

## A remark on the character ring of a compact Lie group

By Masaru TAKEUCHI

(Received March 29, 1971)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a compact topological group,  $D(G)$  the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G$ . (In this note the representation will mean always the continuous complex representation.) The character ring  $R(G)$  of  $G$  is the free abelian group generated by  $D(G)$  with the ring structure induced by the tensor product of representations. In the present note we provide a method of finding a system of generators of the character ring  $R(G)$  of a compact (not necessarily connected) Lie group  $G$ , assuming that the quotient group  $G/G_0$  of  $G$  modulo the connected component  $G_0$  of  $G$  is a cyclic group (Theorem 5). Our problem reduces to finding generators of a certain commutative semi-group in the similar way as for a compact *connected* Lie group.

By applying the theorem we can know the structure of the character ring of the orthogonal group  $O(2l)$  of degree  $2l$  or of the double covering group  $\text{Pin}(2l)$  of  $O(2l)$ . (See § 3 for the definition of  $\text{Pin}(2l)$ .) Let  $\lambda^i$  be the  $i$ -th exterior power of the standard representation of  $O(2l)$ ,  $\alpha$  the 1-dimensional representation of  $O(2l)$  defined by  $\alpha(x) = \det x$  for  $x \in O(2l)$ . Let  $\mu^l$  be the irreducible representation of  $\text{Pin}(2l)$  such that its restriction to the connected component  $\text{Spin}(2l)$  of  $\text{Pin}(2l)$  splits into the direct sum of two half-spinor representations of  $\text{Spin}(2l)$  and  $p: \text{Pin}(2l) \rightarrow O(2l)$  denote the covering homomorphism. Then we have

$$R(O(2l)) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^l, \alpha] \text{ with relations } \alpha^2 = 1 \text{ and } \lambda^l \alpha = \lambda^l,$$

$$R(\text{Pin}(2l)) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda^1 \circ p, \lambda^2 \circ p, \dots, \lambda^{l-1} \circ p, \mu^l, \alpha \circ p]$$

$$\text{with relations } (\alpha \circ p)^2 = 1 \text{ and } \mu^l(\alpha \circ p) = \mu^l.$$

The character ring of  $O(2l)$  was formerly presented by Minami [7] by different methods.

### § 1. Induced representations.

Let  $G$  be a compact topological group. We consider the set of equivalence classes of representations of  $G$  as a subset of the character ring  $R(G)$  of  $G$  and introduce an inner product  $(, )$  on  $R(G)$  in such a way that  $D(G)$  is an orthonormal basis of  $R(G)$ . For an element  $\chi \in R(G)$ , an element  $\rho \in D(G)$  such that the integer  $(\chi, \rho)$ , denoted by  $m_\rho$ , is not zero is called a *component* of  $\chi$ . We call  $m_\rho$  the *multiplicity* of the component  $\rho$  in  $\chi$ . For a representation  $\rho$  of  $G$ , the equivalence class of  $\rho$  will be denoted by  $[\rho]$ .

Let  $h: H \rightarrow G$  be a continuous homomorphism from a compact group  $H$  into a compact group  $G$ . Then  $h$  induces a ring homomorphism  $R(G) \rightarrow R(H)$  by the composition of  $h$ , denoted by  $h^*$ , and  $R(H)$  becomes an  $R(G)$ -module by means of the homomorphism  $h^*$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact group,  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  with the finite index  $[G:H]$ ,  $i: H \rightarrow G$  the inclusion homomorphism. For a representation  $\sigma: H \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $H$ , the space

$$\Gamma(G, V)^H = \{f: G \rightarrow V; f(gh) = \sigma(h)^{-1}f(g) \text{ for } g \in G, h \in H\}$$

is a complex vector space of dimension  $[G, H] \dim V$ .  $G$  acts linearly on  $\Gamma(G, V)^H$  by  $(gf)(g') = f(g^{-1}g')$  for  $g, g' \in G$  and we have a representation of  $G$  on  $\Gamma(G, V)^H$ , which is called the *representation induced by  $\sigma$* . The space  $\Gamma(G, V)^H$  is naturally identified with the space of sections of the vector bundle  $G \times_H V$  over  $G/H$  associated with the representation  $\sigma$  of  $H$  and the action of  $G$  on  $\Gamma(G, V)^H$  is nothing but the one induced from the natural action of  $G$  on  $G \times_H V$ . The equivalence class of this representation depends only on the equivalence class of  $\sigma$  so that we have a map  $i_*: D(H) \rightarrow R(G)$ , which is linearly extended to an  $R(G)$ -homomorphism  $i_*: R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$  (cf. Atiyah [1]). Then we have the Frobenius reciprocity:

$$(i_*\rho, \sigma) = (\rho, i_*\sigma) \quad \text{for } \rho \in R(G), \sigma \in R(H).$$

Now we assume that  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  with the finite index. Then the quotient group  $A = G/H$  of  $G$  modulo  $H$  is a finite group and the natural projection  $\pi: G \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism.  $\hat{A}$  denotes the character group  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)$  of  $A$ . We imbed  $\hat{A}$  into  $D(G)$  by the product-preserving map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \pi$ . Then  $\hat{A}$  acts on  $D(G)$ , therefore on  $R(G)$ , by the multiplication of elements of  $\hat{A}$ . For a representation  $\sigma: H \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $H$  and  $g \in G$ , another representation  $\sigma': H \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $H$  is defined by

$$\sigma'(g') = \sigma(g^{-1}g'g) \quad \text{for } g' \in H.$$

The equivalence class of  $\sigma'$  depends only on the equivalence class of  $\sigma$  and on  $\pi(g)$  so that  $A$  acts on  $D(H)$ , therefore on  $R(H)$ , by conjugations. The

followings are immediate consequences of definitions :

- (1)  $i^*(\alpha \cdot \rho) = i^*\rho$  for  $\alpha \in \hat{A}, \rho \in R(G),$
- (2)  $a \cdot (i^*\rho) = i^*\rho$  for  $a \in A, \rho \in R(G),$
- (3)  $i_*(a \cdot \sigma) = i_*\sigma$  for  $a \in A, \sigma \in R(H),$
- (4)  $\alpha \cdot (i_*\sigma) = i_*\sigma$  for  $\alpha \in \hat{A}, \sigma \in R(H).$

THEOREM 1. (Clifford) *Let  $\rho \in D(G)$ . Take  $\sigma_1 \in D(H)$  such that  $(i^*\rho, \sigma_1) > 0$  and put  $m(\rho) = (i^*\rho, \sigma_1), \Phi_\rho = A \cdot \sigma_1 \subset D(H)$ . Then both  $m(\rho)$  and  $\Phi_\rho$  depend only on  $\rho$  and we have the decomposition*

$$i^*\rho = m(\rho) \sum_{\sigma \in \Phi_\rho} \sigma.$$

For the proof, see Feit [3].

