

**Class formations V.**  
**(Infinite extension of the  $p$ -adic field or the rational field)**

Dedicated to Professor Z. Suetuna on his sixtieth birthday

By Yukiyoji KAWADA

(Received June 29, 1959)

In the present paper we shall continue our study on class formations (Kawada [12]-[14]). Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of the  $p$ -adic number field  $Q_p$ . M. Mori has defined the fundamental group  $F_k$  of such a field  $k$  and has proved a class field theory over  $k$  by means of  $F_k$  (Mori [16]). Then the author has considered the cohomology theory over  $F_k$  and has given the cohomology-theoretic treatment of Mori's theory. Moreover, by a similar method the author has established a class field theory over an infinite algebraic extension  $k$  of the rational field  $Q$ .

In Part I of this paper we shall consider the structure of the fundamental group  $F_k$  of an infinite algebraic extension  $k$  of  $Q_p$  by giving a monotone family of subgroups of  $F_k$ . Then we shall consider the ramification theory of a finite normal extension  $K/k$  by means of these subgroups of  $F_k$ . This ramification theory is different from the known theory of Herbrand [8]. Our new theory is especially fitted to the case where  $k$  is an  $H$ -extension of  $Q_p$  in the sense of Satake [23]. Finally we shall consider the relation between our ramification groups and the norm-residue symbol  $(\eta, K/k)$  ( $\eta \in F_k$ ) for an abelian extension  $K/k$ .

In Part II we shall assume that  $k$  contains all the roots of unity both in local and in global cases. Then we have two kinds of class formations over such fields. One is our class formation derived from local or global class field theory by considering suitable inverse limit groups (Kawada [14]) and the other is derived from Kummer theory (Kawada [12, 3]). We shall prove here that these two kinds of class formations are actually isomorphic. The isomorphism can be obtained by means of Hilbert's norm-residue symbol. We have already considered the relation between our class formation theory and Moriya's theory [20], [21] (Kawada [14, 6]). Therefore, we might say that we have unified both Moriya's theory and Kummer theory by our class formation theory.

## Part I

## § 1. Preliminaries from ramification theory.

For the investigation of the structure of the fundamental group we need the ramification theory for a non-normal finite extension  $K/k$  of a local field  $k$  of finite degree over  $Q_p$ . Such a theory has been investigated by Krasner [15] (cf. also Satake [23] and Kawada [10]). Here we shall summarize the results as far as we shall use them later.

Let  $Q_p$  be the  $p$ -adic number field,  $k$  be a finite extension of  $Q_p$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{o}_k, \mathfrak{p}_k, U_k$  the ring of integers, its prime ideal and the unit group of  $k$  respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{o}_k/\mathfrak{p}_k \cong GF(q_k)$ , where  $q_k$  is a power of  $p$ . Then  $U_k$  contains a multiplicative group  $W_k$  of order  $q_k-1$  which is generated by a primitive  $q_k-1$ -th root of unity. Furthermore, we shall denote by  $U_k(i)$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) the subgroups of  $U_k$  consisting of all elements  $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^i}$  respectively. Especially, we have  $U_k = U_k(0)$ . We have then the direct decomposition  $U_k = W_k \times U_k(1)$ . We denote the non-archimedean valuation of  $k$  with the value group  $Z$  (the ring of all integers) by  $\text{ord}_k$  and we denote by  $\pi_k$  an element with  $\text{ord}_k(\pi_k) = 1$ .

Let  $A$  be the (smallest) normal extension of  $k$  containing  $K$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{G} = G(A/k)$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = G(A/K)$  the Galois group of these normal extensions respectively. Let  $e = \text{ord}_A(\pi_K)$ . The group of inertia  $\mathfrak{I}_{K/k}$  is defined by

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{K/k} = \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}, \rho^\sigma = \rho \text{ for all } \rho \in W_K \}.$$

Clearly  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}_{K/k}$  and the subfield of  $K$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{I}_{K/k}$  is denoted by  $T_{K/k}$  (the field of inertia):  $k \subseteq T_{K/k} \subseteq K$ . We define  $v(\sigma) = (s/e) - 1$  for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_{K/k}$  where  $s = \text{ord}_A(\pi_K^\sigma - \pi_K)$ , and for a real number  $v$

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(v) = \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_{K/k}, v(\sigma) \geq v \} \quad (0 \leq v < \infty).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(v)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{I}_{K/k}$  containing  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Especially, we have  $\mathfrak{I}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(0)$ . We denote the field corresponding to  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(v)$  by  $V_{K/k}(v)$ . We shall omit the index  $K/k$  if there is no danger of misunderstanding. In general  $v > v'$  implies  $\mathfrak{B}(v) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(v')$ . For various values of  $v$  from 0 to  $\infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G} \supseteq \mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{B}(0) \supseteq \mathfrak{B}(1/e) = \dots = \mathfrak{B}(v_1) \supset \mathfrak{B}(v_1 + (1/e)) = \dots = \mathfrak{B}(v_2) \supset \dots \\ \supset \mathfrak{B}(v_{r-1} + (1/e)) = \dots = \mathfrak{B}(v_r) \supset \mathfrak{B}(v_r + (1/e)) = \dots = \mathfrak{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we denote

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}(v_1), \dots, \mathfrak{B}_r = \mathfrak{B}(v_r), \mathfrak{B}_{r+1} = \mathfrak{H}.$$

The field corresponding to  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  is denoted by  $V_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ). Here all  $v_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ) are rational numbers, but need not be integers. Let us put  $n = [K:k]$

$=[\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{H}]$ ,  $n_i = [K : V_i] = [\mathfrak{B}_i : \mathfrak{H}]$ ,  $m_i = n_0/n_i = [V_i : T] = [\mathfrak{B}_0 : \mathfrak{B}_i]$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, r+1$ ). These are all integers. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G} &\supseteq \mathfrak{T} \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{B}_r \supset \mathfrak{B}_{r+1} = \mathfrak{H}, \\ k &\subseteq T \subseteq V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r \subset K, \\ 0 &= v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_r < v_{r+1} = \infty, \\ n &\geq n_0 \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > n_{r+1} = 1, \\ 1 &= m_0 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_r < m_{r+1} = n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have  $n = ef$ ,  $e = n_0$ ,  $f = [T : k]$  and  $e = e_0 p^m$ ,  $(e_0, p) = 1$  for  $e_0 = [V_1 : T]$   $= m_1$ ,  $p^m = [K : V_1] = n_1$ , where  $f$  is the degree,  $e$  is the exponent and  $e_0$  is the reduced exponent of  $K/k$ .

After Hasse [6] we define the function  $\psi_{K/k}$  of  $v$  ( $0 \leq v < \infty$ ) by

$$(4) \quad u = \psi_{K/k}(v) = v_0 + (v_1 - v_0)/m_1 + \dots + (v - v_j)/m_{j+1} \quad \text{for } v_j \leq v \leq v_{j+1}.$$

Let us put  $u_j = \psi_{K/k}(v_j)$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, r+1$ ).  $u_j$  are all rational numbers, but need not be integers:

$$0 = u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_r < u_{r+1} = \infty.$$

We call the inverse function  $\varphi_{K/k}$  of  $\psi_{K/k}$  the *Hasse function*:

$$(5) \quad v = \varphi_{K/k}(u) = u_0 + m_1(u_1 - u_0) + \dots + m_{j+1}(u - u_j) \quad \text{for } u_j \leq u \leq u_{j+1}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  is unramified for  $K/k$  we define

$$(6) \quad \psi_{K/k}(v) = v \quad (0 \leq v < \infty), \quad \varphi_{K/k}(u) = u \quad (0 \leq u < \infty).$$

If  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  is tamely ramified for  $K/k$  (i. e.  $r = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{H}$ ) then we have by (5)

$$(7) \quad \varphi_{K/k}(u) = n_0 u \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u < \infty.$$

We define the *canonical set*  $\mathfrak{u}_{K/k}$  by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{u}_{K/k} &= \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r\} && \text{if } \mathfrak{B}_0 \neq \mathfrak{B}_1, \\ &= \{u_1, \dots, u_r\} && \text{if } \mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{B}_1, \end{aligned}$$

and the *canonical value* by  $u_{K/k} = u_r$  ( $< \infty$ ). If  $\mathfrak{p}_k$  is unramified for  $K/k$  we define  $\mathfrak{u}_{K/k} = \emptyset$  and  $u_{K/k} = -1$ .

The following theorems hold just as in the case of a normal extension  $K/k$ :

(I) (*Herbrand's theorem*). For  $k \subset \Omega \subset K$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad T_{K/\Omega} &= T_{K/k} \cdot \Omega, & V_{K/\Omega}(v) &= V_{K/k}(v) \cdot \Omega, \\ (ii) \quad T_{\Omega/k} &= T_{K/k} \cap \Omega, & V_{\Omega/k}(v) &= V_{K/k}(\varphi_{K/\Omega}(v)) \cap \Omega.^{1)} \end{aligned}$$

1) There is a misprint in Kawada [10, p. 29, line 10].  $v' = \psi_{\Omega/k}(v)$  or  $v = \varphi_{\Omega/k}(v')$  should be corrected as  $v' = \psi_{K/\Omega}(v)$  or  $v = \varphi_{K/\Omega}(v')$ .

