

The prolongation of the holonomy group

By Gerald D. LUDDEN

(Received Aug. 1, 1967)

(Revised Oct. 2, 1967)

In a series of recent papers [2], Kobayashi and Yano [2; I] have defined a mapping from the tensor algebra of a manifold M into the tensor algebra of its tangent bundle $T(M)$. This mapping they called the "complete lift". They have also defined the complete lift of a connection on M to a connection on $T(M)$. In [2; III], they have shown that the holonomy group of the connection on $T(M)$ is the tangent group of the holonomy group of the connection on M . They mention that it should be possible to prove this in the spirit of [2; I]. The purpose of this paper is to compare the infinitesimal holonomy groups of M and $T(M)$ (see Nijenhuis [3] for definition and properties).

We will suppose that the manifold M is connected and analytic and also that the connection is analytic. In this case, Nijenhuis [3] has shown that the dimension of the infinitesimal holonomy group is constant on M and thus the infinitesimal holonomy group is equal to the restricted holonomy group of M . The main theorem of this paper then tells us that if the dimension of the Lie algebra of the holonomy group of M is r , then the dimension of the Lie algebra of the holonomy group of $T(M)$ is $2r$ and furthermore, it has an abelian ideal of dimension r . The result of [2; III] for M can easily be seen by the constructions contained here.

§ 1. Preliminaries.

Let M be a connected, analytic manifold of dimension n and $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the module of vector fields on M . The connection will be denoted by ∇ and the covariant derivative operator by $\nabla_x (X \in \mathfrak{X}(M))$. Let R denote the curvature tensor of ∇ . ∇ is assumed to be analytic. If (x^i) is a local coordinate system on M , let the corresponding coordinate system on $T(M)$ (the tangent bundle of M) be denoted by (x^i, y^i) . Here we have $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $\pi: T(M) \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection map. Then, following Kobayashi and Yano [2], we define two mappings from the tensor algebra of M into the tensor algebra of $T(M)$. The first is called the "vertical lift", and is characterized by

1_v) $(S \otimes T)^v = S^v \otimes T^v$, where S and T are tensor fields on M and S^v and T^v their images under the mapping,

2_v) if φ is a function on M ,

$$\varphi^v = \varphi \circ \pi,$$

3_v) if $X = X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, then

$$X^v = X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k},$$

4_v) if $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$, then

$$\omega^v = \omega_k dx^k.$$

The second mapping is called the "complete lift" and it is characterized by

1_c) $(S \otimes T)^c = S^c \otimes T^v + S^v \otimes T^c,$

2_c) if φ is a function on M ,

$$\varphi^c = y^i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i},$$

3_c) if $X = X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, then

$$X^c = X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + y^i \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k},$$

4_c) if $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$, then

$$\omega^c = y^i \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial x^i} dx^k + \omega_k dy^k.$$

It may then be shown that a unique connection \mathcal{V}^c on $T(M)$ is determined by defining $\mathcal{V}_{X^c}^c Y^c = (\mathcal{V}_X Y)^c$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The curvature tensor of \mathcal{V}^c is then found to be R^c . Also, for any tensor T on M , $\mathcal{V}^c T^c = (\mathcal{V} T)^c$.

Let p be a fixed point of M and let $W_0 = \{R(X, Y)(p) \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}$. Here $R(X, Y)(p)$ denotes $R(X, Y)$ evaluated at p . Similarly, let

$$W_\infty = \{(\mathcal{V}_{X_\alpha} \cdots \mathcal{V}_{X_1} R)(X, Y)(p) \mid \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}.$$

Then, if we let \mathfrak{G} be the linear span of $W_0 + W_\infty$, \mathfrak{G} is a Lie algebra (under the usual bracket product). \mathfrak{G} is the Lie algebra of the infinitesimal holonomy group of M at p (see Nijenhuis [3]). Nijenhuis has proved that if M and the connection are analytic, then the dimension of \mathfrak{G} is constant on M . It can be shown in this case that R can be locally decomposed as $R = L_\alpha \otimes M^a$, $a = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ($= \dim \mathfrak{G}$), where the $L_\alpha(p)$ form a basis of \mathfrak{G} . We can also show that $(\mathcal{V}_{X_\alpha} \cdots \mathcal{V}_{X_1} R) = L_\alpha \otimes N^a$ (i. e. $N^a = N^a(X_1, \dots, X_\alpha)$).

§2. Main Theorem.

Henceforth, by holonomy group we mean the infinitesimal holonomy group at a fixed point of M .

THEOREM. *If the dimension of the holonomy group G of M is r , then the dimension of the holonomy group G^c of $T(M)$ is $2r$. Moreover, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{G}^c of G^c has an abelian ideal of dimension r .*

Let $\{L_a | a=1, \dots, r\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{G} . If we could show that $\{L_a^v, L_a^c\}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{G}^c and that $[L_a^v, L_b^v]=0$ and $[L_a^v, L_b^c]=0$ for all a and b , the proof of the theorem would be finished. Instead of doing this for a general connection, we will consider the special case where the curvature tensor is recurrent (i. e. there is a 1-form η such that $\nabla R = \eta \otimes R$) and merely note that the proof will carry over to the general case.

