

On \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rationality of Eisenstein series of weight $3/2$

By Toshitsune MIYAKE

(Received April 27, 1988)

§ 0. Introduction.

Let F be a totally real algebraic number field and K a subfield of \mathbf{C} . A holomorphic Hilbert modular form f of integral weight or half integral weight over F is called K -rational if all Fourier coefficients of f at ∞ belong to K . In [7], Shimura proved in a general frame work that the orthogonal complements of the spaces of cusp forms (the spaces of Eisenstein series) are generated by \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational ones except the following two cases: (1) $F=\mathbf{Q}$ and the weight is 2; (2) $F=\mathbf{Q}$ and the weight is $3/2$. Here \mathbf{Q}_{ab} is the maximal abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} . In the first exceptional case, the Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series are classically well known and the assertion is true (Hecke [1]). As for the second exceptional case, Pei [3] has given generators of the orthogonal complements of cusp forms in the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight $3/2$. Therefore we can verify that the assertion is also correct in this case by his results and [6] Proposition 1.5. Nevertheless his construction is quite complicate and rather technical. The purpose of this paper is to give a more conceptual and shorter proof to the above fact for the weight $3/2$ using the recent results of Shimura [9]. To be more precise, let N be a positive integer divisible by 4, and $\Gamma(N)$ the principal congruence elliptic modular group of level N . Let $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(3/2, \Gamma(N))$ be the orthogonal complement of the cusp forms in the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight $3/2$. Then

THEOREM. *The space $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(3/2, \Gamma(N))$ is generated by \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational modular forms.*

NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY REMARKS.

(1) As usual, we denote by \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Z} , the real number field, the complex number field, the rational number field and the ring of rational integers. We also denote by \mathbf{R}_+ the set of positive real numbers and by \mathbf{Q}_{ab} the maximal abelian extension of \mathbf{Q} in \mathbf{C} .

(2) We put $i=\sqrt{-1}$. For two complex numbers $z(\neq 0)$ and α , we put

$$z^\alpha = \exp(\alpha(\log|z| + i \arg(z))),$$

by taking $\arg(z)$ so that $-\pi < \arg(z) \leq \pi$. We also write

$$e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

For a complex number z , we sometimes use the expression

$$z = x + iy, \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R},$$

without mentioning it.

(3) We denote by \mathbf{H} the upper half complex plane:

$$\mathbf{H} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}.$$

We put

$$GL_2^+(\mathbf{R}) = \{\alpha \in GL_2(\mathbf{R}) \mid \det(\alpha) > 0\}.$$

Then $GL_2^+(\mathbf{R})$ acts on \mathbf{H} by

$$\alpha z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^+(\mathbf{R}), \quad z \in \mathbf{H}.$$

We also put

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^+(\mathbf{R}) \mid c=0 \right\}.$$

(4) For an integer a and an odd integer b , we denote by $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ the quadratic residue symbol, which coincides with the ordinary one if b is an odd prime. For a non-zero integer a , the map " $b \rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ " is a Dirichlet character defined modulo $|a|$ or modulo $4|a|$. We denote this Dirichlet character by $\left(\frac{a}{*}\right)$. For a positive integer b , the map " $a \rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ " is also a Dirichlet character defined modulo b . We denote it by $\left(\frac{*}{b}\right)$. For further properties of the quadratic residue symbol, see [4]. We also denote by φ the Euler function.

(5) The proofs of statements of §1 can be found in [7], unless other references are given.

§1. Automorphic eigenforms of half-integral weight.

Let N be a positive integer. We define congruence subgroups $\Gamma_0(N)$, $\Gamma^0(N)$ and $\Gamma(N)$ of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ by

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma^0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid b \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\},$$

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

We call a subgroup Γ of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ a congruence modular group if $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N)$ for some N . Then we see easily that

$$\begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_0(N) \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \Gamma^0(N).$$

The theta function is defined by

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e(n^2 z), \quad z \in \mathbf{H}.$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4)$, we put

$$j(\gamma, z) = \frac{\theta(\gamma z)}{\theta(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{H}.$$

Then by definition, it holds that

$$j(\gamma\gamma', z) = j(\gamma, \gamma'z) \cdot j(\gamma', z), \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_0(4).$$

The following lemma is well known ([4]).

LEMMA 1.1. For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$, we have

$$j(\gamma, z) = \varepsilon_d^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{d} \right) (cz + d)^{1/2},$$

where ε_d is given by

$$\varepsilon_d = \begin{cases} 1 & (d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}), \\ i & (d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma^0(4)$, we put

$$j'(\gamma, z) = \frac{\theta(\delta\gamma z)}{\theta(\delta z)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{H},$$

where $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$. Then we see easily that

$$j'(\gamma\gamma', z) = j'(\gamma, \gamma'z) \cdot j'(\gamma', z), \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma^0(4),$$

and

$$j'(\gamma, z) = \varepsilon_d^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{d} \right) (cz + d)^{1/2}, \quad \text{if } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^0(4).$$

This implies

$$j'(\gamma, z) = j(\gamma, z), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(4) \cap \Gamma^0(4).$$

Therefore we may write $j(\gamma, z)$ instead of $j'(\gamma, z)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma^0(4)$.

Now let k be an odd integer and put $\sigma = k/2$. Put $\mathbf{T} = \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid |t| = 1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_\sigma$ the set of pairs $(\alpha, l(z))$ of $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbf{Q})$ and a holomorphic function $l(z)$ on \mathbf{H} satisfying

$$l(z)^2 = t \cdot (cz + d)^k, \quad t \in \mathbf{T}, \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{G} is a group by the following group law:

$$(\alpha, l(z)) \cdot (\alpha', l'(z)) = (\alpha\alpha', l(\alpha'z)l'(z))$$

For $\xi = (\alpha, l(z))$, we write $\alpha = \text{pr}(\xi)$ and $l(z) = l_\xi(z)$. Then pr is the projection from \mathcal{G} to $SL_2(\mathbf{Q})$. We define a subgroup \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{G} by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{G} \mid \text{pr}(\xi) \in P\}.$$

For a function $f(z)$ on \mathbf{H} , we let $\xi = (\alpha, l(z)) \in \mathcal{G}$ act on f by

$$(f \parallel \xi)(z) = f(\alpha z)l(z)^{-1}.$$

We define an injection \mathcal{A}_σ of $\Gamma_0(4) \cup \Gamma^0(4)$ to \mathcal{G}_σ by

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma(\gamma) = (\gamma, j(\gamma, z)^k), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_0(4) \cup \Gamma^0(4).$$

We note that the restrictions of \mathcal{A}_σ to $\Gamma_0(4)$ and to $\Gamma^0(4)$ are group homomorphisms. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4) \cup \Gamma^0(4)$ and a function f on \mathbf{H} , we put

$$(f \parallel_\sigma \gamma)(z) = (f \parallel \mathcal{A}_\sigma(\gamma))(z) = f(\gamma z)j(\gamma, z)^{-k}.$$

By a congruence subgroup of \mathcal{G}_σ , we understand a subgroup \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{G}_σ satisfying

(1.1a) \mathcal{A} contains $\mathcal{A}_\sigma(\Gamma(N))$ with an integer N divisible by 4;

(1.1b) pr induces an isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto a congruence modular group.

