# On compact complex affine manifolds

By Yusuke SAKANE

(Received Feb. 12, 1976)

#### Introduction.

In this paper we study compact complex affine manifolds. Let  $A(n, \mathbb{C})$  be the group of the affine transformations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $A(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that 1)  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  properly discontinuously and freely 2)  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is compact. A compact complex manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is called a compact complex affine manifold. For n=2, such manifolds have been classified by Vitter [6], Fillmore and Scheuneman [2] and Suwa [5]. The purpose of this paper is to study the complex manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  under certain conditions. Put

$$N(n, \mathbf{C}) = \left\{ A \in A(n, \mathbf{C}) \middle| A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathbf{C}^n \right\}.$$

In section 1 we show that if  $\Gamma$  is contained in N(n,C), then every non-zero holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  has no zero point and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  of all holomorphic vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is solvable and of dimension  $\leq n$ . In section 2 we study the case when  $\Gamma$  is contained in N(n,C) and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  is of n-dimension. In this case we show that there exist a simply connected complex nilpotent Lie subgroup G of N(n,C) which contains  $\Gamma$  and a biholomorphic map  $\phi: \mathbb{C}^n \to G$  such that  $\phi(\gamma(z)) = \gamma \phi(z)$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular, we see that there is a biholomorphic map  $\phi: \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma \to \Gamma \setminus G$ . In section 3 we show that if  $\Gamma$  is contained in N(n,C) and  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  has a Kähler metric, then  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to a complex torus. In section 4 we consider the case when  $\Gamma$  is an abelian subgroup of A(n,C) and prove that  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to a complex torus.

The auther would like to express his thanks to Professors Y. Matsushima, S. Murakami and H. Ozeki for helpful discussions.

#### § 1. Preliminaries.

Let A(n, C) be the group of all affine transformations on  $C^n$ . The group A(n, C) is represented by the group of all matrices of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a = (a_{ij}) \in GL(n, C)$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in C^n$  is a column vector. Let N(n, C)

denote the subgroup of all unipotent elements:

$$N(n, \mathbf{C}) = \left\{ A \in A(n, \mathbf{C}) \middle| A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $N(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that 1) the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is properly discontinuous 2)  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is compact. Since  $N(n, \mathbb{C})$  has no torsion,  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , so that  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is a compact complex manifold.

Note that  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated, since the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is compact.

Let M be a connected compact complex manifold and  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  denote the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of M. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  is a complex Lie group and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak a$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$  can be identified with the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields on M.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Every non-zero holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is non-vanishing.

PROOF. Let  $\pi: C^n \to C^n/\Gamma$  be the canonical map. Note that  $\pi$  is the covering map. Take a non-zero holomorphic vector field X on  $C^n/\Gamma$ . Let Y be the lift of X on  $C^n$ , that is, the holomorphic vector field Y on  $C^n$  such that  $\pi_*Y=X$ . Then we have  $\gamma_*Y=Y$  for any  $\gamma\in\Gamma$ . Conversely a holomorphic vector field Y on  $C^n$  which satisfies  $\gamma_*Y=Y$  for any  $\gamma\in\Gamma$  defines a holomorphic vector field X on  $C^n/\Gamma$  such that  $\pi_*Y=X$ .

Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The vector field Y can be written uniquely in the form

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}$$

where  $f_j$   $(j=1, \dots, n)$  are holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We note that

for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , where

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & a_{12}(\gamma) & \cdots & a_{1n}(\gamma) & \alpha_{1}(\gamma) \\
& 1 & a_{23}(\gamma) & \cdots & a_{2n}(\gamma) & a_{2}(\gamma) \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& 1 & a_{n-1n}(\gamma) & \alpha_{n-1}(\gamma) \\
& & 1 & \alpha_{n}(\gamma) \\
& & & 1
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\gamma_*Y=Y$ , we have

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \gamma_{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (f_{j} \circ \gamma) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}$$

where  $f_j \circ \gamma$  denotes a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined by  $(f_j \circ \gamma)(z) = f_j(\gamma(z))$ . By (1.1) and (1.2),  $\gamma_*Y=Y$  is equivalent to

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} f_{1} \circ \gamma = f_{1} + a_{12}(\gamma) f_{2} + \cdots + a_{1n}(\gamma) f_{n} \\ f_{2} \circ \gamma = f_{2} + a_{23}(\gamma) f_{3} + \cdots + a_{2n}(\gamma) f_{n} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1} \circ \gamma = f_{n-1} + a_{n-1n}(\gamma) f_{n} \\ f_{n} \circ \gamma = f_{n} \end{cases}$$