(II) (*Chain theorem*). For  $k \subset \Omega \subset K$  we have

$$\varphi_{K/k}(u) = \varphi_{K/\Omega} \circ \varphi_{\Omega/k}(u) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u < \infty.$$

(III) (*Monotone property of the canonical set and the canonical value*). For  $k \subset \Omega \subset K$  we have

$$(i) \quad \mathfrak{U}_{\Omega/k} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_{K/k}, \quad \mathfrak{u}_{\Omega/k} \leq \mathfrak{u}_{K/k},$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathfrak{U}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{U}_{\Omega/k} \cup \psi_{\Omega/k}(\mathfrak{U}_{K/\Omega}).$$

(IV) (*Characterization of  $V_j$* ).  $T_{K/k}$  is characterized as the maximal unramified subfield of  $K$ . In general, let us put  $V(u) = V_{K/k}(\varphi_{K/k}(u)) = V_j$  for  $u_{j-1} < u \leq u_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, r$ ). Then  $V(u)$  ( $0 < u < \infty$ ) is characterized as the maximal subfield  $\Omega$  of  $K$  with the property  $\mathfrak{u}_{\Omega/k} < u$ .

(V) If  $u$  is an integer, then  $\varphi_{K/k}(u)$  is also an integer.

(V) follows from the following lemma. Let the different of  $\Omega/k$  be denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}_{\Omega/k} = \mathfrak{p}_{\Omega}^{d(\Omega/k)}$  where  $d(\Omega/k)$  is an integer.

LEMMA 1 (Hasse).

$$d(V_j/k) = m_j(\psi_{K/k}(v)+1) - (v+1) \quad \text{for } v_{j-1} \leq v \leq v_j \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, r+1)$$

(see Hasse [6, p. 485]). Now let  $u$  be an arbitrary positive integer. Take  $v_j$  such that  $v_{j-1} \leq v \leq v_j$  holds for  $v = \varphi_{K/k}(u)$ . Then  $\varphi_{K/k}(u) = m_j(u+1) - d(V_j/k) - 1$  is an integer by Lemma 1, which proves (V).

The following results of Hasse [6] holds also for non-normal extensions<sup>2)</sup>.

(VI) (*Hasse's theorem*). Let  $K/k$  be an arbitrary finite extension. Then

$$(i) \quad N_{K/k}U_K(\varphi_{K/k}(i)) \subseteq U_k(i) \quad (i=0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(ii) \quad N_{K/k}U_K(\varphi_{K/k}(i)+1) \subseteq U_k(i+1) \quad (i=0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(iii) \quad U_k(i) = U_k(i+1) \cdot N_{K/k}U_K(\varphi_{K/k}(i)) \text{ holds if } i \in \mathfrak{U}_{K/k},$$

$$(iv) \quad [U_k(i) : U_k(i+1) \cdot N_{K/k}U_K(\varphi_{K/k}(i))] \leq [\mathfrak{B}_j : \mathfrak{B}_{j+1}] \text{ if } i \in \mathfrak{U}_{K/k} \text{ (} i = u_j \text{)}.$$

Let us denote  $B_{K/k}(i) = N_{K/k}^{-1}(U_k(i)) \subseteq U_K$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then from (VI) follows immediately

$$(VI)^* (i) \quad B_{K/k}(0) = U_K,$$

$$(ii) \quad B_{K/k}(i) \supseteq U_K(\varphi_{K/k}(i)),$$

$$(iii) \quad B_{K/k}(i+1) \supseteq U_K(\varphi_{K/k}(i)+1).$$

Finally, let  $\Omega/k$  be the maximal abelian extension contained in  $K$ . Then we have

2) For the proof in Hasse [5] we need some modifications of the arguments in pp. 480-482 since  $K/k$  is not normal. But these do not cause any change of the rest of the proof.

- (VIII) (i)  $[k^* : N_{K/k}K^*] = [\mathcal{O} : k],$   
(ii)  $[U_k(i) : U_k(i+1) \cdot N_{K/k}B_{K/k}(i)] = [\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{O}/k}\varphi_{\mathcal{O}/k}(i) : \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{O}/k}(\varphi_{\mathcal{O}/k}(i)+1)]$   
 $(i = 1, 2, \dots),$   
(iii)  $[W_k : N_{K/k}W_K] = [U_k : U_k(1)N_{K/k}B_{K/k}(1)] = [\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{O}/k}(0) : \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{O}/k}(1)].$

## § 2. The fundamental group of an infinite algebraic extension of $Q_p$ .

Let us fix an algebraic closure  $\tilde{Q}_p$  of the  $p$ -adic number field  $Q_p$  and we consider only algebraic extensions of  $Q_p$  contained in  $\tilde{Q}_p$ . Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$ . Then we have  $k = \bigcup_{\lambda} k_{\lambda}$  where  $k_{\lambda}$  are finite extensions of  $Q_p$  contained in  $k$ . Here we can choose a monotone sequence of subfields  $Q_p \subseteq k_1 \subseteq k_2 \subseteq \dots$  such that

$$(1) \quad k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n$$

holds. The absolute order  $N(k) = \lim [k_n : Q_p]$  is decomposed to the product

$$(2) \quad N(k) = N_{\infty}(k) \cdot N_f(k)$$

where  $N_{\infty}(k)$  is the formal product of all factors of the form  $p_{\nu}^{\infty}$  ( $p_{\nu}$ : a prime) and  $N_f(k)$  is the formal product of (finite or infinite number of) finite factors  $p_{\nu}^{r_{\nu}}$ . We call  $N_{\infty}(k)$  the infinite part and  $N_f(k)$  the finite part of  $N(k)$ . Similarly, let  $[k_n : Q_p] = e_n f_n$ ,  $e_n = \text{ord}_{k_n}(p)$  and  $N_{k_n/Q_p}(\pi_k) = p^{f_n}$ . Then we can define the absolute exponent and the absolute degree of  $k$  by  $E(k) = \lim e_n$  and  $F(k) = \lim f_n$  respectively. The infinite part and the finite part of  $E(k)$  and  $F(k)$  can be defined similarly.

For a moment, let  $k$  be a finite extension of  $Q_p$ . By  $k^*$  we mean the multiplicative group of  $k$ , and by  $\tilde{k}$  the compact completion of  $k^*$  with respect to the Artin topology (see Artin [1, p. 177]). Similarly, we denote by  $\tilde{Z}$  the total completion of the ring of integers  $Z$  such that

$$(3) \quad \tilde{Z} \cong \prod_p Z_p$$

holds, where  $Z_p$  means the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. We can identify canonically  $U_k$  with a subgroup of  $\tilde{k} : U_k \subset \tilde{k}$ , and every element  $\alpha \in \tilde{k}$  can be represented uniquely by a fixed element  $\pi \in k$  with  $\text{ord}_k(\pi) = 1$ ,  $\lambda \in U_k$  and  $m \in \tilde{Z}$  in the form

$$\alpha = \pi^m \lambda.$$

Hence we have the topological isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \tilde{k}/U_k \cong \tilde{Z}$$

(where the left hand side is a multiplicative group and the right hand side is an additive group). If  $k \supset k'$  we can naturally define the norm mapping

$N: \tilde{k} \rightarrow \tilde{k}'$  with the usual properties. Moreover, if  $k/k'$  is normal we can extend the automorphism of  $k/k'$  to  $\tilde{k}/\tilde{k}'$ .

Now let  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$  be an infinite extension of  $Q_p$  as above. After Mori we define the *fundamental group*  $F_k$  of  $k$  as the inverse limit group of  $\tilde{k}_n$ :

$$(5) \quad F_k = \text{inv. lim } \tilde{k}_n$$

with respect to the norm mapping  $N_n: \tilde{k}_n \rightarrow \tilde{k}_{n-1}$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ). Clearly  $F_k$  is a compact group. Since  $N_n: U_{k_n} \rightarrow U_{k_{n-1}}$ ,  $W_{k_n} \rightarrow W_{k_{n-1}}$ , and  $U_{k_n}(1) \rightarrow U_{k_{n-1}}(1)$  we can define

$$(6) \quad U_k = \text{inv. lim } U_{k_n}, \quad W_k = \text{inv. lim } W_{k_n}, \quad U_k^* = \text{inv. lim } U_{k_n}(1).$$

THEOREM 1. (i) *The fundamental group  $F_k$  contains the closed subgroup  $U_k$  and*

$$(6) \quad U_k = W_k \times U_k^* \quad (\text{direct})^3).$$

(ii) *Let  $M = M(k)$  be the set of all prime numbers  $p_\nu$  such that  $p_\nu^\infty$  is not a factor of  $F_\infty(k)$ . Then we have*

$$(7) \quad F_k/U_k \cong \prod_{p_\nu \in M} Z_{p_\nu}.$$

PROOF. (i) follows immediately from  $U_{k_n} = W_{k_n} \times U_{k_n}(1)$ . (ii) We can see easily that  $F_k/U_k \cong \text{inv. lim } (\tilde{k}_n/U_{k_n})$  with respect to the norm mapping  $N_n^*: \tilde{k}_n/U_{k_n} \rightarrow \tilde{k}_{n-1}/U_{k_{n-1}}$ . Let us take  $\pi_n \in k_n$  such that  $\text{ord}_{k_n}(\pi_n) = 1$ . Then we have  $N_n \pi_n \equiv \pi_{n-1}^{f_n/f_{n-1}} \pmod{U_{k_{n-1}}}$ . By the isomorphism  $\tilde{Z}^{(n)} = \tilde{k}_n/U_{k_n} \cong \tilde{Z}$  we may consider  $F_k/U_k = \text{inv. lim } \tilde{Z}^{(n)}$  with respect to the mapping

$$N_n^*: m \rightarrow \frac{f_n}{f_{n-1}} m \quad (m \in \tilde{Z}).$$

Let us decompose each  $\tilde{Z}^{(n)}$  as the direct product

$$\tilde{Z}^{(n)} = \tilde{Z}_1^{(n)} \cdot \tilde{Z}_2^{(n)}, \quad \tilde{Z}_1^{(n)} \cong \prod_{p_\nu \in M} Z_{p_\nu}, \quad \tilde{Z}_2^{(n)} \cong \prod_{p_\nu \in M} Z_{p_\nu}.$$

Then we can see easily that  $F_k/U_k \cong (\text{inv. lim } \tilde{Z}_1^{(n)}) \cdot (\text{inv. lim } \tilde{Z}_2^{(n)})$ . Here the first factor reduces to  $\{1\}$  and the second factor is isomorphic to  $\prod_{p_\nu \in M} Z_{p_\nu}$  since  $N_n^*$  is an isomorphism of  $\tilde{Z}_2^{(n)} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_2^{(n-1)}$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ), q. e. d.

