

## On the $K$ -theoretic characteristic numbers of weakly almost complex manifolds with involution

By Tomoyoshi YOSHIDA

(Received April 21, 1971)  
(Revised May 25, 1972)

### § 0. Introduction.

In [7], tom Dieck has defined the equivariant unitary cobordism ring  $U_G$  for any compact Lie group  $G$ .  $U_G$ -theory seems to be a strong tool in the theory of the differentiable transformation group.

We are concerned only with the case of  $G = Z_2$ , the cyclic group of order 2, and throughout in this paper, the letter  $G$  stands for  $Z_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  be the bordism ring of  $U$ -manifolds with involution. T. tom Dieck has shown that elements of  $\mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  are detected by  $G$ -equivariant characteristic numbers. More precisely we construct a ring homomorphism

$$\Phi : U_G^* \longrightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } R(G)[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$$

and its localization

$$\Phi_L : U_G^* \longrightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } Q[[t_1, \dots, t_s]].$$

Then the restriction of  $\Phi$  on  $U_G^n$  is injective. We shall recapitulate this fact in (1.1) for the sake of completeness, and we give the explicit form of  $\Phi_L$  in (3.1) and its relation to  $\Phi$  in (3.2).

As corollaries of (1.1) and (3.2), the following results will be proved in § 4.

**THEOREM (0.1).** *Let  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$ . The normal bundle  $\nu_F$  of a connected component of the fixed point set  $F$  in  $M$  naturally has a complex structure. Assume the following two conditions:*

- (i) *For each connected component  $F$ ,  $\nu_F$  is trivial,*
- (ii)  *$\dim_C \nu_F$  is independent of  $F$  and equals a constant  $n$ .*

*Then  $\sum [F] \in 2^n U$  and there are two elements of  $U_*$ ,  $[N]$  and  $[L]$  such that*

$$[M, T] = [CP(1), \tau]^n [N] + [G, \sigma][L] \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$$

*where  $[CP(1), \tau] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  is the class of  $CP(1)$  with the involution  $[z_1, z_2] \mapsto [z_1, -z_2]$  and  $[G, \sigma] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  is the class of  $G$  with the natural involution  $1 \mapsto -1$ .*

**THEOREM (0.2).** *Let  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$ . If  $M$  is a Kähler manifold, and  $T$*

preserves the given metric, then

$$\sum_i (-1)^i H^{0,i}(-1) = \sum_F (2)^{-|\nu_F|} \langle \operatorname{ch} \sum_k b_k(\bar{\nu}_F)(2)^{-k} \operatorname{td}(F), [F] \rangle$$

where  $H^{0,i}$  is the vector space over  $\mathbf{C}$  spanned by the harmonic forms of type  $(0, i)$  which is considered to be an element of  $R(G)$ , and  $H^{0,i}(-1)$  is the value of its trace on  $(-1)$ ,  $b_k$  is the dual K-theory characteristic class,  $|\nu_F| = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \nu_F$ , and  $\bar{\nu}_F$  is the conjugate bundle of  $\nu_F$ .

Theorem (0.2) is conjectured by Conner in [6], p. 115, and is proved implicitly by Atiyah-Singer-Segal in [2] by using the localization theorem in  $K_G$ -theory. In a special case of (0.1), we get the following theorem of Conner-Floyd [5].

**THEOREM (Conner-Floyd).** *Let  $M$  be a  $U$ -manifold of  $\dim 2n$  and let  $T$  be an involution of  $M$  which is compatible with the  $U$ -structure. If  $T$  has only isolated fixed points, then the number of the fixed points is a form of  $2^n k$  and  $[M] = k[CP(1)]^n$  in  $U_*/2U_*$ .*

This theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorem (0.1) and also of Theorem (0.2) if  $M$  is a Kähler manifold and  $T$  preserves the given metric,  $k = \sum_i (-1)^i H^{0,i}(-1)$ .

The author wishes to thank Prof. T. Matsumoto for his advices during the preparation of manuscript.

### § 1. Equivariant characteristic numbers.

Let  $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$  be the semi-ring of  $G$ -vector bundles over a  $G$ -space  $X$ . We consider an equivariant analogy of Atiyah's  $\gamma$ -operation [1]. In  $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ , we have the exterior power operations  $\lambda^i$ . Thus if  $\xi$  is a  $G$ -vector bundle of  $\dim k$ , we have the  $G$ -vector bundles  $\lambda^i(\xi)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . These have the following formal properties (in  $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ )

- 1)  $\lambda^0(x) = 1$ ,
- 2)  $\lambda^1(x) = x$ ,
- 3)  $\lambda^i(x+y) = \sum \lambda^j(x) \lambda^{i-j}(y)$ ,
- 4)  $\lambda^i(x) = 0$  for  $i > \dim x$ .

