

## Rings of automorphic forms which are not Cohen-Macaulay, I

By Shigeaki TSUYUMINE

(Received June 26, 1984)

(Revised Oct. 11, 1984)

By Noether's normalization theorem, a noetherian graded algebra  $R$  has a polynomial subring  $S$  generated by homogeneous elements such that  $R$  is finite over  $S$ . It is known (see, for instance Stanley [24], §3) that  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay (C.-M., for short) if and only if  $R$  is free over any (equivalently some) such  $S$ . Thus it is meaningful to ask which of the graded rings of automorphic forms are C.-M. This is a problem posed by Eichler [4], [5]. Igusa [16] determined the structure of the graded rings of Siegel modular forms of degree two for groups containing  $\Gamma_2(2)$ , and Resnikoff and Tai [20], [26] determined the structure of the graded rings of automorphic forms on the complex 2-ball for some arithmetic group. These rings turn out to be C.-M. However Freitag [6] showed that the ring of Hilbert modular forms of degree  $\geq 3$  is not C.-M., while in our previous paper [27], we proved that the ring of Hilbert modular forms of even weight and of degree two is C.-M. In this paper we show that the ring of automorphic forms fails to be C.-M. for a large class of neat arithmetic groups as well as for the Siegel modular group  $\Gamma_g = Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$ ,  $g \geq 4$ .

Samuel [22] stated "All the examples of U.F.D.'s I know are C.-M. Is it true in general?" (see Lipman [19] for the history of this question). In the case of characteristic zero, Freitag and Kiehl [9] gave a negative answer to this question of Samuel by constructing analytic local rings which are U.F.D.'s of dimension 60 and depth 3, hence not C.-M. As far as we know these are the only previously known examples. As Freitag [7], [8] has shown, the ring of Siegel modular forms for  $\Gamma_g$  ( $g \geq 3$ ) is U.F.D. Hence our result shows that they furnish negative examples to Samuel's question in arbitrary high dimension.

To prove our assertion it is enough to prove that the Baily-Borel compactification of the corresponding quotient space is not a C.-M. scheme, where a C.-M. scheme is a scheme whose local rings are all C.-M. This gives some generalization of the result of Igusa [17], where he shows that the Baily-Borel compactification does not admit a finite nonsingular covering under some condition on the bounded symmetric domain and the arithmetic group.

This work was done while the author was staying at Harvard University.

He wishes to express his gratitude to the members of Department of Mathematics for their hospitality, and to the Educational Project for Japanese Mathematical Scientists for financial support. He wishes to thank Prof. T. Oda for his helpful suggestion during the preparation of this paper, and the referee for his careful reading.

### §1. Main result.

1.1. Let  $H_g$  be the Siegel space of degree  $g$ , i. e.,  $\{Z \in \mathbf{M}_g(\mathbf{C}) \mid {}^tZ = Z, \text{Im}Z > 0\}$ . The symplectic group  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{R}) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{2g}(\mathbf{R}) \mid A {}^tD - B {}^tC = 1_g, A {}^tB = B {}^tA, C {}^tD = D {}^tC \right\}$  acts on  $H_g$  by the *symplectic transformation*

$$Z \longrightarrow MZ = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{R}).$$

Let  $\Gamma_g$  denote the Siegel modular group  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$ . A holomorphic function  $f$  on  $H_g$  is called a *Siegel modular form* of weight  $k$  if it satisfies

$$f(MZ) = |CZ + D|^k f(Z) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g$$

and if it is holomorphic also at cusps (the last condition is automatic if  $g > 1$ ). Let  $A(\Gamma_g) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A(\Gamma_g)_k$  denote the graded ring of Siegel modular forms. The quotient space  $H_g/\Gamma_g$  is the coarse moduli space of the principally polarized abelian varieties over  $\mathbf{C}$  of dimension  $g$ . It has the natural compactification  $(H_g/\Gamma_g)^*$  called the *Satake compactification* which is isomorphic to  $\text{Proj}(A(\Gamma_g))$ , and set-theoretically equals

$$H_g/\Gamma_g \cup H_{g-1}/\Gamma_{g-1} \cup \cdots \cup H_1/\Gamma_1 \cup \{\text{a point}\}.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $g \geq 4$ . Then the Satake compactification  $(H_g/\Gamma_g)^*$  is not a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. For the graded ring  $A(\Gamma_g)$  of Siegel modular forms, the ring  $A(\Gamma_g)^{(r)} = \bigoplus_{k \equiv 0(r)} A(\Gamma_g)_k$  is not Cohen-Macaulay for any integer  $r$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a bounded symmetric domain, and  $\Gamma$  an arithmetic group acting on  $\mathcal{D}$ . The quotient space  $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  has the natural compactification  $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^*$ , which is called the Baily-Borel compactification [2]. Let  $j(\gamma, z)$  be the Jacobian of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , at a point  $z \in \mathcal{D}$ , which is an automorphy factor. Let us fix some automorphy factor  $\rho$  such that  $\rho^{k_0} = j^{-1}$  for a positive integer  $k_0$ . A holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  is called an *automorphic form* for  $\Gamma$  of weight  $k$  if it satisfies

$$f(\gamma z) = \rho(\gamma, z)^k f(z) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma$$

and if  $f$  is holomorphic also at cusps (the last condition is automatic if

$\text{codim}((\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^* - (\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)) \geq 2$ . Then the compactification  $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^*$  is isomorphic to the projective spectrum of the graded ring of automorphic forms for  $\Gamma$ .  $\Gamma$  is said to be *neat* if, taking some (equivalently any) faithful representation  $\phi$  of  $\Gamma$  to  $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ , the algebra generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by all the eigenvalues of  $\phi(\gamma)$  is torsion free for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Any arithmetic group has a neat arithmetic subgroup of finite index.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a bounded symmetric domain, and  $\Gamma$  a neat arithmetic group acting on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}''$ ) be the highest (resp. the second highest) dimensional rational boundary component. Suppose  $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}' = \text{rank } \mathcal{D} - 1$  and suppose one of the following holds;*

- (i)  $m := \dim \mathcal{D} - \dim \mathcal{D}' \geq 3$  is odd,
- (ii)  $m \geq 4$  is even, and  $\dim \mathcal{D}'' \geq \dim \mathcal{D}' - 2$ .