Next we shall consider the structure of  $U_k$ . For that purpose we shall define the Hasse function for an infinite extension  $k/Q_p$  after the idea of Satake [23]. First we define the *canonical set* and the *canonical value* of  $k/Q_p$  for infinite extension  $k$  by

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{u}_{k/Q_p} = \bigcup_\lambda \mathfrak{u}_{k_\lambda/Q_p}, \quad Q_p \subseteq k_\lambda \subset k,$$

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{u}_{k/Q_p} = \sup_\lambda \mathfrak{u}_{k_\lambda/Q_p} = \sup_n \mathfrak{u}_{k_n/Q_p}$$

3)  $U_k^*$  is the  $p$ -primary component of  $U_k$  and  $W_k$  is the  $p$ -complementary component of  $U_k$ .

respectively. Also we define the ramification field with parameter  $u$  by

$$(10) \quad V_{k/Q_p}(u) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{k_n/Q_p}(\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)) \quad 0 \leq u < \infty.$$

In particular, we have

$$(11) \quad T_{k/Q_p} = V_{k/Q_p}(0) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{k_n/Q_p}(0).$$

By the chain theorem (II), §1 the sequence  $\{\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u); n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is monotone increasing for each fixed value of  $u$ . Hence we denote its limit by

$$(12) \quad \varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u).$$

In particular,  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(0) = 0$ . We define  $u^\infty = u_{k/Q_p}^\infty$  by

$$(13) \quad u^\infty = \varphi_{k/Q_p}^{-1}(\infty) = \sup\{\varphi_{k/Q_p}^{-1}(v); 0 \leq v < \infty\}.$$

Namely,  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) < \infty$  for  $u < u^\infty$  and  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \infty$  for  $u > u^\infty$ . The value  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u^\infty)$  is  $\leq \infty$ . Also the value  $u^\infty$  can be 0 or  $\infty$ . The function  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u)$  is continuous and strictly increasing for  $0 \leq u \leq u^\infty$ . This means, in particular,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow u^\infty} \varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \infty$  if  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u^\infty) = \infty$ .

An important special case is the following case.

DEFINITION.  $k/Q_p$  is called an *H-extension* if

$$(14) \quad u_{k/Q_p} \leq u_{k/Q_p}^\infty$$

holds. There are following cases of *H-extensions*.

Case A.  $u_{k/Q_p} = \{(u_0), u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  and  $[V_{k/Q_p}(u_i): T_{k/Q_p}] < \infty$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ). In this case there is an integer  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $V_{k/Q_p}(u_i) \subseteq k_n \cdot T_{k/Q_p}$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ) and  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)$  for  $0 \leq u \leq u_r$ . Hence by the chain theorem (II) §1 we have  $\varphi_{k_{n+1}/k_n}(u) = u$  for  $n \geq n_0$  and for  $0 \leq u \leq u_r$ .

Case A<sub>1</sub>:  $u^\infty = \infty$ . In this case there is an integer  $n_0$  such that we have for  $n \geq n_0$

$$(15) \quad \varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u) \quad 0 \leq u < \infty.$$

Hence again by the chain theorem  $k_{n+1}/k_n$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ) are unramified extensions.

Case A<sub>2</sub>:  $u^\infty = u_r$ . In this case  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \infty$  for  $u > u_r$ . By the chain theorem there is an integer  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$(16) \quad \varphi_{k_{n+1}/k_n}(u) = \begin{cases} u & 0 \leq u \leq v_r, \\ v_r + m^{(n)}(u - v_r) & v_r \leq u < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $v_r = \varphi_{k/Q_p}(u_r)$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m^{(n)} = \infty$ .

Case B.  $u_{k/Q_p} = \{(u_0), u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i, \dots\}$  and  $[V_{k/Q_p}(u_i): T_{k/Q_p}] < \infty$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ). In this case there is an integer  $n(s)$  for any given  $s$  such that  $V_{k/Q_p}(u_i) \subseteq k_n \cdot T_{k/Q_p}$  for  $n \geq n(s)$  and  $u_i \leq s$ , and  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)$  for  $n \geq n(s)$  and  $u \leq s$

hold.

Case B<sub>1</sub>:  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i = \infty$  and hence  $u^\infty = \infty$ .

Case B<sub>2</sub>:  $\lim u_i = u^\infty < \infty$ . In this case  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \infty$  for  $u \geq u^\infty$ .  $k/Q_p$  is called an  $H_1$ -extension in cases A<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>1</sub>, and  $k/Q_p$  is called an  $H_2$ -extension in cases A<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>. Important examples of  $H_1$ -extension are the maximal abelian extensions of  $p$ -adic number fields (see Tamagawa [25], Satake [23] and Kawada [10]).

Now let  $k$  be an arbitrary infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$ . Let  $m = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, \dots\}$  be a sequence of non-negative integers such that

$$(17) \quad N_n(U_{k_n}(m_n)) \subseteq U_{k_{n-1}}(m_{n-1}) \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

hold, where  $N_n = N_{k_n/k_{n-1}}$ . Then we define the closed subgroup  $U_k(m)$  of  $U_k$  by

$$(18) \quad U_k(m) = \text{inv. lim } U_{k_n}(m_n).$$

As special cases of  $U_k(m)$  we define

$$(19) \quad U_k(u) = \text{inv. lim } U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)]) \quad 0 \leq u < \infty,$$

$$(20) \quad U_k^*(u) = \text{inv. lim } U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)] + 1) \quad 0 \leq u < \infty.$$

In particular, we have by definition

$$(21) \quad U_k = U_k(0), \quad U_k^* = U_k^*(0).$$

Here we shall verify the condition (17) for the sequences of (19) and (20). By the chain theorem we have  $\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}} \circ \varphi_{k_{n-1}/Q_p}(u)$ , which implies  $[\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)] \supseteq \varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}}([\varphi_{k_{n-1}/Q_p}(u)])$ . Hence from (VI) (i) in § 1 follows

$$\begin{aligned} N_n U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)]) &\subseteq N_n(\varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}}([\varphi_{k_{n-1}/Q_p}(u)])) \\ &\subseteq U_{k_{n-1}}([\varphi_{k_{n-1}/Q_p}(u)]). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can verify (17) for the case (20) by means of (VI) (ii) in § 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$ . Then we have*

- (i)  $U_k(u) \supseteq U_k(u')$  for  $u < u'$ ,
- (ii)  $U_k^*(u) \supseteq U_k^*(u')$  for  $u < u'$ ,
- (iii)  $U_k(u) \supseteq U_k^*(u)$  for any  $u$ ,
- (iv)  $U_k^*(u) \supseteq U_k(u')$  for  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u^\infty) \leq u < u'$ .
- (v) For integers  $i$  ( $0 \leq i < \varphi_{k/Q_p}(u^\infty)$ ) let

$$(22) \quad \nu_i = \varphi_{k/Q_p}^{-1}(i) \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then we have

$$(23) \quad U_k(u) = U_k(\nu_i) \quad \text{for } \nu_i \leq u < \nu_{i+1},$$

$$(24) \quad U_k^*(u) = U_k(\nu_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } \nu_i \leq u < \nu_{i+1}.$$



(vi)  $U_k(u)/U_k^*(u)$  ( $u > 0$ ) is either isomorphic to a finite elementary  $p$ -group or isomorphic to an infinite product of cyclic groups of order  $p$ .

PROOF. (i), (ii), (iii) are immediate consequences of the definition. (iv) follows from the fact  $[\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)]+1 \leq [\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u')]$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . (v) follows from the fact that  $[\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)] = i$  for  $\nu_i \leq u < \nu_{i+1}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . (vi) follows from

$$U_k(u)/U_k^*(u) = \text{inv. lim} \{U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)])/U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)]+1)\}.$$

If we put a further assumption [R] we can prove that there are no other subgroups  $U_k(m)$  than  $U_k(u)$  or  $U_k^*(u)$  ( $0 \leq u < \infty$ ). Namely, for arbitrary generation of  $k$  as  $k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n$  we have certainly  $B_{k_n/k_{n-1}}(i) \cong U_{k_n}(\varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}}(i-1)+1)$  by (VI) (ii) in § 1. Our assumption is

$$[\text{R}] \quad U_{k_n}(\varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}}(i-1)) \not\subseteq B_{k_n/k_{n-1}}(i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and for  $n \geq n_0$ , where  $n_0$  is a certain large positive integer.

This condition [R] is satisfied if  $[k_n : k_{n-1}]$  are primes for  $n \geq n_0$  and  $q_n = p^{f_n} > p$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . For, in (VI) (iv) in § 1 we have  $[\mathfrak{B}_j : \mathfrak{B}_{j+1}] \leq [k_n : k_{n-1}] =$  a prime and  $[U_{k_n}(i) : U_{k_n}(i+1)] = q_n$ , which implies  $N_n U_{k_n}(\varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}}(i)) \not\subseteq U_{k_{n-1}}(i+1)$ .

