

## On the $\zeta$ -functions of a total matrix algebra over the field of rational numbers

By Moto-o KINOSHITA

(Received Jan. 28, 1965)

### Introduction

Iwasawa and Tate [8, 15] reconstructed the theory of Hecke's  $L$ -function as a theory of the  $\zeta$ -function, attached to a number field  $k$ , with a character of the idele class group of  $k$ . Since then, it has been expected to generalize this theory to the case of the  $\zeta$ -function of a simple algebra over the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $A$  and  $G$  be the adèle ring and the idele group of a simple algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  respectively. Fujisaki [2, 3] solved the problem for the  $\zeta$ -function with an abelian character of  $G$ . The theory of Fujisaki includes the results of Hey and Eichler [7, 1]. Godement [4] showed the possibility of applying the Iwasawa-Tate method to the  $\zeta$ -function, attached to a division algebra, with a "non-abelian character" of  $G$ . Tamagawa [14] developed the theory of Euler product. He determined an explicit form of the local  $\zeta$ -function, attached to a simple algebra, with a zonal spherical function. And he proved that the  $\zeta$ -function of a division algebra, defined as an infinite product of local  $\zeta$ -functions, satisfies a functional equation. From the theory of Maass [9] on the Dirichlet series corresponding to a non-holomorphic automorphic function on upper half-plane, we can extract a theory of the  $\zeta$ -function, attached to the total matrix algebra of degree 2 over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , with a zonal spherical function.

On the other hand, Hecke [6] gave the theory of constructing Dirichlet series with Euler product and functional equation out of a modular form. Shimura [12] generalized this theory to the case of the automorphic form of Hilbert type by means of the Iwasawa-Tate method. In other words, the  $\zeta$ -function of a quaternion algebra, with a spherical function, not necessarily of class 1, was treated.

The purpose of the present paper is to prove that the  $\zeta$ -function of a total matrix algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is defined as an infinite product of local  $\zeta$ -functions, is meromorphic on the whole  $z$ -plane and satisfies a functional equation, if the "character" is a zonal spherical function determined by a certain automorphic function on  $G$  (cf. § 6, Theorem).

We shall sketch the contents of the paper. Let  $p$  be a prime number or  $\infty$ . We denote by  $A_p$  the completion at  $p$  of the total matrix algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree  $n$ . The group of all invertible elements in  $A_p$  is denoted by  $G_p$ .

For a zonal spherical function  $\omega_p$  on  $G_p$  relative to a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_p$ , we introduce a local  $\zeta$ -function at  $p$ ,  $\zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$ , with a certain weight function  $\phi_p$  (cf. (1.1)). The weight function  $\phi_\infty$  is defined by

$$\phi_\infty(x) = \exp(-\pi \operatorname{tr}(x^t x)), \quad x \in A_\infty.$$

For the proof of the theorem, we need a trick, suggested by Shimura [12] (pp. 270-272). We consider another local  $\zeta$ -function at  $\infty$  with a modified weight function of the form

$$\psi_\infty = w \phi_\infty, \quad w = \sum_{s=0}^n M_s c_0 c_s.$$

Here, the  $M_s$  are real numbers, and the  $c_s$  are the functions on  $A_\infty$  defined by

$$\det(x^t x - T \mathbf{1}_n) = \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s c_s(x) T^s, \quad x \in A_\infty,$$

where  $T$  is an indeterminate.

We choose  $M_s$  so that the Fourier transform of  $\psi_\infty$  is equal to  $\phi_\infty$ . In § 2, § 3 and § 4, we shall show that it suffices to take

$$M_s = (-1)^s \frac{(s+2)!}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^s}$$

by rather technical computations. The starting-point is Proposition 2, cited from Maass [10], p. 4. In § 2, we reduce the problem to that of finding a sequence  $N_s$  ( $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) which satisfies the linear equations

$$\sum_{s=0}^m B_s(t, m) N_s = \begin{cases} 0 & (1 \leq t \leq m), \\ (-1)^m N_m & (t = 0) \end{cases}$$

for all non-negative integers  $m$ . The coefficients  $B_s(t, m)$  are defined inductively, but it is difficult to express them in a simple formula by  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $m$ . In § 3, we calculate two auxiliary integrals. Making use of the one, we compute  $B_s(m, m)$  in Proposition 8, § 4. On the other hand, Proposition 9 enables us to express in some sense the coefficients  $B_s(t, m)$  by  $B_s(m-l, m-l)$ . We see, in Proposition 10, that a sequence  $N_s = (s+2)!$  satisfies the above equations. The other integral in § 3 is a means to calculate the local  $\zeta$ -function at  $\infty$  with the modified weight function thus obtained (Proposition 11).

In § 5, we define a function on  $A$  of type  $Z$  by (Z1),  $\dots$ , (Z5). This definition is analogous to that of Tate [15] except (Z5). Then, we define a global  $\zeta$ -function with a weight function of type  $Z$ . The condition (Z5) allows us to apply the Iwasawa-Tate method to the global  $\zeta$ -function, as is seen in

Proposition 12.

In § 6, we state the theorem. For the proof, we first construct the function  $\psi$  of type  $Z$  by the usual weight functions at  $p \neq \infty$  and by the modified weight function at  $\infty$ . We deduce a functional equation of

$$\prod_p \zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$$

from that of the global  $\zeta$ -function with the weight function  $\psi$ .

### Notations

As usual,  $Z, Q, R$  and  $C$  are the sets of all integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. For a real number  $x$ , we denote by  $[x]$  the largest integer  $n$  which satisfies  $n \leq x$ . For a complex number  $z$ ,  $\bar{z}$  is the complex conjugate of  $z$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime number or  $\infty$ . For  $p \neq \infty$ , we denote by  $Q_p$  the field of all  $p$ -adic numbers, and by  $Z_p$  the ring of all  $p$ -adic integers. We put  $Q_\infty = R$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $Q_p$ . In the case  $p \neq \infty$ , we denote by  $\{x\}_p$  the main part of the  $p$ -adic expansion of  $x$ . So, if we expand  $x$  in the form

$$x = \sum_{i \geq n_0} a_i p^i, \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1, \quad a_{n_0} \neq 0,$$

then we have

$$\{x\}_p = \sum_{i < 0} a_i p^i.$$

We put  $\text{ord}_p(x) = n_0$  and  $|x|_p = p^{-\text{ord}_p(x)}$ . In the case  $p = \infty$ ,  $|x|_\infty$  means the usual absolute value of  $x$ .

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. We denote by  $M_n(R)$  the ring of all matrices of degree  $n$  over  $R$ . For an element  $x$  of  $M_n(R)$ , the symbols  $\text{tr}(x)$  and  ${}^t x$  mean the trace of the matrix  $x$  and the transposed matrix of  $x$  respectively. If  $R$  has an identity,  $GL(n, R)$  denotes the group of all matrices in  $M_n(R)$  whose determinants are the invertible elements of  $R$ . The neutral element of  $GL(n, R)$  is denoted by  $1_n$ .

Let  $S$  be a set, and  $T$  be a subset of  $S$ . The characteristic function of  $T$  on  $S$  is denoted by  $\chi_{T,S}$ , and sometimes by  $\chi_T$ , if there is no fear of confusion. When  $S$  is a finite set, we denote by  $\#S$  the number of all elements of  $S$ .

Let  $S$  be a topological space. We shall frequently use the following notations.

$C(S)$ : the set of all complex valued continuous functions on  $S$ .

$L(S)$ : the set of all functions in  $C(S)$  with compact carrier.

When the space  $S$  has a measure,  $L_1(S)$  denotes the set of all complex valued integrable functions on  $S$ .

Let  $A$  be a ring, and  $f$  a function on  $A$ . For an invertible element  $a$  of

$A_p$ , we define operators  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  by

$$(L_a f)(x) = f(a^{-1}x), \quad (R_a f)(x) = f(xa), \quad x \in A.$$

**§ 1. Local  $\zeta$ -functions**

Let us put  $A_p = M_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , and for  $p \neq \infty$ ,  $O_p = M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .  $A_p$  is a locally compact topological ring, and  $O_p$  is an open compact subring of  $A_p$ . We define a unitary character  $\chi_p$  of  $A_p$  by

$$\chi_p(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\{tr(x)\}_p) & (p \neq \infty), \\ \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}tr(x)) & (p = \infty) \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in A_p$ . We have  $\chi_p(O_p) = 1$ . Obviously,  $\chi_p(xy) = \chi_p(yx)$  for every  $x, y \in A_p$ .  $A_p$  is self-dual by the mapping

$$A_p \times A_p \ni (x, y) \rightarrow \chi_p(xy) \in \mathbf{C}.$$

We denote by  $dx$  a Haar measure of  $A_p$ . Then, we have

$$d(ax) = d(xa) = |\det a|_p^n dx$$

for every element  $a$  of  $A_p$  such that  $\det a \neq 0$ . The Fourier transform of a function  $\varphi_p$  in  $L_1(A_p)$  is denoted by  $\hat{\varphi}_p$ :

$$\hat{\varphi}_p(y) = \int_{A_p} \varphi_p(x)\chi_p(xy)dx \quad \text{for } y \in A_p.$$

We normalize the measure  $dx$  in such a way that the total volume of  $O_p$  is equal to 1 for  $p \neq \infty$ , and that  $dx = \prod_{i,j} dx_{ij}$  for every element  $x = (x_{ij})$  of  $A_\infty$ .

We set

$$(1.1) \quad \phi_p(x) = \begin{cases} \chi_{O_p}(x) & (p \neq \infty), \\ \exp(-\pi tr(x^t x)) & (p = \infty) \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in A_p$ . Then, we have  $\phi_p \in C(A_p) \cap L_1(A_p)$  and  $\hat{\phi}_p = \phi_p$ .

Put  $G_p = GL(n, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ ,  $U_p = GL(n, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  for  $p \neq \infty$  and  $U_\infty = O(n, \mathbf{R})$ . Inducing to  $G_p$  the topology of  $A_p$ ,  $G_p$  is a unimodular locally compact group, and  $U_p$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G_p$ .  $U_p$  is an open subset of  $G_p$  for  $p \neq \infty$ . Let  $Z_p$  be the centre of  $G_p$ .

We denote by  $du$  the Haar measure on  $U_p$ , such that the total volume of  $U_p$  is equal to 1. A non-zero function  $\omega_p$  in  $C(G_p)$  is called zonal spherical function relative to  $U_p$ , or simply spherical function, if the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{U_p} \omega_p(gug')du = \omega_p(g)\omega_p(g') \quad \text{for all } g, g' \in G_p$$

is satisfied. For spherical functions, we refer to [5], [11], [13], [14]. We have  $\omega_p(1_n) = 1$ . A spherical function  $\omega_p$  is called positive-definite, if it satis-

fies the condition

$$\iint_{G_p \times G_p} \omega_p(gh^{-1})f_p(g)\overline{f_p(h)}dgdh \geq 0$$

for all  $f_p \in L(G_p)$ , where  $dg$  is a Haar measure on  $G_p$ . We denote by  $\Omega_p$  the set of all spherical functions, and by  $\Omega_p^+$  the totality of positive-definite spherical functions. If  $\omega_p$  is a positive-definite spherical function, then we have

$$|\omega_p(g)| \leq 1, \quad \overline{\omega_p(g)} = \omega_p(g^{-1}) \text{ for all } g \in G_p.$$

Moreover, we denote by  $\tilde{\Omega}_p$  the set of all  $\omega_p$  in  $\Omega_p$  which satisfy the condition

$$\omega_p(\zeta g) = \omega_p(g) \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in Z_p, g \in G_p.$$

We note that the above definitions and properties of spherical functions are valid when  $G_p$  is a general unimodular locally compact group and  $U_p$  is a compact subgroup of  $G_p$ .

