

## An exponential formula for one-parameter semi-groups of nonlinear transformations

By J. W. NEUBERGER

(Received Oct. 26, 1965)

For a complete normed linear space  $S$  consider a function  $T$  from  $[0, \infty)$  to the set of continuous transformations from  $S$  to  $S$  which satisfies:

- (1)  $T(x)T(y) = T(x+y)$  if  $x, y > 0$ ,
- (2)  $\|T(x)p - T(x)q\| \leq \|p - q\|$  if  $x \geq 0$ ,  $p, q$  are in  $S$ ,
- (3) if  $p$  is in  $S$  and  $g_p(x) = T(x)p$  for all  $x$  in  $[0, \infty)$  then  $g_p$  is continuous and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g_p(x) = p$ .

If it is also specified that  $T(x)$  is linear for all  $x \geq 0$ , then one has a semi-group about which the following is known ([1] chapters 10, 11 and [3] sections 142, 143):

For all  $p$  in some dense subset of  $S$ ,  $g'_p(0)$  exists and if  $Ap = g'_p(0)$  for all  $p$  for which  $g'_p(0)$  exists, then  $(I - xA)^{-1}$  exists, has domain  $S$  and is continuous for all  $x \geq 0$ . Moreover, if  $p$  is in  $S$  and  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$(*) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - (x/n)A)^{-n}p - T(x)p\| = 0.$$

It is the purpose of this note to add to assumptions (1)-(3) a differentiability condition (which, it turns out, holds in the linear special case) which implies an "exponential formula" suggested by (\*). The results of this note give a nonlinear version of the linear strong case of [1] (section 11.5); previous work [2] (section 3) of this author gave a nonlinear version of the linear uniform case of [1] (section 11.2).

The differentiability condition mentioned above is:

- (4) there is a dense subset  $D$  of  $S$  such that if  $p$  is in  $D$ , then  $g'_p$  is continuous with domain  $[0, \infty)$ .

If  $\delta > 0$ , denote  $(1/\delta)[T(\delta) - I]$  by  $A_\delta$ . The main result of this note follows.

**THEOREM.** *If (1)-(4) hold,  $p$  is in  $S$  and  $x \geq 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|(I - (x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}p - T(x)p\| = 0.$$

Consider first some lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Under conditions (1)-(3), if  $\delta, x > 0$ , then  $(I - xA_\delta)^{-1}$  exists and has domain  $S$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $w$  is in  $S$ . A unique point  $y$  of  $S$  is sought so that  $(I-xA_\delta)y=w$ , that is,  $y-(x/\delta)T(\delta)y+(x/\delta)y=w$ , that is,  $y=[\delta/(\delta+x)]w+[x/(\delta+x)]T(\delta)y$ . Define  $Kz=[\delta/(\delta+x)]w+[x/(\delta+x)]T(\delta)z$  for all  $z$  in  $S$ . It is easily seen that  $K$  is a contraction mapping. Hence there is a unique  $y$  in  $S$  so that  $y=Ky$ . This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2. Under conditions (1)-(3), if  $\delta, x > 0$ , then

$$\|(I-xA_\delta)^{-1}u-(I-xA_\delta)^{-1}v\| \leq \|u-v\|$$

for all  $u, v$  in  $S$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $(I-xA_\delta)^{-1}u=y$  and  $(I-xA_\delta)^{-1}v=z$ . Then  $y=[\delta/(\delta+x)]u+[x/(\delta+x)]T(\delta)y$  and  $z=[\delta/(\delta+x)]v+[x/(\delta+x)]T(\delta)z$  and hence,  $\|y-z\| \leq [\delta/(\delta+x)]\|u-v\|+[x/(\delta+x)]\|T(\delta)y-T(\delta)z\| \leq [\delta/(\delta+x)]\|u-v\|+[x/(\delta+x)]\|y-z\|$ . But this gives that  $\|y-z\| \leq \|u-v\|$  and hence the lemma is established.

LEMMA 3. Under conditions (1)-(3), if  $\delta, x > 0$ , then  $\|(I-xA_\delta)^{-1}p-T(x)p\| \leq x\|A_xp-A_\delta T(x)p\|$  for each  $p$  in  $S$ .

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I-xA_\delta)^{-1}p-T(x)p\| &\leq \|p-(I-xA_\delta)T(x)p\| \\ &= \|[T(x)-I]p-xA_\delta T(x)p\| = x\|A_xp-A_\delta T(x)p\|. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. Suppose that each of  $L$  and  $M$  is a continuous transformation from  $S$  to  $S$  such that if  $u$  and  $v$  are in  $S$ ,  $\|Lu-Lv\| \leq \|u-v\|$ . Then for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $\|L^n p-M^n p\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|LM^{i-1}p-M^i p\|$  for all  $p$  in  $S$ .