Now we define a differential operator L^σ on \mathbf{H} by

$$L^\sigma = -4y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} + 2i\sigma y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a congruence subgroup of \mathcal{G}_σ . For a complex number λ , we denote by $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \mathcal{A})$ the set of all the real analytic functions f on \mathbf{H} satisfying the following three conditions:

(1.2a) $f \parallel \xi = f, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{A};$

(1.2b) $L^\sigma f = \lambda f;$

(1.2c) for every $\eta \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma$, there exist positive constants A, B and c such that

$$y^{\sigma/2} |(f \parallel \eta)(x+iy)| \leq Ay^c, \quad \text{if } y > B.$$

We call elements of $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \mathcal{A})$ automorphic eigenforms of weight σ with respect to \mathcal{A} . To present the Fourier expansions of automorphic eigenforms, we should introduce Whittaker functions. We denote by $\omega(t; \alpha, \beta)$ the function defined on $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$, which is holomorphic in (α, β) , real analytic in (t, α, β) and has an expression

$$\omega(t; \alpha, \beta) = t^\beta \Gamma(\beta)^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} (1+u)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta-1} du, \quad \text{for } \text{Re}(\beta) > 0.$$

It satisfies

(1.3a) $\omega(t; 1-\beta, 1-\alpha) = \omega(t; \alpha, \beta),$

(1.3b) $\omega(t; 1-\alpha, 0) = 1,$

(1.3c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t; \alpha, \beta) = 1.$

For a complex number λ , we denote by α and β the roots of the quadratic equation

$$X^2 - (1-\sigma)X + \lambda = 0.$$

For $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ($t \neq 0$), we put

$$W(t; \sigma, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \omega(4\pi t; 1-\alpha, \beta) & (t > 0), \\ \omega(4\pi |t|; \beta, 1-\alpha) & (t < 0). \end{cases}$$

By (1.3a), $W(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is independent of the choice of α and β . For any element $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a positive number A such that

$$\{\pm 1\} \cdot \text{pr}(\mathcal{P} \cap (\xi^{-1}\Delta\xi)) = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n/A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Then for $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$, we have a Fourier expansion

$$(f \parallel \xi)(z) = a_0(y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n W\left(\frac{ny}{2A}; \sigma, \lambda\right) e\left(\frac{nz}{2A}\right) + y^{-\sigma} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} W\left(\frac{-ny}{2A}; \sigma, \lambda\right) e\left(\frac{-n\bar{z}}{2A}\right),$$

with a function $a_0(y)$ on \mathbf{R}_+ and $a_n \in \mathbf{C}$ ($n \neq 0$) ([9]). We call $f \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$ a cusp form if the constant term $a_0(y) = 0$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$ the space of cusp forms in $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$.

For two elements f and g of $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$, we put

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mu(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}} \bar{f} g y^{\sigma-1} dx dy,$$

where $\Gamma = \text{pr}(\Delta)$ and

$$\mu(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}} y^{-2} dx dy.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta) = \{g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta) \mid \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{S}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta) = \mathcal{S}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta) \oplus \mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$. Further we put

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda) = \bigcup_{\Delta} \mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta), \quad \mathcal{S}(\sigma, \lambda) = \bigcup_{\Delta} \mathcal{S}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta),$$

where the unions are taken over all congruence subgroups of \mathcal{G} . We also put

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda) = \{g \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma, \lambda) \mid \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{S}(\sigma, \lambda)\}.$$

Then we observe

(1.4) $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta) = \{f \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda) \mid f \parallel \xi = f \text{ for any } \xi \in \Delta\},$

for any congruence subgroup Δ of \mathcal{G} .

For a congruence subgroup Δ of \mathfrak{g} , we call $\mathcal{P} \cap \Delta$ is regular if $l_\xi(z)=1$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cap \Delta$. We define the Eisenstein series $E(z, s; \Delta)$ ($z \in \mathbf{H}, s \in \mathbf{C}$) by

$$E(z, s; \Delta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \cap \Delta \setminus \Delta} y^s \|\alpha\| & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \cap \Delta \text{ is regular,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The series is convergent for $\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \sigma/2$ and can be continued as a meromorphic function in s to the whole s -plane. If $E(z, s; \Delta)$ is holomorphic at $s_0 \in \mathbf{C}$, then

$$E(z, s_0; \Delta) \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta), \quad \lambda = s_0(1 - \sigma - s_0).$$

Further for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we put

$$E(z, s; \Delta, \xi) = E(z, s; \xi \Delta \xi^{-1}) \|\xi\|.$$

Let ξ and ξ' be two elements of \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{pr}(\xi) = \text{pr}(\xi')$. Then we see easily that $\xi \Delta \xi^{-1} = \xi' \Delta \xi'^{-1}$. Therefore

$$E(z, s; \Delta, \xi') = c E(z, s; \Delta, \xi)$$

with a constant c . Let α be an element of $SL_2(\mathbf{Q})$, and $\xi = (\alpha, l(z)) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Put $\Gamma = \text{pr}(\Delta)$. If $\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1} = \Gamma$, then $\xi \Delta \xi^{-1} = \Delta$ and therefore

$$E(z, s; \Delta, \xi) = E(z, s; \Delta) \|\xi\|.$$

THEOREM 1.2. (1) *If $\text{Re}(s_0) \geq (1 - \sigma)/2$, then $E(z, s; \Delta)$ is holomorphic at $s = s_0$ except the case when $s_0 = 3/4 - \sigma/2$ and $\sigma - 1/2$ is either an even nonnegative integer or an odd negative integer.*

(2) *For $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, take $s_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ so that*

$$s_0(1 - \sigma - s_0) = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re}(s_0) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma).$$

If (s_0, σ) is not in the exceptional case of (1), then $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, \lambda, \Delta)$ is generated by $E(z, s; \Delta, \xi)$ ($\xi \in \mathfrak{g}, \text{pr}(\xi) \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$).