Introducing an indeterminate  $t$ , we put  $\lambda_t(x) = \sum \lambda^i(x)t^i$ . Let  $A_G(X)$  denote the multiplicative unit group of formal power series in  $t$  with coefficients in  $K_G(X)$  and leading term 1. Then 1) and 3) assert that  $\lambda_t$  defines a ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_G(X) \rightarrow A_G(X)$ . Hence we get a homomorphism  $\lambda_t: K_G(X) \rightarrow A_G(X)$ . Taking the coefficients of  $\lambda_t$ , this define the operations  $\lambda^i: K_G(X) \rightarrow K_G(X)$ . Now we introduce the operations  $a_i^G: K_G(X) \rightarrow K_G(X)$  by

$$a_i^G(x)t^i = \lambda_{t/1-t}(x - \varepsilon(x))$$

where  $\varepsilon(x)$  is the dimension of  $x$ . Moreover we define the dual operations  $b_i^G: K_G(X) \rightarrow K_G(X)$  by

$$(1+a_1^G t + a_2^G t^2 + \dots)^{-1} = 1 + b_1^G t + b_2^G t^2 + \dots.$$

Now let  $\mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  be the geometric bordism ring of  $U$ -manifolds with involution which is compatible with the given  $U$ -structure [6]. From now on, we represent an element of  $\mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  in a form  $[M, T]$  where  $M$  is a  $U$ -manifold and  $T$  is a compatible involution on  $M$ . As  $M$  is a  $U$ -manifold, we have the  $K$ -theory Gysin homomorphism  $p_!: K_G(M) \rightarrow K_G(pt) = R(G)$ , induced by the collapsing map to a point,  $p: M \rightarrow pt$ . Let  $\tau_M$  be the stable tangent bundle of  $M$  with the given complex structure, we call  $\{p_!(b_{i_1}^G(\tau_M) \cdots b_{i_j}^G(\tau_M))\}$  equivariant  $K$ -theory characteristic numbers of  $[M, T]$ . For brevity we write  $b_{i_1}^G(x) b_{i_2}^G(x) \cdots b_{i_j}^G(x)$  in the form  $b_{i_1}^G \cdots b_{i_j}^G(x)$ .

Before defining  $\Phi$ , we comment on the structure of  $U_G$ . Let  $V_1$  be the 1-dimensional complex vector space with the  $G$ -action:  $z \mapsto -z$ . The equivariant cobordism euler class of the  $G$ -vector bundle  $\eta_1: V_1 \rightarrow pt$  which we denote  $\zeta$ , belongs to  $U_G^2$ . Let  $i: \mathcal{O}_*^U(G) \rightarrow U_G^*$  be the map obtained by the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, then  $i$  is injective and  $U_G^*$  is generated by  $\zeta$  over  $i(\mathcal{O}_*^U(G))$  and  $U_G^{odd}$  is zero (tom Dieck [7]).

**NOTATION.** We use the symbol  $\omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$  in the following sense. Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be two infinite sequences of indeterminates, and put  $A_s = \prod_{i=1}^s (1+x_1 t_i + x_2 t_i + \dots) \in R[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$  where  $R$  is the polynomial ring over  $Z$  with variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ . Substituting  $t_s = 0$ , we obtain  $f_s: R[[t_1, \dots, t_s]] \rightarrow R[[t_1, \dots, t_{s-1}]]$ . Since  $f_s(A_s) = A_{s-1}$ , the family  $A_s$  determines an element  $A$  in Inv. Lim.  $R[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$ . Then  $A = \sum x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_j} \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$ , where  $(i_1, \dots, i_j)$  is a series of non-negative integers, and  $\omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$  is the inverse limit of the smallest symmetric polynomials which involve the term  $t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_j^{i_j}$ .

Now we are prepared to define  $\Phi$ .

**DEFINITION.** We define a map  $\Phi: U_G^* \rightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } R(G)[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$  as follows. For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$ ,

$$\Phi([M, T]) = \sum p_!(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_j}(\tau_M)) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$$

where  $\tau_M$  is the stable tangent bundle of  $M$ , and for  $\zeta \in U_G^2$ ,

$$\Phi(\zeta) = (1 - \eta)W$$

where  $\eta$  is the generator of  $R(G)$  and  $W$  is a power series of the form

$$\sum (-2)^i \overbrace{\omega(1, \dots, 1)}^i.$$

**THEOREM (1.1).**  $\Phi$  is well defined. It is a ring homomorphism, and for each integer  $n$ , the restriction of  $\Phi$  on  $U_G^n$  is injective.

Theorem (1.1) is essentially due to tom Dieck [7]. For the sake of completeness we shall give its proof in § 4.