THEOREM 3. *If  $k/Q_p$  satisfies the assumption [R] then any subgroup  $U_k(m)$  is either  $U_k(u)$  for some  $u$  or  $U_k^*(u')$  for some  $u'$ .*

PROOF. Let  $m = \{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$ . By assumption [R]  $N_n U_{k_n}(m_n) \subseteq U_{k_{n-1}}(m_{n-1})$  implies  $m_n \geq \varphi_{k_n/k_{n-1}}(m_{n-1}-1)+1$ . Hence let  $u_n$  be defined by the equality  $\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_n) = m_n - 1$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) ( $0 \leq u_n < \infty$ ). Then we have  $n_n \geq u_{n-1}$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ). Therefore, let  $u_0 = \lim u_n$  ( $0 \leq u_0 \leq \infty$ ).

Case I.  $u_0 = u_n$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . In this case we have  $m_n = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0)+1$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence  $U_k(m) = U_k^*(u_0)$  holds.

Case II.  $u_0 \neq u_n$  for all  $n$  and  $u_0 < \infty$ . In this case let  $\xi = \mathbf{lim} \xi_n$  <sup>4)</sup>  $\in U_k(m)$ ,  $\xi_n \in U_{k_n}(m_n)$ . Then  $\xi_n = N_{k_m/k_n} \xi_m$  ( $n < m$ ) implies that

$$\xi_n \in N_{k_m/k_n} U_{k_m}(\varphi_{k_m/Q_p}(u_m)+1) \subseteq U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_m)]+1).$$

Let us fix  $n$  and let  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

(i)  $\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0) = i_0 =$  an integer for  $n \geq n_0$ . Then we have  $[\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_m)] = i_0 - 1$  for sufficiently large  $m$ , and hence  $\xi_n \in U_{k_n}(i_0)$ . This means that  $\xi_n \in U_{k_n}(\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0))$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  and hence  $\xi \in U_k(u_0)$ . Conversely,  $\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0) > \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_n) = m_n - 1$  implies  $U_{k_n}(m_n) \cong U_{k_n}(\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0))$ . Hence we have  $U_k(m) \cong U_k(u_0)$ . Therefore,  $U_k(m) = U_k(u_0)$  holds in this case.

(ii)  $\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0)$  is not an integer for any  $n$ . Then for any fixed  $n$  and for sufficiently large  $m$  we have  $[\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0)] = [\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_m)]$ . Hence  $\xi_n \in U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0)]+1)$

4) We shall distinguish two kinds of limits by  $\lim$  (usual limit in a topological space) and  $\mathbf{lim}$  (inverse limit).

holds for any  $n$ . This means  $\xi \in U_k^*(u_0)$ . Conversely,  $m_n = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_n) + 1 \leq [\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_0)] + 1$  implies  $U_k(m) \supseteq U_k^*(u_0)$ . Therefore, we have  $U_k(m) = U_k^*(u_0)$  in this case.

Case III.  $u_0 = \infty$ . Then we have  $U_k(m) = 1$ , q. e. d.

**§ 3. Ramification theory for a finite normal extension over an infinite extension of the  $p$ -adic number field.**

Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$ , and  $K$  be a finite extension of  $k$ . Let us associate with  $k$  the fundamental group  $F_k$ . Then there exists the natural injection  $\varphi_{k,K}: F_k \rightarrow F_K$ , which satisfies the chain condition  $\varphi_{k,L} = \varphi_{K,L} \circ \varphi_{k,K}$  for  $k \subset K \subset L$ . Moreover, if  $K/k$  is normal with the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$ , then  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$  operates on  $F_K/F_k$  such that the usual Galois theory holds.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $K/k$  be normal. Then  $U_K$  is invariant under  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$ , i. e.  $U_K^\sigma = U_K$ , and  $\sigma$  operates trivially on the factor group  $F_K/U_K$ . In particular,  $\sigma$  is the identity if and only if  $\sigma$  operates trivially on  $U_K$ .*

The proof of this Lemma is easy.

Now we shall define the ramification groups of  $K/k$  by means of the fundamental group of  $K$ . This definition is different from that of Herbrand [8]. For that purpose we shall consider first the usual case. Namely, for a moment let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adic number field and  $K/k$  be a finite normal extension. Then the group of inertia can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{I}_{K/k} = \{ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}, \rho^\sigma = \rho \text{ for all } \rho \in W_K \}.$$

The ramification groups can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(i) &= \{ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_{K/k}, \pi^\sigma \equiv \pi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K^{i+1}} \text{ for all } \pi \in \mathfrak{p}_K \} \\ &= \{ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_{K/k}, \eta^{\sigma^{-1}} \in U_K(i+1) \text{ for all } \eta \in U_K \} \end{aligned}$$

since we have  $U_K = W_K \times U_K(1)$  and for  $\eta = 1 + \pi \in U_K(1)$   $\eta^{\sigma^{-1}} - 1 = (\eta^\sigma - \eta)/\eta = (\pi^\sigma - \pi)/\eta \in \mathfrak{p}_K^{i+1}$  is equivalent to  $\pi^\sigma \equiv \pi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K^{i+1}}$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$  and  $K/k$  be a finite normal extension with the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$ . We define the group of inertia  $\mathfrak{I}_{K/k}$  by

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{K/k} = \{ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}, \rho^\sigma = \rho \text{ for all } \rho \in W_K \}$$

and the ramification groups by

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) = \{ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_{K/k} \text{ and } \eta^{\sigma^{-1}} \in U_K(u) \text{ for all } \eta \in U_K \},$$

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u) = \{ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathfrak{I}_{K/k} \text{ and } \eta^{\sigma^{-1}} \in U_K^*(u) \text{ for all } \eta \in U_K \}$$

$$(0 \leq u < \infty).$$

In particular, we identify  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(0)$ . That these are normal subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  can be seen easily. Also from Theorem 2 follows the inclusion relations:

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u)$$

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u'), \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u) \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u') \quad \text{for } u < u'.$$

We denote the subfields of  $K$  corresponding to (1), (2) and (3) by  $T_{K/k}$ ,  $V_{K/k}(u)$  and  $V_{K/k}^*(u)$  respectively.

Let  $K = k(\theta)$  and  $\theta$  be a root of an irreducible polynomial  $f(X)$  with coefficients in  $k$ . Let  $k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n$ ,  $[k_n: Q_p] < \infty$ . Then all coefficients of  $f(X)$  are

contained in some  $k_{n_0}$ . Let  $K_n = k_n(\theta)$ . Then  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$  and  $[K:k] = [K_n:k_n]$

holds for  $n \geq n_0$ . If  $K/k$  is normal then  $K_n/k_n$  are also normal with the same Galois group  $\mathfrak{G}^{(5)}$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Let  $\eta \in F_K$ ,  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta_n \in \tilde{K}_n$ . Then by definition  $N_{K/k}\eta = \mathbf{lim} N_{K_n/k_n}\eta_n$  holds. We have also  $\eta^\sigma = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n^\sigma$  for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$ .

Let us denote the group of inertia in the sense of Herbrand by  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$ . By Herbrand [8]  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)} = \mathfrak{T}_{K_n/k_n}^{(H)}$  holds for sufficiently large  $n$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$  is a cyclic group of order  $f$ , where  $f$  is prime to  $F_\infty(k)$ . Similarly, the first ramification group  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)}$  in the sense of Moriya [18] is characterized by  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)} = \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}^{(M)}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Now we shall compare these definitions with ours.

**THEOREM 4.** (i) *In general  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}$ . If  $W_{K_n} = N_{K_m/k_n}W_{K_m}$  hold for a sufficiently large  $n$  and for all  $m > n$ , then we have  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$ .*

(ii)  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^* = \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)}$  holds. Hence  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*$  is a  $p$ -group.

(iii)  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u)/\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u)$  ( $0 < u < \infty$ ) are elementary abelian  $p$ -groups.

**PROOF.** (i) Let  $\eta \in W_K$  be represented by  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$  ( $\eta_n \in W_{K_n}$ ), then  $\eta^\sigma = \eta$  holds if and only if  $\eta_n^\sigma = \eta_n$  hold for all  $n$ . Hence we have  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k} \supseteq \mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)} = \mathfrak{T}_{K_n/k_n}^{(H)}$  (for a sufficiently large  $n$ ). Conversely, assume that  $N_{K_m/k_n}W_{K_m} = W_{K_n}$  ( $n < m$ ) holds for  $n \geq n_1$ . Then  $\eta^\sigma = \eta$ ,  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$  ( $\eta_n \in W_{K_n}$ ,  $\eta \in W_K$ ) holds only if  $\sigma \in T_{K_n/k_n}$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . Therefore, we have  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$  in this case.

(ii) Let  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta \in U_K$ ,  $\eta_n \in U_{K_n}$ . Then  $\eta^{1-\sigma} = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n^{1-\sigma}$ . Hence  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{T}_{K/k}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*$  if  $\eta_n^{1-\sigma} \in U_{K/k}(1)$  for all  $n$ . This proves  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)}$ . Conversely, assume that  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*$  were larger than  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*$  would contain an element  $\sigma$  whose order  $r$  is prime to  $p$ . From a formula

$$\sigma^p - 1 = (\sigma - 1)^p + p(\sigma - 1)^{p-1} + \dots + p(\sigma - 1)$$

follows that  $\eta_n^{\sigma-1} \in U_{K_n}(i)$  implies  $\eta_n^{\sigma^p-1} \in U_{K_n}(j)$  ( $j = \min(pi, \text{ord}_{K_n}(p) + i)$ ) (see

5) We identify an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $K/k$  and its restriction on  $K_n/k_n$ .