Spherical functions are parametrized by  $n$  complex numbers as follows. Let  $T_p$  be the set of all upper triangular matrices in  $G_p$  whose diagonal elements are integral powers of  $p$  or positive numbers according as  $p \neq \infty$  or  $p = \infty$ . Every element  $g$  of  $G_p$  can be written uniquely in the form:

$$g = ut, \quad u \in U_p, t \in T_p, \text{ or } g = t_1u_1, \quad t_1 \in T_p, u_1 \in U_p.$$

With  $n$  complex numbers  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ , we associate a character  $\alpha_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$  of  $T_p$ :

$$\alpha_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n |t_{ii}|^{-s_i} p^{s_i(i-1)}, \quad t = (t_{ij}) \in T_p.$$

The character  $\alpha_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$  is extensible to a function on  $G_p$  by putting

$$\alpha_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(ut) = \alpha_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(t)$$

for  $u \in U_p, t \in T_p$ . Then, the function  $\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$  on  $G_p$ , defined by

$$\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(g) = \int_{U_p} \alpha_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(g^{-1}u)du, \quad g \in G_p,$$

is spherical. Conversely, for every spherical function  $\omega_p$  on  $G_p$ , there exist complex numbers  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  such that  $\omega_p = \omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$ . By the above definition, we have  $\overline{\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}} = \omega_{\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n}$  and

$$(1.3) \quad \omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(g) |\det g|_p^z = \omega_{s_1+z, \dots, s_n+z}(g).$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  the symmetric group of degree  $n$ . Spherical functions  $\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$  and  $\omega_{s'_1, \dots, s'_n}$  coincide with each other, if and only if

$$s_{\sigma(i)} \equiv s'_i \pmod{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log p}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

for some element  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}/\log p$  means zero for  $p = \infty$ . In

particular,  $\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n} = 1$ , if and only if

$$s_{\sigma(i)} \equiv i-1 \pmod{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log p}}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

for some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . The condition

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \equiv \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \pmod{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log p}}$$

is necessary and sufficient for  $\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$  to be in  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_p$ . If a spherical function  $\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}_p^+$ , then we have

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{s}_i \equiv n-1-s_{\sigma(i)} \pmod{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log p}}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

for some  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ .

We normalize the measure  $dg$  on  $G_p$  so that for  $p \neq \infty$ , the total volume of  $U_p$  is equal to 1, and that for  $p = \infty$ , we have

$$dg = 2^n du \left( \prod_{i=1}^n t_{ii}^{-i} dt_{ii} \right) \left( \prod_{i < j} dt_{ij} \right),$$

where  $g = ut$ ,  $u \in U_\infty$ ,  $t = (t_{ij}) \in T_\infty$ .

Let  $\omega_p$  be a spherical function on  $G_p$ . Let  $\phi_p$  be the function on  $A_p$  as (1.1). The following proposition is a special case of a result of Tamagawa [14].

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $\omega_p = \omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$ , then the integral*

$$\zeta_p(z, \omega_p) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_p} \phi_p(g) \omega_p(g^{-1}) |\det g|_p^z dg$$

converges for  $\text{Re } z > \text{Max}_i (\text{Re } s_i)$ . The function  $\zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$  of  $z$  is continued to a meromorphic function on the whole  $z$ -plane, which is called the local  $\zeta$ -function at  $p$  with weight function  $\phi_p$ . We have

$$\zeta_p(z, \omega_p) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p^{s_i} p^{-z})^{-1} & (p \neq \infty), \\ \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}z + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(\frac{z - s_i}{2}\right) & (p = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Now, we ask if the infinite product  $\prod_p \zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$  converges in some region of  $z$ -plane, if it is continued to a meromorphic function on the whole  $z$ -plane and if it satisfies a functional equation under some assumptions on  $\{\omega_p\}_{p \leq \infty}$ . When  $n \neq 1$ , these questions shall not be solved by the immediate application of the Iwasawa-Tate method to the idele group of  $M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ . As was suggested by Shimura [12] pp. 270-272, we need another local  $\zeta$ -function at  $\infty$ , with a slightly modified weight function, which will allow us to apply that method.

Let  $X=(X_{ij})$  be an  $(n, n)$  matrix of  $n^2$  indeterminates  $X_{ij}$ ; let  $w(X)$  be a polynomial of  $X_{ij}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ . We define a function  $w$  on  $A_\infty$  by

$$A_\infty \ni x \rightarrow w(x) \in \mathbf{C},$$

which will be called the polynomial on  $A_\infty$ . The Gauss transform  $w^*$  of a polynomial  $w$  on  $A_\infty$  is defined by

$$w^*(x) = \int_{A_\infty} w(x+y)\phi_\infty(y)dy, \quad x \in A_\infty.$$

The function  $w^*$  is a polynomial on  $A_\infty$ . Put  $\tilde{w}(x) = w^*(-\sqrt{-1}{}^t x)$ . Then, we get easily

$$\widehat{w\phi_\infty} = \tilde{w}\phi_\infty.$$

We adopt a modified weight function of the form  $w\phi_\infty$ .

Actually, we use a polynomial of more restricted type as follows. Let  $T$  be an indeterminate. We define a polynomial  $c_s$  ( $s=0, \dots, n$ ) on  $A_\infty$  by

$$\det(x{}^t x - T1_n) = \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s c_s(x) T^s, \quad x \in A_\infty.$$

In particular,  $c_0(x) = (\det x)^2$ ,  $c_n(x) = 1$ . We put

$$(1.6) \quad \phi_\infty = w\phi_\infty, \quad w = \sum_{s=0}^n M_s c_0 c_s, \quad M_s \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{cases} \phi_\infty(uv) = \phi_\infty(x) & \text{for } u, v \in U_\infty, x \in A_\infty, \\ \phi_\infty(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in A_\infty, \det x = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the following sections, we shall seek a function  $\phi_\infty$  of the form (1.6) satisfying the requirements

- i)  $\widehat{\phi_\infty} = \phi_\infty$ ,
- ii) the local  $\zeta$ -function at  $\infty$  with the weight function  $\phi_\infty$  is not identically zero.

## § 2. Some properties on determinants

In § 2, § 3 and § 4, we consider only functions on  $A_\infty$  or  $G_\infty$ , so we omit the suffix  $\infty$ . Let  $w$  be a polynomial on  $A$ . For integers  $i, j$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ), we define an operator  $\partial/\partial(ij)$  by

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial(ij)}(x) = \frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial x_{ij}}, \quad x \in A.$$

Put  $\Delta = \sum_{i,j} (\partial/\partial(ij))^2$ .

We cite the following proposition from Maass [10], p. 4.

PROPOSITION 2. For every polynomial  $w$  on  $A$ , we have

$$w^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^k k!} \Delta^k w.$$

Since  $\Delta^k w = 0$  for sufficiently large  $k$ , the right-hand side of the above relation is a finite sum.

Put  $c_\mu = 0$  for  $\mu > n$ .

PROPOSITION 3. We have

$$\Delta(c_r c_s) = 2\{(r+1)^2 c_{r+1} c_s + (s+1)^2 c_r c_{s+1} + 4 \sum_{t=0}^r (-r+s+2t+1) c_{r-t} c_{s+t+1}\}$$

for integers  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $0 \leq r, s \leq n$ .

We need some preliminaries for the proof of the proposition. We denote by  $I_n$  the set of integers  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $s$  be a non-negative integer; let  $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$  and  $\{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$  be two indexed sets of  $s$  integers in  $I_n$ .

Suppose  $x$  is an element of  $A$ . If  $i_1, \dots, i_s$  are mutually different and  $j_1, \dots, j_s$  are also mutually different, we denote by

$$d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s)(x)$$

the minor of  $\det x$  formed by removing  $i_1, \dots, i_s$ -rows and  $j_1, \dots, j_s$ -columns; we put

$$e(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s)(x) = \text{sign}(i_1 \dots i_s) \text{sign}(j_1 \dots j_s) d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s)(x).$$

If  $i_\alpha = i_\beta$  or  $j_\alpha = j_\beta$  for different integers  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq s$  (in particular, when  $s > n$ ), we set

$$d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s)(x) = e(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s)(x) = 0.$$

From the definition of  $c_s$ , we obtain the equality

$$(2.1) \quad c_s = \sum_{(s)} d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s)^2,$$

where  $\sum_{(s)}$  means  $\sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_s \\ j_1 < \dots < j_s}}$ .

Suppose  $i_1 < \dots < i_s, j_1 < \dots < j_s$ . Let  $i$  and  $j$  be integers in  $I_n$ . Put

$$\mu = \#\{i_\alpha; 1 \leq \alpha \leq s, i_\alpha < i\}, \quad \nu = \#\{j_\alpha; 1 \leq \alpha \leq s, j_\alpha < j\}.$$

Then,

$$(2.2) \quad e(ii_1 \dots i_s; jj_1 \dots j_s) = (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(ii_1 \dots i_s; jj_1 \dots j_s).$$

Therefore, we obtain the relation

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial(ij)} d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s) = (-1)^{i+j} e(ii_1 \dots i_s; jj_1 \dots j_s).$$

It follows from these results that

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta c_s = 2(s+1)^2 c_{s+1}.$$

Indeed, by (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta c_s &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial(ij)} \{2d(i_1 \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots j_s) (-1)^{i+j} e(ii_1 \cdots i_s; jj_1 \cdots j_s)\} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j} \sum_{(s)} d(ii_1 \cdots i_s; jj_1 \cdots j_s)^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{s+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \cdots < i_{\mu-1} < i < i_{\mu} < \cdots < i_s \\ j_1 < \cdots < j_{\nu-1} < j < j_{\nu} < \cdots < j_s}} d(ii_1 \cdots i_s; jj_1 \cdots j_s)^2 \\ &= 2(s+1)^2 c_{s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2.4).

