

A remark on the cohomology group and the dimension of product spaces

By Yukihiro KODAMA

(Received Dec. 8, 1967)

1. In §4 of the paper [4] several theorems concerning the dimension of product spaces were given. Proofs of all theorems except Theorem 5 depend heavily on Künneth formula which was proved by R. C. O'Neil [7]. However this formula is false. It is known by a counter example given by G. Bredon (see 2). The purpose of this paper is devoted to correct some of theorems in §4 of [4] and to prove the related results. However it is not known whether Theorems 6-9 hold or not though they are proved partly in this paper.

Throughout this paper all spaces are Hausdorff and have finite covering dimension and we mean by H^* the unrestricted Čech cohomology group.

2. R. C. O'Neil [7] gave the following theorems.

A. Let G be an abelian group. If $X \times Y$ is paracompact, then

$$H^n(X \times Y : G) \cong \sum_{q=0}^n H^q(X : H^{n-q}(Y : G)).$$

B. Let L be a principal ideal domain. If X is compact and Y is paracompact, then there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \sum_{q=0}^n H^q(X : L) \otimes_L H^{n-q}(Y : L) &\rightarrow H^n(X \times Y : L) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{q=0}^n H^{q+1}(X : L) *_L H^{n-q}(Y : L) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following example was given by G. Bredon. Let X be a solenoid, so X is a 1-dimensional compact metric space and $H^1(X) \cong R$ (=the group of all rational numbers). For $n = 2, 3, \dots$, let Y_n be a 2-dimensional finite simplicial polytope such that $H^2(Y_n) \cong Z_n$ (=the cyclic group of order n). Let Y be a disjoint union of Y_n , $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Then Y is a 2-dimensional locally finite polytope and $H^2(Y) \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} Z_n$. Since X is compact, by Peterson [8: Appendix], we have $H^3(X \times Y) \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H^3(X \times Y_n) \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H^1(X) \otimes H^2(Y_n) = 0$ and $H^1(X : H^2(Y)) \cong H^1(X) \otimes H^2(Y) \neq 0$. Thus, both theorems A and B are false.

The following theorem is easily proved by using sheaf theory.

THEOREM 1. *Let X and Y be paracompact spaces such that $\dim X = p$ and $\dim Y = q$. Suppose that either (1) X is compact, or (2) $X \times Y$ is hereditarily paracompact and Y is locally contractible. Then, for any abelian group G , $H^{p+q}(X \times Y : G) \cong H^q(Y : H^p(X : G))$.*

PROOF. Let f be the projection of $X \times Y$ onto Y . Let $\mathcal{G}^m(X : G)$ be the sheaf over Y generated by the presheaf

$$U \rightarrow H^m(f^{-1}(U) : G)$$

where U is an open set of Y . Then, by [3: Théorème 4.17.1], there is a spectral sequence such that $E_2^{nm} = H^n(Y : \mathcal{G}^m(X : G))$ and the term E_∞ is bigraded associated with filtrations on $H^{m+n}(X \times Y : G)$. Under the hypothesis of the theorem it is known that $\mathcal{G}^m(X : G)$ is a constant sheaf $H^m(X : G)$ over Y . In the case (1) it follows from [3: Théorème 4.11.1] and in the case (2) it follows from the paracompactness of $f^{-1}(U)$ and the local contractibility of Y . Thus we have $E_2^{nm} = H^n(Y : H^m(X : G))$. Since $\dim X = p$ and $\dim Y = q$, $E_2^{nm} = 0$ if $m > p$ or $n > q$. Thus $E_2^{qp} \cong H^{p+q}(X \times Y : G)$. This completes the proof.

REMARK 1. By Bredon's example mentioned above, we can not exchange X and Y in the conclusion of Theorem 1, that is, $H^{p+q}(X \times Y : G) \cong H^p(X : H^q(Y : G))$ does not generally hold.

REMARK 2. The following generalization to Theorem 1 is true.