Since  $f_n$  is a holomorphic function on  $C^n$  and  $f_n \circ \gamma = f_n$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $f_n$  defines a holomorphic function on a compact complex manifold  $C^n/\Gamma$ , so that  $f_n$  is a constant function. If the constant  $f_n$  is not zero, the vector field  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + f_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$  has no zero point, so that X has no zero point. If the constant  $f_n$  is zero, we have  $f_{n-1} \circ \gamma = f_{n-1}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , so that  $f_{n-1}$  is a constant function. If the constant  $f_{n-1}$  is not zero, the vector field  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + f_{n-1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}}$  has no zero point. Similarly if  $f_n = f_{n-1} = \cdots = f_{j_0+1} = 0$ and  $f_{j_0} \neq 0$ ,  $f_{j_0} \circ \gamma = f_{j_0}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  by (1.3), so that  $f_{j_0}$  is a constant function and Y can be written as

$$Y = \sum_{j < j_0} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + f_{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j_0}}$$

where  $f_{j_0}$  is a non-zero constant. Therefore Y has no zero point, so that X has no zero point.

REMARK 1. The proof shows that if  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  with  $f_{j_0} \neq 0$ , then  $f_{j_0}$  onstant is constant.

Corollary 1.

$$1 \leq \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C}^n/\Gamma) \leq n$$
.

PROOF. Since the holomorphic vector field  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$  on  $C^n$  satisfies  $\gamma_* \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ =  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $1 \le \dim_C \mathfrak{a} = \dim_C \operatorname{Aut}(C^n/\Gamma)$ . Let  $T_x(C^n/\Gamma)$  denote the holomorphic tangent space at  $x \in C^n/\Gamma$ , and consider the linear map  $E_x : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{R}$  $T_x(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  defined by  $E_x(X) = X_x$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Proposition 1.1 shows  $E_x$  is injective. Hence,  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{a} \leq \dim_{\mathcal{C}} T_x(\mathcal{C}^n/\Gamma) = n$ .

A complex manifold M of dimension n is called parallelisable if there exist n holomorphic vector fields on M which are linearly independent at every point of M.

COROLLARY 2. A compact complex manifold  $C^n/\Gamma$  is parallelisable if and only if  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C}^n/\Gamma) = n$ .

PROOF. Obvious from the proof of Corollary 1.

PROPOSITION 1.2. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak a$  of all holomorphic vector fields on  $\mathbb C^n/\Gamma$  is solvable.

PROOF. We identify a holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  with the corresponding vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Define the length l(X) of a holomorphic vector field X on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  by

$$l(X) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Max} \left\{ j \mid X = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}, f_{j} \neq 0 \right\} & \text{for } X \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } X = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let B be a subset of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Define the length l(B) of B by  $l(B)=\operatorname{Max}\{l(X) | X \in B\}$ . Let [B, B] denote the subset defined by

$$[B,B] = \{ \sum_{\text{finite sum}} a_{kl} [X_k,X_l] | a_{kl} \in C \text{ and } X_k, X_l \in B \} .$$

We claim that, for a subset  $B \neq (0)$ ,

$$l([B, B]) \leq l(B) - 1$$
.

Take two elements  $0 \neq X$ , Y of B. Put  $j_0 = l(X)$  and  $i_0 = l(Y)$ . Then

$$X = \sum_{j < j_0} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + f_{j_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j_0}}$$
$$Y = \sum_{i < i_0} g_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + g_{i_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_0}}$$

where  $f_{i_0}$  and  $g_{i_0}$  are non-zero constants.

$$\begin{split} [X, Y] &= \sum_{l} \left( \sum_{k} \left( f_{k} \frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial z_{k}} - g_{k} \frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial z_{k}} \right) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{l \leq \text{Max}} \left( \sum_{k} \left( f_{k} \frac{\partial g_{l}}{\partial z_{k}} - g_{k} \frac{\partial f_{l}}{\partial z_{k}} \right) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}}. \end{split}$$

Thus  $l([X, Y] \leq \max\{l(X), l(Y)\} - 1$  for  $X, Y \in B$ . Obviously  $l(X+Y) \leq \max\{l(X), l(Y)\}$ . Therefore  $l([B, B]) \leq l(B) - 1$ .

Define  $D_k(\mathfrak{a})$  inductively by  $D_0(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $D_k(\mathfrak{a}) = [D_{k-1}(\mathfrak{a}), D_{k-1}(\mathfrak{a})]$ . Then we have  $l(D_k(\mathfrak{a})) \leq l(D_{k-1}(\mathfrak{a})) - 1 \leq \cdots \leq l(\mathfrak{a}) - k \leq n - k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Hence,  $l(D_n(\mathfrak{a})) = 0$ , that is,  $D_n(\mathfrak{a}) = (0)$ .