We write  $d(x) = \det x$ ,  $d(ij) = d(i; j)$ . The equality

$$e(i_1 \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots j_s) = \frac{1}{d^{s-1}} \begin{vmatrix} d(i_1 j_1) \cdots d(i_1 j_s) \\ \vdots \\ d(i_s j_1) \cdots d(i_s j_s) \end{vmatrix}$$

holds for  $s \neq 0$ . So we have for  $s \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad & \sum_{\mu=1}^s (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(i_{\mu} j_{\nu}) e(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_{\mu} \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots \hat{j}_{\nu} \cdots j_s) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^s (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(i_{\mu} j_{\nu}) e(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_{\mu} \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots \hat{j}_{\nu} \cdots j_s) \\ &= de(i_1 \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots j_s). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1. Let  $a(i, j)$  be a polynomial on  $A$  for every  $i$  and  $j$  in  $I_n$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} a(i, j) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{(s+1)} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{s+1} (-1)^{\mu+\nu} a(i_{\mu}, j_{\nu}) d(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_{\mu} \cdots i_{s+1}; j_1 \cdots \hat{j}_{\nu} \cdots j_{s+1}) \\ & \quad \times d(i_1 \cdots i_{s+1}; j_1 \cdots j_{s+1}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} d(ij) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} = 2(s+1)dc_{s+1}.$$

PROOF. Making use of (2.1), (2.3) and (2.2), we get the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} a(i, j) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} a(i, j) \sum_{(s)} (-1)^{i+j} d(i_1 \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots j_s) e(ii_1 \cdots i_s; jj_1 \cdots j_s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2 \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{s+1} (-1)^{\mu+\nu} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_{\mu-1} < i_{\mu} < \dots < i_s \\ j_1 < \dots < j_{\nu-1} < j_{\nu} < \dots < j_s}} a(i, j) d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s) d(i_1 \dots i_s; j_1 \dots j_s) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{(s+1)} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{s+1} (-1)^{\mu+\nu} a(i_{\mu}, j_{\nu}) d(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_{\mu} \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_{\nu} \dots j_{s+1}) \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \times d(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{s+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

They give us the first part of Lemma 1. As to the second part, we put  $a(i, j) = d(ij)$  in the first part, then the result follows readily from (2.5).

LEMMA 2. For integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $I_n$ , we have

$$\sum_{i, j} (-1)^{i+j} d(i\beta) d(\alpha j) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial (ij)} = 2d(\alpha\beta) dc_{s+1} - d^2(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial (\alpha\beta)}.$$

PROOF. From Lemma 1 and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i, j} (-1)^{i+j} d(i\beta) d(\alpha j) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial (ij)} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{(s+1)} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{s+1} (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(i_{\mu}\beta) d(\alpha j_{\nu}) d(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_{\mu} \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_{\nu} \dots j_{s+1}) \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \times d(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{s+1}) \\
 &= 2d \sum_{(s+1)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{s+1} d(\alpha j_{\nu}) e(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{\nu-1} \beta j_{\nu} \dots j_{s+1}) d(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{s+1}) \\
 &= 2d \sum_{(s+1)} \left( - \sum_{\nu=1}^{s+1} (-1)^{1+\nu+1} d(\alpha j_{\nu}) e(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; \beta j_1 \dots \hat{j}_{\nu} \dots j_{s+1}) \right) \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \times d(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{s+1}) \\
 &= 2d \sum_{(s+1)} (d(\alpha\beta) d(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{s+1}) - de(\alpha i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; \beta j_1 \dots j_{s+1})) \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \times d(i_1 \dots i_{s+1}; j_1 \dots j_{s+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is equal to

$$2d(\alpha\beta) dc_{s+1} - d^2(-1)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial (\alpha\beta)}$$

by (2.3). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. Let  $r$  be a positive integer and  $s$  be a non-negative integer. Then,

$$\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial (ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial (ij)} = 4(-r+s+1) c_r c_{s+1} + \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial c_{r-1}}{\partial (ij)} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial (ij)}.$$

PROOF. We have first by the use of (2.1) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial (ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial (ij)} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{i, j} \sum_{(r)} d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r) (-1)^{i+j} e(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial (ij)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when  $i_1 < \dots < i_r, j_1 < \dots < j_r$ , the relations (2.5) give us the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & e(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} d(ij) d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r) + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\nu=1}^r (-1)^\nu d(ij_\nu) e(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_\nu \dots j_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} d(ij) d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r) - \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^r (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(ij_\nu) d(i_\mu j) \\ & \quad \times d(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_\mu \dots i_r; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_\nu \dots j_r). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we can convert the sum

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)}$$

into the sum of two expressions:

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{2}{d} \sum_{(r)} \sum_{i,j} d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r)^2 (-1)^{i+j} d(ij) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)},$$

$$(2.7) \quad -\frac{2}{d^2} \sum_{(r)} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^r \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} d(ij_\nu) d(i_\mu j) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} \\ \times (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_\mu \dots i_r; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_\nu \dots j_r) d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r).$$

The expression (2.6) is reduced, by Lemma 1, to

$$4(s+1)c_r c_{s+1}.$$

Taking into account Lemma 2, we again divide (2.7) into the sum of two expressions:

$$(2.8) \quad -\frac{4}{d} \sum_{(r)} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^r d(i_\mu j_\nu) c_{s+1} (-1)^{\mu+\nu} d(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_\mu \dots i_r; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_\nu \dots j_r) \\ \times d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r),$$

$$(2.9) \quad 2 \sum_{(r)} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^r (-1)^{\mu+\nu} (-1)^{i_\mu+j_\nu} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial(i_\mu j_\nu)} d(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_\mu \dots i_r; j_1 \dots \hat{j}_\nu \dots j_r) \\ \times d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r).$$

By the relations (2.5) and (2.1), we have

$$(2.8) = -4r \sum_{(r)} d(i_1 \dots i_r; j_1 \dots j_r)^2 c_{s+1} = -4rc_r c_{s+1}.$$

Further, Lemma 1 gives us the relations

$$(2.9) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \left\{ (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial(ij)} \right\} \frac{\partial c_{r-1}}{\partial(ij)} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_{r-1}}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial(ij)}.$$

Summing up these results, we get

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} = 4(-r+s+1)c_r c_{s+1} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_{r-1}}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_{s+1}}{\partial(ij)} .$$

This completes the proof.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(c_r c_s) &= \left\{ \Delta(c_r) c_s + c_r \Delta(c_s) + 2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} \right\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ (r+1)^2 c_{r+1} c_s + (s+1)^2 c_r c_{s+1} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to prove the formula

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} = 4 \sum_{t=0}^r (-r+s+2t+1) c_{r-t} c_{s+t+1} ,$$

which we shall show by induction on  $r$ .

We have by Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_0}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} = 2d \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} d(ij) \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} = 4(s+1)c_0 c_{s+1} .$$

This is the formula (2.10) for  $r=0$ . Let  $r \geq 1$ . Suppose

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_{r-1}}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} = 4 \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} (-r+s+2t+2) c_{r-1-t} c_{s+t+1} .$$

We get from Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_r}{\partial(ij)} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial(ij)} &= 4(-r+s+1)c_r c_{s+1} + 4 \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} (-r+s+2t+3) c_{r-1-t} c_{s+t+2} \\ &= 4 \sum_{t=0}^r (-r+s+2t+1) c_{r-t} c_{s+t+1} . \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.

On the ground of Proposition 3 and Proposition 2, we next define two sequences.

Sequence A. We shall define  $A_l^k(s)$  for all integers  $k, l$  and  $s$ . For  $k, l, s$  not satisfying  $k \geq l \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we put

$$A_l^k(s) = 0 .$$

For  $k, l, s$  satisfying  $k \geq l \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we define  $A_l^k(s)$  inductively by

$$\begin{cases} A_l^0(s) = 1 \\ A_l^k(s) = A_l^{k-1}(s)(k-l)^2 + A_{l-1}^{k-1}(s)(s+l)^2 + 4(-k+2l+s) \sum_{\mu=0}^{l-1} A_\mu^{k-1}(s) . \end{cases}$$

Sequence B. We shall define  $B_s(t, m)$  for all integers  $s, t$  and  $m$ . For  $s, t, m$  satisfying  $0 \leq s, t \leq m$ , we put

$$B_s(t, m) = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{t,m}} W_{t+m-s} \{A_{t-s}^{t+m-s}(s) + A_{m-s}^{t+m-s}(s)\},$$

where  $\delta_{t,m}$  is the Kronecker's symbol and  $W_k = (-1)^k/k!$ . For  $s, t, m$  not satisfying  $0 \leq s, t \leq m$ , we put

$$B_s(t, m) = 0.$$

We explain the rôle of these sequences in the following Proposition 4 and Proposition 5.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $s$  be an integer such that  $0 \leq s \leq n$ . For every non-negative integer  $k$ , we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta^k(c_0 c_s) = 2^k \sum_{l=0}^k A_l^k(s) c_{k-l} c_{s+l}.$$

In particular,  $\Delta^k(c_0 c_s) = 0$  for  $k > 2n - s$ .

PROOF. Since  $A_0^0(s) = 1$ , (2.11) is valid for  $k = 0$ . Suppose

$$\Delta^{k-1}(c_0 c_s) = 2^{k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} A_l^{k-1}(s) c_{k-1-l} c_{s+l}.$$

By means of Proposition 3, we divide  $\Delta^k(c_0 c_s)$  into the sum of the following three expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} A_l^{k-1}(s) (k-l)^2 c_{k-l} c_{s+l} &= 2^k \sum_{l=0}^k A_l^{k-1}(s) (k-l)^2 c_{k-l} c_{s+l}, \\ 2^k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} A_l^{k-1}(s) (s+l+1)^2 c_{k-1-l} c_{s+l+1} &= 2^k \sum_{l=0}^k A_{l-1}^{k-1}(s) (s+l)^2 c_{k-l} c_{s+l}, \\ 2^k \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} A_{\mu}^{k-1}(s) \cdot 4 \sum_{t=0}^{k-1-\mu} (-k+2\mu+s+2t+2) c_{k-1-\mu-t} c_{s+\mu+t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $l = \mu + t + 1$ , then the third expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &2^k \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=\mu+1}^k A_{\mu}^{k-1}(s) \cdot 4(-k+2l+s) c_{k-l} c_{s+l} \\ &= 2^k \sum_{l=0}^k 4(-k+2l+s) \sum_{\mu=0}^{l-1} A_{\mu}^{k-1}(s) c_{k-l} c_{s+l}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^k(c_0 c_s) &= 2^k \sum_{l=0}^k \{A_l^{k-1}(s) (k-l)^2 + A_{l-1}^{k-1}(s) (s+l)^2 + 4(-k+2l+s) \sum_{\mu=0}^{l-1} A_{\mu}^{k-1}(s)\} c_{k-l} c_{s+l} \\ &= 2^k \sum_{l=0}^k A_l^k(s) c_{k-l} c_{s+l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_{\mu} = 0$  for  $\mu > n$ , we get the second part of the proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. *For real numbers  $N_s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq n$ ), we have*

$$\widetilde{\sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s N_s \frac{c_0 c_s}{(2\pi)^s}} = \sum_{0 \leq \rho \leq \sigma \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\sigma} B_s(\rho, \sigma) N_s \right\} \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} .$$

PROOF. Since  $\Delta^k(c_0 c_s) = 0$  for  $k > 2n - s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (c_0 c_s)^* &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-s} \frac{1}{k! (4\pi)^k} \Delta^k(c_0 c_s) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-s} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{1}{k! (2\pi)^k} A_l^k(s) c_{k-l} c_{s+l} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2 and Proposition 4. On the other hand,

$$d(i_1 \cdots i_s; j_1 \cdots j_s)(-\sqrt{-1}^t x) = (\sqrt{-1})^{n-s} d(j_1 \cdots j_s; i_1 \cdots i_s)(x),$$

so

$$c_s(-\sqrt{-1}^t x) = (-1)^{n-s} c_s(x).$$

Hence, we get

$$\widetilde{(-1)^s \frac{c_0 c_s}{(2\pi)^s}} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-s} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} A_l^k(s) \frac{c_{k-l} c_{s+l}}{(2\pi)^{k+s}} .$$

