

Induced characters of some 2-groups

By Toshihiko YAMADA

(Received May 6, 1976)

Let G be a 2-group and χ a complex, irreducible character of G . The Schur index of χ with regard to the rational field \mathbf{Q} is denoted by $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\chi)$. It is known that $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\chi)=1$ or 2, and that if $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\chi)=2$, then there exist a subgroup H of G and an irreducible character ϕ of H such that χ is induced from ϕ , i.e., $\chi=\phi^G$, $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi)=2$, and the factor group H/N , $N=\text{kernel of } \phi$, is a generalized quaternion group (cf. (11.7) and (14.3) of [2], or [3]).

Now, let H' be a generalized quaternion group. The faithful irreducible characters of H' are algebraically conjugate to each other and their Schur indices are equal to 2, whereas any non-faithful irreducible character of H' has Schur index 1 (cf. [5, § 6]). So we ask a question: Let G be a 2-group, let H, N be subgroups of G such that $H \supset N$ and H/N is a generalized quaternion group, and let ϕ be a faithful irreducible character of H/N , which is also regarded as a character of H . Suppose that the induced character ϕ^G is irreducible. Is it true that the Schur index $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi^G)=2$?

A simple case for the question is that $N=\{1\}$. Namely, let $G \supset H$ be 2-groups, where H is a generalized quaternion group, and let ϕ be an irreducible character of H such that $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi)=2$ and ϕ^G is irreducible. Is it true that $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi^G)=2$? The purpose of the paper is to show that this is true for a class of induced characters ϕ^G , which are associated with *cyclotomic algebras*. Our result yields, as a special case, that if $[G:H]=2$, then the question is affirmative.

Let us briefly explain the contents of the paper. From now on, H_n denotes the generalized quaternion group of order 2^{n+1} ($n \geq 2$), ϕ_n an irreducible character of H_n with $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_n)=2$, and ζ_s a primitive s -th root of unity, where s is a natural number. In § 1, we investigate a 2-group G such that $G \supset H_n$ and that the induced character ϕ_n^G is irreducible (Theorem 1). We also determine the values of ϕ_n^G at elements x of G (Proposition 1).

In § 2, we study a cyclotomic algebra B made with the extension $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k$, where k is a subfield of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})$ of 2^n -th roots of unity. It will be shown that the index of B is 1 or 2, and if B has index 2, then there exists a 2-group G , which is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative group B^\times such that

$G \supset H_n$ and ϕ_n^G is irreducible. Moreover, B is isomorphic to the simple component of the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[G]$, which corresponds to ϕ_n^G . In particular, $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_n^G)=2$ (Theorem 2). We will call such a group G a *cyclotomic 2-group*.

Let G be a cyclotomic 2-group and let F be a 2-group such that $F \supset G$, $[F:G]=2$, and $(\phi_n^G)^F = \phi_n^F$ is an irreducible character of F . The purpose of §3 is to prove $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_n^F)=2$ (Theorem 3). As a special case of the result, we have: If G is any 2-group such that $G \supset H_n$, $[G:H_n]=2$, and ϕ_n^G is irreducible, then $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_n^G)=2$ (Corollary 1).

NOTATION. \mathbf{Z} is the integers. If K is a Galois extension of k , then $\mathcal{G}(K/k)$ is the Galois group of K over k . For $x \in K$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(K/k)$, x^σ denotes the image of x by σ . Let χ be an irreducible character of a group G such that $K(\chi)=K$. Then χ^σ is the character of G defined by $\chi^\sigma(g) = (\chi(g))^\sigma$, $g \in G$. Let $N \triangleleft G$ and ϕ a character of N . Then ϕ^g ($g \in G$) is the character of N defined by $\phi^g(x) = \phi(gxg^{-1})$, $x \in N$. $\langle a, b, \dots \rangle$ is the group generated by a, b, \dots .

§1. Induced characters.

Let $H = H_n = \langle a, b \rangle$ denote the generalized quaternion group of order 2^{n+1} ($n \geq 2$) with relations

$$a^{2^n} = 1, \quad bab^{-1} = a, \quad b^2 = a^{2^{n-1}}. \quad (1)$$

We summarize known results about characters of H (cf. pp. 225–226 of [5]).

There are $2^{n-1}-1$ irreducible characters ϕ_ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq 2^{n-1}-1$) of H , which are not one-dimensional:

$$\phi_\nu(a^i) = \zeta_{2^n}^{\nu i} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-\nu i}, \quad \phi_\nu(a^i b) = 0, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1).$$

Each ϕ_ν is induced from the linear character η_ν of $\langle a \rangle$: $\eta_\nu(a^i) = \zeta_{2^n}^{\nu i}$.

