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## 1. Introduction.

In his paper [4], Kawauchi proved that if a closed orientable 3-manifold $M$ admits an orientation reversing involution, then the torsion part of the first integral homology group, Tor $H_{1}(M ; Z)$, is isomorphic to $A \oplus A$ or $Z_{2} \oplus A \oplus A$ where $A$ is an abelian group of finite order. Moreover, for any given abelian group $G$ with $\operatorname{Tor} G \cong A \oplus A$, there exists a closed orientable irreducible 3-manifold $M$ admitting an orientation reversing involution with $H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong G$. And if $M$ is a closed orientable 3 -manifold admitting an orientation reversing involution with $H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong Z_{2} \oplus A \oplus A$ where $A$ is an abelian group of odd order, then $M$ must be a connected sum of $P^{3}$ and a certain manifold.

In this paper, for the remaining cases, we will prove the following theorems.
Theorem 1. For any abelian group $G$ with $\operatorname{Tor} G \cong Z_{2} \oplus A \oplus A$ (possibly, $A=0)$ and $G / \operatorname{Tor} G \neq 0$, there exists a closed orientable irreducible 3-manifold $M$ admitting an orientation reversing involution with $H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong G$.

Theorem 2. For any abelian group $G \cong Z_{2} \oplus A \oplus A$ where $A$ is an abelian group of non zero even order, there exists a closed orientable irreducible 3-manifold $M$ admitting an orientation reversing involution with $H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong G$.

We refer to [2] and [3] for general definitions and terminology.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1 .

We identify a 3 -sphere $S^{3}$ with $R^{3} \cup\{\infty\}$, and consider the antipodal map $\tau: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ by $\tau(x, y, z)=(-x,-y,-z) \tau(\infty)=(\infty)$.

Lemma 3. There exists a closed orientable irreducible 3-manifold $M$ admitting an orientation reversing involution with $H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong Z \oplus Z_{2}$.

Proof. Consider a graph $T$ in $S^{3}$ as in Figure 1. We choose the graph $T$ so that $T$ contains the origin $0=(0,0,0)$ of $S^{3}$ and $T$ is invariant by $\tau$, the


Figure 1.
antipodal map of $S^{3}$. Let $N(T)$ be a $\tau$-invariant regular neighborhood of $T$ and $M_{1}=\overline{S^{3}-N(T)}$. Note that $F=\partial M_{1}$ is a closed orientable surface of genus two. Let $M_{2}$ be a quotient space of $F \times I$ by an identification map of $F \times\{1\} ;(x, 1) \sim$ ( $\tau^{\prime}(x), 1$ ), where $I$ denotes the unit interval $[0,1]$ and $\tau^{\prime}=\left.\tau\right|_{F}$. Then $M_{2}$ is a twisted $I$-bundle over a closed non orientable surface, and $M_{2}$ has a canonical involution induced by $\tau^{\prime}$. Let $M=M_{1} \cup_{h} M_{2}$, where $h$ is the identity map of $F=\partial M_{2}$ onto $F=\partial M_{1}$. Then $M$ has an orientation reversing involution.

By the ordinary cut and paste argument (cf. [2]), if $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are irreducible and $\partial$-irreducible, then $M$ is irreducible.

Since $M_{2}$ is a twisted $I$-bundle over a closed surface, $M_{2}$ is irreducible and $\partial$-irreducible.

For $M_{1}$, suppose $S$ is an embedded 2 -sphere in $M_{1}=\overline{S^{3}-N(T)}$. Then, we can regard $S$ as an embedded 2 -sphere in $S^{3}$ which does not meet $T$. By the Schönflies theorem, $S$ bounds two 3 -balls in $S^{3}$ and $T$ is contained in one of these 3-balls. Hence $S$ bounds another 3-ball in $M_{1}$. Hence, $M_{1}$ is irreducible.