*Then the Baily-Borel compactification  $(\mathcal{D}/\Gamma)^*$  is not a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. If  $A(\Gamma)$  denotes the ring of automorphic forms, then  $A(\Gamma)^{(r)}$  is not Cohen-Macaulay for any  $r$ .*

**REMARKS.** (i) Let  $R = \bigoplus_k R_k$  be a graded algebra, and let  $R^{(r)} = \bigoplus_{k=0(r)} R_k$ .

Then it is standard that  $\text{Proj}(R) \simeq \text{Proj}(R^{(r)})$  for any  $r$ , and that  $\text{Proj}(R)$  is a C.-M. scheme if  $R$  is C.-M. (cf. [27], §1). So the first assertion implies the second both in Theorem 1 and in Theorem 2.

(ii) In the case of characteristic zero, an invariant subring of a C.-M. ring under an action of a finite group is also C.-M. by Hochster and Eagon [15]. It follows from this and from Theorem 1 that the ring of Siegel modular forms for any normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$  of finite index is not C.-M. if  $g \geq 4$ .

(iii) The proof of Theorem 1 is given in §4, which is easily generalized to the following case. For a diagonal matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_g \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_i | t_{i+1} \quad (i=1, \dots, g-1),$$

let

$$\Gamma_g(T) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t M \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ -T & 0 \end{pmatrix} M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ -T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

$\Gamma_g(T)$  acts on  $H_g$  by

$$Z \longrightarrow MZ = (TAT^{-1}Z + TB)(CT^{-1}Z + D)^{-1}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g(T).$$

Then the Satake compactification  $(H_g/\Gamma_g(T))^*$  is not a C.-M. scheme for  $g \geq 4$ , and  $A(\Gamma_g(T))^{(r)}$  is not C.-M. for any  $r$ ,  $A(\Gamma_g(T))$  denoting the graded ring of Siegel modular forms for  $\Gamma_g(T)$ .

(iv) The rings  $A(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $A(\Gamma_2)$  of Siegel modular forms of degree 1, 2 are known to be C.-M. (cf. Igusa [16]). On the other hand, the graded ring  $A(\Gamma_3)$

of Siegel modular forms of degree three is believed to be not C.-M. However our method does not work in this case. It will be investigated in a later paper [28].

## §2. Proof of Theorem 2.

2.1. Let  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}'', \Gamma$  be as in Theorem 2. Let  $X = \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ , and let  $X^*$  be its Baily-Borel compactification. Set-theoretically,  $D^* := X^* - X$  is the union of lower dimensional pieces

$$\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'_s, \mathcal{D}''/\Gamma''_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}''/\Gamma''_t, \mathcal{D}'''/\Gamma'''_1, \dots$$

similar to  $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ . We denote by  $X'$  the highest dimensional cusp  $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{D}'/\Gamma'_s$ . Let  $\bar{X}$ , together with the morphism  $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X^*$ , be a toroidal compactification which was constructed by [1] and which is determined by a projective regular  $\Gamma$ -admissible decomposition of the associated polyhedral cone.  $\pi$  coincides with the normalization of the blowing up of  $X^*$  along some sheaf  $\mathcal{G}^*$  of ideals with the support of  $\mathcal{O}_{X^*}/\mathcal{G}^*$  contained in  $D^*$ . Hence  $X$  is canonically contained in  $\bar{X}$  on which  $\pi$  induces the identity map.  $D := \bar{X} - X$  is known to be a divisor with only normal crossings. The following is a direct consequence of the construction of  $\bar{X}$ , where it is essential that  $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}' = \text{rank } \mathcal{D} - 1$ .

LEMMA 1. i) *The fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in X'$ , is an abelian variety of dimension  $m-1$ , where  $m = \dim \mathcal{D} - \dim \mathcal{D}'$ .*

ii) *Let  $\Gamma'$  be any arithmetic group having  $\Gamma$  as a normal subgroup, and let  $\mathcal{G}_{D^*}$  be the sheaf of ideals determining the reduced subscheme  $D^*$ . Then by a suitable choice of a  $\Gamma$ -admissible decomposition,  $\Gamma'/\Gamma$  acts naturally on  $\bar{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_{D^*}^r$  equals  $\mathcal{G}^*$  on  $X \cup X'$  for a positive integer  $r$ . In this case, the quotient space  $\bar{X}/(\Gamma'/\Gamma)$  gives a toroidal compactification of  $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma'$ , and  $\pi^{-1}(X \cup X')$  is the blowing up of  $X \cup X'$  with respect to the sheaf of ideals defining the reduced subscheme  $X'$ .*

2.2. Let  $j, \rho, k_0$  be as in §1. There is a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(\rho^k)'$  on  $X$  defined by

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{L}(\rho^k)') = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{-1}(U)} \mid f(\gamma z) = \rho(\gamma, z)^k f(z), \gamma \in \Gamma, z \in p^{-1}(U)\},$$

where  $p$  is the projection of  $\mathcal{D}$  onto  $X$ , and  $U$  is any open subset of  $X$ . Baily and Borel showed that  $\mathcal{L}(j^{-1})'$  canonically extends to an ample invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(j^{-1})$  on  $X^*$ , since  $\Gamma$  is neat (cf. Mumford [18], the proof of Proposition 3.4). At any rate there is an integer  $k_1$  such that  $k_1 | k_0$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\rho^{k_1})'$  extends to an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(\rho^{k_1})$  on  $X^*$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}(\rho^{k_1})^{\otimes k_0/k_1} = \mathcal{L}(j^{-1})$  (for instance, take  $k_0$  as  $k_1$ ). Since  $X^*$  is normal and projective,  $X^*$  is isomorphic to

$\text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{k_1|k} H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(\rho^k)))$ . Our purpose is to show that this is not a C.-M. scheme, and so we may replace  $\rho$  by  $\rho^{k_1}$ . In other words, we may assume

- (i)  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}(\rho)$  is an ample invertible sheaf,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{L}(\rho^k)$ , especially  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_0} = \mathcal{L}(j^{-1})$ .