Artin [1, p. 81]). Since  $\sigma^r = 1$  and  $(r, p) = 1$  we can find a suitable integer  $\alpha$  for any given  $N$  such that  $\sigma = \sigma^{\alpha p^N}$  holds. Hence for any given  $j$  we can choose  $N$  sufficiently large such that from  $\eta_n^{\sigma^{-1}} \in U_{K_n}(1)$  follows  $\eta_n^{\sigma^{-1}} = \eta_n^{\sigma'^{-1}} \in U_{K_n}(j)$  ( $\sigma' = \sigma^{\alpha p^N}$ ). Therefore we have  $\eta_n^{\sigma^{-1}} = 1$ , and  $\sigma$  operates trivially on  $U_K$ . By Lemma 2 this would imply  $\sigma = 1$  which contradicts with the hypothesis  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)}$ . Therefore we have  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^* = \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^{(M)}$ .

(iii) can be proved similarly, q. e. d.

REMARK. We have similarly

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) \cong \bigcap_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}([\varphi_{K_n/Q_p}(u)]),$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u) \cong \bigcap_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}([\varphi_{K_n/Q_p}(u)] + 1).$$

THEOREM 5. Let  $K/Q_p$  be an  $H$ -extension. Then

- (i)  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}$  is a cyclic group of order  $f$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k}/\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*$  is a cyclic group of order  $e^{(0)}$  where  $[K:k] = ef$ ,  $e = e^{(0)}p^m$ ,  $(e^{(0)}, p) = 1$ .

(iii) For sufficiently large  $n$   $K_n/k_n$  has the same sequence of ramification groups

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{T} \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_1 \supset \mathfrak{B}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{B}_r \supset \mathfrak{B}_{r+1} = 1$$

where  $[\mathfrak{T} : \mathfrak{B}_1] = e^{(0)}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) are  $p$ -groups.

(iv) The sequence of ramification groups for  $K/k$  coincides with (7), namely there is a sequence of integers

$$0 = v_0 < v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_r < v_{r+1} = \infty$$

such that

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) = \mathfrak{B}_i \quad \text{for } \nu_{v_i} \leq u < \nu_{v_{i+1}},$$

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u) = \mathfrak{B}_{i+1} \quad \text{for } \nu_{v_i} \leq u < \nu_{v_{i+1}}$$

hold, where we put  $\nu_i = \varphi_{K/Q_p}^{-1}(i)$ .

PROOF. (i) For an  $H$ -extension  $K = \bigcup_n K_n$  the reduced exponents of  $K_{n+1}/K_n$  are all equal to 1 for sufficiently large  $n$  (say  $n \geq n_0$ ). Hence we have  $N_{n+1}W_{K_{n+1}} = W_{K_n}$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ) by (VII) in §1. From this follows  $\mathfrak{T}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{T}_{K/k}^{(H)}$  as a corollary of Theorem 4 (i). (ii) follows from Theorem 4, (ii).

(iii) Let  $\lambda$  be a fixed positive number and let  $K_\lambda = V_{K/Q_p}(\lambda)$ ,  $k_\lambda = k \cap K_\lambda$ . By the characterization of ramification fields (IV) in §1  $V_{K/Q_p}(\lambda)$  is characterized by the property that it contains all subfields  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $K$  with  $u_{\mathcal{Q}/Q_p} < \lambda$ . From this property follows also that  $k_\lambda \cong V_{k/Q_p}(\lambda)$ . If we take  $\lambda$  sufficiently large (namely, at least  $> u_{k_1/Q_p}$ ) we may assume that  $k_\lambda \cong k_1$  and also  $[K_\lambda : k_\lambda] = [K : k]$ . Let us denote  $\mu = \varphi_{k_\lambda/Q_p}(\lambda)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}^{(\lambda)} \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_1^{(\lambda)} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{B}_r^{(\lambda)} \supset 1$  be the sequence of ramification groups of  $K_\lambda/k_\lambda$  and let

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{B}_i^{(\lambda)} = \mathfrak{B}_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(v_i^{(\lambda)}), \quad v_i^{(\lambda)} = \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(u_i^{(\lambda)}), \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Then we have

$$(11) \quad u_i^{(\lambda)} < \mu \quad (i = 1, \dots, r),$$

because by (II), (III), (IV) of § 1 we have

$$\psi_{K_\lambda/Q_p}(u_i^{(\lambda)}) = \psi_{k_\lambda/Q_p} \circ \psi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(v_i^{(\lambda)}) = \psi_{K_\lambda/Q_p}(v_i^{(\lambda)}) < \lambda.$$

Since  $K$  is an  $H$ -extension  $V_{K/Q_p}(\lambda)$  is a finite extension of  $Q_p$ . Hence we can take  $n_0$  such that  $k_{n_0} \supseteq k_\lambda$  and  $K_{n_0} \supseteq K_\lambda$ . Let  $n \geq n_0$ . By the characterization of  $K_\lambda$  and the monotone property (III) in § 1 we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_{k_n/k_\lambda}(u) = u & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq \varphi_{k_\lambda/Q_p}(\lambda) = \mu, \\ \varphi_{K_n/K_\lambda}(u) = u & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq \varphi_{K_\lambda/Q_p}(\lambda) = \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(\mu). \end{cases}$$

Substituting (12) into the chain relation  $\varphi_{K_n/k_\lambda} = \varphi_{K_n/K_\lambda} \circ \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda} = \varphi_{K_n/k_n} \circ \varphi_{k_n/k_\lambda}$  we have

$$(13) \quad \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(u) = \varphi_{K_n/k_n}(u) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq \mu.$$

Now by the definition of Hasse function we have

$$(14) \quad \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(u) = v_r^{(\lambda)} + [K: T_{K/k}](u - u_r^{(\lambda)}) \quad \text{for } u_r^{(\lambda)} \leq u < \infty.$$

Then we have by (13)  $\varphi_{K_n/k_n}(u)$  has the same expression for  $u_r^{(\lambda)} \leq u \leq \mu$ . Then by the property of Hasse function that its slope can be equal to  $[K_n: T_{K_n/k_n}] = [K: T_{K/k}]$  only for  $u > u_{K_n/k_n}$  we can conclude that  $\varphi_{K_n/k_n}(u)$  has the same expression (14) also for  $u_r^{(\lambda)} \leq u < \infty$ . Hence we have the same Hasse function  $\varphi_{K_n/k_n}(u) = \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(u)$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . We denote simply  $\varphi_{K/k}(u) = \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(u)$ . Since the characteristic numbers  $u_i, v_i, n_i, m_i$  are determined uniquely by Hasse function (§ 1) we can conclude that the sequence of ramification groups of  $K_n/k_n$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ) is

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}^{(n)} &\supseteq \mathfrak{B}_1^{(n)} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{B}_r^{(n)} \supset 1, \\ \mathfrak{B}_i^{(n)} &= \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}(v_i), \quad v_i = \varphi_{K/k}(u_i), \quad n_i = [\mathfrak{B}_i^{(n)}: 1] \quad (i = 1, \dots, r), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_i, v_i$  and  $n_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) are independent of  $n$ .

We shall prove, moreover, that the groups  $\mathfrak{B}_i^{(n)}$  are the same for all  $n \geq n_0$ :

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{B}_i^{(n)} = \mathfrak{B}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, r).$$

For that purpose we use the relation

$$(17) \quad (N_{n+1}U_{K_{n+1}})U_{K_n}(v) = U_{K_n} \quad 0 < v < \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(\mu)$$

which follows from (VI) in § 1 and from the fact that all the ramification groups  $\mathfrak{B}_{K_{n+1}/K_n}(v)$  are the same for  $0 < v < \varphi_{K_\lambda/k_\lambda}(\mu)$ . Now let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_i^{(n+1)}$ .

Then we have  $\eta_{n+1}^{1-\sigma} \in U_{K_{n+1}}(v_i+1)$  for all  $\eta_{n+1} \in U_{K_{n+1}}$ . Since every  $\eta_n \in U_{K_n}$  can be represented by  $\eta_n = \xi_n \cdot N_{n+1}\eta_{n+1}$ ,  $\xi_n \in U_{K_n}(v_i+1)$ ,  $\eta_{n+1} \in U_{K_{n+1}}$  we have  $\eta_n^{1-\sigma} = \xi_n^{1-\sigma} \cdot N_{n+1}\eta_{n+1}^{1-\sigma} \in U_{K_n}(v_i+1)$  for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_i^{(n+1)}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{B}_i^{(n+1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_i^{(n)}$  holds. Since these two groups have the same order we have  $\mathfrak{B}_i^{(n+1)} = \mathfrak{B}_i^{(n)}$ , which proves (16).

(iv) By Theorem 2 we have only to consider the ramification groups  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(\nu_i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$  (and in case  $A_2$  also  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u)$  for  $\varphi_{K/Q_p}(u^\infty) \leq u < \infty$ ). Now let us consider  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(\nu_i)$ . Since  $\varphi_{K_n/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{K/Q_p}(u)$  for  $0 \leq u \leq \nu_i+1$  for sufficiently large  $n$  (say for  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$ ) and  $\mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}(v)$  are the same for all these  $n$ , we have by the remark (6)

$$(18) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(\nu_i) \cong \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}(i) \quad \text{for } n \geq n_1.$$

Now we shall prove the equality in (18). By the same reason as in (iii) it is enough for that purpose to see that

$$(19) \quad U_{K_n} = (N_n^* U_K) U_{K_n}(u) \quad \text{for } 0 < u \leq \nu_i+1 \text{ (} n \geq n_1 \text{)}.$$

holds, where we denote by  $N_n^* U_K$  the range of  $\eta_n$  in the expression  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta \in U_K$ ,  $\eta_n \in U_{K_n}$ .