By the same way, we can study holomorphic p-forms on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ . Let  $H^{p,0}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  be the vector space of all holomorphic p-forms on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ . Let  $h^{p,0}$  denote the dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,0}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let  $\theta$  be a holomorphic p-form on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ . If  $\theta$  is non-zero,  $\theta$  has no zero point, that is,  $\theta_x \neq 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  be the canonical map. Put  $\eta = \pi^*\theta$ . Then  $\gamma^*\eta = \eta$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be a canonical coordinate on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\eta$  can be

written uniquely as  $\eta = \sum_{\mathbf{J}} f_J dz_J$  where  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$   $(1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n)$ ,  $dz_J = dz_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{j_p}$  and  $f_J = f_{j_1 \dots j_p}$  are holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Define  $C_p$  by  $C_p = \{J = (j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p | 1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_p \le n \}$ . Let us introduce a linear order < on  $C_p$  by I < J for I,  $J \in C_p$ ,  $I \ne J$ ,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ ,  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$  if  $i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_{k-1} = j_{k-1}, i_k < j_k$  for some k  $(1 \le k \le p)$ .

We have  $\gamma^*dz_J=dz_J+\sum_{I\subseteq J}P_{JI}(\gamma)dz_I$  for  $\gamma\in\Gamma$ , where  $P_{JI}(\gamma)$  is a polynomial of  $a_{ij}(\gamma)$ . We then have  $\gamma^*\eta=\eta$  if and only if

$$(1.4) f_K \circ \gamma + \sum_{J \leq K} P_{JK}(f_J \circ \gamma) = f_K \text{for all } K \in C_p.$$

In particular,  $f_{I_p} \circ \gamma = f_{I_p}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , where  $I_p = (1, 2, \dots, p) \in C_p$ , so that  $f_{I_p}$  is a constant function. If  $f_J = 0$  for any  $J \subseteq J_0$ , then  $f_{J_0} \circ \gamma = f_{J_0}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , so that  $f_{J_0}$  is constant. Thus, for a non-zero form  $\eta$ , there is a  $J_0 \in C_p$  such that

$$\eta = \sum_{J \supseteq J_0} f_J dz_J + f_{J_0} dz_{J_0}$$

where  $f_{J_0}$  is a non-zero constant. Hence,  $\theta$  has no zero point. q. e. d.

COROLLARY. 
$$1 \le h^{p,0} \le \binom{n}{p}$$
 for  $p=0, 1, \dots, n$ . In particular,  $h^{n,0}=1$ .

PROOF. Consider the largest element  $J_p$  of  $C_p$ , that is,  $J_p=(n-p+1,\cdots,n-1,n)$ . Then  $\gamma^*dz_{J_p}=dz_{J_p}$  for any  $\gamma\in\Gamma$ . Hence,  $1\leq h^{p,0}$ .

Take a point  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ . Let  $\wedge^p T_x^*(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  be the p-th exterior product of holomorphic cotangent bundle  $T_x^*(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  at x. Define a linear map  $\psi$ :  $H^{p,0}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma) \to \wedge^p T_x^*(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  by  $\psi(\theta) = \theta_x$  for  $\theta \in H^{p,0}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$ . Then  $\psi$  is injective by Proposition 1.3, so that  $h^{p,0} \leq \binom{n}{p}$ .

## § 2. The case when dim Aut $(C^n/\Gamma)=n$ .

In this section we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $N(n, \mathbb{C})$  acting freely and properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and such that  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is compact. If dim Aut  $(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)=n$ , there exist a simply connected complex nilpotent Lie subgroup G of  $N(n, \mathbb{C})$  which contains  $\Gamma$  and a biholomorphic map  $\phi: \mathbb{C}^n \to G$  such that  $\phi(\gamma(z))=\gamma \cdot \phi(z)$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular,  $\phi$  induces a biholomorphic map  $\bar{\phi}: \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma \to \Gamma \setminus G$ .

Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We identify a holomorphic vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  with the corresponding vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as in section 1. Then every holomorphic vector field X on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  can be written as

$$X = \sum_{j < k} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + f_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$$

where  $f_k$  is a non-zero constant and  $f_j$  is holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $a_{ij}(\gamma)$   $(1 \le i < j \le n)$  and  $\alpha_j(\gamma)$   $(1 \le j \le n)$  denote the matrix-components of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $g_l(z)$  be polynomial functions of  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and  $P_l(\gamma)$  be polynomial functions of  $a_{ij}(\gamma)$  and  $\alpha_j(\gamma)$   $(1 \le l \le p)$ . If f is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which satisfies the relations

(2.1) 
$$f(\gamma(z)) = f(z) + \sum_{l=1}^{p} P_{l}(\gamma)g_{l}(z)$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then f is a polynomial function of  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

PROOF. We prove our lemma by induction on the number of variables  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Consider the case when f and  $g_l$  are functions depending only on  $z_n$ . We denote by  $m_l$  the degree of the polynomial  $g_l$ . Put  $m=\max\{m_j|j=1,\dots,p\}$ . Then we get  $\frac{d^{m+1}f}{dz_n^{m+1}}(\gamma(z))=\frac{d^{m+1}f}{dz_n^{m+1}}(z)$  for any  $\gamma\in\Gamma$ , since