Let  $A \backslash D(H)$  (resp.  $\hat{A} \backslash D(G)$ ) denotes the set of  $A$ -orbits in  $D(H)$  (resp.  $\hat{A}$ -orbits in  $D(G)$ ). The map  $\varphi : D(G) \rightarrow A \backslash D(H)$  defined by  $\rho \mapsto \Phi_\rho$  is surjective from the Frobenius reciprocity and induces a surjective map

$$\Phi : \hat{A} \backslash D(G) \longrightarrow A \backslash D(H)$$

in view of (1). Note that  $m(\rho)$  is constant on each  $\hat{A}$ -orbit in  $D(G)$ .

THEOREM 2. (Clifford-Iwahori) *If the quotient group  $A = G/H$  is commutative, then the map  $\Phi$  is bijective. The inverse map of  $\Phi$  is given as follows. Let  $\sigma \in D(H)$ . Take  $\rho_1 \in D(G)$  such that  $(i_*\sigma, \rho_1) > 0$  and put  $m(\sigma) = (i_*\sigma, \rho_1), \Psi_\sigma = \hat{A} \cdot \rho_1 \subset D(G)$ . Then both  $m(\sigma)$  and  $\Psi_\sigma$  depend only on  $\sigma$  and we have the decomposition*

$$i_*\sigma = m(\sigma) \sum_{\rho \in \Psi_\sigma} \rho.$$

The map  $\psi : D(H) \rightarrow \hat{A} \backslash D(G)$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto \Psi_\sigma$  induces a map

$$\Psi : A \backslash D(H) \longrightarrow \hat{A} \backslash D(G)$$

in view of (3). The map  $\Psi$  is the inverse of  $\Phi$ . In particular:

1) *If  $A$  is a cyclic group, then  $m(\rho) = m(\sigma) = 1$  for any  $\rho \in R(G)$  and  $\sigma \in R(H)$ .*

2) *If the order  $|A|$  of  $A$  is a prime number  $p$ , then for the orbits  $\Phi_\rho$  and  $\Psi_\sigma$  corresponding by the bijection  $\Phi$  it happens one of following two cases:*

- a)  $|\Phi_\rho| = p$  and  $|\Psi_\sigma| = 1,$
- b)  $|\Phi_\rho| = 1$  and  $|\Psi_\sigma| = p,$

where  $|S|$  means the cardinality of the set  $S$ .

PROOF. This theorem can be proved in the same way as the classical Clifford theorem for  $A = \mathbf{Z}_2$  (Iwahori-Matsumoto [5]). But we give here another proof.

Let  $\sigma \in D(H)$ . For  $\rho = \alpha \cdot \rho_1 \in \Psi_\sigma$  we have  $(i_*\sigma, \rho) = (\sigma, i^*(\alpha \rho_1)) = (\sigma, i^*\rho_1) = (i_*\sigma, \rho_1)$ . Therefore it suffices to show that if  $\rho \in D(G)$  with  $(i_*\sigma, \rho) > 0$

then  $\rho \in \Psi_\sigma$ . Note that  $i_*1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{A}} \alpha$  since  $A$  is commutative. It follows that

$$i_*(i^*\rho_1) = i_*((i^*\rho_1)1) = \rho_1(i_*1) = \rho_1 \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{A}} \alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{A}} \alpha \cdot \rho_1.$$

On the other hand, the Frobenius reciprocity yields that  $(i^*\rho_1, \sigma) > 0$  so that  $\rho$  is a component of  $i_*(i^*\rho_1)$ . Thus  $\rho \in \hat{A} \cdot \rho_1 = \Psi_\sigma$ . The above simple proof was communicated by Professor H. Nagao.

1) See Feit [3].

2) Recall (cf. Atiyah [1]) the general equality  $\sum m_\rho^2 = |A_\sigma|$  for  $\sigma \in D(H)$ ,  $i_*\sigma = \sum_{\rho \in D(G)} m_\rho \rho$  and  $A_\sigma = \{a \in A; a \cdot \sigma = \sigma\}$ . In our case we have  $|\Psi_\sigma| = |A_\sigma| = |A|/|\Phi_\rho|$  by the above equality and 1), so that  $|\Phi_\rho||\Psi_\sigma| = p$ , which yields the statement 2). q. e. d.

Note that  $m(\sigma)$  is also constant on each  $A$ -orbit in  $D(H)$  in view of (3) and that  $m(\rho)$  and  $m(\sigma)$  take the same value on the orbits corresponding by  $\Phi$  from the Frobenius reciprocity.

