

On the extreme values of the roots of matrices

By Tetsuro YAMAMOTO

(Received Aug. 23, 1965)

(Revised March 10, 1966)

In this paper we shall investigate some properties concerning the behavior of the eigenvalues and singular values of complex matrices. Let A be an n -square matrix and p be any positive integer. Let the eigenvalues of A and the singular values of A^p be denoted by λ_i and $\alpha_i^{(p)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) respectively, which are so arranged that $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|$ and $\alpha_1^{(p)} \geq \alpha_2^{(p)} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n^{(p)}$. Then in § 1 we shall prove that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i^{(p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = |\lambda_i|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This generalizes a Gautschi's result ([3] p. 138). In § 2 we shall treat non-negative matrices and state some properties which improve some results obtained by Gautschi [3] and Brauer [1].

The writer wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor Y. Nakai for his advice and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

Notations and definitions: We consider n -square matrices with complex elements and certain notational conventions will be observed throughout this paper. The (i, j) element of an $n \times n$ matrix A will be denoted, using the corresponding small letters, by a_{ij} . $R_i(A)$ stands for $\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$ and $C_j(A)$ for $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|$. We shall put $R(A) = \max_i R_i(A)$ and $C(A) = \max_j C_j(A)$. We adopt the notations tA and A^* for a transposed matrix and a conjugate transposed matrix of A respectively. $\lambda(A)$ signifies any one of the eigenvalues of A . The singular values of A are the square roots of the eigenvalues of $(A^*)A$ or AA^* . The spectral radius of A is $\rho(A) = \max |\lambda(A)|$. We mean by a non-negative (positive) matrix the one whose elements are non-negative (positive) real numbers. $|A|$ denotes the matrix whose (i, j) elements are given by $|a_{ij}|$. By a vector x , we mean one column matrix and the Euclidean length of x , $(x^*x)^{1/2}$, will be denoted by $|x|$.

§ 1. The behavior of the singular values

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a matrix norm consistent with a vector norm (cf. [4]). Then $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} = \rho(A)$ is well known as a special case in the theory of Banach

algebra (e. g. [5]) and was also proved by Gautschi [3] in different formulations, but we give here an elementary proof for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA. Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a matrix norm consistent with a vector norm, then for every positive integer p , we have

$$\rho(A) \leq \|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(A) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If p_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that p_i is divisible by p_{i-1} ($i=2, 3, \dots$), then the sequence $\{\|A^{p_i}\|^{\frac{1}{p_i}}\}$ ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots$) is monotone decreasing and converges towards $\rho(A)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Let x be a non-trivial eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue λ of A , then $A^p x = \lambda^p x$ for any positive integer p . Hence $|\lambda|^p |x| \leq \|A^p\| |x|$ and $|x| > 0$. From this it follows that $|\lambda| \leq \|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|A\|$. Thus the sequence $\{\|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}}\}$ ($p=1, 2, \dots$) is contained in the bounded closed interval $[\rho(A), \|A\|]$, and has at least one limit point in it. Let α be any limit point of this sequence, then $\rho(A) \leq \alpha \leq \|A\|$. Now suppose that $\rho(A) < \alpha$, then there exist a subsequence $\{\|A^{p_i}\|^{\frac{1}{p_i}}\}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots$, $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots$) such that $\|A^{p_i}\|^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and a positive number α' such that $\rho(A) < \alpha' < \alpha$. Then $\rho\left(\frac{A}{\alpha'}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \rho(A) < 1$, therefore $\left(\frac{A}{\alpha'}\right)^{p_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since a matrix norm is continuous with respect to the elements, we get $\left\|\left(\frac{A}{\alpha'}\right)^{p_i}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence if we take a positive constant ε , there is an integer $N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\left\|\left(\frac{A}{\alpha'}\right)^{p_i}\right\| < \varepsilon$ for every $i > N(\varepsilon)$, and we have

$$1 < \frac{\alpha}{\alpha'} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\|\left(\frac{A}{\alpha'}\right)^{p_i}\right\|^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p_i}} = 1.$$

This is a contradiction and we have $\rho(A) = \alpha$, which implies $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} = \rho(A)$. Further, if $p_{i+1} = m p_i$ where m is a positive integer, we have

$$\|A^{p_{i+1}}\|^{\frac{1}{p_{i+1}}} = \|A^{m p_i}\|^{\frac{1}{m p_i}} \leq \|A^{p_i}\|^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be two matrices such that $|a_{ij}| \leq b_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then $\rho(A) \leq \rho(|A|) \leq \rho(B)$.