The character ϕ_ν is faithful, if and only if ν is odd. If ν is odd then the Schur index $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_\nu) = 2$, and if ν is even then $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_\nu) = 1$. The faithful characters ϕ_ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq 2^{n-1}-1$, $2 \nmid \nu$) are algebraically conjugate to each other and $\mathbf{Q}(\phi_\nu) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1})$.

THEOREM 1. Let G be a 2-group which contains the generalized quaternion group H of order 2^{n+1} with $[G:H] = 2^r$. Let ϕ be a faithful, irreducible character of H . Suppose that the induced character $\chi = \phi^G$ is irreducible. Then $r \leq n-2$, $\mathbf{Q}(\chi) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{n-r}} + \zeta_{2^{n-r}}^{-1})$, and $[\mathbf{Q}(\phi) : \mathbf{Q}(\chi)] = 2^r = [G:H]$.

PROOF. We may assume that

$$\phi(a^j) = \zeta_{2^n}^j + \zeta_{2^n}^{-j}, \quad \phi(a^j b) = 0, \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1). \quad (2)$$

We can find a sequence of subgroups G_i of G and an element u_i of G_i such that

$$H = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_r = G,$$

$$[G_i : G_{i-1}] = 2, \quad G_i = G_{i-1} \cup G_{i-1}u_i, \quad u_i^2 \in G_{i-1}, \quad (i=1, \dots, r).$$

First we will prove Theorem 1 for the case $n=2$. Namely, suppose that H is the quaternion group of order 8. We observe that

$$\phi(1)=2, \quad \phi(a^2)=-2, \quad \phi(y)=0 \quad \text{for } y \in (H - \langle a^2 \rangle). \quad (3)$$

Assume that $r \geq 1$. Then $H \neq G_1 = H \cup Hu_1$. Since $\{1, a^2\}$ is the center of H , it follows that $u_1 a^2 u_1^{-1} = a^2$, so $u_1(H - \langle a^2 \rangle)u_1^{-1} = H - \langle a^2 \rangle$. By (3), this yields that $\phi^{u_1} = \phi$. Hence by (45.5) of [1], ϕ^{G_1} is not irreducible, contradiction. Therefore, if $n=2$, then $r=0$, proving Theorem 1 for the case $n=2$.

Hereafter we assume $n \geq 3$ and $[G : H] = 2^r > 1$. It is easy to see that Theorem 1 follows from the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let the notation and assumption be as above. Then $r \leq n-2$, and*

$$\phi^{G_i}(a^{2^i z}) = 2^i \phi(a^{2^i z}), \quad (z \in \mathbf{Z}), \quad (0 \leq i \leq r) \quad (4)$$

$$\phi^{G_i}(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \in (G_i - \langle a^{2^i} \rangle), \quad (0 \leq i \leq r) \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma(\langle a^{2^i} \rangle) = \langle a^{2^i} \rangle \quad \text{for any } \sigma \in \text{Aut}(G_i), \quad (0 \leq i \leq r) \quad (6)$$

$$u_i a^{2^{i-1}} u_i^{-1} = a^{2^{i-1}(1+2^{n-i})} \quad \text{for an appropriate } u_i \in G_i, \quad (1 \leq i \leq r) \quad (7)$$

$$x^{2^i} \in \langle a^{2^i} \rangle \quad \text{for any } x \in G_i, \quad (1 \leq i \leq r). \quad (8)$$

PROOF. We will use induction on i . The equations (4)-(6) clearly hold for $i=0$.

Suppose that the equations (4)-(8) hold for $i \geq 0$. We will show that they also hold for $i+1$. (The following argument yields that the equations (4)-(8) for $i=1$ follow from the equations (4)-(6) for $i=0$.) We assume that $i+1 \leq r \leq n-1$. By (6), $u_{i+1} a^{2^i} u_{i+1}^{-1} = a^{2^i s}$ for some $s \in \mathbf{Z}$. Putting $\alpha = u_{i+1}^2 \in G_i$, we have $\alpha a^{2^i} \alpha^{-1} = a^{2^i s^2}$. By (7), $u_j a^{2^i} u_j^{-1} = a^{2^i(1+2^{n-j})} = a^{2^i}$ for $j=1, \dots, i$. So the conjugates of a^{2^i} in G_i are $\{a^{2^i}, a^{-2^i}\}$, because $(a^{z'} b^{z_1} u_1^{z_1} \dots u_i^{z_i}) a^{2^i} (a^{z'} b^{z_1} u_1^{z_1} \dots u_i^{z_i})^{-1} = a^{\pm 2^i}$, $(z', z_1, \dots, z_i = 0 \text{ or } 1)$. Hence $2^i s^2 \equiv \pm 2^i \pmod{2^n}$, so $s^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2^{n-i}}$. But there is no $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $s^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{n-i}}$, $(n-i \geq 2)$. Hence $s^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{n-i}}$, and so $s \equiv \pm 1, \pm 1 + 2^{n-i-1} \pmod{2^{n-i}}$. If $s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2^{n-i}}$, then $u_{i+1} a^{2^i z} u_{i+1}^{-1} = a^{\pm 2^i z}$ ($z \in \mathbf{Z}$), so by (4),