REMARK (1.2). If  $M$  is a Kähler manifold and  $T$  is an involution which preserves the given metric, then the first term of  $\Phi([M, T])$  equals  $\sum(-1)^i H^{0,i}$ , where  $H^{0,i}$  is the vector space over  $C$  spanned by the harmonic forms of type  $(0, i)$ . For the proof, we recall the first term of  $\Phi([M, T])$  being  $p_1(1)$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(M) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & R(G) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_G(\tau_M) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_1} & R(G) \end{array}$$

where  $\phi$  is the  $K$ -theory Thom isomorphism. We see that  $p_1(1) = \tilde{p}_1(\Lambda_{-1}\tau_M)$  ( $\Lambda_{-1}\tau_M$  is the  $K$ -theory Thom class of  $\tau_M$ ), and this is the topological index of  $\bar{\delta}$ -operator [2]. By the equivariant index theorem of Atiyah-Singer, this is equal to the analytical index of  $\bar{\delta}$ -operator:  $\sum(-1)^i H^{0,i}$ .

## § 2. Calculation of $\Phi$ in two cases.

PROPOSITION (2.1). i) For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  where  $T$  is a trivial  $G$ -action,

$$\Phi([M, T]) = \sum \langle \text{ch } b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\tau_M) \text{td}(M), [M] \rangle \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$$

where  $\text{td}(M)$  is the todd class of  $M$  and  $[M]$  is the homology fundamental class of  $M$ .

ii) For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  where  $T$  is a free  $G$ -action,

$$\Phi([M, T]) = (1 + \eta) \sum \langle \text{ch } b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\tau_{M/T}) \text{td}(M/T), [M/T] \rangle \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$$

where  $M/T$  is the orbit space of  $[M, T]$ .

PROOF. i) The assertion follows immediately from the definition considering the fact that  $b_i^G = b_i$  in the trivial case ( $b_i$  denote the ordinary dual  $K$ -theory class), and for any  $x \in K(M)$ ,  $p_1(x) = \langle \text{ch } x \text{td}(M), [M] \rangle$ .

ii) At first we recall the bordism ring of  $U$ -manifolds with free involution:  $U_*(BG)$ . There is a spectral sequence such that  $E_2 = U_* \otimes H_*(BG)$  and it converges to  $U_*(BG)$ . This spectral sequence collapses and hence  $E_2 = E_\infty$ . Therefore  $U_*(BG)$  is generated over  $U_*$  by  $[G, \sigma]$  and  $[S^{2n-1}, a]$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $S^{2n-1}$  is the  $(2n-1)$ -dim. sphere and  $a$  is the antipodal involution. Consider the natural map  $k: U_*(BG) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$ . Obviously  $k([S^{2n-1}, a]) = 0$ . Hence any element  $[M, T]$  in  $\mathcal{O}_*^U(G)$  with  $T$  free involution is equal to an element which has the form  $[N][G, \sigma]$ , where  $N$  has trivial  $G$ -action and  $\sigma$  operates on  $G$  by  $1 \mapsto -1$ . Therefore we can assume  $[M, T] = [N][G, \sigma]$ , and hence  $[M] = 2[N]$  in  $U_*$ . Since the characteristic numbers of  $M$  are all twice of those of  $M/T$ ,  $[M] = 2[M/T]$  in  $U_*$ , hence  $[M/T] = [N]$ . So that  $\Phi([M, T]) = \Phi([N])\Phi([G, \sigma]) = \Phi([M/T])\Phi([G, \sigma])$  and we have only to calculate  $\Phi([G,$

$\sigma])$ .  $\Phi([G, \sigma])$  equals  $p_1(1)$  and we accomplish the proof by the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let  $1 \in K_G(G)$  be the element represented by the vector bundle  $C \times G \rightarrow G$ , where  $C$  is the complex plane with trivial  $G$ -action, then  $p_1(1) = 1 + \eta \in R(G)$ .

PROOF. Let  $V_1$  be the 1-dim. complex vector space with the  $G$ -action  $z \mapsto -z$ . Imbed  $G$  into  $V_1$  equivariantly,  $G \rightarrow \{1, -1\} \subset V_1$ , and take an equivariant neighborhood. Let  $S^2$  be the one-point compactification of  $V_1$  and  $q: S^2 \rightarrow S^2 \vee S^2$  the map collapsing the outside of the above neighborhood.  $G$  operate  $S^2 \vee S^2$  by permutation of the factors, and  $q$  is equivariant. Considering the following commutative diagram, we obtain the result.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(S^2 \vee S^2) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & K_G(S^2) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K_G(G) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & K_G(pt) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the Thom isomorphisms.

### § 3. The localization of $\Phi$ and some application.

DEFINITION (3.1). We define a map  $\Phi_L: U_G^* \rightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } Q[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$  where  $Q$  is the rational number field, as follows.

For  $\zeta \in U_G^2$ ,  $\Phi_L(\zeta) = 2W \quad (W = \sum (-2)^i \omega(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^i))$ .