(2.2) 
$$\gamma(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 + a_{12}(\gamma)z_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}(\gamma)z_n + \alpha_1(\gamma) \\ \dots \\ z_k + a_{k+1}(\gamma)z_k + \cdots + a_{kn}(\gamma)z_n + \alpha_k(\gamma) \\ \dots \\ z_n + \alpha_n(\gamma) \end{pmatrix}.$$
Since  $C^n/\Gamma$  is a connected compact complex manifold  $d^n$ 

Since  $C^n/\Gamma$  is a connected compact complex manifold,  $\frac{d^{m+1}f}{dz_n^{m+1}}$  is constant and hence f is a polynomial function of  $z_n$ . We may assume that if f,  $g_l$  are functions depending only on  $z_2, \dots, z_n$  and f satisfies the relation (2.1) for some  $P_l(\gamma)$  then f is a polynomial function of  $z_2, \dots, z_n$ . Let  $m_l^1$  denote the degree of the polynomial  $g_l$  with respect to  $z_1$  and  $m_1 = \max\{m_j^1 \mid j=1,\dots,p\}$ . By (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial^{m_1+1} f}{\partial z_1^{m_1+1}}(\gamma(z)) = \frac{\partial^{m_1+1} f}{\partial z_1^{m_1+1}}(z)$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Therefore

(2.3) 
$$f(z) = a_{m_1+1} z_1^{m_1+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m_1} a_j(z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^j$$

where  $a_{m_1+1}{\in} C$  and  $a_j(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  are holomorphic functions depending only on  $z_2,\cdots,z_n$ . By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we see that  $a_{m_1}(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  satisfies the relation (2.1) for some polynomial functions  $g_{m_1l}(z)$  of  $z_2,\cdots,z_n$  and some polynomial functions  $P_{m_1l}(\gamma)$ . Thus  $a_{m_1}(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  is polynomial function. Considering the coefficient of  $z_1^{m_1-1}$  of (2.1) and noting that  $a_{m_1}(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  is a polynomial function, we see that  $a_{m_1-1}(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  satisfies the relation (2.1) and hence  $a_{m_1-1}(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  is a polynomial function. By the same way, we see that  $a_j(z_2,\cdots,z_n)$  are polynomial functions of  $z_2,\cdots,z_n$  for  $j=0,1,\cdots,m_1$ . q. e. d.

 $a_j(z_2, \dots, z_n)$  are polynomial functions of  $z_2, \dots, z_n$  for  $j=0, 1, \dots, m_1$ . q. e. d. Corollary of Lemma 2.2. Let  $X = \sum_{j < k} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$  be a holomorphic vec-

tor field on  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ . Then  $f_j$  are polynomial functions of  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

PROOF. By (1.3) in section 1, we can see that  $f_j$  satisfies the relation (2.1).

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that dim  $\operatorname{Aut}(C^n/\Gamma)=n$ . Then there are holomorphic vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  on  $C^n/\Gamma$  such that

(2.4) 
$$X_{j} = \sum_{i < j} f_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}},$$

where  $f_{ij}$  is a polynomial function such that  $f_{ij}(0)=0$ . Moreover the matrix component  $a_{ij}(\gamma)$  of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfies

(2.5) 
$$a_{ij}(\gamma) = f_{ij}(\alpha_1(\gamma), \dots, \alpha_n(\gamma)).$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that there are holomorphic vector fields  $Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$  on  $C^n/\Gamma$  such that  $Y_j = \sum\limits_{i < j} g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  for some holomorphic functions  $g_{ij}$ . Put  $X_j = Y_j - \sum\limits_{k < j} g_{kj}(0) X_k$  for  $j = 1, \cdots, n$ . Then,  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.3 by Corollary of Lemma 2.2. By (1.3) in section 1,  $f_{ij}$  satisfies the relations

(2.6) 
$$f_{ij} \circ \gamma = f_{ij} + \sum_{i < k < j} a_{ik}(\gamma) f_{kj} + a_{ij}(\gamma) .$$

By (2.2), we see that  $a_{ij}(\gamma)=f_{ij}(\gamma(0))=f_{ij}(\alpha_1(\gamma),\cdots,\alpha_n(\gamma))$ . q. e. d.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $A(n, \mathbb{C})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  freely and properly discontinuously and such that  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is compact. Then the set of translational parts  $\alpha$  of elements  $\begin{pmatrix} A & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of  $\Gamma$  contains a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  regarded as a real vector space.

PROOF. See [1], [2].