COROLLARY 1.3. *If $\sigma \geq 3/2$, then $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Delta)$ is generated by Eisenstein series $E(z, 0; \Delta, \xi)$ ($\xi \in \mathfrak{g}, \text{pr}(\xi) \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$).*

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \Delta)$ the set of all holomorphic functions on \mathbf{H} satisfying (1.2a) and (1.2c). Then

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \Delta) \subset \mathcal{A}(\sigma, 0, \Delta).$$

We put

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \Delta) = \mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Delta) \cap \mathcal{A}(\sigma, \Delta).$$

Then we have

THEOREM 1.4. *If $\sigma > 3/2$, then*

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}(\sigma, \Delta) = \mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Delta).$$

§ 2. Eisenstein series.

For a congruence modular group Γ contained in $\Gamma_0(4) \cup \Gamma^0(4)$, we put

$$\mathfrak{N}(\sigma, \lambda, \Gamma) = \mathfrak{N}(\sigma, \lambda; A_\sigma(\Gamma))$$

and

$$E_\sigma(z, s; \Gamma) = E(z, s; A_\sigma(\Gamma)).$$

Let M be a positive integer and N a positive integer divisible by 4. For integers μ, ν ($0 \leq \mu < M, 1 \leq \nu < N, (\nu, 2) = 1$), we put

$$E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{M}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right) = y^s \varepsilon_\nu^k \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu \pmod{M} \\ n \equiv \nu \pmod{N}}} \binom{m}{n} (mz+n)^{-\nu} |mz+n|^{-2s}, \quad z \in \mathbf{H}, s \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Then $E_\sigma(z, s; \mu/M, \nu/N)$ is convergent for $\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \sigma/2$ and continued meromorphically in s to the whole s -plane by Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 below. The following lemma is easily proved ([2], Lemma 7.1.6).

LEMMA 2.1. Let M be a positive integer (≥ 3).

(1) The map $\Gamma(M) \ni \gamma \mapsto (c_\gamma, d_\gamma) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ induces a bijection

$$(P \cap \Gamma(M)) \backslash \Gamma(M) \longrightarrow \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid \begin{array}{l} m \equiv 0 \pmod{M}, \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod{M}, \end{array} (m, n) = 1 \right\}.$$

(2) For $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, the correspondence $\Gamma(M)\alpha \ni \gamma \mapsto (c_\gamma, d_\gamma) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ induces a bijection

$$(P \cap \Gamma(M)) \backslash \Gamma(M)\alpha \longrightarrow \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid \begin{array}{l} m \equiv c \pmod{M}, \\ n \equiv d \pmod{M}, \end{array} (m, n) = 1 \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let N be a positive integer divisible by 4, and let μ and ν be integers such that $0 \leq \mu, \nu < N$ and $(\nu, 2) = 1$. Then

(1) $E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{0}{N}, \frac{1}{N}\right) = E_\sigma(z, s; \Gamma(N)).$

(2) $E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right) = 0$ if $(\mu, \nu, N) \neq 1$.

(3) If $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^0(4)$, then

$$E_\sigma(z, s; \Gamma(N), \alpha) = E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{c'}{N}, \frac{d'}{N}\right)$$

with $0 \leq c', d' < N$ such that $(c', d') \equiv (c, d) \pmod{N}$.

(4) If $\alpha \in \Gamma^0(4)$, then

$$E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right) \Big|_\sigma \alpha = E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu'}{N}, \frac{\nu'}{N}\right).$$

Here μ', ν' are integers such that $0 \leq \mu', \nu' < N$ and

$$(\mu', \nu') \equiv (\mu, \nu)\alpha \pmod{N}.$$

PROOF. (1): Put $\Gamma = \Gamma(N)$. Then by Lemma 2.1 (1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{0}{N}, \frac{1}{N}\right) &= y^s \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} j(\gamma, z)^{-k} |j(\gamma, z)|^{-4s} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} y^s \|\sigma \gamma\| \\ &= E(z, s; A_\sigma(\Gamma(N))). \end{aligned}$$

(2): Assume $(\mu, \nu, N) \neq 1$. Then all pairs of integers (m, n) such that $m \equiv \mu \pmod{N}$, $n \equiv \nu \pmod{N}$ are not coprime. Therefore $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = 0$.

(3), (4): Since A_σ is a group homomorphism on $\Gamma^0(4)$, the third assertion is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 (2), and the fourth assertion is an easy consequence of (3). \square

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let N, μ and ν be the same as in Proposition 2.2. Assume $(\mu, \nu, N) = 1$ and put $(\mu, N) = u$ and $(\nu, N) = v$. We also put*

$$\mu' = \frac{\mu}{u}, \quad \nu' = \frac{\nu}{v}, \quad M' = \frac{N}{u}, \quad N' = \frac{N}{v}.$$

Then

$$E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\varepsilon_{\nu'}}\right)^k \left(\frac{u}{v}\right) \left(\frac{\mu'}{\nu'}\right) \left(\frac{u}{\nu'}\right) v^{-\sigma-s} u^{-s} E_\sigma\left(\frac{u}{v}z, s; \frac{\mu'}{M'}, \frac{\nu'}{N'}\right).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right) &= y^s \varepsilon_\nu^k \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu \pmod{N} \\ n \equiv \nu \pmod{N}}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma} |mz+n|^{-2s} \\ &= \left(\frac{u}{v}y\right)^s \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\nu}{\varepsilon_{\nu'}}\right)^k \varepsilon_{\nu'}^k \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu' \pmod{M'} \\ n \equiv \nu' \pmod{N'}}} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \left(\frac{u}{n}\right) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) v^{-\sigma-s} u^{-s} \left(m\frac{u}{v}z+n\right)^{-\sigma} \left|m\frac{u}{v}z+n\right|^{-2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since u and v are coprime, we see that N' is divisible by u and M' is divisible by v . This implies $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{\mu'}{\nu'}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{u}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{u}{\nu'}\right)$ in the last summation. \square

Let χ and ϕ be Dirichlet characters defined modulo M and modulo N , respectively. Assume that N is divisible by 4. We put

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) &= y^s \sum_{m, n=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(m)\phi(n)\varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma} |mz+n|^{-2s} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \mu < M \\ 0 < \nu < N}} \chi(\mu)\phi(\nu) E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{M}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we understand that

$$\chi(0) = 1 \text{ if } M=1, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(0) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Since N is divisible by 4, $\phi(n)=0$ for even integers n . Then the summation on n is extended only over odd integers.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Assume $\sigma \geq 3/2$. Let N be a positive integer divisible by 4.