For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_G^U$ ,

$$(*) \quad \Phi_L([M, T]) =$$

$$\sum_F (2W)^{-|\nu_F|} \left\langle \text{ch} \prod_s \sum_i b_i(\tau_F) t_s^i \sum_j b_j(\nu_F) \left( \frac{-t_s}{1-2t_s} \right)^j \sum_k b_k(\bar{\nu}_F) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^k \text{td}(F), [F] \right\rangle$$

where  $|\nu_F|$  is  $\dim_C \nu_F$ ,  $\tau_F$  is the stable tangent bundle of  $F$  with the given complex structure, and the evaluation is applied after expanding the right hand side into the power series of  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ .

NOTE. Let  $c(\nu_F), c(\tau_F)$  be the total Chern classes of  $\nu_F, \tau_F$  and  $c(\nu_F) = \prod_j (1+y_j)$ ,  $c(\tau_F) = \prod_i (1+x_i)$  formally, then the right hand side of  $(*)$  can be written as follows.

$$\Phi_L([M, T]) = \sum_F \left\langle \prod_s \prod_i \frac{1}{1+t_s(e^{x_i}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{1-e^{-x_i}} \prod_j \frac{1}{1-t_s(e^{y_j}+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^{-y_j}}, [F] \right\rangle.$$

THEOREM (3.2). The map  $\Phi_L$  is well defined. It is a ring homomorphism from  $U_G^*$  to  $\text{Inv. Lim. } Q[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$  and the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U_G^* & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Inv. Lim. } R(G) [[t_1, \dots, t_s]] \\
 & \searrow \Phi_L & \downarrow \eta = -1 \\
 & & \text{Inv. Lim. } Q[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]
 \end{array}$$

The proof of the above theorem will be given in § 4. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the Theorems (0.1) and (0.2).

PROOF OF THEOREM (0.1). From the assumption and the definition of  $\Phi_L$ ,

$$\Phi_L([M, T]) = (2W)^{-n} \sum_F \langle \text{ch } b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\tau_F) \text{td}(F), [F] \rangle \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j).$$

But by the Theorem (3.2),  $\Phi_L([M, T]) \in \text{Inv. Lim. } Z[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$ , and so for all  $(i_1, \dots, i_j)$ ,  $\sum_F \langle \text{ch } b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\tau_F) \text{td}(F), [F] \rangle \in 2^n Z$ . Hence all of the dual K-theory characteristic numbers of  $\sum [F]$  are divisible by  $2^n$ . From the theorem of Hattori-Stong [8], there is an element  $[N] \in U_*$  such that  $\sum [F] = 2^n [N]$  in  $U$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_L([CP(1), \tau]^n [N]) &= \sum_F (W)^{-n} \langle \text{ch } b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\tau_N) \text{td}(N), [N] \rangle \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j) \\
 &= \sum_F (2W)^{-n} \sum_F \langle \text{ch } b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\tau_F) \text{td}(F), [F] \rangle \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j) \\
 &= \Phi_L([M, T]). 
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi([M, T] - [CP(1), \tau]^n [N])$  is in the ideal generated by  $(1+\eta)$ , therefore the K-theory characteristic numbers (ordinary) of  $[M] - [CP(1)]^n [N]$  are all divisible by 2. Again by the theorem of Hattori-Stong, we see that there is an element  $[L] \in U_*$  such that  $[M] - [CP(1)]^n [N] = 2[L]$ , and from the Proposition (2.1) ii), we see  $\Phi([M, T] - [CP(1), \tau]^n [N]) = \Phi([G, \sigma][L])$ . Thus the theorem follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM (0.2). According to Remark (1.2), the first term of  $\Phi([M, T])$  equals  $\sum (-1)^i H^{0,i} \in R(G)$ , and from Theorem (3.2), its evaluation on  $(-1)$  is equal to the first term of  $\Phi_L([M, T])$  which is

$$\sum_F (2)^{-1} \nu_F^{-1} \langle \text{ch } \sum_k b_k(\tilde{\nu}_F)(1/2)^k \text{td}(F), [F] \rangle. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

NOTE (3.3). Let

$$0 \longrightarrow U_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^U(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \longrightarrow U_{n-1}(BG) \longrightarrow 0$$