LEMMA 2.5. Let G be a subset of N(n, C) defined by

$$G = \left\{ \left( egin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & & & & & z_1 \\ 0 & & \cdot & f_{ij}(z) & dots \\ \hline 0 & & \cdot & 1 & z_n \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| z_j \in C, \ j=1, \cdots, n 
ight\}.$$

Then G is a simply connected complex nilpotent Lie subgroup of  $N(n, \mathbf{C})$  and contains  $\Gamma$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 2.4 the set of translational parts of elements  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  contains a basis for  $C^n$  regarded as a real vector space, a fortiori, it contains a basis for  $C^n$  as a complex vector space. In particular, we see that if f is a polynomial function on  $C^n$  such that  $f(\alpha_1(\gamma), \dots, \alpha_n(\gamma)) = 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  then f is identically zero. We prove that G is a subgroup of N(n, C). We denote  $(z_1(g), \dots, z_n(g))$  by z(g). For elements

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & f_{ij}(z(g)) \\ \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & 1 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_1(g) \\ \vdots \\ z_n(g) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & f_{ij}(z(h)) \\ \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & f_{ij}(z(h)) \\ \hline 0 & & \ddots & 1 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of G, the components  $a_{ij}(gh)$ ,  $\alpha_l(gh)$  of  $gh \in N(n, C)$  can be written as

$$\begin{split} a_{ij}(gh) &= f_{ij}(z(h)) + \sum_{i < k < j} f_{ik}(z(g)) f_{kj}(z(h)) + f_{ij}(z(g)) \\ \alpha_l(gh) &= z_l(h) + \sum_{l < k} f_{lk}(z(g)) z_k(h) + z_l(g) \,. \end{split}$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of N(n, C), we have

$$(2.7) \qquad \begin{cases} f_{ij}(z(\gamma\delta)) = f_{ij}(z(\delta)) + \sum_{i < k < j} f_{ik}(z(\gamma)) f_{kj}(z(\delta)) + f_{ij}(z(\gamma)) \\ z_l(\gamma\delta) = z_l(\delta) + \sum_{l < k} f_{lk}(z(\gamma)) z_k(\delta) + z_l(\gamma) \end{cases}$$

for  $1 \le i < j \le n$ ,  $1 \le l \le n$ , and any  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta \in \Gamma$ . Define  $z_l(z, y)$  for  $1 \le l \le n$ , and  $z, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

$$z_l(z, y) = y_l + \sum_{l \le k} f_{lk}(z) y_k + z_l$$
.

We also define polynomial functions  $P_{ij}(z, y)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  by

(2.8) 
$$P_{ij}(z, y) = f_{ij}(z_1(z, y), \dots, z_n(z, y)) - f_{ij}(y) - \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \in \mathcal{I}}} f_{ik}(z) f_{kj}(y) - f_{ij}(z).$$

By (2.7), we have  $P_{ij}(z(\gamma), z(\delta))=0$  for any  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . For a fixed  $\delta \in \Gamma$ ,  $P_{ij}(z, z(\delta))$  is a polynomial function on  $C^n$  which vanishes on the set of translational parts of elements of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $P_{ij}(z, z(\delta))=0$  for any  $z \in C^n$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma$ . Now for a fixed  $z \in C^n$ , we can see that  $P_{ij}(z, y)=0$  for any  $y \in C^n$  by the same way. This implies that  $gh \in G$ . Similarly we can see that if  $g \in G$  then  $g^{-1} \in G$ . Thus G is a subgroup of N(n, C). The other claim in Lemma 2.5 is obvious.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. We define a biholomorphic map  $\phi: C^n \rightarrow G$  by

$$\phi(z) = \left(egin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & f_{ij}(z) & z_1 \ 0 & & 1 & z_n \ \hline 0 & & & 1 \ \end{array} \right) \quad ext{for} \quad z \in C^n \, .$$

Then we can see that

$$\phi(g(z)) = g \cdot \phi(z)$$

for any  $g \in G$  and any  $z \in C^n$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts on  $C^n$  properly discontinuously and freely, it follows from (2.9) that the same is true for the action of  $\Gamma$  on G by left-translations. Therefore  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of G and  $\phi$  induces a biholomorphic map  $\bar{\phi}: C^n/\Gamma \to \Gamma \setminus G$ . This proves Theorem 2.1.

Example. Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $N(3, \mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$ec{\Gamma} = \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & lpha_2 & lpha_1 \ & 1 & 0 & lpha_2 \ & & 1 & lpha_3 \ \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} lpha_i \in oldsymbol{Z} + \sqrt{-1} \, oldsymbol{Z} \ & i = 1, \, 2, \, 3 \end{bmatrix} 
ight.$$

Then it is easy to see that 1)  $\Gamma$  acts on  $C^3$  properly discontinuously and freely 2)  $C^3/\Gamma$  is compact. Moreover dim  $\operatorname{Aut}_0(C^3/\Gamma)=3$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}_0(C^3/\Gamma)$  is not abelian. In fact,  $C^3/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to  $G/\Gamma_1$  where

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_3 & z_1 \\ 0 & 1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| z_i \in C, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}$$

and

$$arGamma_1 = \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 1 & lpha_3 & lpha_1 \ 0 & 1 & lpha_2 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| egin{matrix} lpha_i \in m{Z} + \sqrt{-1} \, m{Z} \ i = 1, \, 2, \, 3 \end{bmatrix} 
ight.$$

# § 3. The case of Kähler manifolds.

In this section we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $N(n, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying the conditions 1) and 2) in section 1. If a compact complex manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  has a Kähler metric,  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to a complex torus.