Put  $\rho = k - l$ ,  $\sigma = s + l$ . Since  $c_{\mu} = 0$  for  $\mu > n$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{(-1)^s \frac{c_0 c_s}{(2\pi)^s}} &= \sum_{\rho=0}^n \sum_{\sigma=s}^n W_{\rho+\sigma-s} A_{\sigma-s}^{\rho+\sigma-s}(s) \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma=s}^n \sum_{\rho=0}^{\sigma} + \sum_{\rho=s+1}^n \sum_{\sigma=s}^{\rho-1} . \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(s) = 0$  for  $\alpha < 0$ , we rewrite the second sum in the last expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the second sum} &= \sum_{\sigma=s+1}^n \sum_{\rho=s}^{\sigma-1} W_{\rho+\sigma-s} A_{\rho-s}^{\rho+\sigma-s}(s) \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma=s}^n \sum_{\rho=0}^{\sigma-1} W_{\rho+\sigma-s} A_{\rho-s}^{\rho+\sigma-s}(s) \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{(-1)^s \frac{c_0 c_s}{(2\pi)^s}} &= \sum_{\sigma=s}^n \sum_{\rho=0}^{\sigma} \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{\rho, \sigma}} W_{\rho+\sigma-s} \{ A_{\rho-s}^{\rho+\sigma-s}(s) + A_{\sigma-s}^{\rho+\sigma-s}(s) \} \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma=s}^n \sum_{\rho=0}^{\sigma} B_s(\rho, \sigma) \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} . \end{aligned}$$

Now, Proposition 5 readily follows from this.

Thus, from Proposition 5, we shall obtain a polynomial  $w$  on  $A$  of the form (1.6) such that  $\tilde{w} = w$ , if we get a sequence  $N_s$  ( $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) which satisfies the equations



Thus, we get  $D_{ij}R_a = R_aD_{ij}$ , hence  $D_sR_a = R_aD_s$ .

Let  $u = (u_{ij})$  be an element of  $U$ . Put  $h = u^{-1}g$ . Similarly as above, we have

$$L_u^{-1}D_{ij}L_u\varphi = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n u_{i\alpha}(D_{\alpha\beta}\varphi)u_{j\beta}.$$

We consider the  $(n, n)$  matrices  $(D_{ij})$  and  $(L_u^{-1}D_{ij}L_u)$ . Then, the following relation holds:

$$(3.1) \quad (L_u^{-1}D_{ij}L_u) = u(D_{ij})u^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(D_{i_1j_1} \cdots D_{i_lj_l}\varphi)(g) = \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l=1}^n g_{i_1\mu_1} \cdots g_{i_l\mu_l} \frac{\partial^l \varphi(g)}{\partial g_{j_1\mu_1} \cdots \partial g_{j_l\mu_l}}$$

for  $i_1 \neq j_2, \dots, i_1 \neq j_l; \dots; i_{l-1} \neq j_l$ . The auxiliary symbols  $\Delta_{ij}$  are used instead of  $D_{ij}$ , to indicate by their composition the same result for every  $i_1, \dots, i_l, j_1, \dots, j_l$ :

$$(\Delta_{i_1j_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_lj_l}\varphi)(g) = \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l=1}^n g_{i_1\mu_1} \cdots g_{i_l\mu_l} \frac{\partial^l \varphi(g)}{\partial g_{j_1\mu_1} \cdots \partial g_{j_l\mu_l}}$$

(cf. Weyl [16], p. 39). Then, we have by (3.1)

$$\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left| \begin{array}{c} L_u^{-1}\Delta_{i_1i_1}L_u \cdots L_u^{-1}\Delta_{i_1i_k}L_u \\ \vdots \\ L_u^{-1}\Delta_{i_ki_1}L_u \cdots L_u^{-1}\Delta_{i_ki_k}L_u \end{array} \right| = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left| \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{i_1i_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_1i_k} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{i_ki_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_ki_k} \end{array} \right|$$

From this relation, we can derive the result  $L_u^{-1}D_sL_u = D_s$  by the same argument as in Weyl [16], p. 40.

Next, a formal computation gives us the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} \left| \begin{array}{c} g_{i_1j_1} \cdots g_{i_1j_k} \\ \vdots \\ g_{i_kj_1} \cdots g_{i_kj_k} \end{array} \right| &= \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_1j_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_kj_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{j_1j_k}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_kj_k}} \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{c} \sum_{\mu=1}^n g_{i_1\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_1\mu}} \cdots \sum_{\mu=1}^n g_{i_1\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_k\mu}} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^n g_{i_k\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_1\mu}} \cdots \sum_{\mu=1}^n g_{i_k\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_k\mu}} \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_s\phi)(g) &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{i_1 i_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_1 i_k} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{i_k i_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_k i_k} \end{array} \middle| \phi \right\} (g) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_k \\ j_1 < \dots < j_k}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} g_{i_1 j_1} \cdots g_{i_1 j_k} \\ \vdots \\ g_{i_k j_1} \cdots g_{i_k j_k} \end{array} \middle| \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_1 j_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_k j_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_1 j_k}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i_k j_k}} \end{array} \middle| \phi(g) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= (-2\pi)^k \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_k \\ j_1 < \dots < j_k}} \left| \begin{array}{c} g_{i_1 j_1} \cdots g_{i_1 j_k} \\ \vdots \\ g_{i_k j_1} \cdots g_{i_k j_k} \end{array} \right|^2 \phi(g) \\
 &= (-2\pi)^{n-s} c_s(g) \phi(g). \qquad \text{q. e. d.}
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be complex numbers. Let  $\varphi$  be a function on  $G$  which is expressed in the form

$$\varphi(g) = t_{11}^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_{nn}^{\alpha_n} \text{ for } g = tu, t = (t_{ij}) \in T, u \in U.$$

Then, for  $\alpha_i \neq -1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),

$$D_s \varphi = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^k (\alpha_{i_\mu} + \mu - 1) \right\} \varphi.$$

PROOF. We have for  $i \geq j$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_{ij}\varphi)(g) &= \sum_{\mu} g_{i\mu} \frac{\partial \varphi(g)}{\partial g_{j\mu}} = \sum_{\sigma, \tau, \mu} g_{i\sigma} u_{\tau\sigma} u_{\tau\mu} \frac{\partial \varphi(g)}{\partial g_{j\mu}} \\
 &= \sum_{\tau} t_{i\tau} \frac{\partial t_{11}^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_{nn}^{\alpha_n}}{\partial t_{j\tau}} = t_{ij} \frac{\partial t_{11}^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_{nn}^{\alpha_n}}{\partial t_{jj}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$D_{ij}\varphi = 0 \quad \text{for } i > j,$$

$$D_{ii}\varphi = \alpha_i \varphi.$$

From them, we obtain the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_s \varphi &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left| \begin{array}{c} D_{i_k i_k} + (k-1) \cdots D_{i_k i_1} \\ \vdots \\ D_{i_1 i_k} \cdots \cdots \cdots D_{i_1 i_1} \end{array} \middle| \varphi \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^k (D_{i_\mu i_\mu} + \mu - 1) \right\} \varphi \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^k (\alpha_{i_\mu} + \mu - 1) \right\} \varphi. \qquad \text{q. e. d.}
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 7. We have

$$i) \int_A c_0(x) c_s(x) \phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-s}} \binom{n}{s} n! \frac{(n+2)!}{(s+2)!},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii) } & \int_G c_0(g)c_s(g)\phi(g)\omega_{s_1,\dots,s_n}(g^{-1})dg \\ &= \frac{(-1)^s}{(2\pi)^{2n-s}} \int_G \phi(g)\omega_{s_1,\dots,s_n}(g^{-1})dg \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \right\}_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \sum_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \\ & \text{for } \operatorname{Re} s_i < 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. i) Let  $g$  be an element of  $G$ . We put  $\varphi(g) = |\det g|^{-(n+2)}$ . Then,

$$\int_A c_0(x)\phi(gx)dx = (\det g)^{-2} |\det g|^{-n} \int_A c_0(x)\phi(x)dx = \frac{n!}{(2\pi)^n} \varphi(g).$$

On the other hand, we have by Proposition 6

$$D_s R_x \phi = R_x D_s \phi = (-2\pi)^{n-s} R_x (c_s \phi) \quad \text{for } x \in G.$$

From these results, we get

$$(-2\pi)^{n-s} \int_A c_0(x)c_s(gx)\phi(gx)dx = \frac{n!}{(2\pi)^n} (D_s \varphi)(g),$$

hence

$$\int_A c_0(x)c_s(x)\phi(x)dx = (-1)^{n-s} \frac{n!}{(2\pi)^{2n-s}} (D_s \varphi)(1_n).$$

So it is enough to prove the formula

$$(D_s \varphi)(1_n) = (-1)^{n-s} \binom{n}{s} \frac{(n+2)!}{(s+2)!}.$$

We have

$$\varphi(g) = (t_{11} \cdots t_{nn})^{-(n+2)} \quad \text{for } g = tu, \quad t = (t_{ij}) \in T, \quad u \in U.$$

Applying Lemma 4 to the function  $\varphi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_s \varphi &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^k (-n-2+\mu-1) \right\} \varphi \\ &= (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^k (n+3-\mu) \right\} \varphi \\ &= (-1)^{n-s} \binom{n}{s} \frac{(n+2)!}{(s+2)!} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

This proves i). The proof of ii) is similar to that of i). We write  $\omega, \omega'$  and  $\alpha'$  instead of  $\omega_{s_1,\dots,s_n}, \omega_{s_1-2,\dots,s_n-2}$  and  $\alpha_{s_1-2,\dots,s_n-2}$ . For  $\operatorname{Re} s_i < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G c_0(h)\phi(gh)\omega(h^{-1})dh \\ &= \int_G c_0(g^{-1}h)\phi(h)\omega(h^{-1}ug)dh \quad (h \rightarrow g^{-1}u^{-1}h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_U \left( \int_G c_0(g^{-1}h) \phi(h) \omega(h^{-1}ug) dh \right) du \\
&= (\det g)^{-2} \omega(g) \int_G (\det h)^2 \phi(h) \omega(h^{-1}) dh \\
&= \omega'(g) \pi^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i - 2)} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(\frac{-s_i + 2}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi)^n} \int_G \phi(h) \omega(h^{-1}) dh \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \right\} \omega'(g)
\end{aligned}$$

by (1.2), Proposition 1 and the relation  $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$ . Applying the operator  $D_s$ , we get the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-2\pi)^{n-s} \int_G c_0(h) c_s(h) \phi(h) \omega(h^{-1}) dh \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi)^n} \int_G \phi(h) \omega(h^{-1}) dh \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \right\} (D_s \omega')(1_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to prove the formula

$$(D_s \omega')(1_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu).$$

We call  $\lambda$  the right-hand side for brevity. Setting  $\varphi(g) = \alpha'(g^{-1})$ , we have

$$\varphi(g) = t_{11}^{s_1-2} \dots t_{nn}^{s_n-(n+1)} \text{ for } g = tu, \quad t = (t_{ij}) \in T, \quad u \in U.$$

Consequently, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$D_s \varphi = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - (i_\mu + 1) + \mu - 1) \right\} \varphi = \lambda \varphi.$$

Considering  $L_u D_s = D_s L_u$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad (D_s L_u \varphi)(g) = \lambda (L_u \varphi)(g).$$

On the other hand,

$$\omega'(g) = \int_U \alpha'(g^{-1}u) du = \int_U \varphi(u^{-1}g) du = \int_U (L_u \varphi)(g) du.$$

Hence, integrating the both sides of (3.2) on  $U$ , we obtain

$$(D_s \omega')(g) = \lambda \omega'(g), \quad \text{and} \quad (D_s \omega')(1_n) = \lambda.$$

#### § 4. A self-reciprocal function on $A$ .

We return to the equations (2.12). The result of the preceding section enables us to calculate the coefficient of the form  $B_s(m, m)$ .