be the exact sequence mentioned in [6], p. 63. Now  $U_2$  is generated by  $[CP(1)]$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_2$  by  $[D^2 \rightarrow pt]$  and  $[CP(1)]$ , and  $U_1(BG)$  by  $[S^1, a]$  which is the class of the 1-dim. sphere with the antipodal involution. Hence  $\mathcal{O}_2^U(G)$  is generated over  $Z$  by  $[CP(1)]$ ,  $[CP(1)][G, \sigma]$  and  $[CP(1), \tau]$ , that is for any element  $x$  in  $\mathcal{O}_2^U(G)$ ,  $x$  is represented as  $A[CP(1)] + B[CP(1)][G, \sigma] + C[CP(1), \tau]$  where  $A, B, C$  are integers. Now by a simple calculation we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi([CP(1)]) &= 1 - 2\omega(1), \\ \Phi([CP(1)][G, \sigma]) &= (1 + \eta)(1 - 2\omega(1)), \\ \Phi([CP(1), \tau]) &= \text{Inv. Lim. } \prod_s (1 - 2\eta t_s + \sum_{j=2} (1 - \eta)^j t_s^j).\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B$  is decided by the first term of  $\Phi(x)$ , and  $A$  and  $C$  are decided by  $\Phi_L(x)$ . Let  $S_n$  ( $n$  is an even integer  $\geq 4$ ) be the algebraic curve in  $CP(2)$  defined by the equation  $z_1^n + z_2^n + z_3^n = 0$  and let  $T$  be the involution  $[z_1, z_2, z_3] \rightarrow [z_1, z_2, -z_3]$  on  $S_n$ . Then  $[S_n, T] \in \mathcal{O}_2^U(G)$  and we have

$$\Phi_L([S_n, T]) = n/2 + n\omega(1) + \text{higher order terms},$$

and the first term of  $\Phi([S_n, T])$  equals

$$H^{0,0} - H^{0,1} = (4n - n^2)/4 + (n^2 - 2n)/4 \quad ([6]).$$

Then, comparing the coefficients, we have the following equation

$$[S_n, T] = (n/2)[CP(1), \tau] + (n(n-2)/4)[G, \sigma][CP(1)]$$

in  $\mathcal{O}_2^U(G)$ .

REMARK. Prof. N. Shimada informed to me that Theorem (0.1) is obtained from the structure of  $U_*(BG)$ . (See [9].)

#### § 4. Proof of (1.1) and (3.2).

In this section we prove the Theorems (1.1) and (3.2). Let  $\{a_i\}$  be the  $K$ -theory characteristic classes (Atiyah's  $\gamma$ -operation [1]). Then  $K(BU) = Z[a_1, a_2, \dots]$ . A map  $\chi : U^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K(BU), K^*(X))$  is defined as follows. For  $x \in U^k(X)$  which is represented by a map  $f : S^{2n-k} \wedge X^+ \rightarrow MU_n$ ,  $\chi(x)$  is the following composed map.

$$K(BU) \xrightarrow{j} K(BU_n) \xrightarrow{\phi} \tilde{K}(MU_n) \xrightarrow{f^*} \tilde{K}(S^{2n-k} \wedge X^+) = K^k(X)$$

where  $j$  is the inclusion  $BU_n \subset BU$ ,  $\phi$  is the  $K$ -theory Thom isomorphism. By the coalgebra structure of  $K(BU)$ , that is,  $\Delta : K(BU) \rightarrow K(BU) \otimes K(BU)$  with  $\Delta(a_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} a_i \otimes a_j$ ,  $\text{Hom}(K(BU), K^*(X))$  forms a ring, and  $\chi$  is a ring homomorphism. When  $X$  is  $BG$ , the classifying space of  $G$ ,  $\chi$  is injective (tom Dieck [7]). Let  $\alpha$  be the bundling map:  $U_G^* \rightarrow U^*(BG)$ , it is injective ([7]). Now for any element  $x$  of  $U_G^*$ ,  $\chi(\alpha(x))(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}) \in K^*(BG)$ , and by the fact  $U_G^{\text{odd}} = 0$  and Bott periodicity, it is considered an element of  $K^0(BG) = \widehat{R(G)}$ , where  $\widehat{R(G)}$  is the completion of  $R(G)$  in  $I(G)$ -adic topology ( $I(G)$  denotes the augmentation ideal of  $R(G)$ ). We define a map  $\Phi' : U_G^* \rightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } \widehat{R(G)}[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$  by the following equation,

$$\Phi'(x) = \sum \chi(\alpha(x))(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j).$$

Since  $\chi$  and  $\alpha$  are both injective, the restriction of  $\Phi'$  on  $U_G^n$  for each integer  $n$  is injective. The natural inclusion  $R(G) \subset \widehat{R(G)}$  induces the inclusion  $j: \text{Inv. Lim. } R(G)[[t_1, \dots, t_s]] \rightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } \widehat{R(G)}[[t_1, \dots, t_s]].$  The following lemma gives the proof of Theorem (1.1).

LEMMA (4.1).  $j \circ \Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  coincide.

