

## Hardy-Littlewood majorants in function spaces

By Tetsuya SHIMOGAKI

(Received Jan. 9, 1965)

1. Throughout this paper, we term  $X$  a *Banach function space*<sup>1)</sup>, if  $X$  is a normed linear space of integrable functions over the interval  $(0, 1)$  satisfying

- (i)  $|g| \leq |f|$ <sup>2)</sup>,  $f \in X$  implies  $g \in X$  and  $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$ ;
- (ii)  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f$  implies  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\| = \|f\|$ ;
- (iii)  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\| < +\infty$  implies  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \in X$ <sup>3)</sup>.

We shall call the norm fulfilling (i) and (ii) to be *semi-continuous*.  $X$  is said to have the *Rearrangement Invariant property*<sup>4)</sup> (or shortly *RIP*), if each function  $g$  equimeasurable to a function  $f \in X$  also belongs to  $X$  and  $\|g\| = \|f\|$ .

Let  $f$  be an integrable function on  $(0, 1)$ . The *Hardy-Littlewood majorant*  $\theta(f)$  of  $f$  is the function defined by

$$(1) \quad \theta(f)(x) = \sup_{0 \leq y \leq 1} \int_x^y \frac{f(t)}{y-x} dt \quad (x \in (0, 1)),$$

provided it exists almost everywhere. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood have shown that if  $f \in L^p (1 < p)$ , then  $\theta(f)$  is defined and belongs to  $L^p$  also [9]. Here, in accordance with G. Lorentz [3], we shall say that  $X$  has the *Hardy-Littlewood property*, and shall denote by  $X \in HLP$ , if  $f \in X$  implies  $\theta(f) \in X$ . In his paper cited above, G. Lorentz discussed this property for Banach function spaces having *RIP*<sup>5)</sup>, and presented necessary and sufficient conditions in order that  $X \in HLP$ , in case  $X$  is an Orlicz space  $L_\phi$  or a space  $\Lambda(\phi)$ .

The aim of this note is to give a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a general Banach function space  $X$  with *RIP* have the Hardy-Little-

1) Here we deal with Banach spaces consisting of real functions. For an exposition of Banach function spaces see [4].

2)  $|g| \leq |f|$  means that  $g(t) \leq f(t)$  holds almost everywhere in  $(0, 1)$ .

3) A norm satisfying (iii) is called monotone complete. If a norm is monotone complete, it is complete.

4) On account of Theorem 3 in [8], we may replace this condition by the weak rearrangement invariant property (this requires only  $g \in X$ , if  $g$  is equimeasurable to an  $f \in X$ ) throughout this paper.

5) In his paper Banach function spaces are introduced in terms of Köthe spaces.

wood property (Theorem 1). As a consequence, it shall be shown that the results of [3] in case of  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{L}_\phi$  or  $\mathbf{X} = \Lambda(\phi)$ , which are simplified so as to bear directly on  $\Phi$  or  $\phi$ , can be derived easily from this condition.

Finally we shall establish a generalization of the Hardy-Littlewood property for Banach function spaces consisting of integrable functions on a finite measure space  $(E, \Omega, \mu)$ .

2. In the sequel, let  $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$  be always a Banach function space consisting of integrable functions over  $(0, 1)$  which has *RIP*. We shall denote by  $f^*$  the decreasing rearrangement of  $|f|$ , and by  $\bar{f}$  the function defined by

$$(2) \quad \bar{f}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{t} dt \quad (x \in (0, 1)).$$

It is clear that  $\theta(f) = \bar{f}$ , if  $f$  is positive decreasing.

LEMMA 1 (Lorentz [3]).  $\mathbf{X} \in HLP$  if and only if for every positive decreasing  $f \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\bar{f}$  belongs also to  $\mathbf{X}$ . Furthermore, there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad \|\theta(f)\| \leq K\|f\| \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathbf{X}$$

holds in this case.

