l=3$, we put $n=4$ in §1. If $\phi_4(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$, we get $\phi_4(\alpha+x) \equiv -x^4 + T(\alpha)x^3 + (T(\alpha)^2 - N(\alpha))x^2 - T(\alpha)(T(\alpha)^2 + N(\alpha))x$ and $N(\alpha+x) \equiv x^2 + T(\alpha)x + N(\alpha) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ for an integer x of F . If the degree of \mathfrak{l} is at least 2, there exists x such that $\phi_4(\alpha+x) \not\equiv 0$ and $N(\alpha+x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$, because there exist at least 9 residue classes modulo \mathfrak{l} . If the degree of \mathfrak{l} is 1, we see $N(\alpha)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$. We get $T(\alpha)^4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ by $\phi_4(\alpha) \equiv T(\alpha)^4 + N(\alpha)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$. This is a contradiction. Moreover we can simultaneously take such x for any \mathfrak{l} over l .

Moreover we add a congruence condition modulo \mathfrak{p} to α . There exists $y \in \mathfrak{o}_k$ such that $y \not\equiv y^\sigma \pmod{\mathfrak{B}^2}$ for the prime ideal \mathfrak{B} of k over \mathfrak{p} and the generator σ of the Galois group of k/F , because \mathfrak{p} is tamely ramified in k/F . We take α satisfying $\alpha \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{B}^2}$. Therefore we get $\alpha - \alpha^\sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\alpha - \alpha^\sigma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{B}^2}$. Thus we get $(\alpha - \alpha^\sigma)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $(\alpha - \alpha^\sigma)^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$. Considering Satz 4 and Lemma 10 of Prestel [5] and $(\alpha - \alpha^\sigma)^2 = T(\alpha)^2 - 4N(\alpha)$, we see that the conductor of an order \mathfrak{o} in \mathfrak{o}_k containing α is not divisible by \mathfrak{p} . Since there exist finitely many orders \mathfrak{o} in \mathfrak{o}_k containing α , we denote by $\mathfrak{r}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{r}_s$ the prime divisors of the conductors of these orders. We have $\mathfrak{r}_i \neq \mathfrak{p}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). By class field theory we can take α such that (α) is a prime ideal of k which splits completely in k/\mathbb{Q} . We put $N = N_{k/F}(\alpha)$. There exist finitely many algebraic integer $x + y\sqrt{-\delta'}$ with $x, y, \delta' \in F$ such that $x^2 + \delta'y^2 = N$ and δ' is totally positive. We denote by $\delta, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_t$ these δ' . At this time we take $\delta, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_t$ such that $k = F(\sqrt{-\delta}), k_1 = F(\sqrt{-\delta_1}), \dots, k_t = F(\sqrt{-\delta_t})$ are different extensions. Let \mathfrak{p}'_i be a prime ideal of F which splits completely in k_i/F and remains prime in k/F . We take a division quaternion algebra B/F as follows:

- (i) the only one real prime is unramified in B/F and other real primes are ramified,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{p}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}'_t$ and $\mathfrak{r}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{r}_s$ are ramified in B/F ,
- (iii) \mathfrak{p} is unramified in B/F ,
- (iv) \mathfrak{p}_l is ramified in B/F , if $n(l) > 0$,
- (v) the other prime ideals \mathfrak{q} which are ramified in B/F remain prime in k/F .

We take S and ρ as in §1, and consider $\mathfrak{S}(S, \rho)$. We consider the trace formula (1) in §2. We see that k, k_1, \dots, k_t are only totally imaginary quadratic extensions which contain algebraic integers whose norm to F are equal to N . But no order in k_1, \dots, k_t appears in Ω_0 , because $\mathfrak{p}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}'_t$ are ramified in B/F . Let \mathfrak{o}_k be the ring of integers of k . Thus it is sufficient to consider the orders of k for calculating $\text{tr. } \mathfrak{X}(N)$. Let \mathfrak{o}_k be the ring of integers of k . No order of k other than \mathfrak{o}_k appears in Ω_0 because $\mathfrak{r}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{r}_s$ are ramified in B/F . By the assumption (i) and (ii) of Proposition, we get $E_k = E$. Therefore we get $J(\mathfrak{o}_k) = \{\alpha, \alpha^\sigma\}$. Thus we get:

$$\text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}((N)) = -\frac{[E : E^+]}{2} h_k \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \binom{0 \ k}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \sum_{\beta = \alpha, \alpha^\sigma} \text{tr. } \Psi(\beta) \frac{\zeta_\beta^{n+1} - \eta_\beta^{n+1}}{\zeta_\beta - \eta_\beta} N^{-n/2}.$$