PROOF. i) For  $\zeta \in U_G^2$ . Since  $\zeta$  is the equivariant euler class of the bundle  $\eta_1: V_1 \rightarrow pt$ ,  $\alpha(\zeta)$  is the 1-dim. Conner-Floyd class of the bundle  $\eta: V_1 \times_G EG \rightarrow BG$ , where  $EG \rightarrow BG$  is the universal  $G$ -bundle. Consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{s^*} & \tilde{K}^*(V^c \wedge EG^+/G) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}^*} & \tilde{K}^*(MU) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & \times(1-\eta) & K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & K^*(BU) \end{array}$$

( $V^c$  is the one-point compactification of  $V$ ) where  $f$  is the classifying map of the bundle  $\eta$ ,  $s$  is the zero-cross-section, and the vertical maps are the Thom isomorphisms. As  $\eta$  is a line bundle,  $a_i(\eta) = 0$  for  $i > 1$ , and  $a_1(\eta) = \eta - 1$ . Since  $\eta^2 = 1$  and  $(1-\eta)^2 = 2(1-\eta)$ , we can calculate as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(\zeta) &= (1-\eta) \sum a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}(\eta) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j) \\ &= (1-\eta) \sum (a_1(\eta))^i \overbrace{\omega(1, \dots, 1)}^i \\ &= (1-\eta) \sum (\eta-1)^i \overbrace{\omega(1, \dots, 1)}^i \\ &= (1-\eta)W. \end{aligned}$$

ii) For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^G(G)$ . Fix an equivariant embedding of  $M$  into a  $G$ -module (over  $C$ )  $V$ , and let  $\nu$  be the equivariant normal bundle. We have the following two vector bundles

$$\nu \times_G EG \longrightarrow M \times_G EG,$$

$$V \times_G EG \longrightarrow BG.$$

Let  $T(\nu)$  be the Thom space of  $\nu$ ,  $q: V^c \rightarrow T(\nu)$  the map collapsing the outside of the disk bundle of  $\nu$ , and  $q \wedge 1: V^c \wedge EG^+/G \rightarrow T(\nu) \wedge EG^+/G$ . Now as in § 1, we put  $A = \sum a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j} \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$  and for a vector bundle  $\xi$ ,  $A(\xi) = \sum a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}(\xi) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$  and similarly  $B(\xi) = \sum b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_j}(\xi) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$ . Then,  $A(\xi)B(\xi) = 1$  and  $A(\xi + \eta) = A(\xi)A(\eta)$ ,  $B(\xi + \eta) = B(\xi)B(\eta)$ . Moreover for an element  $x \in U(?)$ , we have  $\chi(x)(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}) \in K(?)$  and we put  $A(x) = \sum \chi(x)(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_v : U^*(M \times_G EG) &\longrightarrow \tilde{U}^*(T(v) \wedge EG^+ / G) \\
\phi_v : U^*(BG) &\longrightarrow \tilde{U}^*(V^c \wedge_G EG^+) \\
\phi_v : K^*(M \times_G EG) &\longrightarrow \tilde{K}^*(T(v) \wedge EG^+ / G) \\
\phi_v : K^*(BG) &\longrightarrow \tilde{K}^*(V^c \wedge_G EG^+)
\end{aligned}$$

be the Thom isomorphisms, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x)A(V \times_G EG) &= \phi_v^{-1}A(\phi_v(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in U^*(BG), \\
A(x)A(v \times_G EG) &= \phi_v^{-1}A(\phi_v(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in U^*(M \times_G EG)
\end{aligned}$$

(Thom isomorphisms are applied on each coefficients of  $\omega(i_1, \dots, i_j)$ ). Now by the definition of  $\alpha$ , we see easily that  $\alpha \circ i ([M, T])$  equals  $\phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_v(1) \in U^*(BG)$ , where  $1 \in U^0(M \times_G EG)$  is the unit. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi'([M, T])A(V \times_G EG) &= A(\phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_v(1))A(V \times_G EG) \\
&= \phi_v^{-1}A(q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_v(1)) \\
&= \phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ A(\phi_v(1)) \\
&= \phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_vA(v \times_G EG) \\
&= \phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_v(B(\tau_M \times_G EG)A((M \times V) \times_G EG)) \\
&= \phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_v(B(\tau_M \times_G EG) \circ p^* \times 1 \circ A(V \times_G EG)) \\
&\quad (\text{where } p \times 1 : M \times_G EG \rightarrow BG, p : M \rightarrow pt, \\
&\quad \text{the collapsing map}) \\
&= \phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_v(B(\tau_M \times_G EG))A(V \times_G EG).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Phi'([M, T]) = \phi_v^{-1} \circ q^* \wedge 1 \circ \phi_vB(\tau_M \times_G EG).$$

But consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K(M \times_G EG) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}(T(v) \wedge EG^+ / G) & \xrightarrow{q^* \wedge 1} & \tilde{K}(V^c \wedge EG^+ / G) & \longleftarrow & K(BG) \\
C \uparrow & & C \uparrow & & C \uparrow & & C \uparrow \\
\widehat{K_G(M)} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\tilde{K}_G(T(v))} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\tilde{K}_G(V^c)} & \longleftarrow & \widehat{R(G)}
\end{array}$$

where the lower sequence represents the  $K_G$ -theory Gysin homomorphism, and  $C$  is the isomorphism of Atiyah and Segal [4]. Since  $C(b_i^G(\xi)) = b_i(\xi \times_G EG)$ , we obtain

$$\Phi'([M, T]) = \sum p_! (b_{i_1}^G \cdots b_{i_j}^G(\tau_M)) \omega(i_1, \dots, i_j).$$