Here we note that the latter part of the lemma can be proved directly as follows. If  $\mathbf{X} \in HLP$ , the functional  $\rho: f \rightarrow \rho(f) = \|\theta(f)\|$  ( $f \in \mathbf{X}$ ) satisfies i)  $\rho(\alpha f) = \alpha\rho(f)$  for all positive number  $\alpha$ ; ii)  $0 \leq f \leq g$  implies  $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$ . On account of the condition (ii) in 1 and the relation  $|\theta(f)| \leq \theta(|f|)$ , we need only to show that (3) holds for all positive  $f \in \mathbf{X}$ . Now suppose that there exists no positive number satisfying (3) for all positive  $f \in \mathbf{X}$ . We can then find a sequence of positive functions  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  such that  $\rho(f_n) \geq n$  with  $\|f_n\| = 1/2^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is complete,  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n = f_0 \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $0 \leq f_n \leq f_0$  holds for each  $n$ . Thus we obtain  $\|\theta(f_0)\| = \rho(f_0) \geq \rho(f_n) \geq n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), which is a contradiction.

For any  $f \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , let  $f_{(\alpha)}$  denote the function

$$(4) \quad f_{(\alpha)}(x) = f(\alpha x) \quad (x \in (0, 1)).$$

If  $f$  is positive decreasing, we have for any  $\alpha, \beta$  ( $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ ) and  $\xi \geq 0$

$$(5) \quad f_{(\alpha)} \geq f_{(\beta)}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \leq \beta;$$

$$(6) \quad \{f_{(\alpha)}\}_{(\beta)} = f_{(\alpha\beta)},$$

$$(7) \quad \{\xi f\}_{(\alpha)} = \xi \{f_{(\alpha)}\}.$$

The following sufficient condition for  $\mathbf{X} \in HLP$  was given in [3].

LEMMA 2. For  $\mathbf{X} \in HLP$  it is sufficient that for some constant  $K$  and for all  $f \in \mathbf{X}$ ,

$$(8) \quad \int_0^1 \|f_{(\alpha)}\| d\alpha \leq K \|f\|.$$

We shall show below that (8) is, in fact, a necessary condition for *HLP* at the same time (Corollary 1).

We write  $f \prec g$ , if  $\int_0^x f^*(t)dt \leq \int_0^x g^*(t)dt$  holds for every  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $\mathbf{X}$  has *RIP*,  $f \prec g$  implies  $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ . Also we write  $f \sim g$ , whenever  $f$  is equimeasurable to  $g$ . Then it follows easily that  $f^* = g^*$  if  $f \sim g$ , and that  $f \prec g$ ,  $f \sim f'$ , and  $g \sim g'$  imply  $f' \prec g'$ . Let  $\mathbf{X}'$  denote the conjugate space of  $\mathbf{X}$ , i. e. the totality of measurable functions  $g$  for which  $\|g\|' = \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1, f \in \mathbf{X}} |\langle f, g \rangle| < \infty$ ,

where  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx$  ( $f \in \mathbf{X}, g \in \mathbf{X}'$ ).  $\mathbf{X}'$  is also a Banach function space having *RIP*, and can be considered to be included in  $\mathbf{X}^*$ , the Banach dual of  $\mathbf{X}$ . It is well known that  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  is reflexive, i. e. [4, 6]

$$\|f\| = \sup_{\|g\|' \leq 1, g \in \mathbf{X}'} |\langle f, g \rangle| \quad (f \in \mathbf{X}),$$

and  $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \langle f^*, g^* \rangle$  holds for each  $f \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $g \in \mathbf{X}'$ . For any measurable set  $\mathbf{e} \subset (0, 1)$ , we define a linear operator  $A_{\mathbf{e}}$  by the formula:

$$(9) \quad A_{\mathbf{e}}f = \left( \frac{1}{d(\mathbf{e})} \int_{\mathbf{e}} f(x)dx \right) \chi_{\mathbf{e}},$$

where  $\chi_{\mathbf{e}}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $d(\mathbf{e})$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbf{e}$ . Since  $A_{\mathbf{e}}f \prec f$  for any positive  $f \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\|A_{\mathbf{e}}f\| \leq \|f\|$  holds. Furthermore, we have

LEMMA 3. If  $f_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) are all positive decreasing functions belonging to  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$ . Then

$$(10) \quad \|f_0\| \geq \|A_{(0, \xi)}f_1 + f_2\|$$

holds for any  $0 < \xi \leq 1$ .