$$(\phi^{G_i})^{u_{i+1}}(a^{2^i z}) = \phi^{G_i}(a^{\pm 2^i z}) = 2^i \phi(a^{\pm 2^i z}) = 2^i \phi(a^{2^i z}) = \phi^{G_i}(a^{2^i z}).$$

Since $u_{i+1} \langle a^{2^i} \rangle u_{i+1}^{-1} = \langle a^{2^i} \rangle$, it follows that for $y \in (G_i - \langle a^{2^i} \rangle)$, $u_{i+1} y u_{i+1}^{-1} \in (G_i - \langle a^{2^i} \rangle)$, so $(\phi^{G_i})^{u_{i+1}}(y) = 0 = \phi^{G_i}(y)$ by (5). Hence $(\phi^{G_i})^{u_{i+1}} = \phi^{G_i}$, so $\phi^{G_{i+1}}$ is not irreducible, contradiction. Thus $s \equiv \pm 1 + 2^{n-i-1} \pmod{2^{n-i}}$. In particular, this implies that $r \leq n-2$. For, if $i+1 = n-1 \leq r$, then $2^{n-i} = 4$ and $\pm 1 + 2^{n-i-1} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2^{n-i}}$, so $\phi^{G_{i+1}}$ would not be irreducible.

If $s \equiv -1 + 2^{n-i-1} \pmod{2^{n-i}}$, put $v_{i+1} = b u_{i+1}$. Then

$$v_{i+1} a^{2^i} v_{i+1}^{-1} = a^{-2^i(-1+2^{n-i-1})} = a^{2^i(1+2^{n-i-1})}.$$

So we may assume $u_{i+1}a^{2^i}u_{i+1}^{-1}=a^{2^i(1+2^{n-i-1})}$, proving (7) for $i+1$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{G_{i+1}}(a^{2^{i+1}z}) &= \phi^{G_i}(a^{2^{i+1}z}) + (\phi^{G_i})^{u_{i+1}}(a^{2^{i+1}z}) \\ &= 2^i \phi(a^{2^{i+1}z}) + 2^i \phi(a^{2^{i+1}z}) = 2^{i+1} \phi(a^{2^{i+1}z}),\end{aligned}$$

proving (4) for $i+1$.

If $y \in (G_{i+1} - G_i)$, then $\phi^{G_{i+1}}(y) = 0$, because $G_{i+1} \triangleright G_i$. Since $u_{i+1}\langle a^{2^i} \rangle u_{i+1}^{-1} = \langle a^{2^i} \rangle$, it follows that for $y \in (G_i - \langle a^{2^i} \rangle)$, $u_{i+1}yu_{i+1}^{-1} \in (G_i - \langle a^{2^i} \rangle)$, and so $\phi^{G_{i+1}}(y) = \phi^{G_i}(y) + (\phi^{G_i})^{u_{i+1}}(y) = 0 + 0 = 0$ by (5). If $2 \nmid z$, then by (4),

$$\phi^{G_{i+1}}(a^{2^iz}) = 2^i \phi(a^{2^iz}) + 2^i \phi(a^{2^iz(1+2^{n-i-1})}) = 2^i \phi(a^{2^iz}) - 2^i \phi(a^{2^iz}) = 0.$$

Thus the equation (5) holds for $i+1$.