We need some lemmas to prove this theorem.

LEMMA 3.2. All Chern classes  $c_i(C^n/\Gamma) \in H^{2i}(C^n/\Gamma, \mathbf{R})$   $(i=1, \dots, n)$  of a compact complex manifold  $C^n/\Gamma$  are zero.

PROOF. Since  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  has an affine structure,  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  has an affine connection with zero curvature and zero torsion (cf. Matsushima [3], Vitter [6]). In particular, all Chern classes  $c_i(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$  are zero. q. e. d.

Lemma 3.3. If M is a compact Kähler manifold with  $c_i(M)=0$ , then we have

- (1) The Lie algebra a of all holomorphic vector fields on M is abelian.
- (2) Every non-zero holomorphic vector field and every non-zero holomorphic 1-form are nonvanishing.

(3) Let  $H^{1,0}(M)$  be the vector space of all holomorphic 1-forms on M. The bilinear function  $B: H^{1,0}(M) \times \mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $B(\theta, X) = \theta(X)$  is non-degenerate.

PROOF. See [4] § 9 Theorem 3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. By Corollary of Proposition 1.3, there is a holomorphic 1-form  $\theta_n = dz_n$  on  $C^n/\Gamma$ . By (3) of Lemma 3.3, there is a holomorphic vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\theta_n(X) \neq 0$  for  $\theta_n \in H^{1,0}(C^n/\Gamma)$ . Since  $\theta_n(X) = f_n$  for  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ , there exists a holomorphic vector field  $X_n \in \mathfrak{a}$  of the form  $X_n = \sum_{i \leq n} f_{in} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$ .

We now claim that if there exist holomorphic vector fields  $X_{k+1}, \cdots, X_n$  on  $C^n/\Gamma$  and holomorphic 1-forms  $\theta_{k+1}, \cdots, \theta_n$  on  $C^n/\Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{split} X_j &= \sum_{i < j} f_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \qquad (j = k+1, \cdots, n), \\ \theta_l &= dz_l + \sum_{i > l} g_{li} dz_i \qquad \qquad (l = k+1, \cdots, n) \end{split}$$

and

$$\theta_l(X_j) = \delta_{lj}$$
,

then there are a holomorphic vector field  $X_k$  and a holomorphic 1-form  $\theta_k$  on  $C^n/\Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{split} X_k &= \sum_{i < k} f_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \\ \theta_k &= dz_k + \sum_{i > k} g_{ki} dz_i \end{split}$$

and  $\theta_l(X_j) = \delta_{lj}$   $(l, j = k, \dots, n)$ .

By (1.3),  $f_{ij}$  satisfies the relation

(3.1) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{1k+1} \circ \gamma & \cdots & f_{1n} \circ \gamma \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{kk+1} \circ \gamma & \cdots & f_{kn} \circ \gamma \\ 1 & \cdots & f_{k+1n} \circ \gamma \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & f_{n-1n} \circ \gamma \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & & 1 & a_{n-1n} \\ 1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{1k+1} & \cdots & f_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{kk+1} & \cdots & f_{kn} \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & f_{kn} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & f_{kn} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & f_{n-1n} \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define holomorphic functions  $g_{ki}$   $(k < i \le n)$  on  $C^n$  by  $g_{ki} = -(f_{kk+1}g_{k+1i} + \cdots + f_{ki-1}g_{i-1i} + f_{ki})$  and the holomorphic 1-form  $\theta_k$  by  $\theta_k = dz_k + \sum_{i > k} g_{ki}dz_i$ . Then  $f_{ij}$ ,  $g_{ij}$  satisfy the relation

(3.2) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_{kk+1} & \cdots & f_{kn} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & f_{n-1n} \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g_{kk+1} & \cdots & g_{kn} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & g_{n-1n} \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & g_{kk+1} & \cdots & g_{kn} \\
& \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & & 1 & g_{n-1n} \\
& & & & 1
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & g_{kk+1} \circ \gamma & \cdots & g_{kn} \circ \gamma \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & & & 1 & g_{n-1n} \circ \gamma \\
& & & & & 1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & a_{kk+1} & \cdots & a_{kn} \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & & & 1 & a_{n-1n} \\
& & & & & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $\theta_k$  is invariant by any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\theta_k \in H^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$ . By (3) of Lemma 3.3, there is a holomorphic vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\theta_k(X) \neq 0$ . By Remark 1 in the section 1, there are constants  $C_i$   $(j=k+1, \dots, n)$  such that

$$X - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} C_j X_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} h_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$
,

where  $h_k$  is a constant. Since  $\theta_k(X) = \theta_k(X - \sum_{j=k+1}^n C_j X_j) = h_k \neq 0$ , there is a holomorphic vector field  $X_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_{ik} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Obviously

$$\theta_b(X_b) = 1$$
,  $\theta_l(X_b) = 0$   $(l > k)$  and  $\theta_b(X_l) = 0$   $(l > k)$ .