PROOF. For any positive decreasing  $c \in \mathbf{X}'$  with  $\|c\|' = 1$ , one obtains

$$\langle f_0, c \rangle = \langle f_1, c \rangle + \langle f_2, c \rangle \geq \langle A_{(0, \xi)}f_1, c \rangle + \langle f_2, c \rangle = \langle A_{(0, \xi)}f_1 + f_2, c \rangle,$$

because of  $f_1 \succ A_{(0, \xi)}f_1$ . Hence it follows that  $\|f_0\| \geq \|A_{(0, \xi)}f_1 + f_2\|$ , since the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is reflexive, and both  $f_0$  and  $A_{(0, \xi)}f_1 + f_2$  are positive decreasing.

We can now prove our main result:

THEOREM 1.  $\mathbf{X} \in \text{HLP}$  if and only if there exist positive numbers  $K$  and  $P$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) such that

$$(*) \quad \|f_{(\alpha)}\| \leq K\alpha^{-p}\|f\|$$

holds for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

Since the function  $g(\alpha) = \alpha^{-p}$  is integrable over  $(0, 1)$  for any  $p$  with  $0 < p < 1$ , the sufficiency of the theorem is obvious by virtue of Lemma 2.

Therefore, we merely need to prove the necessity. First we shall prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *If there exists an  $\varepsilon_0(0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1)$  such that*

$$(\#) \quad \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } n \leq 2\varepsilon_0^{-1} \text{ imply } \|f_{(n^{-1})}\| \leq \varepsilon_0^{-1},$$

then  $(*)$  holds for some  $p$  with  $0 < p < 1$ .

PROOF. We may assume that  $\varepsilon_0$  satisfying  $(\#)$  is taken so that  $m = 2\varepsilon_0^{-1}$  is an integer. For any natural number  $\nu$  and  $f \in X$  with  $\|f\| \leq 1$ , one obtains that

$$(11) \quad n \leq m^\nu \text{ implies } \|f_{(n^{-1})}\| \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\nu}.$$

In fact, for  $\nu = 1$ , this is valid on account of  $(\#)$ . Now suppose that this holds true for  $\nu = k - 1$ . Then we have  $\|f_{(m^{-\nu+1})}\| \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\nu+1}$  for every  $f$  with  $\|f\| = 1$ . But  $\|\varepsilon_0^{\nu-1} f_{(m^{-\nu+1})}\| \leq 1$  implies  $\varepsilon_0^{-1} \geq \|(\varepsilon_0^{\nu-1} f_{(m^{-\nu+1})})_{(m^{-1})}\| = \varepsilon_0^{\nu-1} \|f_{(m^{-\nu})}\|$  on account of (6) and (7). Consequently, we find  $\|f_{(m^{-\nu})}\| \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\nu}$ , and (11) is proved by virtue of (5). Now let  $p$  be a positive number satisfying  $(\varepsilon_0/2)^p = \varepsilon_0$ . One sees that  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\|f\| \leq 1$  implies  $\|f_{(m^{-\nu})}\| \leq \varepsilon_0^{-\nu} = m^{p\nu}$  for every  $\nu \geq 1$ . Therefore, using (5) again, we see that  $(*)$  holds for  $K = m^p$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Suppose that  $X \in HLP$ . Since  $(g_{(\alpha)})^* < (g^*)_{(\alpha)}$  holds for each  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and each  $g \in X$ , it is sufficient to show that  $(*)$  holds for all positive decreasing  $f \in X$ . Furthermore, in view of the semi-continuity of  $\|\cdot\|$ , it suffices only to prove that  $(*)$  holds for all positive decreasing step functions of  $X$ .