REMARK. We denote by  $R(G)^{\hat{A}}$  (resp.  $R(H)^A$ ) the submodule of  $R(G)$  (resp.  $R(H)$ ) of elements fixed by  $\hat{A}$  (resp.  $A$ ). From (2) and (4) we have  $i_*R(G) \subset R(H)^A$  and  $i_*R(H) \subset R(G)^{\hat{A}}$ . If  $A$  is cyclic, then by Theorem 2, 1)

$$i_*R(H) = R(G)^{\hat{A}}.$$

It is also known (Atiyah [1]) that if the order  $|A|$  of  $A$  is square free ( $A$  is not necessarily commutative), then

$$i_*R(G) = R(H)^A.$$

**§2. Character ring of a compact Lie group.**

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,  $G_0$  the connected component of  $G$ . Take a maximal torus  $T_0$  of  $G_0$ . Note that  $D(T_0)$  is a commutative group by the tensor product. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the Lie algebras of  $G_0$  and  $T_0$ . Take an  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the root system of the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$ , i. e. the set of non-zero elements  $\alpha$  of the dual space  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha^c = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^c; [H, X] = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H)X \text{ for any } H \in \mathfrak{t}\}$$

is not zero. Take a fundamental system  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  of  $\Delta$  and fix it once and for all. The duality defined by means of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  identifies  $\mathfrak{t}$  with  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  so that the root system  $\Delta$  may be considered as a subset of  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Taking a basis  $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$  of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we introduce a lexicographic order  $>$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  by the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l, h_1, \dots, h_m\}$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Such order on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  will be called a linear order associated with  $\Pi$ . We put

$$Z_0 = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* ; \lambda(H) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for any } H \in \mathfrak{t} \text{ such that } \exp H = 1 \}$$

and

$$D_0 = \{ \lambda \in Z_0 ; \lambda(\alpha_i) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \alpha_i \in \Pi \} .$$

Then  $Z_0$  is a lattice of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  and isomorphic with  $D(T_0)$  by the correspondence  $\lambda \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$ , where  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$  is the character of  $T_0$  defined by  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}(\exp H) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda(H)}$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ . Thus we can introduce an order  $>$  on  $D(T_0)$  by means of the order  $>$  on  $Z_0$ .  $D_0$  is a commutative semi-group. We put

$$D_d(T_0) = \{ e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda} ; \lambda \in D_0 \} .$$

An element of  $D_d(T_0)$  will be called a *dominant* (with respect to  $\Pi$ ) *irreducible representation* of  $T_0$ .

Now we define a closed subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  with the connected component  $T_0$  by

$$T = \{ g \in G ; \text{Ad } g\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}, \text{Ad } g\Pi = \Pi \} .$$

The quotient group  $T/T_0$  is naturally isomorphic with the quotient group  $G/G_0$ . This follows from  $G_0 \cap T = T_0$ , the conjugateness in  $G_0$  of maximal tori of  $G_0$  and that of fundamental systems of  $\Delta$  under the normalizer of  $T_0$  in  $G_0$ . We put  $A = G/G_0 = T/T_0$ . The adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}$  induces a homomorphism  $\tau : A \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{t})$ . We define a finite subgroup  $C$  of  $GL(\mathfrak{t})$  by  $C = \tau A$ . It leaves  $Z_0$  and  $D_0$  invariant so that we can define the set  $Z = C \backslash Z_0$  (resp.  $D = C \backslash D_0$ ) of  $C$ -orbits in  $Z_0$  (resp. in  $D_0$ ). We introduce a linear order  $>$  on  $Z$  by defining that  $A > A'$  for  $A, A' \in Z$  if  $\text{Max } A > \text{Max } A'$ . We introduce also an operation  $+$  on  $Z$  by defining that for  $A, A' \in Z$ ,  $A + A'$  is the  $C$ -orbit through  $\text{Max } A + \text{Max } A'$ . Note that  $\text{Max}(A + A') = \text{Max } A + \text{Max } A'$ . The operation  $+$  induces a commutative semi-group structure on  $D$ .

Now we consider the following commutative diagram of inclusions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xleftarrow{j} & T \\ i_{G_0} \uparrow & & \uparrow i_{T_0} \\ G_0 & \xleftarrow{j_0} & T_0 \end{array}$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(G) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & R(T) \\ i_{G_0}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{T_0}^* \\ R(G_0) & \xrightarrow{j_0^*} & R(T_0) \end{array}$$

It is classical that  $j_0^*$  is injective. The homomorphism  $j^*$  is also injective

since for any  $g \in G$  there exists  $g_0 \in G_0$  such that  $g_0 g g_0^{-1} \in T$  (Gantmacher [4]). The inclusions  $\hat{A} \subset D(G)$  and  $\hat{A} \subset D(T)$  defined in §1 are compatible with the injection  $j^*: R(G) \rightarrow R(T)$ , i. e.  $j^* \alpha = \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \hat{A}$ . Let  $\delta \in D(T)$ . From Theorem 1 there exist a positive integer  $m(\delta)$  and  $\Lambda_\delta \in Z$  such that

$$i_{T_0}^* \delta = m(\delta) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\delta} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.$$

A surjective map  $\varphi: D(T) \rightarrow Z$  is defined by the correspondence  $\delta \mapsto \Lambda_\delta$ . For  $\delta, \delta' \in D(T)$ , we say that  $\delta$  is *strictly higher than*  $\delta'$  if  $\varphi(\delta) > \varphi(\delta')$  and it will be denoted by  $\delta \gg \delta'$ . We put

$$D_a(T) = \{\delta \in D(T); \varphi(\delta) \in D\}.$$

An irreducible representation  $\delta$  of  $T$  is called *dominant* (with respect to  $\Pi$ ) if the equivalence class of  $\delta$  belongs to  $D_a(T)$ .

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . The holomorphic extension  $G^c \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $\rho$  to the complexification  $G^c$  of  $G$  or its differential  $\mathfrak{g}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  will be denoted by the same letter  $\rho$  and put

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} V_0 &= \{v \in V; \rho(X)v = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}\} \\ &= \{v \in V; \rho(\exp X)v = v \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^c$ . Then  $V_0$  is  $T$ -invariant since  $\text{Ad } T$  leaves  $\mathfrak{m}$  invariant, so that we have a representation  $\delta_\rho: T \rightarrow GL(V_0)$  of  $T$ . We shall prove later that  $\delta_\rho$  is a dominant irreducible representation of  $T$ . The equivalence class of  $\delta_\rho$  depends only on the equivalence class of  $\rho$ . It is called the *Cartan component* of  $\rho$  or of the equivalence class  $[\rho]$  of  $\rho$ . The Cartan component of  $\rho \in D(G)$  will be denoted by  $\delta_\rho$  and the map  $D(G) \rightarrow D_a(T)$  defined by  $\rho \mapsto \delta_\rho$  will be denoted by  $\gamma$ . The classical representation theory of a compact *connected* Lie group yields the following