PROPOSITION 8. For non-negative integers  $s$  and  $m$  such that  $s \leq m$ , we have

$$B_s(m, m) = \binom{m}{s} (-1)^s m! \frac{(m+2)!}{(s+2)!}.$$

PROOF. The proposition is trivial for  $m=0$ , so we suppose  $m \geq 1$ . Proposition 5 gives us the relation

$$\frac{(-1)^s}{(2\pi)^s} \int_A c_0(-\sqrt{-1}^t x + y) c_s(-\sqrt{-1}^t x + y) \phi(y) dy = \sum_{\sigma=s}^n \sum_{\rho=0}^{\sigma} B_s(\rho, \sigma) \frac{c_\rho(x) c_\sigma(x)}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}},$$

where  $x$  is an element of  $A$ . Taking  $x=0$ , we get the equality

$$\frac{(-1)^s}{(2\pi)^s} \int_A c_0(y) c_s(y) \phi(y) dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} B_s(n, n).$$

The integral of the left-hand side is equal to

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n-s}} \binom{n}{s} n! \frac{(n+2)!}{(s+2)!}$$

by Proposition 7, i). From these results, we obtain readily

$$B_s(n, n) = \binom{n}{s} (-1)^s n! \frac{(n+2)!}{(s+2)!}. \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

The following proposition allows us to express in some sense the coefficients  $B_s(t, m)$  by  $B_s(m-l, m-l)$ .

PROPOSITION 9. There exist, for integers  $m$  and  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq [m/2]$ ), a sequence of real numbers  $E(m, k; l)$  ( $l=0, \dots, k$ ), and for integers  $m$  and  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq [(m-1)/2]$ ), a sequence of real numbers  $F(m, k; l)$  ( $l=0, \dots, k$ ), which satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} E(m, k; 0) &= 1, \\ (4.1) \quad & \left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k)!} \right\}^2 B_s(m-2k, m) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k E(m, k; l) \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(m, k; 0) &= -1, \\ (4.2) \quad & \left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k-1)!} \right\}^2 B_s(m-2k-1, m) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k F(m, k; l) \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l), \end{aligned}$$

for every integer  $s$  such that  $0 \leq s \leq m$ .

LEMMA 5. For integers  $t$  and  $m$  ( $1 \leq t \leq m$ ), there exists a sequence of integers  $L(t, m; \mu)$  ( $\mu=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), which satisfies the conditions

$$L(m, m; \mu) = 0,$$

$$t^2 B_s(t-1, m) = -(t+m-s)B_s(t, m) + \sum_{\mu \geq 0} L(t, m; \mu)B_s(t+\mu, m-\mu-1),$$

for every integer  $s$  such that  $0 \leq s \leq m$ .

PROOF. In the case  $t = m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(2m-s)B_s(m, m) &= -(2m-s)W_{2m-s}A_{m-s}^{2m-s}(s) \\ &= W_{2m-1-s}\{m^2 A_{m-s}^{2m-1-s}(s) + m^2 A_{m-1-s}^{2m-1-s}(s)\} \\ &= m^2 B_s(m-1, m) \end{aligned}$$

by the definitions of sequences  $A$  and  $B$ . Putting  $L(m, m; \mu) = 0$ , we get the proof in the case  $t = m$ . In the case  $1 \leq t \leq m-1$ , we have similarly

$$\begin{aligned} &-(t+m-s)B_s(t, m) \\ &= -(t+m-s)W_{t+m-s}\{A_{t-s}^{t+m-s}(s) + A_{m-s}^{t+m-s}(s)\} \\ &= W_{t+m-s-1}\{m^2 A_{t-s}^{t+m-s-1}(s) + t^2 A_{t-s-1}^{t+m-s-1}(s) - 4(m-t) \sum_{\mu=0}^{t-s-1} A_{\mu}^{t+m-s-1}(s) \\ &\quad + t^2 A_{m-s}^{t+m-s-1}(s) + m^2 A_{m-s-1}^{t+m-s-1}(s) + 4(m-t) \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-s-1} A_{\mu}^{t+m-s-1}(s)\} \\ &= W_{t+m-s-1}[t^2\{A_{t-1-s}^{t-1+m-s}(s)A_{m-s}^{t-1+m-s}(s)\} \\ &\quad + m^2\{A_{t-s}^{t+m-1-s}(s) + A_{m-1-s}^{t+m-1-s}(s)\} + 4(m-t) \sum_{\mu=t-s}^{m-s-1} A_{\mu}^{t+m-s-1}(s)] \\ &= t^2 B_s(t-1, m) + \{(1 + \delta_{t, m-1})m^2 + 4(m-t)\}B_s(t, m-1) \\ &\quad + 4(m-t) \sum_{\mu \geq 1} B_s(t+\mu, m-\mu-1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to set

$$\begin{cases} L(t, m; 0) = -\{(1 + \delta_{t, m-1})m^2 + 4(m-t)\}, \\ L(t, m; \mu) = -4(m-t) \quad \text{for } \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 9. In the case  $m = 0$ , we need only to prove the existence of the sequence  $E$ , i. e. to put  $E(0, 0; 0) = 1$ . In the case  $k = 0$ , set  $E(m, 0; 0) = 1$ ,  $F(m, 0; 0) = -1$ . The equality

$$m^2 B_s(m-1, m) = -(2m-s)B_s(m, m)$$

means the validity of the proposition.

Let  $m \geq 1$ . Assume that we have already proved the proposition in the case  $0, \dots, m-1$  with arbitrary  $k$  and in the case  $m$  with a fixed  $k$ . We shall prove the proposition in the case  $m$  with  $k+1$ .

By lemma 5, we have

$$(m-2k-1)^2 B_s(m-2k-2, m) = -(2m-2k-1-s) B_s(m-2k-1, m) + \sum_{\mu \geq 0} L(m-2k-1, m; \mu) B_s(m-2k-1+\mu, m-1-\mu).$$

Multiply the both sides by  $\{m!/(m-2k-1)!\}^2$ . Put

$$M(m, k; \mu) = \left\{ \frac{m!(m-2k-1+\mu)!}{(m-2k-1)!(m-1-\mu)!} \right\}^2 L(m-2k-1, m; \mu).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k-2)!} \right\}^2 B_s(m-2k-2, m) \\ &= -(2m-2k-s-1) \left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k-1)!} \right\}^2 B_s(m-2k-1, m) \\ &+ \sum_{\mu \geq 0} M(m, k; \mu) \left\{ \frac{(m-1-\mu)!}{(m-2k-1+\mu)!} \right\}^2 B_s(m-2k-1+\mu, m-1-\mu) \\ &= -(2m-2k-s-1) \sum_{l=0}^k F(m, k; l) \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l) \\ &+ \sum_{\mu \geq 0} M(m, k; \mu) \sum_{l=0}^{k-\mu} E(m-1-\mu, k-\mu; l) \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-2\mu-1-2l} (2m-2-2\mu-2l-s-i) \right\} \\ &\quad \times B_s(m-1-\mu-l, m-1-\mu-l). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes of the assumptions (4.2) in the case  $m, k$ , and (4.1) in the case  $m-1-\mu, k-\mu$ . And the right-hand side is equal to the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^k -F(m, k; l) \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l) \\ &+ \sum_{\mu=0}^k M(m, k; \mu) \sum_{l=\mu+1}^{k+1} E(m-1-\mu, k-\mu; l-1-\mu) \\ &\times \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l), \end{aligned}$$

hence to the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^k -F(m, k; l) \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} \left\{ \prod_{\mu=0}^{l-1} M(m, k; \mu) E(m-1-\mu, k-\mu; l-1-\mu) \right\} \\ &\times \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k+1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l). \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$E(m, k+1; l) = \begin{cases} -F(m, k; l) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{l-1} M(m, k; \mu)E(m-1-\mu, k-\mu; l-1-\mu) & (0 \leq l \leq k), \\ \sum_{\mu=0}^{l-1} M(m, k; \mu)E(m-1-\mu, k-\mu; l-1-\mu) & (l = k+1). \end{cases}$$

Then, we have  $E(m, k+1; 0) = 1$  and the relation (4.1) in the case  $m, k+1$ .

Similarly, by Lemma 5 and the relation (4.1) in the case  $m, k+1$  and the assumption (4.2) in the case  $m-1-\mu, k-\mu$ , we can prove the existence of the required sequence  $F(m, k+1; l)$ . This concludes the proof.

PROPOSITION 10. For integers  $t$  and  $m$  ( $0 \leq t \leq m$ ), we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^m B_s(t, m)(s+2)! = \begin{cases} 0 & (1 \leq t \leq m), \\ (-1)^m(m+2)! & (t = 0). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Put  $N_s = (s+2)!$ . By the relation (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k)!} \right\}^2 \sum_{s=0}^m B_s(m-2k, m)N_s \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k E(m, k; l) \sum_{s=0}^m \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l)N_s \end{aligned}$$

for integers  $m$  and  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq \lceil m/2 \rceil$ ). On the other hand, we get by Proposition 8 and the definition of the sequence  $B$

$$B_s(m-l, m-l)N_s = \begin{cases} \binom{m-l}{s}(-1)^s(m-l)!N_{m-l} & (s \leq m-l), \\ 0 & (s > m-l). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^m \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} B_s(m-l, m-l)N_s \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-l} \left[ \left\{ \prod_{i=0}^{2k-1-2l} (2m-2l-s-i) \right\} \binom{m-l}{s}(-1)^s \right] (m-l)!N_{m-l} \\ &= \left[ \frac{d^{2k-2l}}{dx^{2k-2l}} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{m-l} \binom{m-l}{s} x^{2m-2l-s}(-1)^s \right\} \right]_{x=1} (m-l)!N_{m-l} \\ &= \left[ \frac{d^{2k-2l}}{dx^{2k-2l}} \left\{ x^{m-l}(x-1)^{m-l} \right\} \right]_{x=1} (m-l)!N_{m-l} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & (m > 2k \text{ or } m = 2k, l > 0), \\ (m!)^2 N_m & (m = 2k, l = 0). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, recalling that  $E(m, k; 0) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k)!} \right\}^2 \sum_{s=0}^m B_s(m-2k, m)N_s = \begin{cases} 0 & (m > 2k), \\ (m!)^2 N_m & (m = 2k). \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have by (4.2)

$$\left\{ \frac{m!}{(m-2k-1)!} \right\}^2 \sum_{s=0}^m B_s(m-2k-1, m) N_s = \begin{cases} 0 & (m > 2k+1), \\ -(m!)^2 N_m & (m = 2k+1). \end{cases}$$

These results show that

$$\sum_{s=0}^m B_s(t, m) N_s = \begin{cases} 0 & (1 \leq t \leq m), \\ (-1)^m N_m & (t = 0). \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY. Put

$$\phi = w\psi, \quad w = \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s \frac{(s+2)!}{2} \frac{c_0 c_s}{(2\pi)^s}.$$

Then, the function  $\phi$  on  $A$  is self-reciprocal; i. e. we have

$$\hat{\phi} = \phi.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 5 and Proposition 10,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w} &= \sum_{0 \leq \rho \leq \sigma \leq n} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\sigma} B_s(\rho, \sigma) \frac{(s+2)!}{2} \right\} \frac{c_{\rho} c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\rho+\sigma}} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \sigma \leq n} (-1)^{\sigma} \frac{(\sigma+2)!}{2} \frac{c_0 c_{\sigma}}{(2\pi)^{\sigma}} = w. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\hat{\phi} = \widehat{w\psi} = \tilde{w}\psi = w\psi = \phi$ .

q. e. d.