Let  $\eta_n$  be an arbitrary element in  $U_{K_n}$ . Then by (17) we have for  $m > n > n_2$   $\eta_n = (N_m' \eta_m) \xi_n^{(m)}$ ,  $\eta_m \in U_{K_m}$ ,  $\xi_n^{(m)} \in U_{K_m}(u)$  where  $N_m'$  means the norm  $N_{K_m/K_n}$ . Since  $U_{K_n}$  and  $U_{K_m}(u)$  are compact we can select a subsequence  $\{m_i\}$  of  $\{m\}$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{m_i}' \eta_{m_i} = \eta_n^*$  in  $U_{K_n}$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n^{(m_i)} = \xi_n$  in  $U_{K_n}(u)$  exist. Next take a suitable subsequence of  $\{m_i\}$  such that  $\lim N_{K_m/K_{n+1}} \eta_m = \eta_{m+1}^*$  exists for this subsequence, etc. Finally by the diagonal procedure we can choose a subsequence  $\{m(i)\}$  of  $\{m_i\}$  such that in  $U_{K_{n+r}}$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_{K_{m(i)}/K_{n+r}} \eta_{m(i)} = \eta_{n+r}^* \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

exist. Then  $\eta = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n+r}^*$  exists in  $U_K$  and we have  $\eta_n = (N_n^* \eta) \xi_n$ , which proves (19).

In case  $A_2$  we have  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) = 1$  for  $u \geq \varphi_{K/Q_p}(u^\infty)$  by the reason  $v_r < \varphi_{K/Q_p}(u^\infty)$ , q. e. d.

Here we shall give a simple example of  $H$ -extension. Let  $k_n = Q_p(\zeta_n)$ ,  $p \neq 2$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  where  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $p^n$ -th root of unity, and let  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$ . Then we know that  $[k_n : Q_p] = p^{n-1}(p-1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}_{k_n/Q_p} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and

$$\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u) = \begin{cases} (p^i-1) + p^i(p-1)(u-i) & \text{for } i \leq u \leq i+1, (i=0, 1, \dots, n-2), \\ (p^{n-1}-1) + p^{n-1}(p-1)(u-(n-1)) & \text{for } n-1 \leq u < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have  $u^\infty = \infty$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}_{k/Q_p} = \{0, 1, \dots, n, \dots\}$ ,  $\varphi_{k/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)$  for  $0 \leq u \leq n$  and

$$T_{k/Q_p} = Q_p, \quad V_{k/Q_p}(u) = k_n \quad \text{for } n-1 < u \leq n \text{ (} n = 1, 2, \dots \text{)}.$$

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary normal extension of  $k$  with  $[K:k]=s$ . Let  $K=k(\theta)$ ,  $K_n=k_n(\theta)$  and  $[K_n:k_n]=s$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . We can apply Theorem 5 for  $K/k$ . Let  $u_i, v_i, n_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ) be the characteristic numbers of  $\varphi_{K/k}(u)$ , and let us put  $\mu_i = \varphi_{k/Q_p}^{-1}(u_i)$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ). We have  $\mathfrak{U}_{K_n/Q_p} = \mathfrak{U}_{k_n/Q_p} \cup \psi_{K_n/Q} \mathfrak{U}_{K_n/k_n} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \cup \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r\}$  and  $\varphi_{K/k}(u) = \varphi_{K_n/Q_p}(u)$  holds for  $0 \leq u \leq n$  if we take  $n \geq N$ . Here we choose  $N$  such that  $N-1 \geq \mu_r$ . Finally we can see that

$$(20) \quad \varphi_{K/k}(u) = \varphi_{K_n/k_n}(u) \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

Now we shall compare our definition of ramification groups with that of Herbrand in two cases.

(I) Let  $k/Q_p$  be the extension in the above example. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an arbitrary primary ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}_K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{o}_{K_n} = \mathfrak{p}_{K_n}^{m_n}$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{p} m_n \geq m_{n+1} \geq \mathfrak{p}(m_n-1)+1$ . Let  $u_n$  be defined by  $u_n = \psi_{K_n/Q_p}(m_n)$ . Then we can see easily from (20) that  $m_n-1 > \mu_r$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , say  $n \geq n_0$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}(m_n-1) = 1$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Therefore, the ramification groups of  $K/k$  in the sense of Herbrand are all trivial. The above argument can be applied to every  $H$ -extension  $k/Q_p$ .

(II) Let now  $K/k$  be completely ramified (Herbrand [8], p. 493). Then by Theorems 23, 24, 25 in his paper the ramification theory of Herbrand is effective in this case. We shall show that our ramification theory is also effective in this case. Namely, let us take  $N$  large such that  $K_{n+1}/K_n$  and  $k_{n+1}/k_n$  are tamely ramified for  $n \geq N$ . Then we have  $\varphi_{K_n/Q_p}(u) = \varphi_{K_n/K_N} \circ \varphi_{K_N/Q_p}(u) = e_{K_n/K_N} \varphi_{K_N/Q_p}(u)$  where  $e_{K_n/K_N}$  means the exponent of  $K_n/K_N$ . By (VI) in §1 we have also  $N_{K_n/K_N} U_{K_n}(\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)) = U_{K_N}(\varphi_{K_N/Q_p}(u))$  for  $\varphi_{K_N/Q_p}(u) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . From these follows

$$(21) \quad \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u) = \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}(\varphi_{K_n/Q_p}(u)) \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

This proves that the sequence of ramification groups for  $K/k$  are the same with that of  $K_N/k_N$ .

#### §4. Norm-residue symbol and ramification groups.

Let  $k_0$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$ . The theory of abelian extensions over  $k_0$  can be described by a class formation theory (Kawada [14]). Namely, let us associate with every finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$  the fundamental group  $F_k$ . Let  $K/k$  be a finite normal extension. As before let  $K = \bigcup_n K_n$  and  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$ ,  $[K:k] = [K_n:k_n]$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). The fundamental 2-cocycle  $\xi_{K/k}$  of the normal extension  $K/k$  is a 2-cocycle of the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $K/k$  with coefficients in  $F_K$ , which is derived from the fundamental 2-cocycles  $\xi_{K_n/k_n}$  of  $K_n/k_n$  by

$$(1) \quad \xi_{K/k}(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbf{lim} \xi_{K_n/k_n}(\sigma, \tau) \quad \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

Hence the norm-residue symbol for  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n \in F_k$  is represented by

$$(2) \quad (\eta, K/k) = (\eta_n, K_n/k_n) \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

Now we shall consider on some properties on the relation between norm-residue symbol and ramification groups. Let us assume that  $K/k$  is abelian, and  $K = \bigcup_n K_n$ ,  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$  as before. The conductor  $\mathfrak{f}_n = \mathfrak{p}_{k_n}^{c_n}$  of  $K_n/k_n$  is given by the formula

$$(3) \quad c_n = u_{K_n/k_n} + 1$$

and  $\mathfrak{f}_n$  is characterized by the following properties:

$$(i) \quad (\eta_n, K_n/k_n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \eta_n \in U_{k_n}(c_n),$$

(ii) there exists an  $\eta_n \in U_{k_n}(c_n - 1)$  such that  $(\eta_n, K_n/k_n) \neq 1$ .

By the translation theorem we have  $(\eta_{n+1}, K_{n+1}/k_{n+1}) = (N_{n+1}\eta_{n+1}, K_n/k_n)$  for  $\eta_{n+1} \in \tilde{k}_{n+1}$ . From this follows that

$$N_{n+1}U_{k_{n+1}}(c_{n+1}) \subseteq U_{k_n}(c_n).$$

Therefore,  $U_k(\mathfrak{f})$  for the sequence  $\mathfrak{f} = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$  defines a subgroup of  $U_k$  which we shall call the *conductor* of  $K/k$ .

Especially if  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$  satisfies the condition [R] in § 3 we have

$$c_{n+1} - 1 \leq \varphi_{k_{n+1}/k_n}(c_n - 1).$$

Let us put  $c_n - 1 = \varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u_n)$ . Then we have  $u_1 \geq u_2 \geq u_3 \geq \dots$ . Hence put  $u_\infty = \lim u_n$ . Then by Theorem 3 we have either  $U_k(\mathfrak{f}) = U_k^*(u_\infty)$  or  $= U_k(u_\infty)$ .

Next we shall consider the range of norm-residue symbol for an abelian extension  $K/k$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $F_k$ . We denote by  $(H, K/k)$  the set of all the elements  $(\eta, K/k)$  for  $\eta \in H$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *For an abelian extension  $K/k$  we have*

$$(U_k, K/k) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{K/k}, \quad (U_k(u), K/k) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u), \quad (U_k^*(u), K/k) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u) \\ (0 \leq u < \infty).$$

*In particular, we have  $(U_k(\mathfrak{f}), K/k) = 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta_n \in \tilde{k}_n$ . If  $\eta$  belongs to  $U_k(u)$ , then  $\eta_n \in U_{k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)])$ . Hence we have  $(\eta, K/k) = \lim(\eta_n, K_n/k_n)$  belongs to

$$\bigcap_{n \geq n_0} \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}([\varphi_{k_n/Q_p}(u)]) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u)$$

by the remark (6) in § 3. The others can be proved similarly.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $k/Q_p$  be an  $H$ -extension, and  $K/k$  be an abelian extension.*

(i) *For the conductor  $\mathfrak{f}_n = \mathfrak{p}_{k_n}^{c_n}$  of  $K_n/k_n$   $c_n$  are the same for all sufficiently large  $n$ . We put  $c = c_n$  (say for  $n \geq n_0$ ). Then the conductor of  $K/k$  is equal to  $U_k(\varphi_{K/k}^{-1}(c))$ .*

(ii) *We have*



$$(U_k, K/k) = \mathfrak{F}_{K/k}, (U_k(u), K/k) = \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(u), (U_k^*(u), K/k) = \mathfrak{B}_{K/k}^*(u) \\ (0 \leq u < \infty).$$

PROOF. (i) Since  $k/Q_p$  is an  $H$ -extension we can apply the results of Theorem 5. Hence  $u_{K_n/k_n}$  are the same for sufficiently large  $n$  (say for  $n \geq n_0$ ). This proves that  $c_n$  are the same for  $n \geq n_0$ . Also we have  $\varphi_{K/k}(u) = \varphi_{K_n/k_n}(u)$  ( $0 \leq u \leq c$ ) for sufficiently large  $n$ . From this follows that  $U_k(\mathfrak{f}) = U_k(\varphi_{K/k}^{-1}(c))$ .