If  $\text{codim}(X^* - X) \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$  equals the direct image  $i_* \mathcal{L}(\rho^k)'$ ,  $i$  being the inclusion of  $X$  to  $X^*$ .  $H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$  is just the space of automorphic forms of weight  $k$ . A global section of  $H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}^*})$  is called a cusp form of weight  $k$ . When  $\mathcal{D}$  is a point, the space of automorphic forms, or cusp forms of weight  $k \geq 0$  is just  $\mathbf{C}$ . It is well-known that if  $k \gg 0$ , then  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$  equals the sum of the dimensions of the spaces of cusp forms of weight  $k$  on  $X^*$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma_1^*)^*$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma_2^*)^*$ ,  $\dots$ , where  $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma_1^*$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'/\Gamma_2^*$ ,  $\dots$  are all the members appearing in the cusps of  $X^*$ .

Let us put  $Q(k) := \chi(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ , the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, which equals  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$  for  $k \gg 0$ , since  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \pi^* \mathcal{L}$ . Then the canonical invertible sheaf  $K_{\bar{X}}$  on  $\bar{X}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-D)$  (cf. [1], Chap. IV, §1, Theorem 1). We put  $P(k) := \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-D))$ . It equals  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-D))$  for  $k \gg 0$  by the vanishing theorem of Kodaira type (Grauert and Riemenschneider [11]). Hence  $P(k)$  for  $k \gg 0$  is equal to the dimension of the space of cusp forms of weight  $k$ , since  $H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-D)) \simeq H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}^*})$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a neat arithmetic group acting on  $\mathcal{D}$ . If we denote  $n = \dim \mathcal{D}$ ,  $n' = \dim \mathcal{D}'$ ,  $n'' = \dim \mathcal{D}''$ , then we have*

$$P(k + k_0) = (-1)^n P(-k) + O(k^{n'}).$$

*Under the additional assumption  $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}' = \text{rank } \mathcal{D} - 1$ , we have*

$$P(k + k_0) = (-1)^n P(-k) + O(k^{\max(n', n' - m + 1)}).$$

**2.3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.** Tensoring  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)}$  with the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-D)) = \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)}) - \chi(D, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D).$$

Since  $\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)}) = (-1)^n P(-k)$  by the Serre duality theorem, we have

$$P(k + k_0) = (-1)^n P(-k) - \chi(D, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D).$$

We have the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(D^*, R^q \pi_*(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)) \implies H^{p+q}(D, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D).$$

By the projection formula, we have  $H^p(D^*, R^q \pi_*(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)) = H^p(D^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(k+k_0)})$

$\otimes R^q \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$ ), which vanishes for  $p > 0$  and  $k \gg 0$ , because  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample. Thus

$$H^0(D^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D) \simeq H^i(D, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D), \quad k \gg 0$$

and

$$\chi(D, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(D^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D),$$

$k \gg 0$ .

Since the dimension of  $D^*$  equals  $n'$ , we immediately see the first assertion. Let us suppose  $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}' = \text{rank } \mathcal{D} - 1$ . As we recalled in Lemma 1,  $\pi^{-1}(X')$  is flat over  $X'$ , and moreover its fibres are abelian varieties of dimension  $m-1$ . By the base change theorem  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$  is locally free on  $X'$ . By cup product we have a canonical homomorphism

$$\bigwedge^i R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$$

on  $X'$  (cf. [12], Chap. 0, 12.2). It is an isomorphism since so is the induced homomorphism on the fibre at each point. Since  $\dim \pi^{-1}(x) = m-1$  for  $x \in X'$ , the sheaf  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$ ,  $i > m-1$ , is supported on  $D^* - X'$ . So

$$\chi(D, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(D^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(k+k_0)} \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D) + O(k^{n'}).$$

Now our assertion follows from the following lemma;

LEMMA. *Let  $Y'$  be a normal irreducible projective variety of dimension  $n'$  with an ample invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}'$ , and  $Y''$  its subvariety of dimension  $n''$ . Let  $Y^0 = Y' - Y''$ .*

(i) *Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be coherent sheaves on  $Y'$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{Y^0} \simeq \mathcal{G}|_{Y^0}$ . Then*

$$\dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{G}) + O(k^{n'}).$$

(ii) *Suppose  $Y^0$  is nonsingular. Let  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{m-1}$  be coherent sheaves on  $Y'$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_1|_{Y^0}$  is locally free of rank  $m-1$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_i|_{Y^0} \simeq \bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}_1|_{Y^0}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{E}_i) = O(k^{\max(n', n' - m + 1)}),$$

$\mathcal{E}_0$  being the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ .

PROOF. To prove (i) we may assume that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  have no coherent subsheaves supported on  $Y''$ , and that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are generated by their global sections. Let  $\{s_i\}$  be global sections of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $s_i|_{Y^0}$  can be regarded as sections of  $H^0(Y^0, \mathcal{G})$  via the isomorphism. Let  $\{s'_i\}$  be the rational sections of  $\mathcal{G}$  given as their extensions, and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  be the coherent sheaf generated by  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\{s'_i\}$ . Then we have two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathcal{G}$  are supported on  $Y''$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{F}) &= \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{G}}) + O(k^{n'}), \\ \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{G}) &= \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{G}}) + O(k^{n'}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (i). Now let us prove (ii). We may assume  $\mathcal{E}_i$ 's are torsion free. There is a proper modification  $\phi: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\tilde{Y}$  is a compact complex manifold with  $\phi: \phi^{-1}(Y^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y^0$ , and  $\mathcal{E}'_i := \phi^* \mathcal{E}_i$  is locally free of rank  $m-1$  (Riemenschneider [21]). By the Riemann-Roch theorem we easily see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \chi(Y, \phi^* \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}'_i) = O(k^{n'-m+1}).$$

Then by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1 we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(Y', \mathcal{L}'^{\otimes k} \otimes \phi_* \bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}'_i) = O(k^{\max(n', n'-m+1)}).$$

We are done, since  $\mathcal{E}_i$  and  $\phi_* \bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}'_i$  satisfy the condition in (i). q. e. d.

**2.4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** By Remark (i) of §1, it is enough to show that  $X^* = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}))$  cannot be a C.-M. scheme. The dualizing sheaf  $\omega_{X^*}$  is the uniquely determined coherent sheaf on  $X^*$  which gives rise to a functorial isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{X^*}) \simeq H^n(X^*, \mathcal{F})^\vee$  for any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  (cf. Hartshorne [14]). By Grauert and Riemenschneider [11],  $\omega_{X^*}$  coincides with  $i_* K_X$ , where  $i$  is the canonical inclusion of  $X$  into  $X^*$ , and  $K_X$  is the canonical invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Obviously  $K_X = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_0}|_X$ , and hence  $\omega_{X^*} = i_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_0}|_X) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_0}$  by Koecher's principle (cf. Serre [23]).

We suppose that  $X^*$  is a C.-M. scheme. Then by [14], for instance, we have the Serre duality  $H^i(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(k+k_0)}) \simeq H^{n-i}(X^*, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes-k})^\vee$ , and hence  $Q(k+k_0) = (-1)^n Q(-k)$ . If  $P'(k)$  denotes the Hilbert polynomial for the space of cusp forms of weight  $k$  on  $X'$ , then  $Q(k) = P(k) + P'(k) + O(k^{n'})$ . Now we can apply to  $X'$  and  $P'$  the first assertion of Proposition 1, and we get  $P'(k+k_0) = (-1)^{n'} P'(-k) + O(k^{n'})$ , where  $k'_0$  is an integer such that  $0 < k'_0 < k_0$  (Baily and Borel [2], Proposition 1.11). Hence  $P'(k)$  is of the form

$$P'(k) = c_0(k - k'_0/2)^{n'} + c_2(k - k'_0/2)^{n'-2} + \dots + O(k^{n'}), \quad c_0 \neq 0.$$

Then, applying to  $P(k)$  the second assertion of Proposition 1, we get  $Q(k+k_0) - (-1)^n Q(-k) = \{P(k+k_0) - (-1)^n P(-k)\} + \{P'(k+k_0) - (-1)^{n'} P'(-k)\} + O(k^{n'}) = P'(k+k_0) - (-1)^{n'} P'(-k) + O(k^{\max(n', n'-m+1)})$ . Hence  $Q(k+k_0) - (-1)^n Q(-k) = c_0 \{1 - (-1)^m\} k^{n'} + n' c_0 \{k_0 + (-1 + (-1)^{m-1}) k'_0/2\} k^{n'-1} + \dots + O(k^{\max(n', n'-m+1)})$ , which cannot vanish by our assumption, hence we have a contradiction. So  $X^*$

is not a C.-M. scheme. q. e. d.

§ 3. Siegel modular forms.

3.1. Let  $X := X_g := H_g/\Gamma_g$ , and  $X^*$  be its Satake compactification, which is set-theoretically equal to  $X_g \cup X_{g-1}^* = X_g \cup X_{g-1} \cup \dots \cup X_0$ . The dimension  $n$  of  $X^*$  equals  $g(g+1)/2$ . For an integer  $k$  such that  $kg$  is even, let  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  denote the coherent sheaf on  $X^*$  corresponding to Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$ , i. e., the sheaf defined by

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{L}(k)) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{-1}(U)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } f(MZ) = |CZ + D|^k f(Z) \text{ for } M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g, Z \in p^{-1}(U \cap X), \\ \text{(ii) } f \text{ extends holomorphically to the intersection of } U \text{ and} \\ \text{of the cusps} \end{array} \right\}$$

for open sets  $U$  of  $X^*$ ,  $p$  being the canonical projection of  $H_g$  to  $X$ , where the second condition is automatic if  $g > 1$ .  $H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k))$  is the space of Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  is reflexive and that if  $k$  is even, then  $\mathcal{L}(k)|_{X_{g-1}^*}$  is the coherent sheaf on  $X_{g-1}^*$  corresponding to Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$ .

$H_r, 0 \leq r \leq g-1$ , can be regarded as a rational boundary component of  $H_g$ . Let  $Z$  be a point of  $H_r$  where  $0 \leq r \leq g$ . Then the group of matrices of the form

$$M = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} A' & 0 & B' & * \\ * & {}^tU^{-1} & * & * \\ \hline C' & 0 & D' & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & U \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma_g, \quad M' = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_r, \quad M'Z = Z,$$

is equal to the stabilizer group at  $Z$  in  $\Gamma_g$  up to conjugacy. Then the following is standard;

LEMMA 2. Let  $Z \in H_r, 0 \leq r \leq g$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  is invertible at the image of  $Z$  in  $X_g^*$ , if  $|C'Z + D'|^k |U|^k$  equals one for any  $M \in \Gamma_g$  stabilizing  $Z$ , where  $C', D', U$  are as above.

COROLLARY. There is a positive integer  $N_0$  satisfying the following;

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{L}(N_0)$  is an ample invertible sheaf,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{L}(k + N_0) = \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0$  for all  $k$ ,
- (iii) the algebra  $\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes s})$  is generated by  $H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}_0)$ .