The next task is to find an explicit expression of the local  $\zeta$ -function at  $\infty$  with the weight function  $\phi$ .

PROPOSITION 11. Put  $\omega = \omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}$ . The integral

$$\int_G \phi(g) \omega(g^{-1}) |\det g|^z dg$$

converges for  $\text{Re } z > \text{Max}_i (\text{Re } s_i)$ , and is equal to

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \zeta(z, \omega) \prod_{i=1}^n (z - s_i)(z - s_i - 1).$$

PROOF. We have by (1.3)

$$\omega_{s_1, \dots, s_n}(g^{-1}) |\det g|^z = \omega_{s_1-z, \dots, s_n-z}(g^{-1}).$$

So it is enough to prove

$$\int_G \phi(g) \omega(g^{-1}) dg = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_G \phi(g) \omega(g^{-1}) dg \prod_{i=0}^n s_i (s_i + 1)$$

for  $\text{Re } s_i < 0$ . Now, by proposition 7, ii), the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G (-1)^s \frac{c_0(g) c_s(g)}{(2\pi)^s} \phi(g) \omega(g^{-1}) dg \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_G \phi(g) \omega(g^{-1}) dg \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \right\} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \prod_{\mu=1}^n (s_{i_{\mu}} - i_{\mu} - 2 + \mu) \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $\operatorname{Re} s_i < 0$ , where  $k = n - s$ . Therefore, we need only to prove the formula

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n-k+2)!}{2} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n (s_i + 1).$$

Let  $\lambda_n$  be the left-hand side of (4.3). It is obvious that

$$\lambda_1 = 3 + (s_1 - 2) = s_1 + 1.$$

And we show that  $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n(s_{n+1} + 1)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{(n-k+3)!}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n+1} \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \\ &= \frac{(n+3)!}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{(n-k+3)!}{2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \prod_{\mu=1}^{k-1} (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \right\} (s_{n+1} - n - 3 + k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-k+3)!}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \\ &= \frac{(n+3)!}{2} + \frac{(n+2)!}{2} (s_{n+1} - n - 2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(n-k+3)!}{2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \prod_{\mu=1}^{k-1} (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \right\} (s_{n+1} - n - 3 + k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-k+2)!}{2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \right\} (n - k + 3) \\ &= \frac{(n+2)!}{2} (s_{n+1} + 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-k+2)!}{2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{\mu=1}^k (s_{i_\mu} - i_\mu - 2 + \mu) \right\} (s_{n+1} + 1) \\ &= \lambda_n(s_{n+1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.3).

## § 5. Certain global $\zeta$ -functions

Let  $A = \{\lambda\}$  be a set of indices. Suppose a unimodular locally compact group  $G_\lambda$  is associated with all  $\lambda$ , and a compact open subgroup  $H_\lambda$  of  $G_\lambda$  is associated with almost all  $\lambda$ . We denote by  $G$  the restricted direct product of  $G_\lambda$  with respect to  $H_\lambda$ . It is the set of all elements  $g = (g_\lambda)$  of  $\prod_{\lambda} G_\lambda$  such that  $g_\lambda \in H_\lambda$  for almost all  $\lambda$ . Let  $S_0$  denote the set of all indices  $\lambda$  in  $A$  with which the group  $H_\lambda$  is not associated. For every finite subset  $S$  of  $A$  contain-

ing  $S_0$ , put  $G_S = \prod_{\lambda \in S} G_\lambda \times \prod_{\lambda \in S} H_\lambda$ .  $G_S$  may be considered as a subgroup of  $G$ . We have  $G = \bigcup_S G_S$ . Each  $G_S$  has its natural product topology and  $G$  is topologized as the inductive limit with respect to  $S$ . Then,  $G$  is a unimodular locally compact group.

Let  $f_\lambda$  be a function on  $G_\lambda$  for every  $\lambda$ . We assume that  $f_\lambda(H_\lambda) = 1$  for almost all  $\lambda$ . Putting  $f(g) = \prod_\lambda f_\lambda(g_\lambda)$  for  $g = (g_\lambda) \in G$ , we define a function  $f$  on  $G$ , which we denote by  $f = \prod_\lambda f_\lambda$ .

Let  $dg_\lambda$  be a Haar measure on  $G_\lambda$ . We assume that the total volume of  $H_\lambda$  is equal to 1 for almost all  $\lambda$ . The restricted direct product  $dg$  of  $dg_\lambda$  has the following property:

If the above mentioned function  $f_\lambda$  further satisfies the conditions

$$f_\lambda \in L_1(G_\lambda) \text{ for all } \lambda, \prod_\lambda \int_{G_\lambda} |f_\lambda(g_\lambda)| dg_\lambda < \infty,$$

then we have

$$(5.1) \quad f = \prod_\lambda f_\lambda \in L_1(G), \quad \int_G f(g) dg = \prod_\lambda \int_{G_\lambda} f_\lambda(g_\lambda) dg_\lambda.$$

Of course, the infinite product of integrals converges absolutely. Further, if  $f_\lambda$  is in  $C(G_\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda$ , then  $f = \prod_\lambda f_\lambda$  is in  $C(G)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the adèle ring of  $\mathcal{A} = M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ ; i. e.  $\mathcal{A}$  is the restricted direct product of  $A_p$  with respect to  $O_p$ . By the canonical injection,  $\mathcal{A}$  may be considered as a discrete subgroup of  $A$ . It is known that the factor group  $A/\mathcal{A}$  is compact. We denote by  $A^\infty$  the set of all elements  $x = (x_p)$  of  $A$  such that  $x_p \in O_p$  for all  $p \neq \infty$ . It is an open subgroup of  $A$ . We have  $A = A^\infty + \mathcal{A}$ .

We have  $\chi_p(O_p) = 1$  for  $p \neq \infty$ . We define a function  $\chi = \prod_p \chi_p$  on  $A$ . It is a unitary character of  $A$ . Obviously,  $\chi(xy) = \chi(yx)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . By the mapping

$$A \times A \ni (x, y) \rightarrow \chi(xy) \in \mathbf{C},$$

$A$  is self-dual, and the annihilator of  $\mathcal{A}$  is again  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $dx_p$  be the Haar measure on  $A_p$ , normalized as in § 1. We denote by  $dx$  the restricted direct product of  $dx_p$ . There exists the canonical Haar measure  $d\bar{x}$  on  $A/\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the relation

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_{A/\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}} f(x + \xi) \right\} d\bar{x}$$

for all  $f \in L(G)$ . We have

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{A/\mathcal{A}} d\bar{x} = 1.$$

The Fourier transform of a function  $\varphi$  in  $L_1(A)$  is denoted by  $\hat{\varphi}$ :

$$\hat{\varphi}(y) = \int_A \varphi(x) \chi(xy) dx \quad \text{for } y \in A.$$

By the above definition, we have  $\hat{\hat{\varphi}}(x) = \varphi(-x)$ , if  $\varphi$  and  $\hat{\varphi}$  are in  $L_1(A)$ . Let  $\varphi_p$  be a function on  $A_p$  satisfying the conditions

- i)  $\varphi_p, \hat{\varphi}_p \in C(A_p) \cap L_1(A_p)$  for all  $p$ ,
- ii)  $\varphi_p = \chi_{O_p}$  for almost all  $p$ .

We put  $\varphi = \prod_p \varphi_p$ . Then, the function  $\varphi$  belongs to  $C(A) \cap L_1(A)$ , and we have

$$(5.3) \quad \hat{\varphi}_p = \chi_{O_p} \quad \text{for almost all } p, \quad \hat{\varphi} = \prod_p \hat{\varphi}_p.$$

Let  $x = (x_p)$  be an element of  $A$ . Then,  $\det x_p \in \mathbf{Z}_p$  for almost all  $p$ . So the element  $(\det x_p)$  of  $\prod_p \mathbf{Q}_p$  is in the adèle ring of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We write

$$\det x = (\det x_p).$$

The totality of invertible elements in  $A$  is denoted by  $G$ , on which we introduce the weakest topology, such that the mappings  $G \ni x \rightarrow x \in A$  and  $G \ni x \rightarrow x^{-1} \in A$  are both continuous. Then,  $G$  is equal to the restricted direct product of  $G_p$  with respect to  $U_p$ .  $G$  is called the idele group of  $A = M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ . By the canonical injection,  $\Gamma = GL(n, \mathbf{Q})$  may be considered as a discrete subgroup of  $G$ . Put  $U = \prod_p U_p$ , then it is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $Z$  be the centre of  $G$ . It is equal to the restricted direct product of  $Z_p$  with respect to  $Z_p \cap U_p$ . We denote by  $G^\infty$  the set of all elements  $g = (g_p)$  of  $G$  satisfying  $g_p \in U_p$  for all  $p \neq \infty$ . It is an open subgroup of  $G$ .

An element  $x$  of  $A$  belongs to  $G$ , if and only if  $\det x$  is in the idele group of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For an element  $g = (g_p)$  of  $G$ , we put

$$\| \det g \| = \prod_p | \det g_p |_p.$$

We have  $\| \det u \| = 1$  for  $u \in U$ , and  $\| \det \gamma \| = 1$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Furthermore, we have

$$d(gx) = d(xg) = \| \det g \|^n dx$$

for  $g \in G$ .

We denote by  $du_p$  the Haar measure on  $U_p$ , normalized as in §1. We call  $du$  the direct product of  $du_p$ . Of course, the total measure of  $U$  is equal to 1. Let  $dg_p$  be the Haar measure on  $G_p$ , normalized as in §1. We write  $dg$  the restricted direct product of  $dg_p$ . There exists on the homogeneous space  $G/\Gamma$  the canonical invariant measure  $d\bar{g}$ , such that the relation

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_{G/\Gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(g\gamma) \right\} d\bar{g}.$$

holds for all  $f \in L(G)$ . Let  $L(G, U)$  be the set of all functions  $\varphi$  in  $L(G)$  such

that  $\varphi(ugu') = \varphi(g)$  for all  $u, u' \in U$  and  $g \in G$ . For  $\varphi$  in  $L(G, U)$  and  $f$  in  $C(G)$ , we define the convolution  $\varphi * f$  by

$$(\varphi * f)(g) = \int_G \varphi(gh^{-1})f(h)dh, \quad g \in G.$$

We define a multiplication in  $L(G, U)$  by the convolution, then it becomes a ring. It is known that the ring  $L(G, U)$  is commutative.