Suppose $D$ is a properly embedded essential disk in $M_{1}$. Remove $D \times[-1,1]$, the regular neighborhood of $D$, from $M_{1}$, and we denote its closure by $M_{1}^{\prime}$. If both $M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\partial M_{1}^{\prime}=(D \times\{-1,1\}) \cup(F-\partial D \times(-1,1))$ are connected, then $M_{1}^{\prime}$ is a submanifold of $S^{3}$ and its boundary is a torus. Hence we may assume that $M_{1}^{\prime}$ is a non trivial knot exterior or a solid torus. Since we obtain $M_{1}$ by attaching a 1 -handle to $M_{1}^{\prime}$, we have $\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \cong H * Z$ (a free product), where $H$ is a knot group or $Z$. If $M_{1}^{\prime}$ is connected but $\partial M_{1}^{\prime}$ is not, $\partial M_{1}^{\prime}$ consists of two tori, since $\partial D$ is essential on $F$. Then $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(\partial M_{1}^{\prime} ; Z\right)=4$, and $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(M_{1}^{\prime} ; Z\right)$ $\geqq \operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(\partial M_{1}^{\prime} ; Z\right) / 2=2$. Since we obtain $M_{1}$ by attaching a 1 -handle to $M_{1}^{\prime}$, we must have $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(M_{1} ; Z\right) \geqq 3$. But, we can see from Figure 1 that $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(M_{1} ; Z\right)=2$. It is impossible. If $M_{1}^{\prime}$ is disconnected, let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be the connected component of $M_{1}^{\prime}$, then $N_{i}$ is a submanifold of $S^{3}$ and $\partial N_{i}$ is a torus. Hence $N_{i}$ is a non trivial knot exterior or a solid torus. Since $M_{1}$ is a
boundary sum of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, we may assume $\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \cong H_{1} * H_{2}$, where $H_{i}$ is a knot group or $Z(i=1,2)$.

Hence, if there exists an essential disk in $M_{1}$, we must have $\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \cong H_{1} * H_{2}$, where $H_{i}$ is a knot group. (We may regard $Z$ as the fundamental group of a trivial knot exterior.) We will see Alexander matrices of $H_{1} * H_{2}$ (cf. [1], [5]). Consider any epimorphism $\phi$ from $H_{1} * H_{2}$ to an infinite cyclic group 〈t: >. Then $\phi \mid H_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a homomorphism from $H_{i}$ onto a subgroup $\left\langle t^{\alpha_{i}}\right.$ : > of $\left\langle t\right.$ : >, where at least one of $\alpha_{i}$ is non zero. An Alexander matrix of $H_{1} * H_{2}$ must be the block sum of Alexander matrices of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Hence the $k$-th Alexander polynomials $\Delta_{k}(k=0,1,2)$ of $H_{1} * H_{2}$ must satisfy the conditions: $\Delta_{0}=0, \Delta_{1}=0$ and $\Delta_{2}=\Delta_{1}^{1} \times \Delta_{1}^{2}$, where $\Delta_{1}^{i}$ is the first Alexander polynomial of $H_{i}$. Note that, since $H_{i}$ is a knot group, $\Delta_{1}^{i}$ is a polynomial in the group ring of $\left\langle t^{\alpha_{i}}\right.$ : > such that $\Delta_{1}^{i}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right) \doteq \Delta_{1}^{i}\left(\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)^{-1}\right)$ (i. e. $\left.\Delta_{1}^{i}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)=t^{u_{i}} \Delta_{1}^{i}\left(t^{\alpha_{i}}\right)^{-1}\right)$ for some $\left.u_{i} \in Z\right)$. Hence we must have $\Delta_{2}(t) \doteq \Delta_{2}\left(t^{-1}\right)$.