**THEOREM 3.** 1) *The Cartan component  $\delta_\rho$  of  $\rho \in D(G_0)$  belongs to  $D_a(T_0)$  and the map  $\gamma_0: D(G_0) \rightarrow D_a(T_0)$  defined by  $\rho \mapsto \delta_\rho$  is an  $A$ -equivariant bijection. (For  $\lambda \in D_0$ ,  $\gamma_0^{-1}(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda})$  will be denoted by  $\rho_\lambda$ .)*

2) *For  $\rho \in D(G_0)$  the Cartan component  $\delta_\rho$  of  $\rho$  is the highest component among components of  $j_0^* \rho \in R(T_0)$  and has the multiplicity 1.*

Now we shall prove that the former representation  $\delta_\rho: T \rightarrow GL(V_0)$  of  $T$  induced by an irreducible representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $G$  is irreducible and dominant. Let  $W_0$  be a  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V_0$ . Then the subspace  $W = \{\rho(g_0)s; g_0 \in G_0, s \in W_0\}_c$  of  $V$  spanned by  $\rho(G_0)W_0$  is  $G$ -invariant because of  $G = TG_0$ . Decompose  $W_0$  into the direct sum of 1-dimensional  $T_0$ -invariant subspaces:  $W_0 = W_1 + \dots + W_m$ , where  $T_0$  acts on  $W_i$  by character  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_i}$  of  $T_0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Then the subspace  $V_i$  of  $W$  spanned by  $\rho(G_0)W_i$  is a  $G_0$ -irreducible  $G_0$ -invariant subspace with the Cartan component  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_i}$  and  $W$

is the direct sum of the  $V_i$ 's. Therefore we have

$$W_0 = \{v \in W; \rho(X)v = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}\} = W \cap V_0.$$

It follows from the  $G$ -irreducibility of  $V$  that  $W_0 = 0$  or  $V_0$ . Thus  $V_0$  is  $T$ -irreducible. We have

$$i_{T_0}^*[\delta_\rho] = m([\delta_\rho]) \sum_{\lambda \in A_{[\delta_\rho]}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3 and (5) that

$$i_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^*[\rho] = m([\delta_\rho]) \sum_{\lambda \in A_{[\delta_\rho]}} \rho_\lambda$$

and so  $A_{[\delta_\rho]} \subset D_0$ . Thus  $\delta_\rho$  is dominant.

Theorem 3 is true also for a general compact Lie group  $G$  in the following sense.

**THEOREM 4.** 1) (Kostant) *The map  $\gamma: D(G) \rightarrow D_d(T)$  defined by  $\rho \mapsto \delta_\rho$  is an  $\hat{A}$ -equivariant bijection such that*

$$i_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^*\rho = m(\delta_\rho) \sum_{\lambda \in A_{\delta_\rho}} \rho_\lambda.$$

Therefore we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A} \backslash D(G) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & \hat{A} \backslash D_d(T) \\ \Phi_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_T \\ A \backslash D(G_0) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_0} & A \backslash D_d(T_0) \end{array}$$

where vertical maps  $\Phi_G$  and  $\Phi_T$  are the surjective maps defined in § 1, horizontal maps  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  are the bijective maps induced by  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_0$ .

2) For  $\rho \in D(G)$  the Cartan component  $\delta_\rho$  of  $\rho$  is the strictly highest component among components of  $j^*\rho \in R(T)$  and has the multiplicity 1.

**PROOF.** 1) This was stated in Kostant [6] without proof. We prove it here for the sake of completeness.

Let  $\delta: T \rightarrow GL(V_0)$  be a dominant irreducible representation of  $T$ . Decompose  $V_0$  into the direct sum of 1-dimensional  $T_0$ -invariant subspaces:

$$V_0 = W_1 + \dots + W_m,$$

where  $T_0$  acts on  $W_i$  by character  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_i} \in D_d(T_0)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Take one of the  $\lambda_i$ 's, say  $\lambda_1$ , and let  $\rho_1: G_0 \rightarrow GL(V_1)$  be an irreducible representation of  $G_0$  with the Cartan component  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_1}$  (Theorem 3). We imbed  $W_1$  into  $V_1$  as a  $T_0$ -invariant subspace. The natural map  $T \times_{T_0} W_1 \rightarrow G \times_{G_0} V_1$  is a  $T$ -equivariant injective bundle map over  $A = T/T_0 = G/G_0$  so that we have a  $T$ -equivariant imbedding  $\Gamma(T, W_1)^{T_0} \subset \Gamma(G, V_1)^{G_0}$ . From the Frobenius recipro-

city:  $((i_{T_0})_* e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_1}, [\delta]) = (i_{T_0}^*[\delta], e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_1}) > 0$ , we have a  $T$ -irreducible  $T$ -invariant subspace  $V'_0$  of  $\Gamma(T, W_1)^{T_0}$  and a  $T$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\theta: V_0 \rightarrow V'_0$ . Thus we have a  $T$ -equivariant injective homomorphism  $\theta: V_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(G, V_1)^{G_0}$ . The subspace  $V'$  of  $\Gamma(G, V_1)^{G_0}$  spanned by  $G_0\theta(V_0)$  is  $G$ -invariant because of  $G = TG_0$  so that we have a representation  $\rho': G \rightarrow GL(V')$  of  $G$ . For  $g_0 \in G_0$ ,  $s \in V_0$  and  $t \in T$  we have

$$(\rho'(g_0)\theta(s))(t) = \theta(s)(g_0^{-1}t) = \theta(s)(t(t^{-1}g_0^{-1}t)) = \rho_1(t^{-1}g_0^{-1}t)^{-1}\theta(s)(t).$$

It follows seeing  $\theta(s)(t) \in W_1$  and  $\text{Ad } t^{-1}\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}$  that

$$\rho'(X)\theta(s) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } s \in V_0.$$

Thus we have

$$(6) \quad V'_0 = \{f \in V'; \rho'(X)f = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}\},$$

$$i_{G_0}^*[\rho'] = \rho_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \rho_{\lambda_m}.$$

The representation  $\rho'$  is  $G$ -irreducible since for a non-trivial  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W'$  of  $V'$ ,  $W' \cap V'_0$  is also a non-trivial  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V'_0$  in view of (6). The equivalence class of  $\rho'$  depends only on the equivalence class of  $\delta$  since  $\lambda_i \in A \cdot \lambda_1$  for any  $i$  (Theorem 1).