This is known as a part of the Perron-Frobenius theorem (cf. [7]), but using Lemma we can prove easily as follows: Let $\|A\| = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$, then $\|A^p\| = \|\|A^p\|\| \leq \|\|A\|^p\| \leq \|B^p\|$, i. e., $\|A^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|\|A\|^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|B^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}}$, hence making $p \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\rho(A) \leq \rho(|A|) \leq \rho(B)$.

REMARK. Lemma holds for any matrix function ϕ satisfying the following

conditions :

- (I) $\phi(A) \geq 0$, and $\phi(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$
- (II) $\phi(\alpha A) = |\alpha| \phi(A)$ for any complex number α
- (III) $\phi(AB) \leq \phi(A)\phi(B)$
- (IV) if $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} A_p = 0$ (considering an $n \times m$ matrix A_p as a point of the nm dimensional complex affine space), then $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \phi(A_p) = 0$.

Now we prove the following :

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a matrix of order n and p be any positive integer. Let the eigenvalues of A and the singular values of A^p be denoted by λ_i and $\alpha_i^{(p)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) respectively, which are so arranged that*

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|, \quad \alpha_1^{(p)} \geq \alpha_2^{(p)} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n^{(p)}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i^{(p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = |\lambda_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

PROOF. As is well known, we have

$$(1) \quad \rho(A)^p = \rho(A^p) \leq \rho\{(A^p)^* A^p\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq N(A^p)$$

where $N(A)$ stands for the Euclidian norm, i.e., $N(A^p) = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}^{(p)}|^2}$ for $A^p = (a_{ij}^{(p)})$. Hence we have from (1)

$$\rho(A) \leq \rho\{(A^p)^* A^p\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq N(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow \rho(A) \quad (p \rightarrow \infty)$$

i.e., $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_1^{(p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = |\lambda_1|$. Applying this to the k -th compound matrix $C_k(A^p)$ of A^p (cf. [6]), we get

$$(2) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i|$$

since $\rho[C_k(A^p)] = \rho[C_k\{(A^p)^* A^p\}] = (\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(p)})^2$. If $\lambda_1 = 0$, the assertion is trivial, so, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$(3) \quad |\lambda_1| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_k| > 0 = |\lambda_{k+1}| = \dots = |\lambda_n|.$$

Then we have $\alpha_k^{(p)} > 0$ for any p . For, suppose that $\alpha_k^{(p)} = 0$ for some p , then it follows that $\alpha_k^{(m)} = 0$ for $m \geq p$ since

$$\text{rank}\{(A^{p+1})^* A^{p+1}\} = \text{rank}(A^{p+1}) \leq \text{rank}(A^p) = \text{rank}\{(A^p)^* A^p\}.$$

Hence we have $\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(m)^{\frac{1}{m}}} = 0$ for $m \geq p$, but $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(m)^{\frac{1}{m}}} = \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i| > 0$ by (2) and (3), which is a contradiction. Therefore we have $\alpha_i^{(p)} > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) for any p , and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j^{(p) \frac{1}{p}} &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \alpha_i^{(p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} / \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_i^{(p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^j |\lambda_i| \right) / \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} |\lambda_i| \right) = |\lambda_j|, \quad j \leq k+1.\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq \alpha_j^{(p) \frac{1}{p}} \leq \alpha_{k+1}^{(p) \frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow |\lambda_{k+1}| = 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for every $j > k+1$, it is clear that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j^{(p) \frac{1}{p}} = |\lambda_j| \quad \text{for } j > k+1.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. *Let the assumptions and notations be the same as in Theorem 1. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(1)} &\geq \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(2) \frac{1}{2}} \geq \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(4) \frac{1}{4}} \geq \dots \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{(2^p) \frac{1}{2^p}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i|, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, n.\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. For any matrix A, B , it is well known that

$$\rho\{(AB)^*(AB)\} \leq \rho(A^*A) \cdot \rho(B^*B).$$

Hence we have

$$\rho\{(A^{2^p})^* A^{2^p}\} \leq [\rho\{(A^{2^{p-1}})^* A^{2^{p-1}}\}]^2, \quad p=1, 2, \dots.$$

Applying this to the k -th compound matrix $C_k\{(A^{2^p})^* A^{2^p}\}$, we get (4) from Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a matrix, then we have*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \rho(|A^p| |A^p|)^{\frac{1}{2^p}} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \rho\{(A^p)^* A^p\}^{\frac{1}{2^p}} = \rho(A).$$

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma and the following inequalities:

$$\rho(A) = \rho(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \rho(|A^p|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \rho(|A^p| |A^p|)^{\frac{1}{2^p}} \leq N(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\rho(A) \leq \rho\{(A^p)^* A^p\}^{\frac{1}{2^p}} \leq \rho\{|(A^p)^* A^p|\}^{\frac{1}{2^p}} \leq N(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where $N(A)$ is the Euclidian norm defined in (1).