(1) For any integers μ, ν ($0 \leq \mu, \nu < N, (\nu, 2)=1$), $E_\sigma(z, s; \mu/N, \nu/N)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and $E_\sigma(z, 0; \mu/N, \nu/N)$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$.

(2) Let M_1 be a divisor of N , and N_1 a divisor of N divisible by 4. Let χ and ϕ be Dirichlet characters defined modulo M_1 and modulo N_1 , respectively. Then $E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and $E_\sigma(z, 0; \chi, \phi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$.

PROOF. By Corollary 1.3 and Proposition 2.2, we see that $E_\sigma(z, s; \mu/N, \nu/N)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and $E_\sigma(z, 0; \mu/N, \nu/N) \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$. Then

$$E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \mu < M_1 \\ 0 < \nu < N_1}} \chi(\mu)\phi(\nu) E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{M_1}, \frac{\nu}{N_1}\right).$$

Further put $N' = \text{L.C.M.}(M_1, N_1)$. Then

$$E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{M_1}, \frac{\nu}{N_1}\right) = \sum E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu'}{N'}, \frac{\nu'}{N'}\right)$$

where the summation extends over integers μ and ν satisfying

$$0 \leq \mu, \nu < N', \quad \mu' \equiv \mu \pmod{M_1}, \quad \nu' \equiv \nu \pmod{N_1}.$$

Therefore $E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$. Since $E_\sigma(z, 0; \mu'/N', \nu'/N')$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N'))$ and $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N')) \subset \mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$, $E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi)$ also belongs to $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$. \square

The next proposition can be easily proved by using the orthogonal relation of characters.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let M be a positive integer, and N a positive integer divisible by 4. If $(\mu, M)=1$ and $(\nu, N)=1$, then

$$E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{M}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{\varphi(M)\varphi(N)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\phi} \bar{\chi}(\mu)\bar{\phi}(\nu) E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi).$$

Here χ (resp. ϕ) is taken over all Dirichlet characters defined modulo M (resp. modulo N) and φ is the Euler function.

For the Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series, we obtain

THEOREM 2.6. Assume $\sigma \geq 3/2$. For any $\alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, there exists an element $\xi \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma$ such that $\text{pr}(\xi) = \alpha$ and

$$E_\sigma(z, 0; \Gamma(N)) \parallel \xi = c + a_0 \pi^{2-2\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e(nz/N)$$

$$+\pi^{1-2\sigma}y^{-\sigma}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_{-n}\omega(-4\pi ny/N;0,\sigma)e(-n\bar{z}/N),$$

with $a_n (n \in \mathbf{Z}), c \in \mathbf{Q}_{ab}$. Furthermore if $\sigma > 3/2$, then $a_{-n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 2.6 will be given in § 3.

For a modular group Γ contained in $\Gamma_0(4) \cup \Gamma^0(4)$, we put

$$\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma) = \mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma(\Gamma)), \quad \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma) = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma(\Gamma)).$$

Let $f(z)$ be an element of $\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma)$, and let the Fourier expansion of f be

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e(nz/A), \quad a_n \in \mathbf{C},$$

with some positive integer A . For a subfield K of \mathbf{C} , we call $f(z)$ K -rational if $a_n \in K$ for all $n \geq 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma, K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma, K)$) the set of all K -rational elements in $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma)$). We also denote by $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma, K)$ the set of all functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma)$ which has the Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = c + a_0 \pi^{2-2\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e\left(\frac{nz}{A}\right) + \pi^{1-2\sigma} y^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} \omega\left(\frac{4\pi ny}{A}; 0, \sigma\right) e\left(\frac{-n\bar{z}}{A}\right),$$

with $a_n (n \in \mathbf{Z}), c \in K$ and a positive integer A . Then

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma, K) = \mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma, K) \cap \mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma).$$

If $\sigma > 3/2$, Theorem 2.6 together with Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab}) = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab}).$$

Now we obtain

THEOREM 2.7. *Assume $\sigma \geq 3/2$. Let N be a positive integer divisible by 4. Then the space $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma(N))$ is generated by \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational elements.*

PROOF. By Corollary 1.3, $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$ is generated by $E_\sigma(z, 0; \Gamma(N)) \parallel \xi$ ($\xi \in \mathcal{G}$, $\text{pr}(\xi) \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$). Then by Theorem 2.6, we can take a basis of $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N))$ among the elements in $\mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab})$, which we denote by $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$. Write

$$f_j(z) = c^{(j)} + a_0^{(j)} \pi^{2-2\sigma} y^{1-\sigma} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(j)} e\left(\frac{nz}{N}\right) + \pi^{1-2\sigma} y^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n}^{(j)} \omega\left(\frac{4\pi ny}{N}; 0, \sigma\right) e\left(\frac{-n\bar{z}}{N}\right)$$

with $a_n^{(j)}, c^{(j)} \in \mathbf{Q}_{ab}$. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}(\sigma, \Gamma(N))$. Then

$$f(z) = p_1 f_1(z) + \dots + p_m f_m(z), \quad p_i \in \mathbf{C}.$$

By the uniqueness of the Fourier coefficients, we have infinitely many linear equations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 a_n^{(1)} + \dots + p_m a_n^{(m)} &= 0 \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots), \\ p_1 c^{(1)} + \dots + p_m c^{(m)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients of the equations belong to \mathbf{Q}_{ab} , we can find fundamental solutions in \mathbf{Q}_{ab}^m , say $(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_m^{(1)}), \dots, (p_1^{(r)}, \dots, p_m^{(r)})$. Put

$$g_t = p_1^{(t)} f_1 + \dots + p_m^{(t)} f_m \quad (1 \leq t \leq r).$$

Then g_t is \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational and $f(z)$ is a linear combination of g_t ($1 \leq t \leq r$). \square

COROLLARY 2.8. *Assume $\sigma \geq 3/2$. Let Δ be a congruence subgroup of \mathcal{G}_σ such that $\text{pr}(\Delta) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Then $\mathcal{NH}(\sigma, \Delta)$ is generated by \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational elements.*