Since  $\Gamma_g$  has fixed points of even order,  $N_0$  must be an even integer. Let  $X^0$  denote the Zariski open subset of  $X$  consisting of the images of



points in  $H_g$  whose stabilizer in  $\Gamma_g$  is trivial, i. e.,  $\{\pm 1_{2g}\}$ . When  $g \geq 3$ ,  $X_g^0$  is just the smooth locus of  $X_g$ .

LEMMA 3. *The codimension of  $X_g - X_g^0$  in  $X_g$  is  $g-1$ . Moreover, the image in  $X_g$  of the fixed point set under the action of  $M \in \Gamma_g$  with  $M^2 \neq 1_{2g}$  is of codimension  $\geq g$ . Especially,  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  for even  $k$  is invertible except on a subvariety of codimension  $\geq g$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M$  be a torsion element of  $\Gamma_g$ .  $M$  can be diagonalized as

$${}^tUMU = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{t_1} & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \zeta^{t_n} & & \\ & & & \bar{\zeta}^{t_1} & \\ 0 & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \bar{\zeta}^{t_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\zeta$  is a root of unity and  $U$  is a unitary matrix. Then the dimension of the fixed point set in  $H_g$  under  $M$  is given by

$$\# \{(t_i, t_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq g, \zeta^{t_i+t_j} = 1\}$$

(cf. Gottschling [10]). The first assertion follows immediately from this. If  $M^2 \neq 1_{2g}$ , then some  $\zeta^{t_i} \neq \pm 1$ , hence the second follows.  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  is invertible at a point  $Z_0$  fixed only by  $M$ 's such that  $M^2 = 1_{2g}$ . Indeed, letting  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ , we get  $(CZ_0 + D)(C(MZ_0) + D) = 1_g$ . Hence  $(CZ_0 + D)^2 = 1_g$ , thus  $|CZ_0 + D|^k = 1$ . q. e. d.

3.2. Let  $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X^*$  be a toroidal compactification. Let  $D := \bar{X} - X$ , and  $D^* := X^* - X = X_{g-1} \cup \dots \cup X_0$ .  $\pi$  induces a map of  $D$  to  $D^*$ , which we shall also denote by  $\pi$ .

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $i > 0$ , then*

$$R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} \simeq R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$$

on  $X_{g-1}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Gamma_g(l) := \{M \in \Gamma_g \mid M \equiv 1_{2g} \pmod{l}\}$  be the principal congruence subgroup of level  $l$ . Let  $X(l) = H_g / \Gamma_g(l)$ . Denote by  $X^*(l)$  and  $\bar{X}(l)$  its Satake compactification and its toroidal compactification, respectively. Let  $D^*(l) := X^*(l) - X(l)$ , and  $D(l) := \bar{X}(l) - X(l)$ . We shall denote also by  $\pi$  the morphism of  $\bar{X}(l)$  to  $X^*(l)$ . Moreover,  $X'(l)$  denotes the union of the highest dimensional cusps in  $D^*(l)$ , which is a disjoint union of copies of  $H_{g-1} / \Gamma_{g-1}(l)$ .

We first show  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}(l)} \simeq R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{D(l)}$  on  $X'(l)$  for  $i > 0$ , provided that  $l \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_{D^*(l)}$  be the sheaf of ideals of  $D^*(l)$  with the reduced structure in  $\mathcal{O}_{X^*(l)}$ , and let  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_{D^*(l)} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}(l)}$ . Here we note that we have the canonical injection of  $\mathcal{O}_{X^*(l)}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}(l)}$ . Since  $l \geq 3$ , we can apply Lemma 1 to our argument. So  $\pi^{-1}(X(l) \cup X'(l))$  is the blowing up with respect to  $\mathcal{I}_{D^*(l)}|_{X(l) \cup X'(l)}$ , and hence

$\mathcal{G}|_{\pi^{-1}(X(l) \cup X'(l))}$  is an invertible sheaf of ideals on  $\pi^{-1}(X(l) \cup X'(l))$  defining  $\pi^{-1}(X'(l))$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{j+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^j \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^j/\mathcal{G}^{j+1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{G}^j/\mathcal{G}^{j+1}$  is an invertible sheaf on  $\pi^{-1}(X'(l))$ . We thus have a long exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow R^i \pi_* \mathcal{G}^{j+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i,j}} R^i \pi_* \mathcal{G}^j \longrightarrow R^i \pi_* \mathcal{G}^j/\mathcal{G}^{j+1} \longrightarrow R^{i+1} \pi_* \mathcal{G}^{j+1} \longrightarrow.$$

For a point  $x \in X'(l)$  and for  $j > 0$ ,  $(\mathcal{G}^j/\mathcal{G}^{j+1})_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$  is ample on  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  by the definition of the blowing up, and hence the higher cohomology groups  $H^i(\pi^{-1}(x), (\mathcal{G}^j/\mathcal{G}^{j+1})_{\pi^{-1}(x)})$ ,  $i > 0$ , vanish since  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is an abelian variety. By the base change theorem  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{G}^j/\mathcal{G}^{j+1}$  vanishes at  $x \in X'(l)$  if  $i > 0$ ,  $j > 0$ , and hence  $\alpha_{i,j}$  is surjective at  $x$  for  $i > 0$ ,  $j > 0$ . Since  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{G}^j = 0$  for  $i > 0$ ,  $j \gg 0$  ([12], Théorème (2.2.1), (ii)), it follows that  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i > 0$ , vanishes on  $X'(l)$ . Considering the long exact sequence in the case  $j=0$ , we get  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}(l)} \simeq R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{D(l)}$  on  $X'(l)$  for  $i > 0$ .