We may choose the generators of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)$ as indicated in Figure 1 , then we have

$$
\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \cong\left\langle a, b, c: b^{-1} a c a^{-1}[c a] b[a c]=1\right\rangle .
$$

Let $\phi$ be an epimorphism from $\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)$ to $\langle t:\rangle$ defined by

$$
\phi(a)=t^{2}, \quad \phi(b)=t \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(c)=1
$$

By the Fox calculus ([1], [5]), we have an Alexander matrix of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)$;

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & t^{2}-1+t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the Alexander polynomials;

$$
\Delta_{0}=0, \quad \Delta_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta_{2}=t^{2}-1+t^{-1}
$$

It contradicts $\Delta_{2}(t) \doteq \Delta_{2}\left(t^{-1}\right)$. Hence, $M_{1}$ is $\partial$-irreducible.
We will see $H_{1}(M ; Z)$. We choose the generators for $H_{1}\left(M_{1} ; Z\right), H_{1}\left(M_{2} ; Z\right)$ and $H_{1}(F ; Z)$ represented by curves indicated in Figure 2. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}\left(M_{1} ; Z\right) \cong\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}:\right\rangle, \quad H_{1}\left(M_{2} ; Z\right) \cong\langle x, y, z: 2 z=0\rangle \\
& \text { and } H_{1}(F ; Z) \cong\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}, l_{1}, l_{2}:\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

as abelian group presentations. By the homomorphism $i_{1}$ (or $i_{2}$ ) induced by the inclusion map from $F$ to $M_{1}$ (or $M_{2}$, respectively), the generators of $H_{1}(F ; Z)$ are mapped as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}\left(m_{1}\right)=a_{1}, \quad i_{1}\left(m_{2}\right)=a_{2}, \quad i_{1}\left(l_{1}\right)=0, \quad i_{1}\left(l_{2}\right)=0, \\
& i_{2}\left(m_{1}\right)=x, \quad i_{2}\left(m_{2}\right)=-x, \quad i_{2}\left(l_{1}\right)=y \quad \text { and } \quad i_{2}\left(l_{2}\right)=y .
\end{aligned}
$$



with $\underset{z}{\longrightarrow}$ by $\sim$

$$
F \times\{1\} / \sim \subset M_{2}
$$



Figure 2.

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}(M ; Z) & \cong\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, x, y, z: 2 z=0, a_{1}=x, a_{2}=-x, y=0\right\rangle \\
& \cong\langle x, z: 2 z=0\rangle \\
& \cong Z \oplus Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Let $J, J^{\prime} \subset S^{s}$ be $\tau$-invariant non trivial knots such that $J$ contains the fixed points of $\tau$, and $J^{\prime}$ does not contain them. Let $M_{3}=\overline{S^{3}-N(J)}$ and $M_{4}=\overline{S^{3}-N\left(J^{\prime}\right)}$, where $N(J)$ and $N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ are $\tau$-invariant regular neighborhoods of $J$ and $J^{\prime}$. We may assume that $N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ does not contain the fixed points of $\tau$.

Note that we can construct a homology 3-sphere $M_{5}$ with $\pi_{1}\left(M_{5}\right)$ infinite, by $M_{5}=M_{3} \cup_{h} M_{4}$, where $h$ is a homeomorphism of $\partial M_{4}$ onto $\partial M_{3}$ which carries a preferred longitude of $\partial N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ to a meridian of $\partial N(J)$. Then, $M_{5}$ admits an orientation reversing involution induced by $\tau$ on $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $G \cong(\oplus) Z) \oplus Z_{2} \oplus Z_{p_{1}} \oplus Z_{p_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{p_{r}} \oplus Z_{p_{1}} \oplus Z_{p_{2}}$ $\bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus Z_{p_{r}}\left(s \geqq 1, r \geqq 0, p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{r} \in Z\right)$. Let $K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots, K_{s-1}, L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}$
$\subset M_{4} \subset M_{5}$ be $r+s-1$ knots and $T \subset M_{4} \subset M_{5}$ the graph same as in the proof of Lemma 3 which satisfy the following conditions;
(1) $K_{1}, \cdots, K_{s-1}$ and $T$ are $\tau$-invariant,
(2) $K_{1}, \cdots, K_{s-1}, L_{1}, \cdots, L_{r}, \tau\left(L_{1}\right), \cdots, \tau\left(L_{r}\right)$ and $T$ are mutually disjoint,
(3) $\left[K_{i}\right] \neq 1,\left[L_{i}\right] \neq 1,[T] \neq 1$ in $\pi_{1}\left(M_{5}\right)$,
(4) each two of $K_{1}, \cdots, K_{s-1}, L_{1}, \cdots, L_{r}, \tau\left(L_{1}\right), \cdots, \tau\left(L_{r}\right)$ and $T$ have the linking number 0 in $M_{5}$, and
(5) none of knots contains the fixed point of $\tau$.