Therefore  $\dim \mathfrak{a}=n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is a complex parallelisable manifold. Since  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is a Kähler manifold,  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to a complex torus by a theorem of Wang [7].

## $\S 4$ . The case when $\Gamma$ is abelian.

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. If  $\Gamma$  is an abelian subgroup of  $A(n, \mathbb{C})$  acting freely and properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and such that  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is compact, then the compact complex manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to a complex torus.

PROOF. Let  $A(\gamma)$  be the holonomy part and  $\alpha(\gamma)$  the translation part of  $\gamma \in A(n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is abelian,  $\{A(\gamma) \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is abelian. It is well-known that there is a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$A(\gamma) \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & \cdot & & * \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdot & \cdot & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$
 for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Thus we can write each element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  as

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A(\gamma) & \alpha(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\gamma) & \cdots & a_{1n}(\gamma) & \alpha_{1}(\gamma) \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & & a_{nn}(\gamma) & \alpha_{n}(\gamma) \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, there are elements  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\{\alpha(\delta_1), \dots, \alpha(\delta_n)\}$  is a basis of  $C^n$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is abelian, we get

$$(4.1) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1i}(\delta_{i})\alpha_{j}(\gamma) + \alpha_{1}(\delta_{i}) \\ \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{2j}(\delta_{i})\alpha_{j}(\gamma) + \alpha_{2}(\delta_{i}) \\ \dots \\ a_{nn}(\delta_{i})\alpha_{n}(\gamma) + \alpha_{n}(\delta_{i}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j}(\gamma)\alpha_{j}(\delta_{i}) + \alpha_{1}(\gamma) \\ \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{2j}(\gamma)\alpha_{j}(\delta_{i}) + \alpha_{2}(\gamma) \\ \dots \\ a_{nn}(\gamma)\alpha_{n}(\delta_{i}) + \alpha_{n}(\gamma) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $i=1, \dots, n$  and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By (4.1) we have

$$(4.2) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j}(\delta_{i})\alpha_{j}(\gamma) - \alpha_{1}(\gamma) \\ \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{2j}(\delta_{i})\alpha_{j}(\gamma) - \alpha_{2}(\gamma) \\ \dots \\ a_{nn}(\delta_{i})\alpha_{n}(\gamma) - \alpha_{n}(\gamma) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\gamma) - 1 & a_{12}(\gamma) & \cdots & a_{1n}(\gamma) \\ a_{22}(\gamma) - 1 \cdots & a_{2n}(\gamma) \\ \vdots \\ a_{nn}(\gamma) - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1}(\delta_{i}) \\ \alpha_{2}(\delta_{i}) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n}(\delta_{i}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $i=1, \dots, n$  and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus we have

$$(4.3) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\gamma) - 1 & a_{12}(\gamma) & \cdots & a_{1n}(\gamma) \\ & a_{22}(\gamma) - 1 \cdots & a_{2n}(\gamma) \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & a_{nn}(\gamma) - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(\delta_1) & \cdots & \alpha_1(\delta_n) \\ \alpha_2(\delta_1) & \cdots & \alpha_2(\delta_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_n(\delta_1) & \cdots & \alpha_n(\delta_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & (a_{11}(\delta_k) - 1)\alpha_1(\gamma) + \sum_{j=2}^n a_{1j}(\delta_k)\alpha_j(\gamma) & \cdots \\ & \cdots & (a_{22}(\delta_k) - 1)\alpha_2(\gamma) + \sum_{j=3}^n a_{2j}(\delta_k)\alpha_j(\gamma) & \cdots \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_n(\delta_1) & \cdots & \alpha_n(\delta_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & (a_{11}(\delta_k) - 1)\alpha_1(\gamma) + \sum_{j=3}^n a_{2j}(\delta_k)\alpha_j(\gamma) & \cdots \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_n(\delta_1) & \cdots & \alpha_n(\delta_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\{\alpha(\delta_1), \dots, \alpha(\delta_n)\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we get

(4.4) 
$$\begin{cases} a_{ii}(\gamma) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{ii}^{k} \alpha_{k}(\gamma) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ a_{ij}(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{ij}^{k} \alpha_{k}(\gamma) & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \end{cases}$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , by (4.3).

Since  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of A(n, C),

$$\begin{cases} (1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{ii}^{k} \alpha_{k}(\gamma))(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{n} C_{ii}^{l} \alpha_{l}(\delta)) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{ii}^{k} \alpha_{k}(\gamma \delta) \\ \alpha_{t}(\gamma \delta) = \sum_{j=t}^{n} a_{tj}(\gamma) \alpha_{j}(\delta) + \alpha_{t}(\gamma) \end{cases}$$

for i,  $t=1, \cdots, n$  and any  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta \in \Gamma$ .