§ 2. Non-negative matrices

A square matrix A is called reducible in case there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$${}^t P A P = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_{11} and A_{22} are square submatrices; otherwise it is called irreducible.

We have

THEOREM 3. Let A be a non-negative irreducible matrix of order n , then we have

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} R_i(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

PROOF. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem on non-negative matrices, there exists a positive eigenvalue λ_A with $\rho(A) = \lambda_A$ and a positive eigenvector x with $x_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) corresponding to λ_A . Let $A^p = (a_{ij}^{(p)})$, then, from the relation $A^p x = \lambda_A^p x$, we have $\lambda_A^p x_i = \sum_j a_{ij}^{(p)} x_j$. Put $\max_k x_k = x_\alpha$, $\min_k x_k = x_\beta$ and $\frac{x_\beta}{x_\alpha} = \delta > 0$, then

$$\lambda_A x_i^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^{(p)} x_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^{(p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} x_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\max_k \sum_j a_{kj}^{(p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} x_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

hence

$$\lambda_A \delta^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \lambda_A \left(\frac{x_i}{x_\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq R_i(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq R(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since $R(A)$ is a matrix norm, we have $R(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow \rho(A) = \lambda_A$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we have $R_i(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow \lambda_A$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) as $p \rightarrow \infty$ since $\lambda_A \delta^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow \lambda_A$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

From this proof, we see that Theorem 3 also holds whenever an eigenvalue with the largest non-zero absolute value has a positive eigenvector. By Brauer's theorem [2], power positive matrices have these properties, and so we have the following:

COROLLARY 1. Let A be a power positive matrix, i. e., a real matrix such that A^k is a positive matrix for some k , then

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} R_i(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(A) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} C_i(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The next corollary is an improvement of the result of Brauer [1].

COROLLARY 2. Let A be a non-negative matrix and $r(A) = \min_i R_i(A)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(A) &\leq r(A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq r(A^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \dots \leq \rho(A) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} R(A^{2^p})^{\frac{1}{2^p}} \\ &\leq \dots \leq R(A^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq R(A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R(A). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if non-negative matrix A is irreducible, then

$$r(A^{2^p})^{\frac{1}{2^p}} \rightarrow \rho(A) \quad \text{as} \quad p \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. For any non-negative matrix A and B , it is easy to see that $r(AB) \geq r(A)r(B)$, hence we have $r(A^{2^p}) \geq r(A^{2^{p-1}})^2$, i. e., $r(A^{2^p})^{\frac{1}{2^p}} \geq r(A^{2^{p-1}})^{\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}}$. Take

the greatest non-negative eigenvalue λ of tA and a non-negative vector y corresponding to λ , then from ${}^tAy = \lambda y$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n R_i(A)y_i = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$. Since $y_i \geq 0$ for every i and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i > 0$, we see that $\lambda \geq r(A)$. Hence applying this to A^p we get $\rho(A) \geq r(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. The assertion $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} r(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \rho(A)$ will follow in case of non-negative irreducible matrices from Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *Let A be a non-negative matrices, then*

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\frac{A^p + {}^tA^p}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

PROOF. As is easily seen, we have $\rho(A) \leq \rho\left(\frac{A^p + {}^tA^p}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \rho({}^tA^p A^p)^{\frac{1}{2p}}$. Hence Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 1.

Department of Mathematics
Hiroshima University

References

- [1] A. Brauer, Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix, *Duke Math. J.*, **13** (1946), 387-395.
- [2] A. Brauer, On the characteristic roots of power-positive matrices, *Duke Math. J.*, **28** (1961), 439-445.
- [3] W. Gautschi, The asymptotic behaviour of powers of matrices I and II, *Duke Math. J.*, **20** (1953), 127-140 and 375-379.
- [4] A. S. Householder, *The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis*, Blaisdell Pub. Co., New York, 1964.
- [5] L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, D. van Nostrand Co., New York, 1953.
- [6] J. Todd (ed.), *Survey of Numerical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962.
- [7] H. Wielandt, Unzerlegbare, nicht negative Matrizen, *Math. Z.*, **52** (1950), 642-648.