To prove the proposition we note that by general theory (cf. Grothendieck [13], Théorème 5.3.1, the proof of its corollary and Corollaire to Proposition 5.2.3),  $H^i(Y/G, (\phi_* \mathcal{F})^G)$  and  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{F})^G$  are canonically isomorphic, where  $Y$  is a separated scheme over  $\mathcal{C}$  with an action of a finite group  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf on  $Y$  having an action of  $G$  compatible with the action on  $Y$ , and  $\phi: Y \rightarrow Y/G$  is the quotient morphism. Now let  $U$  be an affine open subset of  $X_{g-1} \subset X_g^*$  and let  $\tilde{U}$  be the inverse image of  $U$  in  $X_{g-1}(l) = H_{g-1}/\Gamma_{g-1}(l) \subset X'(l)$ . Then, letting  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma_g/\Gamma_g(l)$  stabilizing  $\tilde{U}$ , we have

$$H^0(U, R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = H^i(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = H^i(\pi^{-1}(\tilde{U}), \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}(l)})^G = H^0(\tilde{U}, R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}(l)})^G,$$

$$H^0(U, R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D) = H^i(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_D) = H^i(\pi^{-1}(\tilde{U}), \mathcal{O}_{D(l)})^G = H^0(\tilde{U}, R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{D(l)})^G.$$

By what we saw above, the terms on the extreme right hand side are canonically isomorphic if  $i > 0$ . Hence we are done. q. e. d.

REMARKS. (i) As we easily see, Proposition 2 is true for a toroidal compactification of a quotient space  $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$  of a bounded symmetric domain  $\mathcal{D}$  by an arithmetic group  $\Gamma$  provided that  $\text{rank } \mathcal{D}' = \text{rank } \mathcal{D} - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'$  being the highest dimensional rational boundary component of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

(ii) Let  $Z$  be a point of  $H_{g-1}$  whose stabilizer in  $\Gamma_{g-1}/\{\pm 1_{2g-2}\}$  is trivial, and let  $y \in H_{g-1}/\Gamma_{g-1}(l) \subset X_g^*(l)$  be the corresponding point. Then the stabilizer subgroup  $P$  at  $y$  of  $\Gamma_g$  is generated by  $\Gamma_g(l)$  and matrices  $M$  of the form

$$M = \pm \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1_{g-1} & 0 & 0 & b \\ \iota v & \pm 1 & \iota b & e \\ \hline 0 & & 1_{g-1} & -v \\ & & 0 & \pm 1 \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma_g.$$

Let  $W$  (resp.  $U$ ) be the group generated by  $\Gamma_g(l)$  and matrices  $M$  of the form

$$M = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1_{g-1} & 0 & 0 & b \\ \iota v & 1 & \iota b & e \\ \hline 0 & & 1_{g-1} & -v \\ & & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \left( \text{resp.} \quad \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1_g & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline 0 & 1_g \end{array} \right) \right).$$

Then we have inclusions of normal subgroups

$$\Gamma_g(l) \subset U \subset W \subset P.$$

$U$  acts trivially on the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(y)$ . Let us suppose  $l \geq 3$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  is isomorphic to an abelian variety  $\mathbf{C}^{g-1}/(Z, 1_{g-1})(lZ)^{g-1}$ . Regarding  $z$  as an element of  $\mathbf{C}^{g-1}$ , an element  $M$  of  $W/U$  acts on  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  as  $z \rightarrow z + Zv + b$ . So the quotient of  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  by  $W$  is isomorphic to itself. Finally  $P/W$  acts on the abelian variety as  $z \rightarrow \pm z$ . It follows that the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in X_{g-1}^0$  is a  $(g-1)$ -dimensional Kummer variety, i. e., the quotient of a  $(g-1)$ -dimensional abelian variety by the group  $\{\pm \text{id}\}$ , where  $\pi$  is the morphism of  $\bar{X}_g$  to  $X_g^*$ .

**3.3.** Let  $N_0$  be as in Corollary to Lemma 2. Then the Euler-Poincaré characteristic  $\chi(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k+sN_0))$  is a numerical polynomial of  $s$ , since  $\mathcal{L}(k+sN_0) = \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes s}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is invertible. Let  $Q(k) := \chi(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k))$ . If  $k$  is large enough, then  $Q(k)$  gives the dimension of the space of Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$ , which equals  $\sum_{r=0}^g \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \{\text{cusp forms of weight } k \text{ for } \Gamma_r\}$  if  $k > 2g+1$  is even (cf. Cartan [3]).

We shall define  $P(k)$  as follows. Fix an integer  $k_1$  with  $0 \leq k_1 < N_0$ . Then  $\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \{\text{cusp forms of weight } k_1 + sN_0\}$  is a graded module over the ring  $\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes s})$ .  $P(k_1 + sN_0)$  is defined to be the Hilbert polynomial in  $s$  for the graded module. Then  $P(k)$  is well-defined for any  $k$  and equals the dimension of the space of cusp forms of weight  $k$  for  $k \gg 0$  by definition.

**COROLLARY TO PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_D$  be the sheaf of ideals of  $D$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}(k) = \pi^* \mathcal{L}(k)$ . Under the condition  $g \geq 3$  and  $k$  even, we have

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D) = P(k) + O(k^{(g-1)(g-2)/2}).$$

**PROOF.** Tensoring  $\mathcal{M}(k)$  with the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D) = \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) - \chi(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D).$$

Now let us put  $\mathcal{L}'(k) := \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{g-1}^*}$ , which equals the coherent sheaf corresponding to Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$  on  $X_{g-1}^*$ , since  $k$  is even. We have the Leray spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &= H^p(X^*, R^q \pi_* \mathcal{M}(k)) \implies H^{p+q}(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) \\ E_2^{p,q} &= H^p(X_{g-1}^*, R^q \pi_* (\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)) \implies H^{p+q}(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D). \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X^*, R^i \pi_* \mathcal{M}(k)) &= H^i(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)), \quad k \gg 0, \\ H^0(X_{g-1}^*, R^i \pi_* (\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)) &= H^i(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D), \quad k \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 3 and Proposition 2, both  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{M}(k)$  and  $R^i \pi_* (\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)$  are isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}'(k) \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D$  on  $X_{g-1}^*$  minus a subvariety of codimension  $\geq g-1$  if  $i > 0$ . Thus

$$\dim_c H^0(X^*, R^i \pi_* \mathcal{M}(k)) = \dim_c H^0(X_{g-1}^*, R^i \pi_* (\mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)) + O(k^{(g-1)(g-2)/2})$$

for  $i > 0$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) - \chi(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \\ = \dim_c H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k)) - \dim_c H^0(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{(g-1)(g-2)/2}), \quad k \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

We are done, since  $P(k)$  equals  $\dim_c H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k)) - \dim_c H^0(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k))$  for  $k \gg 0$ . q. e. d.