For example we can choose such knots and graph as Figure 3. Remove a small $\tau$-invariant regular open neighborhood of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} K_{i} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\tau}\left(L_{j} \cup \tau\left(L_{j}\right)\right) \cup T$ from $M_{5}$, and attach $s-1$ copies of $M_{4}=\overline{S^{3}-N\left(J^{\prime}\right)}, 2 r$ copies of a non trivial knot exterior


Figure 3.
$\overline{S^{3}-N(L)}$ and a twisted $I$-bundle as follows;
(1) $\partial N(T)$ is identified with the boundary of a twisted $I$-bundle as in the proof of Lemma 3,
(2) $\partial N\left(K_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, s-1)$ is identified with a copy of $\partial M_{4}=\partial N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ so that a preferred longitude is a preferred longitude of $\partial N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$,
(3) $\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, r)$ is identified with a copy of $\partial\left(\overline{S^{3}-N(L)}\right)=\partial N(L)$ so that a preferred longitude of $\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a curve linking with $L p_{i}$-times in $S^{3}$, and
(4) $\partial N\left(\tau\left(L_{i}\right)\right)(i=1, \cdots, r)$ is identified with a copy of $\partial\left(\overline{S^{3}-N(L)}\right)=\partial N(L)$ so that the attaching homeomorphism commutes with $\tau$.

We call the resulting manifold $M$. We can see that $M$ has the required first integral homology group. The irreducibility of $M$ follows from the irre-
ducibility and $\partial$-irreducibility of each part of $M$. Note that every non trivial knot exterior is irreducible and $\partial$-irreducible.

This completes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. There exists a closed orientable irreducible 3-manifold $M$ admitting an orientation reversing involution with $H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2 n} \oplus Z_{2 n}(n \in Z)$.

Proof. Let $B_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ be a 3 -ball and $\tau_{i}$ an orientation reversing involution of $B_{i}$ with one fixed point. Let $D_{i} \subset \partial B_{i}$ be a 2 -disk such that $D_{i} \cap \tau_{i}\left(D_{i}\right)=\varnothing(i=1,2,3)$, and $D_{2}^{\prime} \subset \partial B_{2}$ a 2-disk such that $D_{2}, \tau_{2}\left(D_{2}\right), D_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\tau\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are mutually disjoint. We will attach four 1-handles to them, one from $D_{1}$ to $D_{2}$, one from $\tau_{1}\left(D_{1}\right)$ to $\tau_{2}\left(D_{2}\right)$, one from $D_{2}^{\prime}$ to $D_{3}$, and one from $\tau_{2}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\tau_{3}\left(D_{3}\right)$. We call the resulting manifold $M_{6} . M_{6}$ is topologically a handlebody of genus two and admitting an orientation reversing involution $\tau$ which extends $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be generators of $H_{1}\left(M_{6} ; Z\right)$ as in Figure 4. We choose knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ which satisfy the following conditions;
(1) $K_{1}$ is $\tau$-invariant and contains two of fixed points,
(2) $K_{2}$ does not contain any fixed point,
(3) $\left[K_{1}\right]=\alpha \in H_{1}\left(M_{6} ; Z\right)$ and $\left[K_{2}\right]=\beta \in H_{1}\left(M_{6} ; Z\right)$, and
(4) $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ are mutually disjoint
(see Figure 4). Note that $\left[\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right]=-\beta \in H_{1}\left(M_{6} ; Z\right)$.