Next we prove that if a dominant irreducible representation  $\delta: T \rightarrow GL(V_0)$  of  $T$  is obtained from an irreducible representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $G$  by (5), then the above obtained representation  $\rho'$  is equivalent to  $\rho$ . Let

$$i_{T_0}^*[\delta] = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_1} + \dots + e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_m}.$$

Then (5) implies

$$i_{G_0}^*[\rho] = \rho_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \rho_{\lambda_m}.$$

Together with (6) we have a  $G_0$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\theta: V \rightarrow V'$  which is an extension of the  $T$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\theta: V_0 \rightarrow V'_0$ . For  $t \in T$ ,  $g_0 \in G_0$  and  $s \in V_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\rho(t)\rho(g_0)s) &= \theta(\rho(tg_0t^{-1})\rho(t)s) = \rho'(tg_0t^{-1})\theta(\rho(t)s) \\ &= \rho'(tg_0t^{-1})\rho'(t)\theta(s) = \rho'(tg_0)\theta(s) \\ &= \rho'(t)\rho'(g_0)\theta(s) = \rho'(t)\theta(\rho(g_0)s). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\theta$  is a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism since  $V$  is spanned by  $\rho(G_0)V_0$  and  $G = TG_0$ . Thus we have proved that the map  $\gamma$  is bijective.

The other statements are clear from the construction.

2) Let

$$j^*\rho = \sum_{\delta \in D(T)} m_\delta \delta$$

and

$$i_{T_0}^* \delta = m(\delta) \sum_{\lambda \in A_\delta} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.$$

Then

$$i_{T_0}^* j^* \rho = \sum_{\delta} m_\delta m(\delta) \sum_{\lambda \in A_\delta} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.$$

On the other hand, we have by 1)

$$i_{G_0}^* \rho = m(\delta_\rho) \sum_{\lambda \in A_\delta} \rho_\lambda$$

so that

$$j_\delta^* i_{G_0}^* \rho = m(\delta_\rho) \sum_{\lambda \in A_\delta} j_\delta^* \rho_\lambda.$$

It follows from Theorem 3 that the highest component of  $j_\delta^* i_{G_0}^* \rho \in R(T_0)$  is  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_0}$ , where  $\lambda_0 = \text{Max } A_{\delta_\rho}$ , with the multiplicity  $m(\delta_\rho)$ . Comparing the highest components of  $i_{T_0}^* j^* \rho$  and  $j_\delta^* i_{G_0}^* \rho$ , we know that  $m_{\delta_\rho} = 1$  and that  $m_\delta \neq 0$ ,  $\delta \neq \delta_\rho$  imply  $A_\delta < A_{\delta_\rho}$ . This completes the proof of 2). q. e. d.

For each  $A \in Z$  the complete inverse  $\varphi^{-1}(A)$  of  $A$  for the map  $\varphi: D(T) \rightarrow Z$  defined by  $\delta \mapsto A_\delta$  is a finite subset of  $D(T)$  from the Frobenius reciprocity. We introduce on each  $\varphi^{-1}(A)$  an arbitrary linear order and fix it once and for all. We introduce a linear order  $>$  on  $D(T)$  as follows: For  $\delta, \delta' \in D(T)$ ,  $\delta > \delta'$  if and only if  $\delta \gg \delta'$  or  $\varphi(\delta) = \varphi(\delta')$ ,  $\delta > \delta'$ .

LEMMA 1. *The highest (with respect to the above order) component of an element  $\chi \in j^*R(G) \subset R(T)$  belongs to  $D_d(T)$ .*

PROOF. Let

$$\chi = j^* \sum_{\rho \in D(G)} m_\rho \rho, \quad \delta_{\rho_0} = \text{Max}_{m_\rho \neq 0} \delta_\rho$$

and

$$j^* \rho = \sum_{\delta \in D(T)} m_{\rho, \delta} \delta,$$

so that

$$\chi = \sum_{\rho} m_\rho \sum_{\delta} m_{\rho, \delta} \delta.$$

It follows from Theorem 4 that the highest component of  $\chi$  is  $\delta_{\rho_0}$ , which belongs to  $D_d(T)$ , with the multiplicity  $m_{\rho_0}$ . q. e. d.

Henceforth we assume that *the quotient group  $A = G/G_0$  is a cyclic group.* Let  $\alpha$  be a generator of the character group  $\hat{A}$  of  $A$ . Then Theorem 2 implies that  $m(\delta) = 1$  for any  $\delta \in D(T)$  and that  $\Phi_T$  and  $\Phi_G$  are bijections so that  $\varphi(\delta) = \varphi(\delta')$  for  $\delta, \delta' \in D(T)$  if and only if there exists a non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $\alpha^n \delta = \delta'$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\delta, \delta' \in D(T)$ . Then  $\delta\delta' \in R(T)$  has the strictly highest component  $\delta''$  with the multiplicity 1 such that  $\varphi(\delta) + \varphi(\delta') = \varphi(\delta'')$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\lambda_0 = \text{Max } A_\delta$  and  $\lambda'_0 = \text{Max } A_{\delta'}$ . Then the highest component of

$$(i_{T_0}^* \delta)(i_{T_0}^* \delta') = \sum_{(\lambda, \lambda') \in A_\delta \times A_{\delta'}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\lambda + \lambda')} \in R(T)$$

is  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\lambda_0 + \lambda'_0)}$  with the multiplicity 1. On the other hand, if  $\delta\delta' = \sum_{\epsilon \in D(T)} m_\epsilon \epsilon$  we have

$$i_{T_0}^*(\delta\delta') = \sum_{\epsilon} m_\epsilon \sum_{\mu \in A_\epsilon} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu}.$$

Comparing the highest components of  $(i_{T_0}^* \delta)(i_{T_0}^* \delta')$  and  $i_{T_0}^*(\delta\delta')$  we know that there exists  $\delta'' \in D(T)$  such that  $m_{\delta''} = 1$  and  $A_\delta + A_{\delta'} = A_{\delta''}$ , and that  $\delta''$  is strictly highest in  $\delta\delta'$ . q. e. d.