In order to state the following proposition, we need a definition due to Hlavaty [1].

DEFINITION. The holonomy group is called *perfect* if $\mathfrak{G} = W_0$.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose the curvature tensor of M is recurrent. Then \mathfrak{G}^c is perfect and satisfies the conclusions of the theorem.*

PROOF. It is clear that since R is recurrent it is perfect and we can locally decompose R as $R = L_a \otimes M^a$ ($a=1, \dots, r$), where $\{L_a\}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{G} ($= W_0$) and the M^a are linearly independent. By 1_c we have that $R^c = L_a^c \otimes M^{av} + L_a^v \otimes M^{ac}$. If we let the components of M^a be denoted by M_{ij}^a , then the components of M^{ac} are $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial M_{ij}^a}{\partial x^k} y^k & M_{ij}^a \\ M_{ij}^a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and those of M^{av} are $\begin{pmatrix} M_{ij}^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to see that $\{M^{av}, M^{ac}\}$ is a linearly independent set of tensors and that $W_0^c = sp\{L_a^v(P), L_a^c(P)\}$. Here, W_0^c is formed from R^c in the same manner as W_0 was formed from R . P is a point of $T(M)$. Similarly, if L_{aj}^i are the components of L_a , then we have that $L_a^v : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ L_{aj}^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $L_a^c : \begin{pmatrix} L_{aj}^i & 0 \\ y^k \frac{\partial L_{aj}^i}{\partial x^k} & L_{aj}^i \end{pmatrix}$, and thus $\{L_a^v(P), L_a^c(P)\}$ form a basis for W_0^c .

Now suppose that $\nabla R = \eta \otimes R$. Then, we see that $\nabla^c R^c = (\nabla R)^c = (\eta \otimes R)^c = \eta^c \otimes R^v + \eta^v \otimes R^c$. Therefore, if $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \in \mathfrak{X}(T(M))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^c R^c)(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) &= \eta^c(\tilde{X}) L_a^v M^{av}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \\ &\quad + \eta^v(\tilde{X}) L_a^c M^{av}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + \eta^v(\tilde{X}) L_a^v M^{ac}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

which, when evaluated at P , is in W_0^c . Continuing, we obtain that $W_\infty^c \subseteq W_0^c$. This shows that $\mathfrak{G}^c = W_0^c$ and $\dim W_0^c = 2r$.

The components of $[L_a(p), L_b(p)]$ are given by $L_{ai}^k(p)L_{bk}^j(p) - L_{bi}^k(p)L_{ak}^j(p)$.

Suppose that $[L_a(p), L_b(p)] = C_{ab}^d(p)L_d(p)$ (since the $L_a(p)$'s are a basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{G}). This formula is valid in a neighborhood of p . A simple calculation making use of the components of the L_a^y 's and L_a^c 's then shows that $[L_a^y, L_b^y] = 0$ for all a and b . Also, we find that $[L_a^y, L_b^c] = C_{ab}^d L_d^y$ for all a and b . This shows that the linear span of the $L_a^y(P)$'s form an abelian ideal of \mathfrak{G}^c .

We can easily go a step further and show that $[L_a^c, L_b^c] = C_{ab}^d L_d^c + (C_{ab}^d)^c L_d^y$. Therefore we have computed all of the structure constants for \mathfrak{G}^c . The above procedure is extended to a general connection by noting that R can be locally decomposed as $R = L_a \otimes M^a$, where the non-zero M^a 's are linearly independent. We then pick a similar decomposition for the covariant derivatives of R .

§ 3. Concluding remarks.

The results in this paper remain true if we replace the analyticity requirement by C^∞ , understand that we mean infinitesimal holonomy groups and assume that the dimension of the holonomy group is constant.

Y. C. Wong [4] has given a characterization of recurrent tensors. He assumes that the manifold and tensors are C^∞ . Using this characterization and the proof presented here, it can be easily shown that the proposition above is true on a C^∞ manifold. Likewise the result of [2; III] can be seen by this method for this special case.

Michigan State University

References

- [1] V. Hlavaty, Holonomy group II, The Lie group induced by a tensor, J. Math. Mech., **8** (1959), 597-622.
- [2] S. Kobayashi and K. Yano, Prolongations of tensor fields and connections to tangent bundles, I. General theory and III. Holonomy groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **18** (1966), 194-210 and **19** (1967), 486-488.
- [3] A. Nijenhuis, On the holonomy groups of linear connections IA, IB, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Amsterdam=Indag. Math., **15** (1953), 233-249.
- [4] Y. C. Wong, Recurrent tensors on a linearly connected differentiable manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **99** (1961), 325-341.