Figure 4.
Remove a small $\tau$-invariant regular open neighborhood of $K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ from $M_{6}$. For $K_{1}$, consider $M_{4}=\overline{S^{3}-N\left(J^{\prime}\right)}$ (the same $M_{4}$ as in the section 2) and
identify $\partial N\left(K_{1}\right)$ with $\partial N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ so that a preferred longitude of $\partial N\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ is a meridian of $\partial N\left(K_{1}\right)$. For $K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$, consider two copies of a non trivial knot exterior $\overline{S^{3}-N(L)}$. Identify $\partial N\left(K_{2}\right)$ and $\partial N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)$ with two copies of $\partial N(L)$ so that a preferred longitude of one copy of $\partial N(L)$ is a curve $C$ on $\partial N\left(K_{2}\right)$ with $[C]=n \gamma_{1}+\beta \in H_{1}\left(\overline{M_{6}-N\left(K_{2}\right) \cup N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)} ; Z\right)$, and a preferred longitude of another copy is a curve $C^{\prime}$ on $\partial N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)$ with $\left[C^{\prime}\right]=n \gamma_{2}-\beta \in$ $H_{1}\left(\overline{M_{6}-N\left(K_{2}\right) \cup N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)} ; Z\right)$, where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are new generators created by removing $N\left(K_{2}\right)$ and $N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)$ from $M_{6}$ (see Figure 4). We call the resulting manifold $M_{7}$. Then we have

$$
H_{1}\left(M_{7} ; Z\right) \cong\left\langle\alpha, \beta, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}: \quad n \gamma_{1}+\beta=0, n \gamma_{2}-\beta=0\right\rangle .
$$

By this construction, we can see that $M_{7}$ has an orientation reversing involution which is an extension of $\tau$ on $M_{6}$ and $S^{3}$.

Let $F=\partial M_{7}$ (an orientable closed surface of genus two) and $M_{8}$ a quotient space of $F \times I$ by an identification map of $F \times\{1\} ;(x, 1) \sim\left(\tau^{\prime}(x), 1\right)$, where $\tau^{\prime}=\left.\tau\right|_{F}$. Then $M_{8}$ is a twisted $I$-bundle over a non orientable closed surface, and $M_{8}$ has a canonical involution induced by $\tau^{\prime}$.

Let $M=M_{7} \cup_{h} M_{8}$ where $h$ is the identity map of the boundary $F$, then $M$ has an orientation reversing involution.

We can see $H_{1}(M ; Z)$ by using $\partial M_{7}=\partial M_{8}=F$ and the inclusion maps $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3. We choose the generators for $H_{1}\left(M_{8} ; Z\right)$ and $H_{1}(F ; Z)$ represented by curves indicated in Figure 5.


Dotted lines are identified with arrowed lines by $\sim$.

$$
F \times\{1\} / \sim \subset M_{8}
$$



$$
F=\partial M_{7}=\partial M_{8}
$$

Figure 5.
Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}\left(M_{8} ; Z\right) \cong\langle x, y, z: 2 x+2 y+2 z=0\rangle \text { and } \\
& H_{1}(F ; Z) \cong\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}, l_{1}, l_{2}:\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}\left(m_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}, \quad i_{1}\left(m_{2}\right)=0, \quad i_{1}\left(l_{1}\right)=\beta, \quad i_{1}\left(l_{2}\right)=\alpha, \quad i_{2}\left(m_{1}\right)=2 x, \\
& i_{2}\left(m_{2}\right)=2 z, \quad i_{2}\left(l_{1}\right)=x+y+2 z \quad \text { and } \quad i_{2}\left(l_{2}\right)=2 x+y+z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(M ; Z) \cong\left\langle\alpha, \beta, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, x, y, z: \quad n \gamma_{1}+\beta=0, n \gamma_{2}-\beta=0,2 x+2 y+2 z=0,\right. \\
&\left.\quad \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=2 x, 2 z=0, \beta=x+y+2 z, \alpha=2 x+y+z\right\rangle \\
& \cong\left\langle\gamma_{2}, x, z: \quad 2 n \gamma_{2}=0,2 n x=0,2 z=0\right\rangle \\
& \cong Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2 n} \oplus Z_{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the irreducibility of $M$, as in the proof of Lemma 3, we only prove the irreducibility and $\partial$-irreducibility of each part of $M$. A non trivial knot exterior and a twisted $I$-bundle over a closed surface clearly have these properties. Hence we shall prove it for $\overline{M_{6}-N\left(K_{1}\right) \cup N\left(K_{2}\right) \cup N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)}$, denote by $M_{6}^{\prime}$.