As a special case of the argument we have proved the equations (4), (5), (7) for $i=1$. We will prove the equations (6), (8) for $i=1$. Put $u_1^2 = \alpha \in G_0$. We have $\alpha\alpha\alpha^{-1} = u_1^3 a u_1^{-2} = a^{(1+2^{n-1})^2} = a$, so $\alpha = a^\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $a^2 = \alpha = u_1 \alpha u_1^{-1} = a^{\lambda(1+2^{n-1})}$, $2|\lambda$. Since $u_1 \langle a \rangle u_1^{-1} = \langle a \rangle$, it follows that $u_1 b u_1^{-1} = a^\nu b$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a^{2\lambda} b = a^\lambda b a^{-\lambda} = \alpha b \alpha^{-1} = u_1^2 b u_1^{-2} = u_1 a^\nu b u_1^{-1} = a^{\nu(1+2^{n-1})} a^\nu b$, and consequently $2\lambda \equiv 2\nu(1+2^{n-2}) \pmod{2^n}$, so $2|\nu$, because $2|\lambda$. We have $(a^j b u_1)^2 = a^j b a^{j(1+2^{n-1})} a^\nu b u_1^2 = a^{-j2^{n-1}-\nu+2^{n-1}+\lambda} \in \langle a^2 \rangle$, $(a^j u_1)^2 = a^{2j(1+2^{n-2})+\lambda} \in \langle a^2 \rangle$, $(a^j b)^2 = a^{2n-1} \in \langle a^2 \rangle$. Thus for any $x \in G_1$, $x^2 \in \langle a^2 \rangle$, so for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G_1)$, $\sigma(a^2) = (\sigma(a))^2 \in \langle a^2 \rangle$, proving (6), (8) for $i=1$.

We now proceed to prove the equations (6), (8) for $i+1$, provided that they hold for $i \geq 1$. If $x \in G_i$, then $x^{2^i} \in \langle a^{2^i} \rangle$ by (8), so $x^{2^{i+1}} \in \langle a^{2^{i+1}} \rangle$. If $x \in (G_{i+1} - G_i)$, we write $x = a^{\nu} b^{\nu'} u_1^{\nu_1} \cdots u_i^{\nu_i} u_{i+1}$, where $\nu', \nu_1, \dots, \nu_i = 0, 1$. Since $x^2 \in G_i$, $x^{2^{i+1}} \in \langle a^{2^i} \rangle$ by (8). Put $x^{2^{i+1}} = a^{2^iz}$. By (7), we have $a^{2^iz} = x a^{2^iz} x^{-1} = a^{\pm 2^iz(1+2^{n-i-1})} = a^{\pm 2^iz+2^{n-1}z}$. Hence if $i < r \leq n-2$, then $2|z$, so $x^{2^{i+1}} \in \langle a^{2^{i+1}} \rangle$. This proves (8) for $i+1$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G_{i+1})$, we have $\sigma(a^{2^{i+1}}) = (\sigma(a))^{2^{i+1}} \in \langle a^{2^{i+1}} \rangle$, by what has just been proved. This proves (6) for $i+1$.

The proof of Proposition 1 is completed.

§ 2. Cyclotomic groups and Schur index.

Let ζ_{2^n} ($n \geq 2$) be a primitive 2^n -th root of unity. Let k be a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})$. Let B be a cyclotomic algebra made with the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k$, i.e., a crossed product of the form:

$$B = (\beta, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_b) u_\sigma, \quad (9)$$

$$u_\sigma x u_\sigma^{-1} = x^\sigma \quad (x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})), \quad (10)$$

$$u_\sigma u_\tau = \beta(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}, \quad \beta(\sigma, \tau) \in \langle \zeta_{2^n} \rangle \quad (11)$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k)$. (See Chapter 2 of [8].)

For a prime \mathfrak{p} of k , $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B)$ denotes the Hasse invariant of B at \mathfrak{p} .

PROPOSITION 2. Let $B = (\beta, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k)$ be a cyclotomic algebra defined by

(9)-(11). Then the index of B equals 1 except the case that the automorphism ι of the extension $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/\mathbf{Q}$, defined by $\zeta_{2^n}' = \zeta_{2^n}^{-1}$, belongs to $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k)$ and $\beta(\iota, \iota) = -1$. In this case, (i) if $k \neq \mathbf{Q}$, then $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B) = 0$ for any finite prime \mathfrak{p} of k , and $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(B) = 1/2$ for any infinite prime \mathfrak{p}_∞ of k ; (ii) if $k = \mathbf{Q}$, then $\text{inv}_p(B) = 0$ for any rational prime $p \neq 2, \infty$, and $\text{inv}_2(B) = \text{inv}_\infty(B) = 1/2$.