By (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$(4.6) \qquad \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} C_{ii}^{k} C_{ii}^{l} \alpha_{k}(\gamma) \alpha_{l}(\delta)$$

$$= \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} C_{ii}^{l} C_{ii}^{k} \alpha_{k}(\gamma) \alpha_{l}(\delta) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{i>l} C_{ii}^{l} C_{ij}^{k} \alpha_{j}(\delta) \alpha_{k}(\gamma)$$

for  $i=1, \dots, n$  and any  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

Since  $\{\alpha(\gamma)|\gamma\in\Gamma\}$  contains a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we get

(4.7) 
$$C_{ii}^{k}C_{ii}^{l} = C_{ii}^{l}C_{li}^{k} + \sum_{t < l} C_{ii}^{t}C_{li}^{k}$$

for i, k,  $l=1, \dots, n$ .

We now claim that  $C_{ii}^k=0$  for  $i, k=1, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $C_{ii}^1\neq 0$  for some i. Then  $C_{ii}^k=C_{i1}^k$  by (4.7). In particular,  $C_{i1}^1\neq 0$ . Define an element  $g_0\in A(n, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$g_0 = egin{pmatrix} 1 & & \mathbf{0} & rac{1}{C_{11}^1} \ 0 & & \ddots & dots \ \mathbf{0} & & 1 & 0 \ 0 & & 1 & 0 \ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

(4.8) 
$$g_{0} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{11}^{i} \alpha_{i}(\gamma) & * & \alpha_{1}(\gamma) \\ & \ddots & & \alpha_{2}(\gamma) \\ & \ddots & & \alpha_{2}(\gamma) \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ & & \alpha_{n}(\gamma) \end{pmatrix} \cdot g_{0}^{-1}$$

$$=egin{bmatrix} 1+\sum\limits_{i=1}^n C_{1_1}^ilpha_i(\gamma) & * & \sum\limits_{i\geqq 2} C_{1_1}^ilpha_i(\gamma) \ & \ddots & & lpha_2(\gamma) \ & \ddots & & \vdots \ & lpha_n(\gamma) \ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . It is easy to see that if  $\Gamma$  acts freely and properly discontinuously on  $C^n$  and  $C^n/\Gamma$  is compact, so does  $g\Gamma g^{-1}$  for any  $g \in A(n,C)$ . By Lemma 2.4, the translational parts of  $g\Gamma g^{-1}$  contains a basis of  $C^n$ . By (4.8), the translational parts of  $g_0\Gamma g_0^{-1}$  can not contain a basis of  $C^n$ . This is a contradiction. Hence, we get  $C_{ii}^1=0$  for  $i=1,\cdots,n$ . By the same way we get  $C_{ii}^k=0$  for  $i=1,\cdots,n$  from (4.7) inductively. Therefore each element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be written in the form

$$\gamma = egin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \sum\limits_k C_{ij}^k lpha_k(\gamma) & & lpha_1(\gamma) \ & & & & dots \ 0 & & & 1 & & lpha_n(\gamma) \ & & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define a subset G of A(n, C) by

Then we can see that G is a simply connected complex abelian Lie group which contains  $\Gamma$  in the same way as for Lemma 2.5. Moreover the map  $\phi: C^n \to G$  defined by

$$\phi(z) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \sum\limits_k C_{ij}^k z_k & & z_1 \ & & & & dots \ 0 & & \ddots & & dots \ & & & 1 & & z_n \ \hline 0 & \cdots & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad ext{ for } \quad z \in C^n$$

is biholomorphic and  $\phi(g(z))=g\cdot\phi(z)$  for any  $g\in G$  and any  $z\in C^n$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts on  $C^n$  freely and properly discontinuously,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of G and  $\phi$  induces a biholomorphic map  $\bar{\phi}:C^n/\Gamma\to\Gamma\backslash G$ . Since  $C^n/\Gamma$  is compact,  $C^n/\Gamma$  is biholomorphic to the complex torus  $\Gamma\backslash G$ .

### References

- [1] L. Auslander, On the group of affinities of locally affine spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 9 (1958), 471-473.
- [2] J.P. Fillmore and J. Scheuneman, Fundamental group of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces, Pacific J. Math., 44 (1973), 487-496.
- [3] Y. Matsushima, Affine complex manifolds, Osaka J. Math., 5 (1968), 215-222.
- [4] Y. Matsushima, Holomorphic vector fields on compact Kähler manifolds, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, No. 7, American Mathematical Society, 1971.
- [5] T. Suwa, Compact quotient spaces of  $C^2$  by affine transformation group, J. Differential Geometry, 11 (1975), 239-252.
- [6] A.L. Vitter, Affine structure on compact complex manifolds, Thesis, Princeton, 1970.
- [7] H.C. Wang, Complex parallelisable manifold, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 771-776.

Yusuke SAKANE
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka
Japan