(ii) We have proved in Theorem 5 that  $\mathfrak{B}_{K/k}(\nu_i) = \mathfrak{B}_{K_n/k_n}(i)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Also we have seen that the range of  $\eta_n$  in the expression of  $\eta = \lim \eta_k$  for  $\eta \in U_k$  is equal to  $U_{k_n}$  modulo  $U_{k_n}(c_n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  (say  $n \geq n_0$ ). Hence the range of  $(\eta, K/k)$  for  $\eta \in U_k$  is equal to the range of  $(\eta_n, K_n/k_n)$  for  $\eta_n \in U_{k_n}$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Also we know by the local class field theory that  $(U_{k_n}, K_n/k_n) = \mathfrak{F}_{K_n/k_n}$  (see e. g. Hasse [4]). Moreover, we know that  $\mathfrak{F}_{K/k} = \mathfrak{F}_{K_n/k_n}$  for sufficiently large  $n$  (Theorem 5). Combining these facts we have  $(U_k, K/k) = \mathfrak{F}_{K/k}$ . Similarly we have the rest of our formulas from the known result in local class field theory (see Iyanaga [9]), q. e. d.

## Part II

### § 5. Class formations over a local field which contains all the roots of unity.

Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$ . We shall prove first

LEMMA 3. *Let us assume that the infinite part of the absolute order  $N_\infty(k)$  is divisible by factor  $p^\infty$  for every prime  $p$ . Then for any finite normal extension  $K/k$  we have*

$$(1) \quad N_{K/k}K^* = k^*.$$

PROOF. Since  $K/k$  is solvable it is enough to prove (i) for a cyclic extension. Let  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$ ,  $K = \bigcup_n K_n$ ,  $K_n/k_n$  be normal and  $[K:k] = [K_n:k_n] = r$ . By local class field theory  $H_n = N_{K_n/k_n}K_n^*$  is a subgroup of  $k_n^*$  of index  $r$ . Let  $m > n$  be such that  $[K_m:K_n]$  is divisible by  $r$ . Then by the translation theorem we have  $H_m = N_{K_m/k_m}K_m^* = N_{k_m/k_n}^{-1}H_n$  which includes  $k_n^*$ . Hence  $k_n^*$  is contained in  $H_m \subseteq N_{K/k}K^*$ . Since this inclusion holds for all  $n$  we have  $k^* = N_{K/k}K^*$ , q. e. d.

Suppose now that  $k$  contains all the roots of unity. Then the assumption in Lemma 3 is certainly satisfied. Hence  $k$  satisfies the condition:

K 1. the characteristic of  $k$  is 0,

K 2.  $k$  contains all the roots of unity,

K 3. for every finite normal extension  $K/k$   $k^* = N_{K/k}K^*$  holds.

Hence the class formation theory of Kummer extensions can be applied over such a field  $k$  (see Kawada [14, § 3]). Namely let us associate with

each  $k$  the group

$$(2) \quad E(k) = (k^* \otimes (Q/Z))^\wedge,$$

where  $\wedge$  means the (compact) character group,  $\otimes$  means the tensor product over  $Z$ , and  $k^*$  is considered as a multiplicative group and  $Q/Z$  is considered as an additive group. Hence  $\chi \in E(k)$  is a character of the abelian group  $k^* \otimes (Q/Z)$ :

$$\chi = \chi(\xi \otimes (r/s)) \quad \xi \in k^*, r, s \in Z.$$

(I)<sub>K</sub> Let  $K \supseteq k$  and  $[K:k]$  be finite. Then the injection  $\varphi_{k,K}: E(k) \rightarrow E(K)$  is given by

$$(3) \quad \varphi_{k,K}(\chi)(A) = \chi(N_{K/k}A),$$

where  $A = \xi \otimes (r/s) \in K^* \otimes (Q/Z)$ ,  $N_{K/k}A = N_{K/k}\xi \otimes (r/s)$ . That  $\varphi_{k,K}$  is an isomorphism follows from K 3.

(II)<sub>K</sub> If  $K/k$  is normal with the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$ , then  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$  operates on  $E(K)$

$$(4) \quad \chi^\sigma(A) = \chi(A^{\sigma^{-1}}) \quad A^\sigma = (\xi \otimes (r/s))^\sigma = \xi^\sigma \otimes (r/s) \quad (\xi \in K^*).$$

We have then  $\varphi_{k,K}E(k) = E(K)^\mathfrak{G}$ .

(III)<sub>K</sub> Let  $\mathcal{Q}^a/k$  be the maximal abelian extension and  $\Gamma(k)$  be the Galois group of  $\mathcal{Q}^a/k$  with the compact Krull topology. Let  $W$  be the multiplicative group of all the roots of unity contained in  $k^*$  and let us fix an isomorphism  $\psi: W \rightarrow Q/Z$ . Then the generalized norm-residue symbol

$$(5) \quad \Phi_k: E(k) \rightarrow \Gamma(k) \quad (\text{topological isomorphism})$$

is given as follows.  $\Phi_k$  is characterized by its adjoint isomorphism:  $\Phi_k^*: \Gamma(k)^\wedge \rightarrow E(k)^\wedge = k^* \otimes (Q/Z)$ . Namely, for any given element  $A = \xi \otimes (r/s) \in E(k)^\wedge$  the image  $\lambda = (\Psi_k^*)^{-1}(A) \in \Gamma(k)^\wedge$  is defined by

$$(6) \quad \lambda(\sigma) = \exp(2\pi i \psi(\xi^{r/s})^{\sigma^{-1}}) \quad (\sigma \in \Gamma(k)).$$

Therefore, the image  $\sigma = \Phi_k(\chi) \in \Gamma(k)$  of  $\chi \in E(k)$  is determined by the relation

$$(7) \quad \lambda(\sigma) = \chi(\xi \otimes (r/s)).$$

Now we shall compare this class formation theory for Kummer extensions with our class formation theory (Kawada [14]) which we have applied in § 4. For that purpose we need Hilbert's norm-residue symbol.

Let now  $k$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  which contains the set  $W_n$  of all the  $n$ -th roots of unity. Then Hilbert's norm-residue symbol  $\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n$  ( $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_k$  and  $\alpha \in \tilde{k}, \beta \in k^*$ ) is defined by means of the norm-residue symbol

$$(\beta^{1/n})^\sigma = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n \beta^{1/n}, \quad \sigma = \left(\frac{\alpha, k(\beta^{1/n})}{\mathfrak{p}}\right).$$

If there is no danger of misunderstanding we omit  $k$  in the symbol. We use the following properties:

N 1.  $\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n$  belongs to  $W_n$ .

N 2.  $\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n = 1$  in case  $\beta \in k^{*n}$  or  $\alpha \in N\tilde{k}(\beta^{1/n})$ .

N 3.  $\left(\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\alpha_1, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n \left(\frac{\alpha_2, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n$ .

N 4.  $\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\beta, \alpha}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n^{-1}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$

N 3\*.  $\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta_1 \beta_2}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta_1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta_2}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n$ .

N 5. If  $W_n \subset k$  and  $m$  divides  $n$  then

$$\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n^{n/m} = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta^{n/m}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n.$$

N 6. Let  $\tau$  be an automorphism of  $k$  then

$$\left(\frac{\alpha^\tau, \beta^\tau | k^\tau}{\mathfrak{p}^\tau}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_n^\tau \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \tilde{k}, \beta \in k^*.$$

N 7. Let  $K \supset k$  and  $k \supset W_n$  then

$$\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | K}{\mathfrak{p}_K}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}_k}\right)_n \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \tilde{k}, \beta \in k^*.$$

N 8. Let  $\Omega/k$  be any finite extension and  $k \supset W_n$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | \Omega}{\mathfrak{p}_\Omega}\right)_n = \left(\frac{N_{\Omega/k}\alpha, \beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}_k}\right)_n \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}, \beta \in k^*.$$

$$\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | \Omega}{\mathfrak{p}_\Omega}\right)_n = \left(\frac{\alpha, N_{\Omega/k}\beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}_k}\right)_n \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \tilde{k}, \beta \in \Omega^*.$$

From these follows

LEMMA 4. Let  $k$  contain  $W_n$  (the set of all the  $n$ -th roots of unity). Then  $\tilde{k}/\tilde{k}^n$  and  $k^*/k^{*n}$  are dual with respect to the inner product  $\left(\frac{\alpha, \beta | k}{\mathfrak{p}_k}\right)$ .

For the proof see e. g. Hasse [4, § 14].

Let us change our notation. Let now  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $Q_p$  such that  $k$  contains all the roots of unity, which we denote by  $W$ . Let  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$  as before, but we may assume that  $k_n$  contains  $W_n$ . Let us denote the fundamental group  $F_k$  by  $F(k)$  which is a compact group. Let  $E(k)^\wedge = k^* \otimes (Q/Z)$  as before.