Let $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}, \overline{\alpha^{(1)}}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}, \overline{\alpha^{(m)}}$ be the conjugates of α such that $\overline{\alpha^{(i)}}$ is the complex conjugation of $\alpha^{(i)}$ over a conjugate field $F^{(i)}$ of F . By the definition of the symmetric tensor representation, we get

$$\text{tr. } \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(\alpha^{(i)})^{n+1} - (\overline{\alpha^{(i)}})^{n+1}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \overline{\alpha^{(i)}}} \times \prod_{i=2}^m (N^{(i)})^{-n/2}$$

because of $\zeta_\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}$ and $\eta_\alpha = \overline{\alpha^{(1)}}$. So we see

$$\text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}((N)) = -[E : E^+] h_k \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | D(B/F)} \left(1 - \binom{0 \ k}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \text{tr. } \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha} N^{-n/2}.$$

By the congruence condition modulo l of α , we see that

$$\text{tr. } \Psi(\alpha) \frac{\zeta_\alpha^{n+1} - \eta_\alpha^{n+1}}{\zeta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha}$$

is prime to l . By the assumption (iii), we get :

$$\text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}((N)) \equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_F}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}((N)) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_F+1}}.$$

We take H_l and M_l as in § 3. We see that \mathfrak{p} is unramified in M_l/F , because \mathfrak{p} does not divide $lD(B/F)$. We get $M_l \cap k = F$ by the assumption (ii) of Proposition. We take a prime ideal \mathfrak{Q} of F which decomposes in M_l/F in the same manner as (N) , and remains prime in k/F . Thus there is no element β of k such that $(N_{k/F}(\beta)) = \mathfrak{Q}$. So there appears no order of k in the formula of $\text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Q})$. Because of $\text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Q}) \equiv \text{tr. } \mathfrak{Z}((N)) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l^{e_F+1}}$, we see that there exists other totally imaginary quadratic extension K whose relative class number $h_{K/F}$ is not divisible by l . Moreover K contains no primitive l -th root of unity, because \mathfrak{p}_l divides $D(B/F)$.

Next we prove that there exist infinitely many totally imaginary quadratic extensions over F whose relative class numbers are not divisible by l . Let k, K_1, \dots, K_u be such quadratic extensions over F which contain no primitive l -th root of unity. We take prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_i of F which splits completely in K_i/F and remains prime in k/F for each $1 \leq i \leq u$. We take a division quaternion algebra B/F satisfying (i)~(v) in which \mathfrak{q}_i is ramified. Then we see by similar argument that there exist another totally imaginary quadratic extension over F whose relative class number is not divisible by l . The proof of Proposition is complete.

Next we consider the numerical examples. We take $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime. We denote by $h(-q)$ (resp. $h(-pq)$) the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-pq})$), where q is a prime number. For $L = F(\sqrt{-q}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{-q})$, we see $h_{L/F} = h(-q)h(-pq)/2$. If $h(-q)$ and $h(-pq)$ are prime

to l and q is not equal to 2, 3, p nor l , then L satisfies the assumption of Proposition. Using an electric computer we can find q such that $h(-q)$ and $h(-pq)$ are prime to l for $3 \leq l \leq 47$ and $p \leq 17389$, even if $w_F \zeta_F(-1)$ is divisible by l . There are 986 p 's. We write the number of p 's such that l divides $w_{Q(\sqrt{p})} \zeta_{Q(\sqrt{p})}(-1)$ in the following table.

Table.

l	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37	41	43	47
number of p	365	205	141	91	75	62	50	48	33	30	18	23	20	25

References

- [1] E. Friedman, Iwasawa invariants, *Math. Ann.*, 271 (1985), 13-30.
- [2] P. Hartung, Proof of the existence of infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose class number is not divisible by 3, *J. of Number Theory*, 6 (1974), 276-278.
- [3] K. Horie, A note on basic Iwasawa λ -invariants of imaginary quadratic fields, *Invent. Math.*, 88 (1987), 31-38.
- [4] M. Ohta, On l -adic representations attached to automorphic forms, *Japan. J. Math.*, 8 (1982), 1-47.
- [5] A. Prestel, Die elliptischen Fixpunkte der Hilbertschen Modulgruppen, *Math. Ann.*, 177 (1968), 181-209.
- [6] H. Shimizu, On discontinuous groups operating on the product of the upper half planes, *Ann. of Math.*, 77 (1963), 33-71.
- [7] H. Shimizu, On traces of Hecke operators, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 10 (1963), 1-19.
- [8] H. Shimizu, On zeta functions of quaternion algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, 81 (1965), 166-193.
- [9] J.-P. Serre, Cohomologie des groupes discretes, *Prospects in Mathematics*, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, 70 (1971), 77-170.
- [10] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, 1967.

Hirotsada NAITO
Faculty of Education
Kagawa University
Takamatsu 760 Japan