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \|L^n p-M^n p\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (L^{n-i+1}M^{i-1}p-L^{n-i}M^i p) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|L^{n-i+1}M^{i-1}p-L^{n-i}M^i p\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|LM^{i-1}p-M^i p\|. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. Under condition (4), suppose that  $p$  is in  $D$ ,  $R$  is a bounded subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y$  are in  $R$  and  $0 < |x-y| < \delta$ , then  $\max_{w \text{ in } [x,y]} \|(x-y)^{-1}[g_p(x)-g_p(y)]-g'_p(w)\| < \epsilon$ .

PROOF. For  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $w$  in  $[x, y]$ ,  $g_p(x)-g_p(y) = \int_y^x g'_p$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x-y)^{-1}[g_p(x)-g_p(y)]-g'_p(w)\| &= \|(x-y)^{-1} \\ &\int_y^x (g'_p-c) \|\leq \max_{c \text{ in } [x,y]} \|g'_p(c)-g'_p(w)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The uniform continuity of  $g'_p$  on bounded intervals then gives the lemma.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. The conclusion is obvious if  $x=0$ . Suppose  $x > 0$ . Assume first that  $r$  is in  $D$ . If  $\delta > 0$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}r - T(x)r\| &= \|[(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-1}]^n r - [T(x/n)]^n r\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-1}T(x(i-1)/n)r - T(x/n)T(x(i-1)/n)r\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (x/n) \|A_{x/n}T(x(i-1)/n)r - A_\delta T(xi/n)r\| \\
 &= (x/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \|(n/x)[g_r(xi/n) - g_r(x(i-1)/n)] - (1/\delta)[g_r(\delta + xi/n) - g_r(xi/n)]\| \\
 &\leq (x/n) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|(n/x)[g_r(xi/n) - g_r(x(i-1)/n)] - g'_r(xi/n)\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \|(1/\delta)[g_r(\delta + ix/n) - g_r(xi/n)] - g'_r(xi/n)\| \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now, in addition, that  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Denote by  $\delta'$  a positive number less than 1 so that if  $0 \leq v, u \leq x+1$  and  $0 < |u-v| < \delta'$ , then  $\max_{w \text{ in } [u,v]} \|(u-v)^{-1} [g_r(u) - g_r(v)] - g'_r(w)\| < \varepsilon/(4x)$ . Denote by  $N$  an integer so that  $x/N < \delta'$ . If  $n$  is an integer greater than  $N$  and  $0 < \delta < \delta'$ ,  $\|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}r - T(x)r\| \leq (x/n) \sum_{i=1}^n (2\varepsilon)/(4x) = \varepsilon/2$ . From this it follows that  $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}r - T(x)r\| < \varepsilon$ .

Suppose that  $p$  is in  $S$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $D$  is dense in  $S$ , there is a point  $r$  of  $D$  such that  $\|p-r\| < \varepsilon/6$ . By the above argument, there is an integer  $N$  and a  $\delta' > 0$  such that if  $n$  is an integer greater than  $N$  and  $0 < \delta < \delta'$ ,  $\|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}r - T(x)r\| < \varepsilon/6$ . For  $\delta$  and  $n$  chosen in such a way,  $\|T(x)r - T(x)p\| < \varepsilon/6$  and  $\|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}r - (I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}p\| < \varepsilon/6$  (by repeated application of Lemma 2) which gives  $\|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}p - T(x)p\| < \varepsilon/2$ . From this it follows that  $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|(I-(x/n)A_\delta)^{-n}p - T(x)p\| < \varepsilon$  for all integers  $n$  greater than  $N$ . This proves the theorem.

In closing it is noted that conditions (1)-(3) do not imply (4). This can be seen by considering the case in which  $S$  is  $E_1$  and

$$T(x)p = \begin{cases} p-x & \text{if } p \geq 1 \text{ and } p-x \geq 1, x \geq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq 1 \text{ and } p-x < 1, x \geq 0 \\ p & \text{if } p < 1, x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

This author considers it likely that conditions (1)-(3) do imply interesting differentiability conditions and that the conclusion to the theorem (or perhaps a stronger conclusion) can be obtained using only conditions (1)-(3) together, perhaps, with some condition much weaker than (4). Investigations into these matters may well lead to a theory of semi-groups of nonlinear transformations which parallels rather completely the well developed linear case.

Emory University

**References**

- [ 1 ] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. XXXI, 1957.
- [ 2 ] J. W. Neuberger, A generator for a set of functions, *Illinois J. Math.*, 9 (1965), 31-39.
- [ 3 ] F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional analysis*, Ungar, New York, 1955.