LEMMA 3. 1) Let  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta' \in D(T)$  such that  $\delta_1 \ll \delta_2$  and  $\delta'_1$  (resp.  $\delta'_2$ ) the strictly highest component of  $\delta_1\delta'$  (resp. of  $\delta_2\delta'$ ). Then  $\delta'_1 \ll \delta'_2$ .

2) Let  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta'_1, \delta'_2 \in D(T)$  such that  $\delta_1 \ll \delta_2, \delta'_1 \ll \delta'_2$  and  $\delta''_1$  (resp.  $\delta''_2$ ) be the strictly highest component of  $\delta_1\delta'_1$  (resp. of  $\delta_2\delta'_2$ ). Then  $\delta''_1 \ll \delta''_2$ .

PROOF. 1) We have by Lemma 2  $\varphi(\delta'_1) = \varphi(\delta_1) + \varphi(\delta')$  and  $\varphi(\delta'_2) = \varphi(\delta_2) + \varphi(\delta')$ . Together with  $\varphi(\delta_1) < \varphi(\delta_2)$  we have  $\varphi(\delta'_1) < \varphi(\delta'_2)$ .

2) We have by Lemma 2  $\varphi(\delta''_1) = \varphi(\delta_1) + \varphi(\delta'_1)$  and  $\varphi(\delta''_2) = \varphi(\delta_2) + \varphi(\delta'_2)$ . Together with the inequalities  $\varphi(\delta_1) < \varphi(\delta_2)$  and  $\varphi(\delta'_1) < \varphi(\delta'_2)$  we have  $\varphi(\delta''_1) < \varphi(\delta''_2)$ . q. e. d.

LEMMA 4. Let  $\chi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be an element of  $R(G)$  such that  $j^*\chi_i$  has the strictly highest component  $\delta_i \in D_d(T)$  with the multiplicity 1 and  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be a non-negative integer. Then  $j^*(\chi_1^{n_1} \dots \chi_m^{n_m})$  has the strictly highest component  $\delta \in D_d(T)$  with the multiplicity 1 such that  $\varphi(\delta) = n_1\varphi(\delta_1) + \dots + n_m\varphi(\delta_m)$ .

PROOF. The existence of the strictly highest component  $\delta$  follows from Lemma 3. The other statements follow from Lemma 2. q. e. d.

THEOREM 5. Assume that  $A = G/G_0$  is a cyclic group. Let  $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  be a system of generators of the semigroup  $D$ ,  $\delta_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) an element of  $D_d(T)$  with  $\varphi(\delta_i) = A_i$ ,  $\chi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) an element of  $R(G)$  such that  $j^*\chi_i$  has the strictly highest component  $\delta_i$  with the multiplicity 1. (Existence of such  $\chi_i$  is assured by Theorem 4.) Let  $\alpha$  be a generator of the character group  $\hat{A}$  of  $A$ . Then the character ring  $R(G)$  of  $G$  is generated by  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m, \alpha$ .

PROOF. Take any element  $\chi \in R(G)$ . Let  $\delta_0$  be the highest component of  $j^*\chi$ .  $m_{\delta_0}$  denotes the multiplicity of  $\delta_0$  in  $j^*\chi$ . Since  $\delta_0 \in D_d(T)$  (Lemma 1), we have non-negative integers  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  such that  $n_1A_1 + \dots + n_mA_m = \varphi(\delta_0)$ . On the other hand, Lemma 4 implies that  $j^*(\chi_1^{n_1} \dots \chi_m^{n_m})$  has the strictly highest component, say  $\delta$ , with the multiplicity 1 such that  $n_1A_1 + \dots + n_mA_m = \varphi(\delta)$ . Thus there exists a non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $\alpha^n\delta = \delta_0$ . It follows that the highest component of  $j^*(m_{\delta_0}\alpha^n\chi_1^{n_1} \dots \chi_m^{n_m}) = m_{\delta_0}\alpha^n j^*(\chi_1^{n_1} \dots \chi_m^{n_m})$  is  $\delta_0$  with the multiplicity  $m_{\delta_0}$ . Therefore the highest component of  $j^*(\chi - m_{\delta_0}\alpha^n\chi_1^{n_1} \dots \chi_m^{n_m})$  is lower than  $\delta_0$ . Thus we can show inductively that  $\chi$  is a polynomial of  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m, \alpha$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , recalling that  $j^*$  is injective. q. e. d.

**THEOREM 6.** Assume that  $A = G/G_0$  is a cyclic group. Let  $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  be an independent system of  $D$ , i. e.  $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^m n'_i A_i$ , where the  $n_i, n'_i$  are non-negative integers, implies  $n_i = n'_i$  for any  $i$ . Let  $\delta_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be an element of  $D_d(T)$  with  $\varphi(\delta_i) = A_i$ ,  $\chi_i$  an element of  $R(G)$  such that  $j^* \chi_i$  has the strictly highest component  $\delta_i$  with the multiplicity 1. Then the system  $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m\}$  has no relations in  $R(G)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $F \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  be a relation for  $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m\}$ , i. e.  $F = \sum a_{n_1 \dots n_m} X_1^{n_1} \dots X_m^{n_m}$  ( $a_{n_1 \dots n_m} \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) satisfies  $F(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) = 0$ . Suppose that  $F \neq 0$ . Let  $\sum n_i^0 A_i$  be the highest among the  $\sum n_i A_i$  such that  $a_{n_1 \dots n_m} \neq 0$ . The assumption for  $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  implies the uniqueness of such  $(n_1^0, \dots, n_m^0)$ , so that  $a_{n_1 \dots n_m} \neq 0$ ,  $(n_1, \dots, n_m) \neq (n_1^0, \dots, n_m^0)$  imply  $\sum n_i A_i < \sum n_i^0 A_i$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that  $j^* F(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$  has the strictly highest component with the multiplicity  $a_{n_1^0 \dots n_m^0}$ , which contradicts  $F(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) = 0$ . q. e. d.