PROOF. Take a principal congruence modular group $\Gamma(N)$ ($4|N$) so that $A_\sigma(\Gamma(N)) \subset \Delta$. Let f_1, \dots, f_m be the same as in the proof of Theorem 2.7. Let $\xi = (\alpha, l(z))$ be an element of Δ and $\xi' = (\alpha, l'(z))$ be the element of \mathcal{G}_σ for α in Theorem 2.6. Since some power of ξ belongs to $A_\sigma(\Gamma(N))$, $l(z) = cl'(z)$ with a root of unity c by the construction of ξ' . Therefore $f_1 \parallel \xi, \dots, f_m \parallel \xi$ are linear combinations of f_1, \dots, f_m over \mathbf{Q}_{ab} ; say

$$f_i \parallel \xi = c(i, 1, \xi) f_1 + \dots + c(i, m, \xi) f_m, \quad i=1, \dots, m.$$

Let f be an element of $\mathcal{NH}(\sigma, \Delta)$. Then $f = p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_m f_m$ with $p_i \in \mathbf{C}$. Since f is holomorphic, p_1, \dots, p_m satisfy the linear equations in (2.1). The property that f belongs to $\mathcal{NH}(\sigma, 0, \Delta)$ is characterized by the linear equations

$$p_1 c(1, j, \xi) + \dots + p_m c(m, j, \xi) = p_j$$

for $j=1, \dots, m$ and the representatives $\{\xi\}$ of $A_\sigma(\Gamma(N)) \setminus \Delta$. Since all those equations have coefficients in \mathbf{Q}_{ab} , we have fundamental solutions in \mathbf{Q}_{ab}^m as in Theorem 2.7 and f is a linear combination of \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational elements of $\mathcal{NH}(\sigma, \Delta)$. \square

REMARK. The case $\sigma > 3/2$ in Theorem 2.7 is a direct consequence of Corollary 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 2.6. We note that even in that case our proof is elementary and different from Shimura [9], Proposition 6.2 which uses results of canonical models.

§3 Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series.

Let M be a positive integer, and N a positive integer divisible by 4. Let χ and ϕ be Dirichlet characters defined modulo M and N , respectively.

PROPOSITION 3.1. (1) *If $\chi(-1)\phi(-1) \neq 1$, then $E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) = 0$.*

(2) If $\chi(-1)\phi(-1)=1$ then

$$E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) = 2y^s \left\{ \chi(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n) \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma} |mz+n|^{-2s} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Assume that the term for a pair of integers (m, n) does not vanish. Then $(m, n)=1$, and n is an odd integer. Hence there exists an element $\gamma \in \Gamma^0(4)$ such that $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ m & n \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma} = j(\gamma, z)^{-k} = \left(\frac{\theta(\delta\gamma z)}{\theta(\delta z)} \right)^{-k}.$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{-n}^k \left(\frac{-m}{-n} \right) (-mz-n)^{-\sigma} &= j(-\gamma, z)^{-k} = \left(\frac{\theta(\delta(-\gamma)z)}{\theta(\delta z)} \right)^{-k} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta(\delta\gamma z)}{\theta(\delta z)} \right)^{-k} = \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) &= y^s \left\{ \chi(0)\phi(1) + \chi(0)\phi(-1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi(m)\phi(n) + \chi(-m)\phi(-n)) \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma} |mz+n|^{-2s} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the assertion. \square

Now we will calculate the Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series by generalizing the arguments of Shimura [5], Sturm [10], and Pei [3]. Assume $\chi(-1)\phi(-1)=1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) &= 2y^s \left\{ \chi(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n) \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma} |mz+n|^{-2s} \right\} \\ &= 2y^s \left\{ \chi(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n) \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) (mz+n)^{-\sigma-s} (m\bar{z}+n)^{-s} \right\} \\ &= 2y^s \left\{ \chi(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m) (mN)^{-\sigma-2s} \sum_{a=1}^{mN-1} \phi(a) \varepsilon_a^k \left(\frac{m}{a} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{N} + \frac{a}{mN} + n \right)^{-\sigma-s} \left(\frac{\bar{z}}{N} + \frac{a}{mN} + n \right)^{-s} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$S(z, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (z+n)^{-\alpha} (\bar{z}+n)^{-\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbf{H}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}).$$

Then $S(z, \alpha, \beta)$ is absolutely convergent at least when $z \in \mathbf{H}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \beta) > 1$. It is continued in (α, β) to a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ which is real analytic in z . Using this function, we can write

$$(3.1) \quad E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) = 2y^s \left\{ \chi(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)(mN)^{-\sigma-2s} \sum_{a=1}^{mN-1} \phi(a) \varepsilon_a^k \left(\frac{m}{a} \right) S \left(\frac{z}{N} + \frac{a}{mN}, \sigma+s, s \right) \right\}.$$

The following Fourier expansion of $S(z, \alpha, \beta)$ is well known ([7], Theorem 6.1, see also [2] Theorem 7.2.8).

LEMMA 3.2.

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, \alpha, \beta) &= i^{\beta-\alpha} (2\pi)^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha)^{-1} \Gamma(\beta)^{-1} \Gamma(\alpha+\beta-1) (4\pi y)^{1-\alpha-\beta} \\ &\quad + i^{\beta-\alpha} (2\pi)^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)^{-1} (2y)^{-\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha-1} \omega(4\pi n y; \alpha, \beta) e(nz) \\ &\quad + i^{\beta-\alpha} (2\pi)^\beta \Gamma(\beta)^{-1} (2y)^{-\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-1} \omega(4\pi n y; \beta, \alpha) e(-n\bar{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Now substituting Lemma 3.2 into (3.1), we obtain

THEOREM 3.3. Assume $\chi(-1)\phi(-1)=1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} E_\sigma(z, s; \chi, \phi) &= \chi(0) y^s + C(s) y^{1-\sigma-s} a(0, s) \\ &\quad + A(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\sigma-s-1} a(n, s) \omega \left(\frac{4\pi n y}{N}; \sigma+s, s \right) e \left(\frac{nz}{N} \right) \\ &\quad + B(s) y^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{s-1} a(-n, s) \omega \left(\frac{4\pi n y}{N}; s, \sigma+s \right) e \left(-\frac{n\bar{z}}{N} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(s) &= i^{-\sigma} (2\pi)^{\sigma+s} \Gamma(\sigma+s)^{-1} (N/2)^s, \\ B(s) &= i^{-\sigma} (2\pi)^s \Gamma(s)^{-1} (N/2)^{\sigma+s}, \\ C(s) &= i^{-\sigma} (2\pi) \Gamma(\sigma+s)^{-1} \Gamma(s)^{-1} \Gamma(\sigma+2s-1) (N/2)^{\sigma+2s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a(n, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)(mN)^{-\sigma-2s} \sum_{a=1}^{mN-1} \phi(a) \varepsilon_a^k \left(\frac{m}{a} \right) e \left(\frac{an}{mN} \right) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