Since  $\bar{X}$  has only quotient singularities, the canonical coherent sheaf  $K_{\bar{X}}$  (in the sense of Grauert-Riemenschneider [11]) and the dualizing sheaf coincide. Let  $\bar{X}^0$  be the open subset of  $\bar{X}$  whose points are not ramification points of the quotient morphism of  $\bar{X}(l)$  to  $\bar{X}$  for some  $l \geq 3$ . Then  $\bar{X} - \bar{X}^0$  is just the singular locus, when  $g \geq 3$  (Tai [25]).

LEMMA 4. Let  $g \geq 3$ .

- (i) For the canonical injection  $i$  of  $X^0$  to  $X$ , we have  $i_*(\mathcal{L}(k)|_{X^0}) = \mathcal{L}(k)|_X$ .
- (ii) For the canonical injection  $\bar{i}$  of  $\bar{X}^0$  to  $\bar{X}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{X}} &= \bar{i}_*(\mathcal{M}(g+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D|_{\bar{X}^0}) && \text{if } g \text{ is odd,} \\ K_{\bar{X}} &= \bar{i}_*(\mathcal{M}(g+1)|_{\bar{X}^0}) && \text{if } g \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since  $g \geq 3$ ,  $\text{codim}(X - X^0)$  is greater than one. Then (i) is an

easy consequence of the extendability of holomorphic functions across a subvariety of codimension two. In the case (ii) with odd  $g$ , we have  $K_{\bar{X}^0} = (\mathcal{M}(g+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D)|_{\bar{X}^0}$  by Tai [25], Theorem 1.1. If  $g$  is even, then any section in  $H^0(U, \mathcal{M}(g+1))$ , for an open set  $U$  with  $U \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , vanishes automatically at a point of  $D$ , so  $K_{\bar{X}^0} = \mathcal{M}(g+1)|_{\bar{X}^0}$  (loc. cit). Then our assertion follows from Grauert and Riemenschneider [11]. q. e. d.

§4. Proof of Theorem 1.

4.1. We shall prove Theorem 1 for even  $g \geq 4$ .

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $g \geq 4$  be even, and  $N_0$  be as in Corollary to Lemma 2. If  $k$  is divisible by  $N_0$ , then

$$Q(k+g+1) = (-1)^n Q(-k) + (2^{g-2} - 1) \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1}),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}'(k)$  is  $\mathcal{L}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{g-1}^*}$ .

PROOF.  $N_0$  is an even integer, so  $k+g+1$  is odd. Since any modular form for  $\Gamma_g$  of odd weight is a cusp form, we have  $Q(k+g+1) = P(k+g+1)$ .  $\mathcal{M}(g+1)$  and  $K_{\bar{X}}$  are isomorphic on  $\bar{X}^0$  by Lemma 4, (ii), and  $H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k+g+1)) = H^0(\bar{X}^0, \mathcal{M}(k+g+1)) = H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes K_{\bar{X}})$ , since  $\text{codim}(\bar{X} - \bar{X}^0) \geq 2$ . Thus

$$P(k+g+1) = \chi(X, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes K_{\bar{X}})$$

by the vanishing theorem of Kodaira type [11]. By the Serre duality we have

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes K_{\bar{X}}) = (-1)^n \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(-k)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \dim_c H^i(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}), \quad k \gg 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1. Since the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in X_{g-1}^*$  is of dimension  $g-1$ ,  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$  is supported on  $X_{g-2}^*$  for  $i \geq g$ . Hence by Proposition 2,

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) = Q(k) + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D) + O(k^{(g-1)(g-2)/2}).$$

$\pi : \pi^{-1}(X_{g-1}^0) \rightarrow X_{g-1}^0$  is a fibre space of Kummer varieties, so  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D|_{X_{g-1}^0}$  is 0 if  $i$  is odd or  $i \geq g$ , and it is a vector bundle of rank  $\binom{g-1}{i}$  if  $i < g$  is even.

So by the Riemann-Roch theorem  $\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) = Q(k) + (2^{g-2} - 1) \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1})$ , since the sum of  $\binom{g-1}{i}$  for  $i=2, 4, \dots, g-2$  is equal to  $2^{g-2} - 1$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(k+g+1) &= (-1)^n \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(-k)) \\
&= (-1)^n \{Q(-k) + (2^{g-2} - 1) \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(-k)) + O(k^{n-g-1})\} \\
&= (-1)^n \{Q(-k) + (-1)^{n-g} (2^{g-2} - 1) \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k))\} + O(k^{n-g-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. q. e. d.

By Grauert and Riemenschneider [11],  $i_*K_{X^0}$  gives the dualizing sheaf,  $i$  being the inclusion of  $X^0$  to  $X^*$ , as we saw in §2.4. Since  $K_{X^0} \simeq \mathcal{L}(g+1)|_{X^0}$ , and since  $\text{codim}(X^* - X^0) \geq n - g + 1 > 2$ ,  $i_*K_{X^0} = i_*(\mathcal{L}(g+1)|_{X^0}) = \mathcal{L}(g+1)$  by the extendability of holomorphic functions across a subvariety of codimension 2.