We denote by  $\Omega$  the set of all spherical functions on  $G$  relative to  $U$ , and by  $\Omega^+$  the totality of positive-definite spherical functions. We have  $|\omega(g)| \leq 1$ ,  $\overline{\omega(g)} = \omega(g^{-1})$  for  $\omega \in \Omega^+$ . We denote further by  $\tilde{\Omega}$  the set of all  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $\omega(\zeta g) = \omega(g)$  for all  $\zeta \in Z$  and  $g \in G$ . Every spherical function  $\omega$  on  $G$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\omega = \prod_p \omega_p$ ,  $\omega_p \in \Omega_p$ . Conversely, if  $\omega_p$  is a spherical function on  $G_p$  for every  $p$ , then the function  $\omega = \prod_p \omega_p$  on  $G$  is spherical. Moreover, a spherical function  $\omega = \prod_p \omega_p$  belongs to  $\Omega^+$  (resp.  $\tilde{\Omega}$ ), if and only if  $\omega_p$  belongs to  $\Omega_p^+$  (resp.  $\tilde{\Omega}_p$ ) for all  $p$ .

A function  $f$  in  $C(G)$  will be called an automorphic function with respect to  $\Gamma$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- i)  $f(ug\gamma) = f(g)$  for all  $u \in U, g \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
- ii) to every  $\varphi \in L(G, U)$ , corresponds a complex number  $\lambda_\varphi$  satisfying the relation  $\varphi * f = \lambda_\varphi f$ .

For a non-zero automorphic function  $f$ , there exists a unique spherical function  $\omega$ , satisfying the condition

$$\int_U f(ugug')du = \omega(g)f(g') \quad \text{for all } g, g' \in G.$$

Then, we say that  $f$  belongs to  $\omega$ . We consider a spherical function in  $\hat{\Omega}^+ = \Omega^+ \cap \tilde{\Omega}$  to which a non-zero automorphic function belongs. The set of all such spherical functions is called the spectrum of  $\Gamma$  in  $\hat{\Omega}^+$ , and is denoted by  $s(\Gamma)$ .

If  $f$  is a non-zero automorphic function belonging to  $\omega$  in the spectrum, then there exists an element  $h$  of  $G$ , such that

$$(5.4) \quad f(h) \neq 0, \quad \|\det h\| = 1.$$

In fact, we have for all  $\zeta \in Z$  and  $g \in G$ ,

$$f(\zeta g) = f(u\zeta g) = f(\zeta ug) = \int_U f(\zeta ug)du = \omega(\zeta)f(g) = f(g).$$

On the other hand, there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that  $f(g) \neq 0$ . We put  $\zeta_p = 1_n$  ( $p \neq \infty$ ),  $\zeta_\infty = \|\det g\|^{-\frac{1}{n}} 1_n$ ,  $\zeta = (\zeta_p)$  and  $h = \zeta g$ . Then, we have  $f(h) = f(g) \neq 0$ ,  $\|\det h\| = \|\det \zeta\| \times \|\det g\| = 1$ .

A function  $\psi$  on  $A$  is called of type  $Z$ , if the following conditions are

satisfied :

$$(Z1) \quad \phi, \hat{\phi} \in C(A) \cap L_1(A),$$

$$(Z2) \quad \phi(uxv) = \phi(x), \hat{\phi}(uxv) = \hat{\phi}(x) \text{ for all } u, v \in U, x \in A,$$

(Z3) there exists a real number  $\sigma_0$ , such that

$$\int_G |\phi(g)| \|\det g\|^\sigma dg < \infty, \quad \int_G |\hat{\phi}(g)| \|\det g\|^\sigma dg < \infty \quad \text{for } \sigma > \sigma_0$$

(Z4)  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}} \phi(g(x+\xi)h)$ ,  $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{\phi}(g(x+\xi)h)$  converge absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of elements  $(g, x, h)$  in  $G \times A \times G$ ,

$$(Z5) \quad \phi(x) = \hat{\phi}(x) = 0 \text{ for every element } x \text{ of } A \text{ such that } \det x = 0.$$

We see that  $\phi$  is of type  $Z$  if and only if  $\hat{\phi}$  is of type  $Z$ .

For a function  $\phi$  of type  $Z$  and a spherical function  $\omega$  in  $s(\Gamma)$ , we define a global  $\zeta$ -function by the integral

$$\zeta_\phi(z, \omega) = \int_G \phi(g) \omega(g^{-1}) \|\det g\|^z dg.$$

We have  $|\omega(g)| \leq 1$  for all  $g \in G$ , so by (Z3), the above integral converges for  $\operatorname{Re} z > \sigma_0$ .

PROPOSITION 12. For every  $\phi$  of type  $Z$  and every  $\omega$  in  $s(\Gamma)$ , the function  $\zeta_\phi(z, \omega)$  is continued to an entire function. It satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta_\phi(z, \omega) = \zeta_{\hat{\phi}}(n-z, \bar{\omega}).$$

PROOF. The "theta-formula"

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi(h^{-1}\gamma g) = \|\det hg^{-1}\|^n \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{\phi}(g^{-1}\gamma h), \quad g, h \in G$$

holds for every  $\phi$  of type  $Z$ . Indeed, by the formulas  $d(gx) = d(xg) = \|\det g\|^n dx$ , we see easily that the function  $L_h R_g \phi$  is in  $C(A) \cap L_1(A)$ . The Fourier transform of  $L_h R_g \phi$  is equal to  $\|\det hg^{-1}\|^n L_g R_h \hat{\phi}$ , by the following calculation :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \phi(h^{-1}xg) \chi(xy) dx &= \|\det hg^{-1}\|^n \int_A \phi(x) \chi(hxg^{-1}y) dx \\ &= \|\det hg^{-1}\|^n \int_A \phi(x) \chi(xg^{-1}yh) dx \\ &= \|\det hg^{-1}\|^n \hat{\phi}(g^{-1}yh). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (Z4) and (5.2), we get by the Poisson formula

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}} \phi(h^{-1}\xi g) = \|\det hg^{-1}\|^n \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{\phi}(g^{-1}\xi h).$$

If  $\xi \notin \Gamma$ , then  $\det(h^{-1}\xi g) = \det(g^{-1}\xi h) = 0$ , hence by (Z5)  $\phi(h^{-1}\xi g) = \hat{\phi}(g^{-1}\xi h) = 0$ . So we obtain the "theta-formula" (5.5).

Now, for a non-zero automorphic function  $f$  belonging to  $\omega \in s(\Gamma)$ , there exists an element  $h \in G$  such that  $f(h) \neq 0$ ,  $\|\det h\| = 1$  (cf. (5.4)). By the use of this element  $h$ , we have for  $\operatorname{Re} z > \sigma_0$

$$\begin{aligned} f(h)\zeta_\psi(z, \omega) &= \int_G \psi(g)\omega(g^{-1})f(h) \|\det g\|^z dg \\ &= \int_U \left( \int_G \psi(g)f(g^{-1}uh) \|\det g\|^z dg \right) du \\ &= \int_U \left( \int_G \psi(hg^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{-z} dg \right) du \quad (g \rightarrow uhg^{-1}) \\ &= \int_G \psi(hg^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{-z} dg \\ &= \int_{\|\det g\| \leq 1} + \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \end{aligned}$$

We transform the two integrals of the right-hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the first integral} &= \int_{\|\det g\| \leq 1} \psi(hg^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{-z} dg \\ &= \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \psi(g)f(g^{-1}h) \|\det g\|^z dg, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the second integral} &= \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \psi(hg^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{-z} dg \\ &= \int_{G/\Gamma, \|\det g\| \geq 1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \psi(h\gamma g^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{-z} d\bar{g} \\ &= \int_{G/\Gamma, \|\det g\| \geq 1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{\psi}(g\gamma h^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{n-z} d\bar{g} \\ &= \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \hat{\psi}(gh^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^{n-z} dg \\ &= \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \hat{\psi}(g)f(gh) \|\det g\|^{n-z} dg. \end{aligned}$$

We applied the “theta-formula” (5.5) in the transformations of the second integral. Consequently, we have for  $\operatorname{Re} z > \sigma_0$

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f(h)\zeta_\psi(z, \omega) &= \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \psi(g)f(g^{-1}h) \|\det g\|^z dg \\ &\quad + \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \hat{\psi}(g)f(gh) \|\det g\|^{n-z} dg. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, considering that  $\hat{\psi}(x) = \psi(-x) = \psi(x)$  and that  $\overline{\omega(g)} = \omega(g^{-1})$ , we

have  $f(h)\zeta\hat{\psi}(z, \bar{\omega}) = \int_G \hat{\psi}(gh^{-1})f(g) \|\det g\|^z dg$  and

$$(5.7) \quad f(h)\zeta\hat{\psi}(z, \bar{\omega}) = \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \psi(g)f(g^{-1}h) \|\det g\|^{n-z} dg \\ + \int_{\|\det g\| \geq 1} \hat{\psi}(g)f(gh) \|\det g\|^z dg$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z > \sigma_0$ .

The first integral of (5.6) and the second integral of (5.7) converge for  $\operatorname{Re} z > \sigma_0$ . Since both integrals extend over  $\|\det g\| \geq 1$ , they converge for all  $z$ . From this follows the convergence for all  $z$  of the second integral of (5.6) and of the first integral of (5.7). This means that the functions  $\zeta\psi(z, \omega)$  and  $\zeta\hat{\psi}(z, \bar{\omega})$  are continued to entire functions. The functional equation readily follows from (5.6) and (5.7).

### § 6. $\zeta$ -function of $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{Q})$

With every  $p$ , we associate a spherical function on  $G_p$ :

$$\omega_p = \omega_{s_1(p), \dots, s_n(p)} \quad (s_1(p), \dots, s_n(p) \in \mathbf{C}).$$

Let  $\zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$  be the local  $\zeta$ -function with the weight function  $\phi_p$  (cf. (1.1)). We consider the spherical function  $\omega = \prod_p \omega_p$  on  $G$ .