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of k . If $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 2, \infty$, then $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B) = 0$, because \mathfrak{p} is unramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k$ and the values of the factor set β are roots of unity. If $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$, then it follows easily from Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 of [7] that $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}}(B) = 0$ except the case $k = \mathbf{Q}$ and $\beta(\iota, \iota) = -1$, where $\text{inv}_2(B) = 1/2$. Let \mathfrak{p}_∞ denote an infinite prime of k . If $\iota \notin \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k)$ then k is not real, so $\text{inv}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(B) = 0$. Suppose that $\iota \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k)$. We note that $\beta(\iota, \iota) = \pm 1$ (cf. Theorem 4.1 of [7]) and that $B \otimes_k k_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty} \sim (\beta(\iota, \iota), \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}, \iota)$, where \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{R} are the complex numbers and the real numbers, respectively. If $\beta(\iota, \iota) = -1$, then the above cyclic algebra is the ordinary quaternion algebra over \mathbf{R} and has index 2. If $\beta(\iota, \iota) = 1$, the cyclic algebra has index 1. The assertions of Proposition 2 now follow immediately.

Suppose that in the notation of Proposition 2, $\iota \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k)$ and $\beta(\iota, \iota) = -1$. Then the cyclotomic algebra B has index 2, $k = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{n-r}} + \zeta_{2^{n-r}}^{-1})$ for some r with $0 \leq r \leq n-2$, and $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k) = \langle \iota \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle$, where $(\zeta_{2^n})^\tau = \zeta_{2^n}^{1+2^{n-r}}$. We may assume that B is of the form:

$$B = (\beta, \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})/k) = \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^{2^r-1} \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n}) u_i^j u_\tau^j, \quad (12)$$

$$u_i \zeta_{2^n} u_i^{-1} = \zeta_{2^n}^{-1}, \quad u_\tau \zeta_{2^n} u_\tau^{-1} = \zeta_{2^n}^{1+2^{n-r}}, \quad (13)$$

$$u_i^2 = \beta(\iota, \iota) = -1, \quad u_\tau^2 = 1, \quad (z = 2^r), \quad u_i u_\tau = u_\tau u_i. \quad (14)$$

In fact, since $u_\tau u_i^2 u_\tau^{-1} = u_i^2$, we have $u_\tau^z = \zeta_{2^{n-r}}^x$ for some $x \in \mathbf{Z}$. Put $c = 1 + (1 + 2^{n-r}) + \dots + (1 + 2^{n-r})^{z-1}$, $(n-r \geq 2)$. It is easy to see that $c = 2^r c'$, $(2, c') = 1$. Let y be an integer such that $yc' + x \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{n-r}}$. Then

$$(\zeta_{2^n}^y u_\tau)^z = \zeta_{2^n}^{yc} u_\tau^z = \zeta_{2^{n-r}}^{yc' + x} = 1, \quad (\zeta_{2^n}^r = \zeta_{2^{n-r}}).$$

So, from now on we assume $u_\tau^2 = 1$. Let $u_i u_\tau = \zeta_{2^n}^t u_\tau u_i$. Then we have

$$1 = (u_i^2)^{t-1} = (\zeta_{2^n}^t)^{1+\tau+\dots+\tau^{z-1}} = \zeta_{2^n}^{tc}.$$

(See the equation (1.11) of [6, p. 582].) So, $2^{n-r} \mid t$. Putting $j = t/2^{n-r}$ and $v_i = \zeta_{2^n}^j u_i$, we see easily that $v_i u_\tau = u_\tau v_i$ and $v_i^2 = -1$. Hence we may assume $u_i u_\tau = u_\tau u_i$.

Now put $a = \zeta_{2^n}$, $b = u_i$, $u = u_\tau$. Then the cyclotomic algebra B contains the finite group G :

$$G = \langle a, b, u \rangle, \quad a^{2^n} = 1, \quad b^2 = a^{2^{n-1}}, \quad u^{2^r} = 1, \quad (15)$$

$$bab^{-1}=a^{-1}, \quad uau^{-1}=a^{1+2^n-r}, \quad bu=ub. \quad (16)$$

The cyclotomic algebra B contains the field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})$ as a maximal subfield, and so has an absolutely irreducible, faithful representation U which is realized in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^n})$. Since the group G spans the algebra B with coefficients in \mathbf{Q} , the representation U also gives an absolutely irreducible, faithful representation of G , the character χ of which is given by

$$\chi(a^i) = \sum_{\nu=0}^1 \sum_{\mu=0}^{2^n-1} (\zeta_{2^n}^i)^{\nu\tau\mu}, \quad (i=0, 1, \dots, 2^n-1) \quad (17)$$

$$\chi(x)=0, \quad \text{if } x \notin \langle a \rangle. \quad (18)$$

The simple component of the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ which corresponds to χ is isomorphic to B , so $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\chi)=2$. The group G contains the generalized quaternion group $H_n=\langle a, b \rangle$ of order 2^{n+1} . Let η be the linear character of the cyclic group $\langle a \rangle$, given by $\eta(a^i)=\zeta_{2^n}^i$. Let $\phi=\phi_n$ be the character of H_n given by (2). Then it is easy to see that $\phi=\eta^H$ and $\chi=\eta^G=\phi^G$. Thus we have