(1) Theorem 1 holds if we replace " $\dim X = p$ " by " $\text{Max} \{m : H^m(X : G) \neq 0\} = p$ ".

(2) In the case (1) of Theorem 1, if $q > 1$, then, for each closed set B of Y , we have $H^{p+q}(X \times Y, X \times B : G) \cong H^q(Y, B : H^p(X : G))$.

3. Let G be an abelian group. The cohomological dimension $D(X : G)$ of a space X with respect to G is the largest integer n such that $H^n(X, A : G) \neq 0$ for some closed set A of X .

LEMMA 1. *Let X be paracompact. Let G and H be abelian groups. If there is an epimorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ and $D(X : H) = \dim X$, then $D(X : G) = \dim X$.*

PROOF. Take a closed subset A of X such that $H^n(X, A : H) \neq 0$, where $n = \dim X$. Since $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is exact, the sequence $\dots \rightarrow H^n(X, A : G) \rightarrow H^n(X, A : H) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X, A : \text{Ker } \alpha) = 0$ is exact. Thus $H^n(X, A : G) \neq 0$.

LEMMA 2. *Let X be a compact space with $D(X : G) = m$ and let Y be a paracompact space. Suppose that, for some closed G_δ set A of X , either (1) there is an epimorphism: $H^m(X, A : G) \rightarrow G$ and $D(Y : G) = \dim Y$ or (2) $D(Y : H^m(X, A : G)) = \dim Y$. Then $D(X \times Y : G) \geq D(X : G) + \dim Y$.*

PROOF. There is a closed G_δ set B of Y such that either (1) $H^n(Y, B : G) \neq 0$ or (2) $H^n(Y, B : H^m(X, A : G)) \neq 0$, where $n = \dim Y$. Let $X_0 = X/A$ and $Y_0 = Y/B$, and let x_0 and y_0 be the points corresponding to A and B . Since

$D(X_0 : G) = m$ and $\dim Y_0 = n$, $H^{m+n}(X_0 \times Y_0 : G) \cong H^n(Y_0 : H^m(X_0 : G))$ by Theorem 1. Thus, in both cases (1) and (2), we can conclude $H^{m+n}(X_0 \times Y_0 : G) \neq 0$. Hence $D(X_0 \times Y_0 : G) \geq m+n$. Since $X_0 \times Y_0 - \{x_0\} \times Y_0 \cup X_0 \times \{y_0\}$ is a union of a countable number of closed sets of $X \times Y$, sum theorem [4, p. 348] means $D(X \times Y : G) \geq m+n$.

A compact space X is clc^∞ if, for each point x of X and a closed neighborhood U of x , there is a closed neighborhood V of x such that $V \subset U$ and the induced homomorphism: $\tilde{H}^i(U) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^i(V)$ is trivial for $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$, where \tilde{H}^i is the reduced group.

The following is a generalization to Morita [6: Theorem 6] and Dyer [1: Corollary 7].

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a compact clc^∞ space and let Y be a paracompact space with $D(Y : G) = \dim Y$. If $D(X : R) = \dim X$, then $D(X \times Y : G) = \dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$.*

PROOF. Since X is a compact clc^∞ space and $D(X : R) = \dim X$, there are closed sets A, B and N of X such that (1) A is G_δ and $A \supset B$, (2) N is a neighborhood of B , (3) $H^m(N, B)$ contains an element γ of infinite order, where $m = \dim X$, and (4) the image of the induced homomorphism: $H^m(X, A) \rightarrow H^m(N, B)$ is finitely generated and contains the element γ . The proof is found in Dyer [2: p. 157]. This shows that there is an epimorphism of $H^m(X, A)$ onto Z . Hence there is an epimorphism of $H^m(X, A : G) = H^m(X, A) \otimes G$ onto G . By Lemma 2 we can conclude that $D(X \times Y : G) = \dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a compact clc^∞ space. In order that $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$ for every paracompact space Y , it is necessary and sufficient that $D(X : R) = \dim X$.*