Q. E. D.

Next we go on to the proof of Theorem (3.2). We consider the localized ring

$U^*(BG)[C^{-1}]$ , where  $C$  is the euler class of the canonical line bundle  $\eta$  over  $BG$ , which is equal to  $\alpha(\zeta)$ . Let  $\Lambda: U^*(BG) \rightarrow U^*(BG)[C^{-1}]$  be the natural map. For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^{\text{ur}}(G)$ ,  $\Lambda \circ \alpha \circ i([M, T]) \in U^*(BG)[C^{-1}]$  can be written by the term of the fixed point set and its normal bundle in  $M$  as follows (tom Dieck [7]),

$$\Lambda \circ \alpha \circ i([M, T]) = \sum_F e(\nu_F \otimes \eta)^{-1} / Z_F$$

where  $F$  ranges over the components of the fixed point set,  $\nu_F$  is the normal bundle of  $F$  in  $M$ ,  $\nu_F \otimes \eta$  is the tensor bundle over  $F \times BG$ ,  $e(\nu_F \otimes \eta) \in U^*(F \times BG)$  is its euler class, and  $Z_F \in U_*(F)$  is the fundamental class, and finally  $\cdots / Z_F$  represents the evaluation on  $Z_F$ .

Now we consider the localized ring  $\text{Inv. Lim. } \widehat{R(G)}[[t_1, \dots, t_s]][\Phi(\zeta)^{-1}]$ . Since  $\Phi(\zeta) = (1-\eta)W$  and  $W$  is invertible, it is equal to the ring localized at  $(1-\eta)$ . Since  $\widehat{R(G)} = Z + \text{Inv. Lim. } Z/2^nZ$ , and in  $\widehat{R(G)}[(1-\eta)^{-1}]$ ,  $1+\eta=0$ , we have  $\widehat{R(G)}[(1-\eta)^{-1}] = Q_2$  where  $Q_2$  is the 2-adic completed rational number field. Let  $\Phi'_L$  be the composed map:  $U_G^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} U^*(BG) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} U^*(BG)[C^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Inv. Lim. } Q_2[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$ , then it is a ring homomorphism and the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_G^* & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \text{Inv. Lim. } \widehat{R(G)}[[t_1, \dots, t_s]] \\ & \searrow \Phi'_L & \downarrow \eta = -1 \\ & & \text{Inv. Lim. } Q_2[[t_1, \dots, t_s]] \end{array}$$

The proof of Theorem (3.2) is contained in the following lemma.

LEMMA (4.2). *Let  $j: Q \subset Q_2$  be the inclusion, then  $\Phi'_L$  and  $j \circ \Phi_L$  coincide.*

PROOF. Let  $V = V_0^{k_0} \oplus V_1^{k_1}$  be a  $G$ -module (over  $\mathbf{C}$ ), where  $V_0, V_1$  are the trivial and non-trivial part respectively. For  $[M, T] \in \mathcal{O}_*^{\text{ur}}(G)$ , assume that  $M$  is embedded in  $V$ . Let  $F$  be a connected component of the fixed point set, then  $F \subset V_0^{k_0}$ . We put as follows

- $\nu$ : the normal bundle of  $M$  in  $V$ ,
- $\nu_0$ : the normal bundle of  $F$  in  $V_0^{k_0}$ ,
- $\nu_F$ : the normal bundle of  $F$  in  $M$ .

If we write  $\nu|_F = \nu_0 + \rho_F$ , we have  $\rho_F + \nu_F = F \times V_1^{k_1}$ . Thus

$$e(\nu_F \otimes \eta)^{-1} = C^{-k_1} e(\rho_F \otimes \eta)$$

in  $U^*(F \times BG)[C^{-1}]$ .

The element  $e(\rho_F \otimes \eta)/z_F$  of  $U^*(BG)$  is represented by the following composed map,

$$\begin{aligned}
V^c \wedge BG^+ &\longrightarrow T(\nu_0) \wedge F^+ \wedge BG^+ \longrightarrow T(\nu_0) \wedge T(\rho_F \otimes \eta) \\
&\xrightarrow{\tilde{f} \wedge \tilde{g}} MU \wedge MU \longrightarrow MU
\end{aligned}$$