THEOREM 2. *Let G be the 2-group defined by (15)–(16) and embedded in the cyclotomic algebra B with index 2. Let χ be the faithful irreducible character of G given by (17)–(18). Then χ is induced from the faithful irreducible character ϕ_n of the generalized quaternion group $H_n \subset G$, and the Schur index $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\chi)=m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_n^G)=2$.*

We will call the 2-group G given by (15)–(16), the *cyclotomic 2-group of type (n, r)* and denote it by $G_{n,r}$ ($0 \leq r \leq n-2$).

REMARK 1. From Satz 12 of [4] we easily conclude that the faithful irreducible characters of $G_{n,r}$ are algebraically conjugate to each other and induced from the faithful irreducible characters of H_n .

REMARK 2. $H_n=G_{n,0}$.

In § 3, we will prove the following.

THEOREM 3. *Let $G=G_{n,r}$ be the cyclotomic 2-group of type (n, r) and χ its faithful irreducible character. Let F be a 2-group such that $[F: G]=2$ and that χ^F is irreducible. Then the Schur index $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\chi^F)=2$.*

COROLLARY 1. *Let H be the generalized quaternion group of order 2^{n+1} and ϕ its faithful irreducible character. Let F be a group such that $[F: H]=2$ and ϕ^F is irreducible. Then $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi^F)=2$.*

PROOF. Since $H=G_{n,0}$, the assertion is clear by Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. *Let the notation be as in Theorems 2 and 3. Then $H_n \subset G_{n,r} \subset F$. If ϕ_n^F is irreducible, then $m_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi_n^F)=2$.*

PROOF. This follows at once from Theorems 2 and 3.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 3.

In this section we will use the notation of Theorem 3. Since $[F: G]=2$,

there exists an element $v \in F$ such that $v \in G$, $v^2 \in G$. Since F contains the generalized quaternion group $H = H_n = \langle a, b \rangle$ with $[F : H] = 2^{r+1}$, the equation (7) implies that $va^{2^r}v^{-1} = a^{2^r(1+2^{n-r-1})}$. From the equation $uau^{-1} = a^{1+2^{n-r}}$, it follows that $ua^{2^r}u^{-1} = a^{2^r}$, so

$$(vuv^{-1})(va^{2^r}v^{-1})(vuv^{-1})^{-1} = va^{2^r}v^{-1} = a^{2^r(1+2^{n-r-1})}.$$

Writing $vuv^{-1} = a^i b^j u^e$, the left side of the above equation is equal to

$$a^i b^j u^e a^{2^r(1+2^{n-r-1})} u^{-e} b^{-j} a^{-i} = a^{(-1)^j 2^r(1+2^{n-r-1})}.$$

Hence $j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, so $vuv^{-1} = a^i u^e$. Since $u^{2^r} = 1$, we have $1 = (a^i u^e)^{2^r} = a^{i 2^r l}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid l$. Hence $2^{n-r} \mid i$, so we write $vuv^{-1} = a^{2^{n-r} h} u^e$. It is easy to see that elements $a^\nu u^\mu$ ($2 \nmid \nu$) and $a^\nu b u^\mu$ have order less than 2^n , so $vav^{-1} = a^\nu u^\mu$ for some $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \nmid \nu$. Summarizing, we have

$$vav^{-1} = a^\nu u^\mu, \quad (2 \nmid \nu), \quad vuv^{-1} = a^{2^{n-r} h} u^e. \quad (19)$$

LEMMA 1. Let t be a non-negative integer, and put $\gamma_t = 2^{t(n-r)}$, $\delta_t = 2^{(t+1)r-tn}$, $M_t = \langle a^{\gamma_t}, u^{\delta_t} \rangle$, $M'_t = \langle a^{\delta_t}, u^{\gamma_t} \rangle$. (I) If

$$\frac{2t-1}{2t}n < r \leq \frac{2t}{2t+1}n \quad \text{for some } t > 0, \quad (20)$$

then $F \triangleright M_t$ and M_t is abelian. (II) If

$$\frac{2t}{2t+1}n < r \leq \frac{2(t+1)-1}{2(t+1)}n \quad \text{for some } t \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

then $F \triangleright M'_t$ and M'_t is abelian.