To calculate the Dirichlet series $a(n, s)$, we generalize the Gauss sum. Let L be a positive integer, and ω a Dirichlet character defined modulo L . For an integer b , we put

$$G_b(\omega) = \sum_{a=1}^{L-1} \omega(a) e \left(\frac{ab}{L} \right),$$

which is the usual Gauss sum. When L is divisible by 4, we also put for an integer b and an odd integer k ,

$$G_b^{(k)}(\omega) = \sum_{a=1}^{L-1} \varepsilon_a^k \omega(a) e \left(\frac{ab}{L} \right),$$

which we call the twisted Gauss sum. They are related by the following lemma, which can be easily proved.

LEMMA 3.4.

$$(1) \quad G_b^{(k)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ G_b(\omega) + G_b\left(\omega\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right\} + \frac{i^k}{2} \left\{ G_b(\omega) - G_b\left(\omega\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right\}.$$

$$(2) \quad G_b^{(-k)}(\omega) = G_b^{(k)}\left(\omega\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right).$$

To calculate the twisted Gauss sum for the product of two Dirichlet characters, we need the well known properties of the Gauss sum for the product of two Dirichlet characters. Let χ and ϕ be Dirichlet characters defined modulo M and N , respectively. If M and N are coprime, then

$$(3.2a) \quad G_b(\chi\phi) = \chi(N)\phi(M)G_b(\chi)G_b(\phi).$$

Further let ω be a Dirichlet character defined modulo L . For integers b and l , we have

$$(3.2b) \quad \sum_{a=1}^{lL-1} \omega(a) e\left(\frac{ab}{lL}\right) = 0, \quad \text{if } b \text{ is not divisible by } l.$$

Now we obtain

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let χ and ϕ be Dirichlet characters defined modulo M and N respectively. Assume M and N are coprime and N is divisible by 4. Then*

$$G_b^{(k)}(\chi\phi) = \begin{cases} \chi(N)\phi(M)G_b(\chi)G_b^{(k)}(\phi) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-1}{M}\right)=1, \\ i^k\chi(N)\phi(M)G_b(\chi)G_b^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-1}{M}\right)=-1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.4(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_b^{(k)}(\chi\phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ G_b(\chi\phi) + G_b\left(\chi\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right\} + \frac{i^k}{2} \left\{ G_b(\chi\phi) - G_b\left(\chi\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right\} \\ &= \chi(N)\phi(M)G_b(\chi)T. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \left(G_b(\phi) + \left(\frac{-1}{M}\right) G_b\left(\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right) + \frac{i^k}{2} \left(G_b(\phi) - \left(\frac{-1}{M}\right) G_b\left(\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right).$$

If $\left(\frac{-1}{M}\right)=1$, then

$$T = G_b^{(k)}(\phi).$$

If $\left(\frac{-1}{M}\right)=-1$, then by Lemma 3.4(1)

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{2} \left(G_b(\phi) - G_b\left(\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right) + \frac{i^k}{2} \left(G_b(\phi) + G_b\left(\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) \right) \\ &= i^k G_b^{(-k)}(\phi) = i^k G_b^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For a Dirichlet character ω , we denote by $L(s, \omega)$ the Dirichlet L -function. For a positive integer N , $L_N(s, \omega)$ denotes the function obtained from $L(s, \omega)$ by omitting p -Euler factors for all prime components p of N . Now we obtain

PROPOSITION 3.6.

$$a(0, s) = \frac{L_N(4s+2\sigma-2, \chi^2\phi^2)}{L_N(4s+2\sigma-1, \chi^2\phi^2)} \alpha(0, s),$$

$$\alpha(0, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 \pm i^k}{2} \chi(l)\varphi(N)l^{-\sigma-2s+1}N^{-\sigma-2s} \prod_{p|N} (1-\chi(p^2)p^{-2\sigma-4s+2})^{-1} & \text{if } \phi \text{ is a character derived from a quadratic} \\ & \text{character } \left(\frac{\pm l}{*}\right) \text{ with a positive square free } l, \\ 0 & \text{if } \phi \text{ is not derived from a quadratic character.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. By definition, we see that

$$a(0, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)(mN)^{-\sigma-2s} \sum_{a=1}^{mN-1} \phi(a)\varepsilon_a^k\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)(mN)^{-\sigma-2s} G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right).$$

Here we consider $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)$ as a Dirichlet character defined modulo Nm . Decompose m as $m=m'd$, a product of an integer m' dividing some power of N (which we express as $m'|N^\infty$) and a positive integer d relatively prime to N . Put $m^* = \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)m'$. Then by the reciprocity law,

$$\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)\left(\frac{m^*}{a}\right), \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right) &= G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\left(\frac{m^*}{*}\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{Nm'}{d}\right)\varphi(d)\left(\frac{m^*}{d}\right) \cdot G_0\left(\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right) \cdot G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m^*}{*}\right)\right) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)=1 \\ i^k \left(\frac{Nm'}{d}\right)\varphi(d)\left(\frac{m^*}{d}\right) \cdot G_0\left(\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right) \cdot G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m^*}{*}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)=-1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \varphi(d)\varphi(d)G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m'}{*}\right)\right) & \text{if } d \text{ is a square} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here φ is the Euler function. Therefore we can write

$$a(0, s) = \sum_{(d, N)=1} \chi(d^2)\phi(d^2)d^{-2(\sigma+2s)}\varphi(d^2) \sum_{m|N^\infty} \chi(m)(mN)^{-(\sigma+2s)} G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right).$$

Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(d, N)=1} \chi(d^2)\phi(d^2)d^{-2(\sigma+2s)}\varphi(d^2) \\ &= \prod_p \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi(p^{2n})\phi(p^{2n})p^{-2n(\sigma+2s)}p^{2n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(p^{2n})\phi(p^{2n})p^{-2n(\sigma+2s)}p^{2n-1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{L_N(4s-2\sigma-2, \chi^2\phi^2)}{L_N(4s-2\sigma-1, \chi^2\phi^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, if neither $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)$ nor $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)$ is trivial, then

$$G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right) = 0.$$

Moreover, if $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)$ (resp. $\phi\left(\frac{-m}{*}\right)$) is trivial, then

$$G_0^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right) = \frac{1+i^k}{2}\varphi(mN) \text{ (resp. } \frac{1-i^k}{2}\varphi(mN)) \text{ and } \varphi(mN)=m\varphi(N).$$

Using this we easily obtain our expression. \square

PROPOSITION 3.7. For $n(\neq 0) \in \mathbf{Z}$, we put $n=tr^2$ (t : square free). Then we have

$$a(n, s) = \frac{L_N\left(2s+\sigma-\frac{1}{2}, \chi\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)^{\sigma-1/2}\left(\frac{t}{*}\right)\right)}{L_N(4s+2\sigma-1, \chi^2\phi^2)} \alpha(n, s).$$

Here $\alpha(n, s)$ is a finite Dirichet series with coefficients in \mathbf{Q}_{ab} .