Now let us show that  $X^*$  is not C.-M. If  $X^*$  is C.-M., then we have for  $k$  divisible by  $N_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
H^i(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k+g+1)) &= H^i(X^*, \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes \mathcal{L}(g+1)) \\
&\simeq H^{n-i}(X^*, \mathcal{L}(-k))^\vee
\end{aligned}$$

by the Serre duality, and so  $Q(k+g+1) = (-1)^n Q(-k)$ . This contradicts Proposition 3. Hence  $X^*$  is not C.-M.

**4.2.** Let us prove Theorem 1 for odd  $g \geq 5$ . The above argument works also for this case, so it is enough to show the following;

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $g \geq 3$  be odd. If  $k$  is divisible by  $N_0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
P(k) &= (-1)^n P(-k+g+1) - 2^{g-2} \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1}), \\
Q(k) &= (-1)^n Q(-k+g+1) - (2^{g-2} - 2) \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D) = \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) - \chi(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D).$$

Then

$$\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_D) = P(k) + O(k^{(g-1)(g-2)/2})$$

by Corollary to Proposition 2, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(k)) &= (-1)^n \chi(\bar{X}, \mathcal{M}(-k) \otimes K_{\bar{X}}) \\
&= (-1)^n P(-k+g+1) \\
\chi(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^i(D, \mathcal{M}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} (-1)^i \dim_c H^0(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}(k) \otimes R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_D) + O(k^{(g-1)(g-2)/2}) \\
&= 2^{g-2} \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1})
\end{aligned}$$

by an argument similar to that in Proposition 3. This gives the first assertion. Since  $Q(k) = P(k) + \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(k) - (-1)^n Q(-k+g+1) \\ &= \{P(k) - (-1)^n P(-k+g+1)\} + \{\chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) - (-1)^n \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(-k+g+1))\} \\ &= -(2^{g-2}-1)\chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) - (-1)^n \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(-k+g+1)) + O(k^{n-g-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that  $-(-1)^n \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(-k+g+1)) = -(-1)^n (-1)^{n-g} \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1}) = \chi(X_{g-1}^*, \mathcal{L}'(k)) + O(k^{n-g-1})$ , because  $g$  is odd. Then the second assertion follows immediately from this. q. e. d.

### References

- [1] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport and Y.-S. Tai, Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, Massachusetts, 1975.
- [2] W.L. Baily and A. Borel, Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, Ann. of Math., **84** (1966), 442-528.
- [3] H. Cartan, Fonctions automorphes, École Norm. Sup., Séminaire Henri Cartan 1957/1958, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris.
- [4] M. Eichler, Projective varieties and modular forms, Lecture Notes in Math., **210**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [5] ———, On the graded rings of modular forms, Acta Arith., **18** (1971), 87-92.
- [6] E. Freitag, Lokale und globale Invarianten der Hilbertschen Modulgruppen, Invent. Math., **17** (1972), 106-134.
- [7] ———, Stabile Modulformen, Math. Ann., **230** (1977), 197-211.
- [8] ———, Die Irreducibilität der Schottky relation (Bemerkungen zu einem Satz von Igusa), Archiv der Math., **40** (1983), 255-259.
- [9] E. Freitag and R. Kiehl, Algebraische Eigenschaften der lokal Ringe in der Hilbertschen Modulgruppen, Invent. Math., **24** (1974), 121-146.
- [10] E. Gottschling, Über die Fixpunkte der Siegelschen Modulgruppen, Math. Ann., **143** (1961), 111-149.
- [11] H. Grauert and O. Riemenschneider, Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen, Invent. Math., **11** (1970), 263-292.
- [12] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique, III, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **11**, 1961.
- [13] ———, Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique, Tôhoku Math. J., **9** (1957), 119-221.
- [14] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Math., **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [15] M. Hochster and J.A. Eagon, Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory and the generic perfection of determinantal loci, Amer. J. Math., **93** (1971), 1020-1058.
- [16] J. Igusa, On Siegel modular forms of genus two, (II), Amer. J. Math., **86** (1964), 392-412.
- [17] ———, On the theory of compactifications, Summer Institute on Algebraic Geometry, Woods Hole, Amer. Math. Soc., 1964, (mimeographed).
- [18] D. Mumford, Hirzebruch's proportionality theorem in the noncompact case, Invent. Math., **42** (1977), 239-272.

- [19] J. Lipman, Unique factorization in complete local rings, in Algebraic Geometry—Arcata 1974, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math., **29**, Amer. Math. Soc., 531-546.
- [20] H.L. Resnikoff and Y.-S. Tai, On the structure of a graded ring of automorphic forms on the 2-dimensional complex ball, Math. Ann., **238** (1978), 97-117.
- [21] O. Riemenschneider, Characterizing Moisézon space by almost positive coherent sheaves, Math. Z., **123** (1971), 263-284.
- [22] P. Samuel, On unique factorization domains, Illinois J. Math., **5** (1961), 1-17.
- [23] J.-P. Serre, Prolongement de faisceaux analytiques cohérent, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **16** (1966), 363-374.
- [24] R. Stanley, Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New series), **1** (1979), 475-511.
- [25] Y.-S. Tai, On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of abelian varieties, Invent. Math., **68** (1982), 425-439.
- [26] Y.-S. Tai and H.L. Resnikoff, On the structure of a graded ring of automorphic forms on the 2-dimensional complex ball, II, Math. Ann., **258** (1982), 367-382.
- [27] S. Tsuyumine, Rings of modular forms (On Eichler's problem), Nagoya Math. J., **99** (1985), 31-44.
- [28] ———, Rings of automorphic forms which are not Cohen-Macaulay, II, (in preparation).

Shigeaki TSUYUMINE

2856-235 Sashiogi

Omiya-shi, Saitama 330

Japan