PROOF. First we will prove $M_t \triangleleft F$ for the case (I). It suffices to prove that the elements $va^{\gamma_t}v^{-1}$, $au^{\delta_t}a^{-1}$, and $vu^{\delta_t}v^{-1}$ belong to M_t . It follows from (20) that $\gamma_t \leq \gamma_{t+1} < \delta_{t+1}$. Hence $va^{\gamma_t}v^{-1} = (a^\nu u^\mu)^{\gamma_t} = a^{\gamma_t \nu l} u^{\gamma_t \mu} \in M_t$, $au^{\delta_t}a^{-1} = (aua^{-1})^{\delta_t} = (a^{-2^{n-r}}u)^{\delta_t} = a^{-2^{n-r}\delta_t l'} u^{\delta_t} = a^{-\delta_{t+1} l'} u^{\delta_t} \in M_t$, $vu^{\delta_t}v^{-1} = (a^{2^{n-r}h}u^e)^{\delta_t} = a^{\delta_{t+1} h l''} u^{e\delta_t} \in M_t$, where l, l', l'' are some integers.

The proof of $M'_t \triangleleft F$ for the case (II) is similar. It follows from (21) that $\gamma_t < \delta_t \leq \gamma_{t+1}$. Hence we have $va^{\delta_t}v^{-1} = (a^\nu u^\mu)^{\delta_t} = a^{\delta_t \nu l} u^{\mu\delta_t} \in M'_t$, $au^{\gamma_t}a^{-1} = (aua^{-1})^{\gamma_t} = (a^{-2^{n-r}}u)^{\gamma_t} = a^{-\gamma_{t+1} l'} u^{\gamma_t} \in M'_t$, $vu^{\gamma_t}v^{-1} = (a^{2^{n-r}h}u^e)^{\gamma_t} = a^{\gamma_{t+1} h l''} u^{e\gamma_t} \in M'_t$, where l, l', l'' are some integers.

Let i and j are non-negative integers with $i+j=r$. Then $u^{2^j}a^{2^i}u^{-2^j} = a^{2^i(1+2^{n-r})2^j} = a^{2^i}$, so the group $\langle a^{2^i}, u^{2^j} \rangle$ is abelian. It is easy to see that $\gamma_t, \delta_t \geq 1$ by (20), (21) and that $\gamma_t \delta_t = 2^r$. Therefore, M_t and M'_t are abelian, completing the proof of Lemma 1.

We observe that there is one and only one integer t which satisfies either (20) or (21). If the integer t satisfies (20), put $M = M_t$. If the integer t satisfies (21), put $M = M'_t$. We write $M = \langle a^{2^i}, u^{2^j} \rangle$, where $2^i = \gamma_t$, $2^j = \delta_t$ for $M =$

M_t , and $2^i = \delta_t$, $2^j = \gamma_t$ for $M = M'_t$. Let $N = \langle a, u^{2^j} \rangle \supset M$. As before, let η be the linear character of $\langle a \rangle$ defined by $\eta(a) = \zeta_{2^n}$. So, $\chi = \eta^G = (\eta^N)^G$.

LEMMA 2. *Let the notation be as above. There exists a linear character ξ of M such that $\eta^N = \xi^N$, $\xi(a^{2^i}) = \zeta_{2^n}^{2^i}$, $\xi(u^{2^j}) = 1$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 1, $N \triangleright M$, N/M is cyclic, and M is abelian. It follows from (9.12) of [2] that $\eta^N|_M = \sum_{\nu} \rho^{\nu}$, where ρ is a linear character of M and $\{n_{\nu}\}$ is a complete system of coset representatives of $I(\rho)$ in N , $I(\rho)$ being the inertial group of ρ . We have $[N : I(\rho)] = \eta^N(1) = [N : \langle a \rangle] = 2^{r-j} = 2^i = [N : M]$. Hence $I(\rho) = M$. This implies that $\rho^N = \eta^N$, where $\rho_{\nu} = \rho^{a^{\nu}}$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, 2^i$). Put $w = u^{2^j}$. Then $a^{2^i}w = wa^{2^i}$ and $w^{2^i} = 1$, so $\eta^N(a^{2^i}) = \eta(a^{2^i}) + \eta(wa^{2^i}w^{-1}) + \dots + \eta(w^{2^i-1}a^{2^i}w^{-(2^i-1)}) = 2^i\eta(a^{2^i})$. On the other hand, we have $\eta^N(a^{2^i}) = \rho_{\nu}(a^{2^i}) + \rho_{\nu}(aa^{2^i}a^{-1}) + \dots + \rho_{\nu}(a^{2^i-1}a^{2^i}a^{-(2^i-1)}) = 2^i\rho_{\nu}(a^{2^i})$. Hence $\rho_{\nu}(a^{2^i}) = \eta(a^{2^i}) = \zeta_{2^n}^{2^i}$, ($1 \leq \nu \leq 2^i$). If $\rho_{\nu}(w) = \rho_{\nu'}(w)$, then $\rho_{\nu}(x) = \rho_{\nu'}(x)$ for all $x \in M$. Therefore, $\rho_{\nu}(w) \neq \rho_{\nu'}(w)$ for $\nu \neq \nu'$. We have $(\rho_{\nu}(w))^{2^i} = \rho_{\nu}(w^{2^i}) = \rho_{\nu}(1) = 1$. Hence $\rho_1(w), \dots, \rho_{2^i}(w)$ are distinct 2^i -th roots of unity, and so for some ν , $\rho_{\nu}(w) = 1$. Then, $\xi = \rho_{\nu}$ is the linear character of M , as is stated in the lemma.