PROOF. We can write

$$a(n, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)(mN)^{-\sigma-2s} G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right).$$

Here we consider the character $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)$ is defined modulo mN . We decompose m as a product $m=m'd$ ($m'|N^\infty$, $(d, N)=1$) and put $m^*=\left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)m'$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.6. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right) &= G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m^*}{*}\right)\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{Nm'}{d}\right)\phi(d)\left(\frac{m^*}{d}\right) \cdot G_n\left(\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right) \cdot G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m^*}{*}\right)\right) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)=1 \\ i^k\left(\frac{Nm'}{d}\right)\phi(d)\left(\frac{m^*}{d}\right) \cdot G_n\left(\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right) \cdot G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m^*}{*}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\right) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)=-1 \end{cases} \\ &= \varepsilon_d^k \phi(d)\left(\frac{-N}{d}\right) G_n\left(\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right) G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m'}{*}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$a(n, s) = \sum_{(d, N)=1}^{\infty} \chi(d)\phi(d)\left(\frac{-N}{d}\right)\varepsilon_d^k G_n\left(\left(\frac{*}{d}\right)\right)d^{-\sigma-2s}$$

$$\times N^{-\sigma-2s} \sum_{m|N^{\infty}}^{\infty} \chi(m)m^{-\sigma-2s} G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right).$$

Now the Dirichlet series obtained as a summation on d is the one in Shimura [5], (3.4) and we have

$$\sum_{(d, N)=1} = \frac{L_N(2s+\sigma-1/2, \chi\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)^{\sigma-1/2}\left(\frac{t}{*}\right))}{L_N(4s+2\sigma-1, \chi^2\phi^2)} b(n, s),$$

and

$$b(n, s) = \sum \mu(a)\chi(a)\phi(a)\left(\frac{-1}{a}\right)^{\sigma-1/2}\left(\frac{n}{a}\right)\chi(b)^2\phi(b)^2 a^{1/2-\sigma-2s} b^{2-\sigma-s},$$

where the summation extends over all positive integers a, b which are prime to N and satisfy $(ab)^2|n$, and μ is the Möbius function. Further we put

$$c(n, s) = N^{-\sigma-2s} \sum_{m|N^{\infty}}^{\infty} \chi(m)m^{-\sigma-2s} G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right).$$

Here we consider $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)$ as a character defined modulo mN . Note that $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)$ and $\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)$ are Dirichlet characters defined modulo N . Therefore if n is not divisible by m , then $G_n^{(k)}\left(\phi\left(\frac{m}{*}\right)\right)=0$ by (3.2b). Hence $c(n, s)$ is a finite sum.

By putting

$$\alpha(n, s) = b(n, s)c(n, s),$$

we obtain the assertion. \square

THEOREM 3.8. *Let χ and ϕ be Dirichlet characters defined modulo divisors of N . If $\sigma \geq 3/2$, then*

$$E_{\sigma}(z, 0; \chi, \phi) \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab}).$$

PROOF. We let $s=0$ in Theorem 3.3. First assume $\sigma > 3/2$. Then $a(n, s)$ is finite at $s=0$. Since $B(0)=C(0)=0$, the terms for $-n$ ($n \geq 0$) vanishes. In general, for non-zero complex numbers a and b , we write $a \sim b$ if a/b belongs to \mathbf{Q}_{ab} . Then

$$A(0) \sim \pi^{\sigma-1/2}$$

and for $n > 0$,

$$a(n, 0) \sim \frac{L(\sigma-1/2, \chi\phi\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)^{\sigma-1/2}\left(\frac{t}{*}\right))}{L(2\sigma-1, \chi^2\phi^2)} \sim \pi^{1/2-\sigma},$$

unless $a(n, 0)=0$. Hence $A(0)a(n, 0)n^{\sigma-1} \in \mathbf{Q}_{ab}$. Assume $\sigma=3/2$. First we see easily

that $A(0) \sim \pi$. If n is positive, then $\left(\frac{-1}{*}\right)\left(\frac{t}{*}\right)\chi\phi$ is not trivial, since t is positive. Therefore if $a(n, 0) \neq 0$ ($n > 0$), then $a(n, 0) \sim \pi^{-1}$. This implies $A(0)a(n, 0)n^{1/2} \in \mathbf{Q}_{ab}$. We also see that

$$B(s)a(-n, s)|_{s=0} \sim \frac{\zeta(s)}{\Gamma(s)}\Big|_{s=0} \cdot \frac{1}{L(2\sigma-1, \chi^2\phi^2)} \sim \pi^{-2} \quad (n > 0),$$

$$C(s)a(0, s)|_{s=0} \sim \pi \frac{\zeta(s)}{\Gamma(s)}\Big|_{s=0} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \sim \pi^{-1},$$

unless they are 0. This implies the theorem. \square

Now we are going to prove Theorem 2.6. Put

$$E(z) = E_\sigma(z, 0; \Gamma(N)).$$

Then by Propositions 2.2, 2.3, 2.5 and Theorem 3.8, we have

$$(3.3) \quad E(z)\|_\sigma \gamma \in \mathfrak{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab}), \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \Gamma^0(4).$$

Now as a complete set of representatives for $\Gamma^0(4) \backslash SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, we have the following six elements:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put $A = A_\sigma$. Let α be one of the first four elements, and put

$$\xi(\alpha) = (\alpha, 1).$$

Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^0(4)$, we have $\text{pr}(A(\gamma)\xi(\alpha)) = \gamma\alpha$ and the action of $\xi(\alpha)$ is nothing but a translation $z \rightarrow z + a$ ($a = 0, 1, 2, 3$). Therefore by (3.3), we see that

$$E(z)\| A(\gamma)\xi(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab}).$$