Now assume that this does not hold. By the preceding lemma, there exists no  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  for which  $(\#)$  holds for all positive decreasing step functions of  $X$ . Hence we can find a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  with  $\varepsilon_\nu \downarrow \nu=1 0$  and a sequence of positive decreasing step functions  $\{f_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty \subset X$  with  $\|f_\nu\| \leq 1$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\|f_{\nu(m_\nu^{-1})}\| > \varepsilon_\nu^{-1}$  holds for each  $\nu \geq 1$ , where  $m_\nu \leq 2\varepsilon_\nu^{-1}$ . Let  $\nu$  fix and  $f_\nu = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \chi_{(0, \xi_i)}$ , where  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k = \frac{1}{m_\nu}$ <sup>6)</sup> and  $0 < \alpha_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . From (2) we find

$$\bar{f}_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f_\nu(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \chi_{(0, \xi_i)}(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \bar{\chi}_{(0, \xi_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i,$$

where  $g_i = \alpha_i \bar{\chi}_{(0, \xi_i)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Applying Lemma 3 we obtain<sup>7)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{f}_\nu\| &\geq \|A_{(0, m_\nu \xi_1)} g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_k\| \geq \|A_{(0, m_\nu \xi_1)} g_1 + A_{(0, m_\nu \xi_2)} g_2 + g_3 + \dots + g_k\| \\ &\geq \dots \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k A_{(0, m_\nu \xi_i)} g_i \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

6) Since  $(f_\nu \chi_{(0, m_\nu^{-1})})_{(m_\nu^{-1})} = f_{\nu(m_\nu^{-1})}$  holds, we may assume  $f_\nu = f_\nu \chi_{(0, m_\nu^{-1})}$ .

7) Note that all  $g_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) are positive decreasing.

On the other hand, it follows from the definition (9) that for each  $i(1 \leq i \leq k)$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(0, m_\nu \xi_i)} g_i &= \frac{\alpha_i}{m_\nu \xi_i} \left( \int_0^{\xi_i} dx + \int_{\xi_i}^{m_\nu \xi_i} \frac{\xi_i}{x} dx \right) \chi_{(0, m_\nu \xi_i)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_i (1 + \log m_\nu)}{m_\nu} \chi_{(0, m_\nu \xi_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\sum_{i=1}^k A_{(0, m_\nu \xi_i)} g_i = \frac{(1 + \log m_\nu)}{m_\nu} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \chi_{(0, m_\nu \xi_i)} = \frac{(1 + \log m_\nu)}{m_\nu} f_{\nu(m_\nu^{-1})}$ , hence  $\|\bar{f}_\nu\| \geq \frac{(1 + \log m_\nu)}{m_\nu} \|f_{\nu(m_\nu^{-1})}\| \geq \frac{1 + \log m_\nu}{m_\nu} \cdot \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \geq \frac{1 + \log m_\nu}{2}$ . Therefore, we have shown that both  $\|f_\nu\| \leq 1$  and  $\|\bar{f}_\nu\| \geq 1/2(1 + \log m_\nu)$  hold for every  $\nu \geq 1$ , which is, however, inconsistent with Lemma 1. Thus the proof is completed. Q. E. D.

From Theorem 1 it follows immediately

**COROLLARY 1.** *The converse of Lemma 3 is also valid, i. e.  $X \in HLP$  if and only if (3) holds for a constant  $K > 0$ .*

As a simple sufficient condition for  $X \in HLP$  we have

**COROLLARY 2.** *If*

$$(12) \quad \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|f_{(\frac{1}{2})}\| < 2$$

*holds, then  $X \in HLP$ .*

This can be derived in the quite same manner as the proof of Lemma 4 by showing that (12) implies (\*).

For any  $\alpha > 1$  and  $f \in X$  we define  $f^{(\alpha)}$  by

$$(13) \quad f^{(\alpha)}(x) = \begin{cases} f(\alpha x), & \text{for } x \in (0, \alpha^{-1}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the following condition on the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of  $X$ :

$$(A) \quad \|f^{(\alpha)}\| \leq K\alpha^{-p} \|f\| \quad \text{for all } f \in X \text{ and } \alpha > 1,$$

where  $K > 0$  and  $p(0 < p < 1)$  are both constants.