We now proceed to prove Theorem 3. Put $\chi' = \chi^F$. Recall that $\langle a \rangle \subset N = \langle a, u^{2^j} \rangle \subset G \subset F$, $M = \langle a^{2^i}, u^{2^j} \rangle \subset N$, $\chi = \eta^G = (\eta^N)^G = (\xi^N)^G = \xi^G$. Hence $\chi' = \chi^F = \xi^F$. Put $k = Q(\chi')$. Recall that χ' is induced from the character ϕ of the generalized quaternion group H and that $[F : H] = 2^{r+1}$. Hence by Theorem 1, $k = Q(\zeta_{2^{2n-r-1}} + \zeta_{2^{2n-r-1}}^{-1})$. We also recall that $M \triangleleft F$ and ξ is a linear character of M . Set $E = \{g \in F; \xi^g = \xi^{\tau(g)} \text{ for some } \tau(g) \in \mathcal{G}(k(\xi)/k)\}$. Then by Proposition 3.4 of [8], $k(\xi^E) = k$. Since $(\xi^E)^F = \xi^F = \chi'$, it follows from Corollary 3.9 of [8] that $m_k(\chi') = m_k(\chi') = m_k(\xi^E)$. By Proposition 3.5 of [8], we conclude that $m_k(\xi^E)$ is the index of a cyclotomic algebra of the form: $B = (\beta(\tau, \tau'), k(\xi)/k)$. Note that $Q(\xi) = Q(\zeta_{2^{2n-i}}) \supset Q(\zeta_{2^{2n-r}}) \supset k$, so $k(\xi) = Q(\xi)$. Let ι denote the automorphism of $Q(\xi)/k$ such that $\zeta_{2^{2n-i}}^{\iota} = \zeta_{2^{2n-i}}^{-1}$. We have $ba^{2^i}b^{-1} = a^{-2^i}$, $bu^{2^j}b^{-1} = u^{2^j}$, so $\xi^b(a^{2^i}) = \xi(a^{-2^i}) = \zeta_{2^n}^{-1} = (\xi(a^{2^i}))^{\iota}$, $\xi^b(u^{2^j}) = \xi(u^{2^j}) = 1 = (\xi(u^{2^j}))^{\iota}$. Hence $\xi^b = \xi^{\iota}$, $\tau(b) = \iota$. This implies that $b \in E$. From construction of the cyclotomic algebra B (cf. Proposition 3.5 of [8]) it follows that $\beta(\iota, \iota) = \xi(b^2) = \xi(a^{2^{2n-1}}) = -1$. Hence by Proposition 2, the index of B equals 2, as was to be shown.

References

- [1] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [2] W. Feit, Characters of finite groups, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
- [3] P. Roquette, Realisierung von Darstellungen endlicher nilpotenter Gruppen, Archiv Math., 9 (1958), 241-250.
- [4] K. Shoda, Über die monomialen Darstellungen einer endlichen Gruppe, Proc.

- Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, (3) 15 (1933), 249-257.
- [5] T. Yamada, On the group algebras of metabelian groups over algebraic number fields, I, Osaka J. Math., 6 (1969), 211-228.
 - [6] T. Yamada, The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group, I, J. Algebra, 27 (1973), 579-589.
 - [7] T. Yamada, The Schur subgroup of a p -adic field, J. Algebra, 31 (1974), 480-498.
 - [8] T. Yamada, The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 397, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

Toshihiko YAMADA
Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Fukazawa, Setagaya-ku,
Tokyo, Japan