Next let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and put $\xi = (\alpha, z^\sigma) \in \mathcal{G}$. Since $E\|_\sigma \gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma^0(4)$) is a linear combination of $E_\sigma((u/v)z, 0; \chi, \phi)$ by Propositions 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, we have only to prove $E_\sigma((u/v)z, 0; \chi, \phi)\| \xi \in \mathfrak{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab})$. But this can be proved in parallel with Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.8 (see also [5] and [10]). Finally let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and put $\xi = (\alpha, z^\sigma) \in \mathcal{G}$. Since $E(z)\|_\sigma \gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma^0(4)$) is of the form $E_\sigma(z, 0; \mu/N, \nu/N)$, $(\mu, \nu, N) = 1$, we have only to prove

$$E_\sigma\left(z, 0; \frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right)\| \xi \in \mathfrak{N}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab}).$$

Put

$$F(z, s) = E_\sigma\left(z, s; \frac{\mu}{N}, \frac{\nu}{N}\right)\| \xi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z, s) &= \text{Im} \left(\frac{2z-1}{z} \right)^s \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu(N) \\ n \equiv \nu(N)}} \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) \left(\frac{m(2z-1)}{z} + n \right)^{-\sigma} \left| \frac{m(2z-1)}{z} + n \right|^{-2s} z^{-\sigma} \\
 &= y^s \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu(N) \\ n \equiv \nu(N)}} \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) \delta \{ (2m+n)z - m \}^{-\sigma} | (2m+n)z - m |^{-2s},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2m+n \geq 0, \text{ or } 2m+n < 0 \text{ and } m < 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } 2m+n < 0 \text{ and } m \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let μ' be an integer $0 \leq \mu' < N$ satisfying $2\mu + \nu \equiv \mu' \pmod{N}$. Then by Lemma 3.9 below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z, s) &= y^s \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu'(N) \\ n \equiv \nu(N)}} \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{2m}{n} \right) \left(m \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{n}{2} \right)^{-\sigma} \left| m \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{n}{2} \right|^{-2s} \\
 &= 2^{\sigma+2s} y^s \sum_{\substack{m \equiv \mu'(N) \\ n \equiv \nu(N)}} \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{2m}{n} \right) \left(2m \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) + n \right)^{-\sigma} \left| 2m \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) + n \right|^{-2s} \\
 &= 2^{\sigma+2s} \sum_{\substack{m \equiv 2\mu'(2N) \\ n \equiv \nu(N)}} \varepsilon_n^k \left(\frac{m}{n} \right) \left(m \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) + n \right)^{-\sigma} \left| m \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right) + n \right|^{-2s} \\
 &= 2^{\sigma+2s} \left\{ E_\sigma \left(z - \frac{1}{2}, s; \frac{2\mu'}{2N}, \frac{\nu}{2N} \right) + E_\sigma \left(z - \frac{1}{2}, s; \frac{2\mu'}{2N}, \frac{\nu+N}{2N} \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since both $E_\sigma(z, 0; 2\mu'/2N, \nu/2N)$ and $E_\sigma(z, 0; 2\mu'/2N, (\nu+N)/2N)$ belong to $\mathcal{H}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(2N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab})$, $F(z, 0)$ has \mathbf{Q}_{ab} -rational Fourier coefficients, and therefore it belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\sigma, 0, \Gamma(N), \mathbf{Q}_{ab})$. \square

LEMMA 3.9. *Let m and n be integers. Assume $(m, n) = 1$ and n is odd. Let δ be ± 1 given by (3.5). Put $m' = 2m + n$. Then $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right)$.*

PROOF. Note that $m = (m' - n)/2$.

(1) Assume $m' \geq 0$. Then $\delta = 1$.

(i) If $n > 0$, then $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(\frac{m'-n}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(\frac{m'}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right)$.

(ii) If $n < 0$, then $m > 0$ and $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = \left(\frac{m}{-n}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{-n}\right)\left(\frac{m'-n}{-n}\right) = \left(\frac{2m'}{-n}\right) = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right)$.

(2) Assume $m' < 0$. If $n > 0$, then $m < 0$ and $\delta = 1$. Therefore

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(\frac{m'-n}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(\frac{m'}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right).$$

Assume $n < 0$.

(i) If $m > 0$, then $\delta = -1$ and

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = -\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -\left(\frac{m}{-n}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{-n}\right)\left(\frac{m'-n}{-n}\right) = -\left(\frac{2m'}{-n}\right) = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right).$$

(ii) If $m < 0$, then $\delta = 1$ and

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -\left(\frac{m}{-n}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{-n}\right)\left(\frac{m'-n}{-n}\right) = -\left(\frac{2m'}{-n}\right) = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right).$$

(iii) If $m = 0$, then $\delta = -1$. Now we have

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = -\left(\frac{0}{n}\right) = -1 \quad (n = -1), \quad \text{or } 0 \quad (n < -1),$$

$$\left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2n}{n}\right) = -1 \quad (n = -1), \quad \text{or } 0 \quad (n < -1).$$

Therefore $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\delta = \left(\frac{2m'}{n}\right)$. \square

References

- [1] E. Hecke, Theorie der Eisensteinschen Reihen höherer Stufe und ihre Anwendung auf Funktionentheorie und Arithmetik, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **5** (1927), 199-224 (Werke, No. 24).
- [2] T. Miyake, Modular Forms, Springer (to appear).
- [3] T.-Y. Pei, Eisenstein series of weight $3/2$: I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **274** (1982), 573-606, **283** (1984), 589-603.
- [4] G. Shimura, On modular forms of half integral weight, Ann. of Math., **97** (1973), 440-481.
- [5] G. Shimura, On the holomorphy of certain Dirichlet series, Proc. London Math. Soc., **31** (1975), 79-98.
- [6] G. Shimura, Theta functions with complex multiplication, Duke Math. J., **43** (1976), 673-696.
- [7] G. Shimura, Confluent hypergeometric functions on tube domains, Math. Ann., **260** (1982), 269-302.
- [8] G. Shimura, On Eisenstein series of half-integral weight, Duke Math. J., **52** (1985), 281-314.
- [9] G. Shimura, On the Eisenstein series of Hilbert modular groups, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **1** (1985), 1-42.
- [10] J. Sturm, Special values of zeta functions and Eisenstein series of half integral weight, Amer. J. Math., **102** (1980), 219-240, 781-783.

Toshitsune MIYAKE

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060
Japan