In Banach function spaces with *RIP*, the conditions (\*) and (A) are mutually dual, that is, we have

**THEOREM 2.**  *$X$  satisfies (\*) if and only if  $X'$  satisfies (A).*

**PROOF.** Assume that (\*) holds in  $X$ . For any  $g \in X'$  and  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^{(\alpha)}\|' &= \sup_{\|f\|=1, f \in X} |\langle f, g^{(\alpha)} \rangle| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle f_{(\alpha^{-1})}, g \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup_{\|f_{(\alpha^{-1})}\| = K\alpha^p} |\langle f_{(\alpha^{-1})}, g \rangle| = \|g\| K\alpha^{-(1-p)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|f_{(\alpha^{-1})}\| = K\alpha^p$  implies  $\|f\| \geq 1$ , and  $f = \{f^{(\alpha)}\}_{(\alpha^{-1})}$  holds. This shows that (A) holds in  $X'$  for  $p' = 1 - p$ . The converse can be derived similarly. Q.E.D.

**3.** Special classes of Banach function spaces with *RIP* are spaces  $\Lambda(\phi)$

and Orlicz spaces  $L_\phi$  (for an exposition of the theory of Orlicz spaces see [1]). We shall show below that the equivalent conditions for  $X \in HLP$  presented in [3], when  $X$  is one of these spaces, can be derived from Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 3.** *An Orlicz space  $L_\phi$  has the Hardy-Littlewood property if and only if the complementary function  $\Psi$  of  $\Phi$  satisfies*

$$(14) \quad \Psi(2u) \leq M\Psi(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq u_0,^{8)}$$

for some constants  $M$  and  $u_0 \geq 0$ .

**PROOF.** As  $(L_\phi)' = L_\Psi$ , we shall prove that the norm of  $L_\Psi$  satisfies  $(A)$  if (14) holds for  $\Psi$ . It follows from (14) that the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\Psi$  is finitely monotone (i. e. for any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ) there exists an  $N > 0$  such that  $\|f_i\| \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $f_i \perp f_j$ <sup>9)</sup> ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $n \geq N$  imply  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i\| > 1$ ) [6, 8], hence by virtue of Theorem 6 in [7], there exists a lower semi- $p$ -norm<sup>10)</sup>  $\|\cdot\|_0$  on  $L_\Psi$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_\Psi$ . For any  $f \in L_\Psi$  and for any natural number  $n$ , we see obviously that  $f$  can be written as

$$f \sim \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \quad \text{with } f_i \perp f_j \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } f_1 \sim f_2 \sim f_3 \sim \dots \sim f_n = f^{(n)}.$$

Thus, we have for some fixed  $\alpha > 0$ <sup>11)</sup>

$$\|f\|_0^p = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|_0^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_0^p \geq \alpha \cdot n \|f^{(n)}\|_0^p,$$

which implies  $\|f^{(n)}\|_0 \leq (\alpha n)^{1/p} \|f\|_0$ . Because  $\|\cdot\|_0$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\Psi$  are mutually equivalent, we find that  $\|\cdot\|_\Psi$  fulfils  $(A)$ , hence  $L_\phi \in HLP$ .

Conversely, let  $(A)$  hold for  $L_\Psi$ . If (14) fails to be true, we can find a sequence of positive elements  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that both  $\|f_n\|_\Psi \leq 1$  and  $\int_0^1 \Psi(2f_n) dx \geq n$  hold for all  $n \geq 1$ <sup>12)</sup>. Then,

$$\int_0^1 \Psi((2f_n)^{(n)}) dx = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \Psi(2f_n) dx \geq 1,$$

which implies  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \|(f_n)^{(n)}\|_\Psi \leq K \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^p \|f_n\|_\Psi \leq K \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^p$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . But this is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Let  $\phi$  be a decreasing positive integrable function of  $(0, 1)$ , which we shall

8) (14) is equivalent to that  $2l\phi(u) \leq \phi(lu)$  holds for every  $u \geq u_0$  for some constants  $l > 1$  and  $u_0 \geq 0$  [1].

9)  $f \perp g$  means that  $|f| \cap |g| = 0$ , i. e.  $f(t)g(t) = 0$  a. e..