LEMMA 3. *Let X be a paracompact space with finite large inductive dimension and let G be an abelian group. Then, for each k , $0 \leq k \leq D(X : G)$, there is a closed subset X_k of X such that $D(X_k : G) = k$. If G is finitely generated, then the lemma holds for a normal space X with finite large inductive dimension. Consequently, if X is normal and $\text{Ind } X < \infty$, then, for each k , $0 \leq k \leq \dim X$, there is a closed set X_k such that $\dim X_k = k$.*

PROOF. If $\text{Ind } X = 0$, then it is obvious that the lemma is true. Suppose that the lemma holds in case $\text{Ind } X \leq n-1$. Let $\text{Ind } X = n$ and $D(X : G) = m$. For each pair (F, U) , F closed, U open and $F \subset U$, choose an open set $V_{(F,U)}$ such that $F \subset V_{(F,U)} \subset \bar{V}_{(F,U)} \subset U$ and $\text{Ind}(\bar{V}_{(F,U)} - V_{(F,U)}) \leq n-1$. If $D(\bar{V}_{(F,U)} - V_{(F,U)} : G) \leq m-2$ for each pair (F, U) , then $D(X : G) \leq m-1$ by [5: Theorem 5]. Thus, there is some pair (F, U) such that $D(\bar{V} - V : G) = m-1$ or $= m$, where $V = V_{(F,U)}$. Since $\text{Ind}(\bar{V} - V) \leq n-1$, there is a closed subset X_k of $\bar{V} - V$ for each k , $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, such that $D(X_k : G) = k$. Then the closed sets X_k , $k=0$,

1, \dots , $m-1$, and $X_m = X$ satisfy the conclusion of the lemma.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a compact space and let Y be a paracompact space with finite large inductive dimension. If $\dim Y > 0$, then $D(X \times Y : G) \geq D(X : G) + 1$ for any abelian group G .*

PROOF. Since Y has finite large inductive dimension and $\dim Y > 0$, by the previous lemma, there is a closed set Y' of Y such that $\dim Y' = 1$. Take a closed G_δ set A of X such that $H^n(X, A : G) \neq 0$, where $n = D(X : G)$. Since $\dim Y' = 1$, it follows from [4: Corollary 1] that $D(Y' : H^n(X, A : G)) = \dim Y'$. Hence, by Lemma 2, $D(X \times Y : G) \geq D(X \times Y' : G) \geq D(X : G) + 1$.

THEOREM 4. *Let G be one of the groups R , Q_p (=the p -primary part of the group of rationals modulo one) and Z_p , where p is prime. Let X be compact and let Y be paracompact. If $D(X : G) = \dim X$ and $D(Y : G) = \dim Y$, then $D(X \times Y : G) = \dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$.*

PROOF. Take a closed G_δ set A of X such that $H^m(X, A : G) \neq 0$, where $m = \dim X$. Since X is compact, $H^m(X, A : G) \cong H^m(X, A) \otimes G$. Thus, if G is one of the groups R , Q_p and Z_p , then G is a direct summand of $H^m(X, A : G)$. Hence, there is an epimorphism from $H^m(X, A : G)$ onto G . The theorem follows from Lemma 2.

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo University of Education
University of Pittsburgh

References

- [1] E. Dyer, Regular mappings and dimension, *Ann. of Math.*, **74** (1958), 555-577.
- [2] E. Dyer, On the dimension of products, *Fund. Math.*, **47** (1959), 141-160.
- [3] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Herman, Paris, 1958.
- [4] Y. Kodama, Note on cohomological dimension for non-compact spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18** (1966), 343-359.
- [5] Y. Kodama, Note on cohomological dimension for non-compact spaces II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **20** (1968), 490-497.
- [6] K. Morita, On the dimension of product spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **75** (1953), 205-223.
- [7] R. C. O'Neil, The Čech cohomology of paracompact product spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **87** (1965), 71-78.
- [8] F. P. Peterson, Some results on cohomotopy groups, *Amer. J. Math.*, **78** (1956), 243-259.