**§ 3. Character rings of  $O(n)$  and  $\text{Pin}(n)$ .**

We recall the notion of the group  $\text{Pin}(n)$  (Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro [2]). Let  $C_n$  be the Clifford algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$  of degree  $n$  associated with the positive definite quadratic form, i. e. the associative algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$  generated by  $1, e_1, \dots, e_n$  with relations  $e_i^2 = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = 0$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ).  $C_n^*$  denotes the group of invertible elements of  $C_n$ . For  $i = 0, 1$ ,  $C_n^i$  denotes the subspace of  $C_n$  spanned by the  $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_r}$  ( $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq n, r \equiv i \pmod{2}$ ). Then  $C_n^0$  is a subalgebra of  $C_n$  and  $C_n = C_n^0 + C_n^1$  (direct sum). Let  $\iota$  be an automorphism of  $C_n$  defined by  $x^0 + x^1 \mapsto x^0 - x^1$  for  $x^i \in C_n^i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ),  $x \mapsto x^t$  be an anti-automorphism of  $C_n$  defined by  $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_r} \mapsto e_{i_r} \dots e_{i_2} e_{i_1}$  ( $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ ). Put  $\bar{x} = \iota(x^t)$  for  $x \in C_n$  and define the norm  $\nu$  of  $x \in C_n$  by  $\nu(x) = \bar{x}x$ . We shall identify  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with the subspace of  $C_n$  spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  with that spanned by 1. Then we have a natural homomorphism  $p$  from the twisted Clifford group  $\Gamma_n$  defined by

$$\Gamma_n = \{s \in C_n^* ; \iota(s)\mathbf{R}^n s^{-1} \subset \mathbf{R}^n\}$$

into  $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ . It is known that the norm  $\nu$  induces a homomorphism  $\nu : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^*$ . We put

$$\text{Pin}(n) = \{s \in \Gamma_n ; |\nu(s)| = 1\}$$

and

$$\text{Spin}(n) = \text{Pin}(n) \cap C_n^0.$$

Both  $\text{Pin}(n)$  and  $\text{Spin}(n)$  are compact Lie groups with respect to the topology induced by that of  $C_n$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\text{Spin}(n)$  is the connected component of  $\text{Pin}(n)$  and  $\text{Pin}(n)/\text{Spin}(n) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ . For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\text{Spin}(n)$  is simply connected. We have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^* \longrightarrow \Gamma_n \xrightarrow{\quad \hat{p} \quad} O(n) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Pin}(n) \xrightarrow{\quad \hat{p} \quad} O(n) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Spin}(n) \xrightarrow{\quad \hat{p} \quad} SO(n) \longrightarrow 1
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbf{R}^*$ . Our  $\text{Pin}(n)$  is slightly different from  $\text{Pin}(n)$  in [2], which was defined by the Clifford algebra associated with the *negative* definite quadratic form. For example, our  $\text{Pin}(1)$  is isomorphic with  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ , while  $\text{Pin}(1)$  in [2] is isomorphic with  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ .

If  $n = 2l + 1$  is odd, we have isomorphisms

$$O(2l + 1) \cong SO(2l + 1) \times \mathbf{Z}_2$$

and

$$\text{Pin}(2l + 1) \cong \text{Spin}(2l + 1) \times \mathbf{Z}_2$$

so that we shall confine ourselves to consider  $O(2l)$  or  $\text{Pin}(2l)$ .

Let first  $G = O(2l)$  ( $l \geq 1$ ). Then  $G_0 = SO(2l)$  and  $A \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ .

$$T_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r(t_1) & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & r(t_l) \end{pmatrix}; t_i \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

where

$$r(t_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi t_i & -\sin 2\pi t_i \\ \sin 2\pi t_i & \cos 2\pi t_i \end{pmatrix}$$

is a maximal torus of  $G_0$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $T_0$  is identified with

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ H(x_1, \dots, x_l) = \begin{pmatrix} R(x_1) & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & R(x_l) \end{pmatrix}; x_i \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

where

$$R(x_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\pi x_i \\ 2\pi x_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear form on  $\mathfrak{t}$  taking value  $x_i$  at  $H(x_1, \dots, x_l)$  will be denoted by  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ). Then the root system is

$$\Delta = \{\pm(x_i \pm x_j); 1 \leq i < j \leq l\}$$

and

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_i = x_i - x_{i+1} \ (1 \leq i \leq l-1), \alpha_l = x_{l-1} + x_l\}$$

is a fundamental system of  $\Delta$ . The order  $x_1 > \dots > x_l > 0$  is a linear order

associated with  $\Pi$ . We have

$$D_0 = \{ \sum m_i x_i ; m_i \in \mathbf{Z}, m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_{l-1} \geq |m_l| \}.$$

$\tau : A \rightarrow C$  is an isomorphism and  $C \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$  is generated by the transformation  $\tau_0$  of  $t^*$  defined by  $\tau_0 x_i = x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ ) and  $\tau_0 x_l = -x_l$ . Thus the set

$$\{ \sum m_i x_i ; m_i \in \mathbf{Z}, m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_{l-1} \geq m_l \geq 0 \}$$

is a complete set of representatives of  $D = C \setminus D_0$ . If we put  $A_i = C(x_1 + \dots + x_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ), i. e.

$$A_i = \begin{cases} \{x_1 + \dots + x_i\} & 1 \leq i \leq l-1 \\ \{x_1 + \dots + x_{l-1} + x_l, x_1 + \dots + x_{l-1} - x_l\} & i = l, \end{cases}$$

then  $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  is a system of generators of the semigroup  $D$ . Let  $\rho_0 \in D(O(2l))$  be the equivalence class of the standard representation of  $O(2l)$ ,  $\lambda^i(\rho_0) \in D(O(2l))$  the  $i$ -th exterior power of  $\rho_0$ . Then

$$i_{SO(2l)}^* \lambda^i(\rho_0) = \begin{cases} \rho_{x_1 + \dots + x_i} & 1 \leq i \leq l-1 \\ \rho_{x_1 + \dots + x_{l-1} + x_l} + \rho_{x_1 + \dots + x_{l-1} - x_l} & i = l \end{cases}$$

so that  $\varphi(\delta_{\lambda^i(\rho_0)}) = A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ). Moreover the determinant representation  $\alpha \in D(O(2l))$  generates  $\hat{A}$ . It follows from Theorem 5 that  $R(O(2l))$  is generated by  $\lambda^1(\rho_0), \dots, \lambda^l(\rho_0), \alpha$ . More precisely we have

THEOREM 7.

$$R(O(2l)) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda^1(\rho_0), \dots, \lambda^l(\rho_0), \alpha]$$

with relations  $\alpha^2 = 1$  and  $\lambda^l(\rho_0)\alpha = \lambda^l(\rho_0)$ .