10) A norm is called a lower semi- $p$ -norm, if  $f \perp g$  implies  $\|f+g\|^p \geq \|f\|^p + \|g\|^p$ .

11) Since  $\|\cdot\|_\Psi$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  are mutually equivalent, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $f \sim g$  implies  $\|f\|_0 \geq \alpha \|g\|_0$ .

12)  $\|f\|_\Psi = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{|\xi|} : \int_0^1 \Psi(\xi f) dx \leq 1 \right\}$ .

assume zero for  $x > 1$ , and let  $\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t)dt$ . The space  $A(\phi)$  consists of all functions  $f(x)$  such that

$$(15) \quad \|f\|_A = \int_0^1 \phi(x) \cdot f^*(x)dx < \infty .$$

The dual space of a space  $A(\phi)$  is  $M(\phi)$  consisting of functions  $f$  with

$$(16) \quad \|f\|_M = \sup_a \left( \frac{1}{\Phi(a)} \right) \int_0^a f^*(t)dt < \infty .$$

Obviously  $A(\phi)$  and  $M(\phi)$ <sup>13)</sup> are Banach function spaces having RIP [2].

THEOREM 4. A space  $A(\phi)$  has the Hardy-Littlewood property if and only if

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \Phi(2u)/\Phi(u) < 2 .$$

PROOF. Assume that (17) holds. Then, we see easily that there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\Phi(2u) < (2-\varepsilon)\Phi(u)$  holds for all  $0 < u$ . Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \chi_{(0, \xi_i)}$  be a positive decreasing step function with  $\|f\|_A = 1$ . Since  $\|\chi_{(0, \xi)}\|_A = \Phi(\xi)$  for all  $\xi$  with  $0 < \xi < 1$  and  $\|f\|_A = \langle f, \phi \rangle$ , we find immediately  $\|f_{(1/2)}\|_A = \langle f_{(1/2)}, \phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Phi(2\xi_i)$ . This implies  $\|f_{(1/2)}\|_A \leq (2-\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Phi(\xi_i) \leq (2-\varepsilon) \|f\|_A$ , and in view of the semi-continuity of  $\|\cdot\|_A$ , one can derive easily that (12) holds. Consequently, we obtain  $A(\phi) \in HLP$  by Corollary 2.

Suppose conversely that  $A(\phi) \in HLP$ , or equivalently that (\*) holds for some constants  $K$  and  $p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ). We can then find an  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and a  $p'$  ( $0 < p' \leq p < 1$ ) such that  $\|f_{(\alpha)}\|_A \leq \alpha^{-p'} \|f\|_A$  holds for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$  and  $f \in A(\phi)$ . Now choose a natural number  $n$  as  $2^{-n} < \alpha_0$ , and an  $\varepsilon > 0$  as  $(2-2^n\varepsilon) > 2^{p'}$ . If (17) is false, there exists a  $\xi$  with  $\xi < 2^{-n}$  for which  $\Phi(2\xi) > (2-\varepsilon)\Phi(\xi)$  holds. But this implies  $\Phi(\xi) \geq (2-2\varepsilon)\Phi(\xi/2)$ , for otherwise we would have  $\Phi(2\xi) - \Phi(\xi) \leq 2(\Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\xi/2)) < \Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\xi/2) + (1-2\varepsilon)\Phi(\xi/2) \leq (1-\varepsilon)\Phi(\xi)$ , which contradicts the choice of  $\xi$ <sup>14)</sup>. Therefore, repeating this argument  $n$  times, we get

$$\Phi(2\xi) \geq (2-\varepsilon)\Phi(\xi) \geq (2-\varepsilon)(2-2\varepsilon)\Phi(\xi/2) \geq \dots \geq (2-2^n\varepsilon)^n \Phi(\xi/2^{n-1}) .$$

On the other hand, by virtue of  $\Phi(2^n \cdot \xi/2^{n-1}) = \|\chi_{(0, \xi/2^{n-1})(2^{-n})}\|_A$  we have

$$\Phi(2\xi) = \Phi(2^n \xi/2^{n-1}) \leq 2^{np'} \Phi(\xi/2^{n-1}) < (2-2^n\varepsilon)^n \Phi(\xi/2^{n-1}) ,$$

hence a contradiction. Thus we have shown  $\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \Phi(2u)/\Phi(u) \leq (2-\varepsilon)$ , and this proves our assertion. Q.E.D.