Suppose $S$ is an essential 2 -sphere in $M_{6}^{\prime}$, then $S$ is also a 2 -sphere in the handlebody $M_{6}$. Since a handlebody is irreducible, $S$ bounds a 3-ball $B$ in $M_{6}$. Hence $B$ contains at least one of $K_{1}, K_{2}$ or $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$. Since $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ are not contractible in the handlebody, it is impossible. Hence $M_{6}^{\prime}$ is irreducible.

Suppose $D$ is an essential 2 -disk in $M_{6}^{\prime}$. Since $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ are not contractible in the handlebody $M_{6}, \partial D$ is not on either $\partial N\left(K_{1}\right), \partial N\left(K_{2}\right)$ or $\partial N\left(\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right)$. Hence $\partial D$ is on $\partial M_{6}$, and we may regard that $D$ is a proper 2-disk in $M_{6}$. If $D$ did not separate $M_{6}$, then $D$ must cut a curve representing the generators of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{6}\right)$. But we choose $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ to be such curves. Hence it is impossible. If $\partial D$ was trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(\partial M_{6}\right)$, then $D$ with a disk on $\partial M_{6}$ bounds a 3-ball, and this 3-ball must contain $K_{1}, K_{2}$ or $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$. But it is impossible, because $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ are not contractible in $M_{6}$. The remaining possibility is the case when $D$ separates $M_{6}$ into $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$, and $\partial D$ is non trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(\partial M_{6}\right)$. In this case, $D$ represents the amalgamating subgroup of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{6}\right) \cong Z * Z$, hence $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong Z$. Note that the knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are chosen to be generators of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{6}\right) \cong Z * Z \cong\langle\alpha, \beta$ : $\rangle$ and $\left[\tau\left(K_{2}\right)\right]=\beta^{-1} \in \pi_{1}\left(M_{6}\right)$ (now, we consider $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Figure 4 are the generators of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{6}\right)$, ignoring the base point). Hence $K_{2}$ and $\tau\left(K_{2}\right)$ are homotopic without meeting $D$, so without meeting $K_{1}$. But it is impossible. Hence $M$ is $\partial$-irreducible.

This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $G \cong Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2 n} \oplus Z_{2 n} \oplus Z_{p_{1}} \oplus Z_{p_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{p_{r}} \oplus Z_{p_{1}} \oplus$ $Z_{p_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{p_{r}}\left(r \geqq 0, n, p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{r} \in Z\right)$. We consider knots $L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}$ and $L^{\prime}$ in $M_{5}$ ( $M_{5}$ is the homology 3-sphere in the section 2), such that $L^{\prime}$ is $\tau$ invariant, $L_{1}, L_{2}, \cdots, L_{r}, \tau\left(L_{1}\right), \tau\left(L_{2}\right), \cdots, \tau\left(L_{r}\right)$ and $L^{\prime}$ are mutually disjoint,
and $\left[L_{i}\right] \neq 1,[L] \neq 1$ in $\pi_{1}\left(M_{5}\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, r)$. We will do like as in the proof of Theorem 1. Remove a small $\tau$-invariant regular neighborhood of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left(L_{i} \cup \tau\left(L_{i}\right)\right) \cup L^{\prime}$ from $M_{5}$, and attach $2 r$ copies of a non trivial knot exterior to $\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)$ and $\partial N\left(\tau\left(L_{i}\right)\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, r)$ for the required torsion of $G$. We call the resulting manifold $M_{9}$.

We will construct the same manifold as in the proof of Lemma 4, but for $\partial N\left(K_{1}\right)\left(\subset M_{6}\right)$, we will attach $M_{9}$ so that a preferred longitude of $\partial N\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\partial M_{9}$ is a meridian of $\partial N\left(K_{1}\right)$.

By this construction, the resulting manifold has the required first integral homology group. And the irreducibility of the manifold follows from the irreducibility and the $\partial$-irreducibility of each part.

This completes the proof.
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