PROOF. Let  $R = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda^1(\rho_0), \dots, \lambda^l(\rho_0)]$  be the subring of  $R(O(2l))$  generated by  $\{\lambda^i(\rho_0); 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ . Then  $R(O(2l))$  is generated by  $\alpha$  over  $R$ .

The highest components of  $j_0^* i_{SO(2l)}^* \lambda^i(\rho_0)$  are  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$  for  $\lambda = x_1 + \dots + x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ), which are linearly independent. It follows from Theorem 6 that  $\{i_{SO(2l)}^* \lambda^i(\rho_0); 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  has no relations in  $R(SO(2l))$ . Therefore  $\{\lambda^i(\rho_0); 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  has no relations and the homomorphism  $i_{SO(2l)}^*$  is injective on  $R$ .

Thus it remains to prove that the ideal

$$I = \{F \in R[X]; F(\alpha) = 0\}$$

of  $R[X]$  is generated by  $X^2 - 1$  and  $\lambda^l(\rho_0)X - \lambda^l(\rho_0)$ . Since the first polynomial clearly belongs to  $I$  and the second belongs to  $I$  in view of Theorem 2, 2), it suffices to show that if  $F = fX + g$  ( $f, g \in R$ ) is a polynomial in  $I$  with degree 1, then  $g = -f$  and  $f$  is divisible by  $\lambda^l(\rho_0)$ . From  $0 = i_{SO(2l)}^* F(\alpha) = i_{SO(2l)}^* f + i_{SO(2l)}^* g = i_{SO(2l)}^*(f + g)$  and that  $i_{SO(2l)}^*$  is injective on  $R$ , we have  $g = -f$  and thus  $f\alpha = f$ . Let  $f = h + k\lambda^l(\rho_0)$ , where  $h \in \mathbf{Z}[\lambda^1(\rho_0), \dots, \lambda^{l-1}(\rho_0)]$  and  $k \in R$ .  $f\alpha = f$  implies  $h\alpha = h$ . We shall show that  $h = 0$ . Suppose that

$$h = \sum a_{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}} \lambda^1(\rho_0)^{n_1} \dots \lambda^{l-1}(\rho_0)^{n_{l-1}} \quad (a_{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}} \in \mathbf{Z})$$

is not zero. Let  $\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} n_i^0(x_1 + \dots + x_i)$  be the highest among the  $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} n_i(x_1 + \dots + x_i)$  such that  $a_{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}} \neq 0$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that  $j^*h$  has the strictly highest component, say  $\delta$ , with the multiplicity 1 such that  $A_\delta = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} n_i^0(x_1 + \dots + x_i) \right\}$ . On the other hand,  $h\alpha = h$  implies that  $\alpha\delta = \delta$ , which contradicts  $|A_\delta| = 1$  in view of Theorem 2, 2). q. e. d.

Now let  $G = \text{Pin}(2l)$  ( $l \geq 1$ ). Then  $G_0 = \text{Spin}(2l)$  and  $A = G/G_0 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ . We have  $\nu = \alpha \circ p$  and  $\hat{A}$  is generated by  $\nu$ . Let  $\hat{\rho}_0 \in D(\text{Pin}(2l))$  be the equivalence class of the covering homomorphism  $p: \text{Pin}(2l) \rightarrow O(2l)$  and  $\lambda^i(\hat{\rho}_0) \in D(\text{Pin}(2l))$  the  $i$ -th exterior power of  $\hat{\rho}_0$ . Take an irreducible  $C_{2l}^{0c}$ -module  $M_0$  and let  $M = C_{2l}^c \otimes_{C_{2l}^{0c}} M_0$ , where  $C_{2l}^{0c}$  (resp.  $C_{2l}^c$ ) denotes the complexification of  $C_{2l}^0$  (resp. of  $C_{2l}$ ). Then  $M$  is an irreducible  $\text{Pin}(2l)$ -module, whose equivalence class will be denoted by  $\mu^l$ . The restriction  $i_{\text{Spin}(2l)}^* \mu^l$  is the sum of two half-spinor representations of  $\text{Spin}(2l)$ . Then we have the following theorem in the same way as for  $O(2l)$ , but replacing  $x_1 + \dots + x_{l-1} \pm x_l$  by  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + \dots + x_{l-1} \pm x_l)$ .

**THEOREM 8.**  $R(\text{Pin}(2l)) = \mathbf{Z}[\lambda^1(\hat{\rho}_0), \dots, \lambda^{l-1}(\hat{\rho}_0), \mu^l, \nu]$  with relations  $\nu^2 = 1$  and  $\mu^l \nu = \nu$ .

Osaka University

### References

- [ 1 ] M. F. Atiyah, Characters and cohomology of finite groups, *Pub. Math. I. H. E. S.*, **9** (1961), 247-288.
- [ 2 ] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott and A. Shapiro, Clifford modules, *Topology*, **3**, Suppl. (1964), 3-38.
- [ 3 ] W. Feit, Characters of finite groups, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
- [ 4 ] F. Gantmacher, Canonical representation of automorphisms of a complex semi-simple Lie group, *Mat. Sb.*, **47** (1939), 101-143.
- [ 5 ] N. Iwahori and H. Matsumoto, Several remarks on projective representations of finite groups, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, I, **10** (1964), 129-146.
- [ 6 ] B. Kostant, Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil theorem, *Ann. of Math.*, **74** (1961), 329-387.
- [ 7 ] H. Minami, The representation rings of orthogonal groups, to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*, **8** (1971).