REMARK. For an arbitrary Banach function space  $X$  with RIP  $\theta(f)$  belongs

13) A necessary and sufficient condition for  $M(\phi) \in HLP$  is also given in [3].

14) This fact is due to [3]. But the proof becomes somewhat simpler than that of [3].

to  $X$ , if  $f(x) \log(1/x) \in X$ .

This fact has been shown in [2; Theorem 7] in case of  $X = A(\phi)$ , and the proof is similarly obtained, since for any positive decreasing  $c \in X'$  and any  $f \in X$  one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta(f), c \rangle &\leq 2 \langle \bar{f}^*, c \rangle^{15)} = 2 \int_0^1 f^*(t) dt \int_t^1 \frac{c(x)}{x} dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^1 (f^*(t) \log(1/t)) c(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let  $(E, \Omega, \mu)$  be a non-atomic finite measure space with a countably additive non-negative measure  $\mu$  on a  $\sigma$ -field  $\Omega$  of  $E$ , and let  $X = X(E)$  be a Banach function space of integrable functions over  $E$ , which has *RIP*.

Now, we consider the following condition on  $X$ :<sup>16)</sup>

$$(\Theta) \quad \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} A_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha} |f| \in X$$

for any  $f \in X$  and for any system of measurable sets  $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1}$  satisfying  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha \subset \mathbf{e}_\beta$  for  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . As is easily shown, this property can be considered to be what corresponds to *HLP* in the case when  $E$  is an interval  $(0, a)$  of real numbers. In fact, if  $f$  is positive decreasing and  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha = (0, \alpha a)$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} A_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha} f$  coincides with  $\bar{f}$ .

Lastly we shall describe a necessary and sufficient condition in order that  $X$  satisfies  $(\Theta)$ . For any  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq f \in X$ , we denote by  $S(f; \alpha)$  the set of all  $0 \leq g \in X$  satisfying  $\mu\{x: g(x) > r\} = \alpha \cdot \mu\{x: f(x) > r\}$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . Then, we can prove

**THEOREM 5.**  $X(E)$  satisfies  $(\Theta)$  if and only if there exist positive numbers  $K$  and  $p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) such that  $\|f\| \leq K\alpha^{-p} \|g\|$  holds for all  $0 \leq f \in X, g \in S(f; \alpha)$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

The proof being quite analogous to that of Theorem 1, we omit it.

Department of Mathematics,  
Hokkaido University

### References

- [1] M. A. Krasnoselskiĭ and Y. B. Rutickiĭ, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces (in Russian), Moscow, 1958.  
[2] G. Lorentz, On the theory of spaces  $A$ , Pacific J. Math., 1 (1951), 411-429.

15)  $\theta(f) < 2f^*$  holds for each  $f$  [9].

16) For this formulation, the author expresses his appreciation to Professor I. Amemiya for his advice.



- [ 3 ] G. Lorentz, Majorants in spaces of integrable functions, *Amer. J. Math.*, **77** (1955), 484-492.
  - [ 4 ] W.A.J. Luxemburg, Banach function spaces, thesis Delft, Assen (Netherlands), 1955.
  - [ 5 ] T. Mori, I. Amemiya and H. Nakano, On the reflexivity of semi-continuous norms, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **31** (1955), 684-685.
  - [ 6 ] T. Shimogaki, On the norms by uniformly finite modulars, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **33** (1957), 304-309.
  - [ 7 ] T. Shimogaki, On the continuity and the monotonousness of norms. *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I*, **16** (1962), 225-237.
  - [ 8 ] T. Shimogaki, On an equivalence relation on semi-ordered linear spaces, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I*, **18** (1964), 41-55.
  - [ 9 ] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. 1, Cambridge, 1959.
-