where  $q: V^c \rightarrow T(\nu_0) \wedge F^+$  is a product of the usual collapsing map onto the Thom space and the projection onto  $F$ ,  $s: F \times BG \rightarrow T(\rho_F \otimes \eta)$  is the zero cross-section and  $f, g$  are the classifying maps of the bundles  $\nu_0, \rho_F \otimes \eta$  respectively. Now let  $p: F \rightarrow pt$  be the collapsing map and  $d: F \rightarrow F \times F$  the diagonal, and finally  $V^c \xrightarrow{q_1} T(\nu_0) \xrightarrow{q_2} T(\nu_0) \wedge F^+$  be the decomposition of  $q$ . Consider the following diagram (the two left squares commute and the vertical maps are all Thom isomorphisms).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{p_! \otimes 1} & K^*(F \times BG) & \xleftarrow{d^* \times 1} & K^*(F \times F \times BG) & & K^*(F \times F \times BG) \\
\phi_1 \downarrow & & \phi_2 \downarrow & & \phi_3 \downarrow & & \phi_4 \downarrow \\
\tilde{K}^*(V^c \wedge BG^+) & \xleftarrow{q_1^*} & \tilde{K}^*(T(\nu_0) \wedge BG^+) & \xleftarrow{q_2^*} & \tilde{K}^*(T(\nu_0) \wedge F^+ \wedge BG^+) & \xleftarrow{1 \wedge s^*} & \tilde{K}^*(T(\nu_0 \oplus \rho_F \otimes \eta))
\end{array}$$

In the above diagram, since  $K^*(F \times BG) = K^*(F) \otimes K^*(BG)$ ,  $p_! \otimes 1$  is defined. For  $x \in K^*(F \times F \times BG)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1^{-1} \circ q_1^* \circ q_2^* \circ 1 \wedge s^* \circ \phi_4 x &= p_! \otimes 1 \circ d^* \times 1 \circ \phi_3^{-1} \circ 1 \wedge s^* \circ \phi_4 x \\
&= p_! \otimes 1(d^* \times 1(x)\lambda(\nu_0 \oplus \rho_F))
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda(\cdot)$  denotes the  $K$ -theory Euler class.

Now we use the notations  $A(\cdot), B(\cdot)$  as in the proof of (1.1). Then by the definition of  $\alpha$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi'_L([M, T]) &= \sum_{\mathbf{F}} (\Phi(\zeta))^{-k_1} \phi_1^{-1} \circ q_1^* \circ q_2^* \circ 1 \wedge s^* \circ \phi_4 A(\nu_0 + \rho_F \otimes \eta) \\
&= \sum ((1-\eta)W)^{-k_1} p_! \otimes 1 (A(\nu_0 + \rho_F \otimes \eta)\lambda(\rho_F \otimes \eta)) \\
&= \sum ((1-\eta)W)^{-k_1} p_! \otimes 1 (B(\tau_M + \nu_F \otimes \eta)\lambda(\rho_F \otimes \eta)) A(V^{k_1} \times_G EG) \\
&= \sum ((1-\eta)W)^{-k_1} p_! \otimes 1 (B(\tau_M + \nu_F \otimes \eta)\lambda(\rho_F \otimes \eta)) W^{k_1} \\
&= \sum (1-\eta)^{-k_1} p_! \otimes 1 (B(\tau_M + \nu_F \otimes \eta)\lambda(\rho_F \otimes \eta)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now formally,

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\tau_F) &= \prod_s \sum_i b_i(\tau_F) t_s^i, \\
B(\nu_F \otimes \eta) &= \prod_s \sum_i b_i(\nu_F) \left( \frac{\eta t_s}{1 + (\eta-1)t_s} \right)^i \prod_s \left( \frac{1}{1 + (\eta-1)t_s} \right)^{\dim F}, \\
\lambda(\rho_F \otimes \eta) &= (1-\eta)^{\dim \rho_F} \sum_i b_i(\rho_F) \left( \frac{\eta}{1-\eta} \right)^i
\end{aligned}$$

and we put  $\eta = -1$ , then the lemma follows.

Q. E. D.

Tsuda College

### References

- [ 1 ] M. F. Atiyah, Immersions and embeddings of manifolds, *Topology*, 1 (1962), 125–132.
  - [ 2 ] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators, I, *Ann. of Math.*, 87 (1968), 484–530; III. *Ann. of Math.*, 87 (1968), 546–604.
  - [ 3 ] M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal, The index of elliptic operators II, *Ann. of Math.*, 87 (1968), 531–545.
  - [ 4 ] M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal, Equivariant  $K$ -theory and completions, *J. Differential Geometry*, 3 (1969), 1–18.
  - [ 5 ] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, Periodic maps which preserves a complex structure, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 70 (1964), 574–579.
  - [ 6 ] P. E. Conner, Seminar on periodic map, *Lecture Note in Math.*, 46, Springer, 1970.
  - [ 7 ] T. tom Dieck, Bordism of  $G$ -manifolds and integrality theorems, *Topology*, 9 (1970), 345–358.
  - [ 8 ] A. Hattori, Integral characteristic numbers for weakly almost complex manifolds, *Topology* 5 (1966), 259–280.
  - [ 9 ] N. Shimada, Bordism algebras of periodic transformations, *Lecture Note 131, Cobordism ring*, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, 1971, 44–56.
-