**THEOREM.** *We assume that the spherical function  $\omega$  is in the spectrum  $s(\Gamma)$ . Then, the infinite product*

$$\zeta(z, \omega) = \prod_p \zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$$

converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re} z > n$ . And the function

$$\zeta(z, \omega) \prod_{i=1}^n (z - s_i(\infty))(z - s_i(\infty) - 1)$$

is continued to an entire function. The meromorphic function  $\zeta(z, \omega)$  on the whole  $z$ -plane satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta(z, \omega) = \zeta(n - z, \bar{\omega}).$$

**REMARK.** Since  $\omega$  is in  $s(\Gamma)$ , we have  $\omega_p \in \tilde{\Omega}_p$ . So the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_i(p) \equiv \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \pmod{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log p}}$$

holds by (1.4). From this and Proposition 1, we have

$$\zeta_p(z, \omega_p) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p^{s_i(p)} p^{-z})^{-1} & (p \neq \infty), \\ \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}z + \frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(\frac{z - s_i(\infty)}{2}\right) & (p = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Before the proof of the theorem, we need some preliminaries. We define a function  $\phi_\infty$  on  $A_\infty$  as in Corollary of Proposition 10. We put

$$\phi_p = \phi_p \ (p \neq \infty), \ \phi = \prod_p \phi_p.$$

PROPOSITION 13. *The function  $\phi$  on  $A$  is of type  $Z$  with  $\sigma_0 = n$ , and is self-reciprocal.*

PROOF. We have

$$\phi_p \in C(A_p) \cap L_1(A_p), \ \hat{\phi}_p = \phi_p$$

for all  $p$ . It is obvious for  $p \neq \infty$ ; and for  $p = \infty$ , it follows from Proposition 7, i) and Corollary of Proposition 10. Hence, we have by (5.3)

$$\phi \in C(A) \cap L_1(A), \ \hat{\phi} = \phi,$$

which mean (Z1). We can easily verify (Z2) and (Z5). Furthermore, we have

$$\omega_{0,1,\dots,n-1} = 1,$$

hence we have by Proposition 1 and Proposition 7, ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_p} |\phi_p(g_p)| |\det g_p|_p^\sigma dg_p &= \int_{G_p} |\phi_p(g_p)| \omega_{0,1,\dots,n-1}(g_p^{-1}) |\det g_p|_p^\sigma dg_p \\ &\begin{cases} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p^{i-1} p^{-\sigma})^{-1} & (p \neq \infty), \\ \leq \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{(s+2)!}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^s} \int_{G_\infty} c_0(g_\infty) c_s(g_\infty) \phi_\infty(g_\infty) \\ \quad \times \omega_{0,1,\dots,n-1}(g_\infty^{-1}) |\det g_\infty|_\infty^\sigma dg_\infty < \infty & (p = \infty) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\sigma > n-1$ . Hence,

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{G_p} |\phi_p(g_p)| |\det g_p|_p^\sigma dg_p < \infty & \text{for } \sigma > n-1, \\ \prod_{p \neq \infty} \left( \int_{G_p} |\phi_p(g_p)| |\det g_p|_p^\sigma dg_p \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \zeta(\sigma - (i-1)) & \text{for } \sigma > n. \end{cases}$$

Applying (5.1) to these formulas and to the fact

$$\phi_p(u_p) |\det u_p|_p^\sigma = 1 \quad \text{for all } u_p \in U_p, \ p \neq \infty,$$

we obtain

$$\int_G |\phi(g)| |\det g|^\sigma dg < \infty \quad \text{for } \sigma > n.$$

This is the condition (Z3) in the case  $\sigma_0 = n$ .

Let  $a = (a_p)$  and  $b = (b_p)$  be elements of  $G$ . We denote by  $a_p(i, j)$  (resp.  $b_p(i, j)$ ) the  $(i, j)$  element of  $a_p$  (resp.  $b_p$ ). Since we have  $a_p, b_p \in U_p$  for almost all  $p$ , we can associate with every  $p \neq \infty$  a non-negative integer  $n_p(a, b)$  satisfying the conditions

- i)  $n_p(a, b) = 0$  for almost all  $p \neq \infty$ ,
- ii)  $|a_p(i, j)|_p, |b_p(i, j)|_p \leq p^{n_p(a, b)}$  for all  $p \neq \infty$ .

Hence, for  $y_p = (y_p(i, j)) \in a_p O_p b_p$ , we have

$$|y_p(i, j)|_p \leq p^{2n_p(a, b)}.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{a}(a, b)$  the ideal of  $\mathbf{Q}$  generated by the rational number  $\prod_{p \neq \infty} p^{-2n_p(a, b)}$ .

Then, considering that  $\phi_p = \chi_{O_p}$  for all  $p \neq \infty$ , the following inferences hold: For  $x = (x_p) \in A^\infty, g = (g_p) \in G^\infty a^{-1}, h = (h_p) \in b^{-1} G^\infty$  and  $\xi = (\xi_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(g_p(x_p + \xi)h_p) &= 1 && \text{for all } p \neq \infty \\ \Leftrightarrow x_p + \xi &\in g_p^{-1} O_p h_p^{-1} = a_p O_p b_p && \text{for all } p \neq \infty \\ \Rightarrow |\xi_{ij}|_p &\leq p^{2n_p(a, b)} && \text{for all } p \neq \infty \\ \Rightarrow \xi &\in M_n(\mathfrak{a}(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

From them, we get

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}} |\phi(g(x + \xi)h)| \leq \sum_{\xi \in M_n(\mathfrak{a}(a, b))} |\phi_\infty(g_\infty(x_\infty + \xi)h_\infty)|$$

for  $g \in G^\infty a^{-1}, h \in b^{-1} G^\infty$  and  $x \in A^\infty$ . We define a function  $\lambda$  on  $A_\infty$  by

$$\lambda(x_\infty) = \exp(-\sum_{i, j} |x_{ij}|), \quad x_\infty = (x_{ij}) \in A_\infty.$$

Then, there exists a constant  $K > 0$ , such that

$$(6.3) \quad |\phi_\infty(x_\infty)| \leq K\lambda(x_\infty)$$

for sufficiently large  $|x_{ij}|$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We see easily that the series

$$\sum_{\xi \in M_n(\mathfrak{a})} \lambda(g_\infty(x_\infty + \xi)h_\infty)$$

converges uniformly on any compact subset of elements  $(g_\infty, x_\infty, h_\infty)$  in  $G_\infty \times A_\infty \times G_\infty$ . Therefore, (Z4) follows from (6.2), (6.3) and the relation  $A = \mathcal{A} + A^\infty$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM. By proposition 13 and Proposition 12, the global  $\zeta$ -function  $\zeta_\psi(z, \omega)$  has the following properties:

$$(6.4) \quad \zeta_\psi(z, \omega) = \int_G \psi(g)\omega(g^{-1}) \|\det g\|^z dg \quad \text{for } \text{Re } z > n,$$

$$(6.5) \quad \zeta_\psi(z, \omega) = \zeta_\psi(n - z, \bar{\omega}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_p(u_p)\omega_p(u_p^{-1})|\det u_p|_p^z = 1 & \text{for } u_p \in U_p, p \neq \infty, \\ \int_{G_p} |\phi_p(g_p)\omega_p(g_p^{-1})|\det g_p|_p^z dg_p < \infty & \text{for } \operatorname{Re} z > n-1, \\ \prod_p \int_{G_p} |\phi_p(g_p)\omega_p(g_p^{-1})|\det g_p|_p^z dg_p < \infty & \text{for } \operatorname{Re} z > n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{G_p} \phi_p(g_p)\omega_p(g_p^{-1})|\det g_p|_p^z dg_p = \begin{cases} \zeta_p(z, \omega_p) & (p \neq \infty), \\ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \zeta_\infty(z, \omega_\infty) \prod_{i=1}^n (z-s_i(\infty))(z-s_i(\infty)-1) & (p = \infty) \end{cases}$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z > n-1$ . Indeed, since  $|\omega_p(g_p)| \leq 1$  for all element  $g_p$  of  $G_p$ , the formulas (6.6) follow from (6.1). The formula (6.7) holds for sufficiently large  $\operatorname{Re} z$  by Proposition 1 and Proposition 11. The left-hand side integral of (6.7) converges for  $\operatorname{Re} z > n-1$  by (6.6), so the formula (6.7) holds for  $\operatorname{Re} z > n-1$ .

Therefore, we have by (6.4), (6.6), (5.1) and (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\phi(z, \omega) &= \prod_p \int_{G_p} \phi_p(g_p)\omega_p(g_p^{-1})|\det g_p|_p^z dg_p \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \prod_p \zeta_p(z, \omega_p) \prod_{i=1}^n (z-s_i(\infty))(z-s_i(\infty)-1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z > n$ . And the infinite product

$$\zeta(z, \omega) = \prod_p \zeta_p(z, \omega_p)$$

converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re} z > n$ . Hence, we see that the function

$$\zeta(z, \omega) \prod_{i=1}^n (z-s_i(\infty))(z-s_i(\infty)-1)$$

is continued to an entire function. We write (6.5) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(z, \omega) \prod_{i=1}^n (z-s_i(\infty))(z-s_i(\infty)-1) \\ = \zeta(n-z, \bar{\omega}) \prod_{i=1}^n (n-z-\overline{s_i(\infty)})(n-z-\overline{s_i(\infty)}-1). \end{aligned}$$

Considering (1.5), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (z-s_i(\infty))(z-s_i(\infty)-1) = \prod_{i=1}^n (n-z-\overline{s_i(\infty)})(n-z-\overline{s_i(\infty)}-1).$$

Hence, we obtain the result

$$\zeta(z, \omega) = \zeta(n-z, \bar{\omega}).$$

Waseda University

### References

- [1] M. Eichler, Allgemeine Kongruenzklasseneinteilungen der Idealen einfacher Algebren über algebraischen Zahlkörpern und ihrer  $L$ -Reihen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **179** (1938), 227–251.
- [2] G. Fujisaki, On the zeta-functions of the simple algebra over the field of rational numbers, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect. I*, **7** (1958), 567–604.
- [3] G. Fujisaki, On the  $L$ -functions of the simple algebra over the field of rational numbers, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect. I*, **9** (1962), 293–311.
- [4] R. Godement, Les fonctions  $\zeta$  des algèbres simples, I, II, *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 1958/1959, Exposé, p. 171, 176.
- [5] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semi-simple Lie group, I, II, *Amer. J. Math.*, **80** (1958), 241–310, 553–613.
- [6] E. Hecke, Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung, I, II, *Math. Ann.*, **114** (1937), 1–28, 316–351.
- [7] K. Hey, Analytische Zahlentheorie in System hyperkomplexer Zahlen, *Diss.*, Hamburg, 1929.
- [8] K. Iwasawa, A note on  $L$ -functions, *Proc. Int. Congress. Math. Cambr. Mass. U. S. A.*, 1950, p. 322.
- [9] H. Maass, Über eine neue Art von nicht analytischen automorphen Funktionen und die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch Funktionalgleichung, *Math. Ann.*, **121** (1949), 141–183.
- [10] H. Maass, Zetafunktionen mit Grössencharakteren und Kugelfunktionen, *Math. Ann.*, **134** (1957), 1–32.
- [11] I. Satake, Theory of spherical functions on reductive algebraic groups over  $p$ -adic fields, *Publications Mathematiques*, No. 18, 1963.
- [12] G. Shimura, On the Dirichlet series and abelian varieties attached to automorphic forms, *Ann. of Math.*, **72** (1962), 237–294.
- [13] T. Tamagawa, On Selberg's trace formula, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I*, **8** (1960), 363–386.
- [14] T. Tamagawa, On the  $\zeta$ -functions of a division algebra, *Ann. of Math.*, **77** (1963), 387–405.
- [15] J. Tate, Fourier analysis in number fields and Hecke's zeta-functions, *Thesis*, Princeton Univ., 1950.
- [16] H. Weyl, *Classical groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1939.