(I) We shall define the function

$$(8) \quad F(k) \times E(k)^\wedge \rightarrow W$$

as follows: let  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta \in F(k)$ ,  $\eta_n \in \tilde{k}_n$  and  $A = \xi \otimes (r/s) \in E(k)^\wedge$ . Let  $k_n$  be chosen such that  $\xi \in k_n$  and  $n$  is divisible by  $s$ . Then we define the symbol

$$(9) \quad (\eta, A) = \left( \frac{\eta_n, \xi^{rn/s} | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n \in W.$$

Firstly we must see that the value of (9) is independent of the choice of  $n$ . It is enough to compare the cases of  $m$  and  $n$  where  $m$  is divisible by  $n$ . Then we have by N5, N7, N8

$$\left( \frac{\eta_m, \xi^{rm/s} | k_m}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_m}} \right)_m = \left( \frac{\eta_m, \xi^{rn/s} | k_m}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n = \left( \frac{N_{k_m/k_n} \eta_m, \xi^{rn/s} | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n = \left( \frac{\eta_n, \xi^{rn/s} | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n.$$

Secondly let  $A = \xi \otimes (r/s) = \eta \otimes (t/u)$  ( $r, s, t, u \in Z$ ), then we have  $\xi^{ru} = \eta^{ts}$ . Therefore let us take  $n$  such that  $n$  is divisible by  $su$ . Then we have  $\xi^{rn/s} = \eta^{tn/u}$ . This shows that the value of (9) is independent of the representation of  $A$ .

(II)  $(\eta, A)$  is a continuous bilinear function on  $F(k) \times E(k)^\wedge$  with respect to the compact topology of  $F(k)$  and the discrete topology of  $E(k)^\wedge$ .

That  $(\eta, A)$  is bilinear follows from N3 and N3\* immediately. To prove that  $(\eta, A)$  is continuous it is enough to see that for any given  $A \in E(k)^\wedge$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U_k(u)$  of unity in  $F(k)$  such that  $(U_k(u), A) = 1$  holds. This follows from the fact that for any given  $\beta$  in  $k_n$  there exists  $U_{k_n}(u)$  (i. e. the conductor of the extension  $k_n(\beta^{1/n})/k_n$ ) such that  $\left( \frac{U_{k_n}(u), \beta | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n = 1$  holds.

(III)  $(F(k), A) = 1$  for  $A \in E(k)^\wedge$  if and only if  $A = 0$  and  $(\eta, E(k)^\wedge) = 1$  for  $\eta \in F(k)$  if and only if  $\eta = 1$ .

To prove the first statement let us take an element  $A \neq 0$  in  $E(k)^\wedge$ . Then we may represent  $A = \xi \otimes (1/n)$  and  $\xi^{1/n}$  is not contained in  $k$ . We can assume here that  $[k(\xi^{1/n}) : k] = n$ . Let  $k_m(\supset W_m)$  be such that  $\xi \in k_m^*$  and  $m$  is divisible by  $n$ . Then by local class field theory the set of all  $\eta_m$  in  $\tilde{k}_m$  which satisfy  $\left( \frac{\eta_m, \xi^{m/n}}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_m}} \right)_m = 1$  makes a subgroup  $H_m$  of  $k_m^*$  of index  $n$ . By the translation theorem we see as in §4 that the set of all  $\eta \in F(k)$ ,  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$  with  $\left( \frac{\eta_m, \xi^{m/n}}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_m}} \right)_m = 1$  makes a subgroup  $H$  of  $F(k)$  of index  $n$ . Hence  $(F(k), A) = 1$  implies  $A = 0$ .

To prove the second statement let us assume that  $(\eta, E(k)^\wedge) = 1$  for an  $\eta$  in  $F(k)$ . Let  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta_n \in \tilde{k}_n$ . Then  $\left( \frac{\eta_n, \beta | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n = 1$  must hold for all  $\beta \in k_n^*$ . By Lemma 4 this implies  $\eta_n \in (\tilde{k}_n)^n$ . This must hold for all  $n$ . Hence from  $\eta_m \in (\tilde{k}_m)^m$  where  $m$  is divisible by  $n$  follows that  $\eta_n = N_{k_m/k_n} \eta_m \in (\tilde{k}_n)^m$ . Therefore,  $\eta_n \in \bigcap_m (\tilde{k}_n)^m = 1$ . This proves  $\eta = 1$ , q. e. d.

THEOREM 8. Let  $(\eta, A)$  be the continuous bilinear function  $F(k) \times E(k)^\wedge \rightarrow W$  defined above. Then  $\eta \in F(k)$  defines uniquely an element  $\chi_\eta \in E(k)$  by

$$(10) \quad \chi_\eta(A) = (\eta, A) \quad (A \in E(k)^\wedge).$$

By this mapping  $\Psi_k: \eta \rightarrow \chi_\eta$  we have the topological isomorphism

$$(11) \quad \Psi_k: F(k) \cong E(k) = (k^* \otimes (Q/Z))^\wedge.$$

(i) Let  $k \subset K$ . Then the following diagram is commutative, where  $\iota_{k,K}$  is the natural injection.

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(k) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k,K}} & F(K) \\ \downarrow \Psi_k & & \downarrow \Psi_K \\ E(k) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k,K}} & E(K) \end{array}$$

(ii) Let  $K/k$  be normal with the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$ . Then  $\Psi_K: F(K) \rightarrow E(K)$  is an  $\mathfrak{G}$ -isomorphism, i. e.

$$(13) \quad \sigma \circ \Psi_K = \Psi_K \circ \sigma \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

PROOF. (I), (II), (III) show that  $F(k)$  and  $E(k)^\wedge$  are orthogonally paired by the bilinear function  $(\eta, A)$ . Since  $F(k)$  is compact and  $E(k)^\wedge$  is discrete we have the topological isomorphism  $\Psi_k: F(k) \rightarrow E(k)$  (see e. g. S. Lefschetz, Algebraic topology, p. 67, (20.6)).

To prove (i) let  $\eta \in F(k)$ . Then we may identify  $\iota_{k,K}\eta = \eta \in F(K)$ . Put  $\Psi_k(\eta) = \chi_\eta$  and  $\Psi_K(\eta) = X_\eta$ . Then for  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n \in \tilde{k}_n$  and  $A = \xi \otimes (r/s)$ ,  $\xi \in K_n^*$  we have by N 4, N 8

$$\begin{aligned} X_\eta(\xi \otimes (r/s)) &= (\eta, \xi \otimes (r/s)) = \left( \eta_n, \frac{\xi^{nr/s} | K_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{K_n}} \right)_n = \left( \eta_n, \frac{N_{K/k} \xi^{nr/s} | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n \\ &= (\eta, N_{K/k} A) = (\varphi_{k,K} \chi_\eta)(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i).

To prove (ii) let  $\eta \in F(K)$ ,  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta_n \in \tilde{k}_n$ ,  $A = \xi \otimes (r/s)$ ,  $\xi \in K^*$ . Put  $\Psi_K(\eta) = \chi_\eta$ . Then by N 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\eta^\sigma(A) &= \chi_\eta(A^{\sigma^{-1}}) = (\eta, \xi^{\sigma^{-1}} \otimes (r/s)) = \left( \eta_n, \frac{(\xi^{\sigma^{-1}})^{rn/s} | K_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{K_n}} \right)_n = \left( \eta_n^\sigma, \frac{\xi^{rn/s} | K_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{K_n}} \right)_n \\ &= (\eta^\sigma, A) = \Psi_K(\eta^\sigma)(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (13), q. e. d.

From Theorem 8 follows finally

THEOREM 9. Let  $k$  be an infinite algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $k$  contains all the roots of unity. Then the two class formations over  $k$ , namely that of Kummer extensions and that of the natural extension of the local class field theory, are isomorphic to each other. Moreover, the generalized norm-residue

symbols of these two class formations coincide with each other.

PROOF. That these two class formations are isomorphic means the existence of an isomorphism  $\Psi_k: F(k) \rightarrow E(k)$  with the properties (i), (ii) in Theorem 8. Hence we need only to compare two kinds of generalized norm-residue symbols.

Let  $\eta \in F(k)$  and  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n, \eta_n \in \tilde{k}_n$ . Then for any finite cyclic extension  $K = k(\beta^{1/m}), \beta \in k^*$  the generalized norm-residue symbol  $\Phi_k(\eta) = (\eta, k) \in \Gamma(k)$  (defined by class field theory) induces on  $K/k$  the automorphism  $\sigma$

$$(14) \quad (\beta^{1/m})^{\sigma-1} = \left( \frac{\eta_n, K_n/k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right) = \left( \frac{\eta_n, \beta^{n/m} | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n$$

by the definition of Hilbert's norm-residue symbol, where we take  $k_n$  such that  $\beta \in k_n$  and  $n$  is divisible by  $m$ .

On the other hand, let  $\Psi_k(\eta) = \chi_\eta \in E(k)$ , and let the generalized norm-residue theorem (defined by Kummer theory) be denoted by  $\tau = \Phi_k(\chi_\eta)$ . Then by definition (6), (7) of (III)<sub>K</sub> we have for any  $\beta \otimes (r/s) \in E(k)^\wedge$

$$(15) \quad \lambda(\tau) = \chi_\eta(\beta \otimes (r/s)) = \left( \frac{\eta_n, \beta^{rn/s} | k_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{k_n}} \right)_n,$$

where we take  $k_n$  such that  $\beta \in k_n$  and  $n$  is divisible by  $s$ .

Comparing (14) and (15) we see that two kinds of norm-residue symbols are identical, q. e. d.

## § 6. Class formations over a global field which contains all the roots of unity.

Let  $k_0$  be an infinite algebraic extension of the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As we have proved (Kawada [14, Theorem 7]) we have a class formation theory over  $k_0$  which is a natural extension of class field theory.

Namely, let  $k = \bigcup_n k_n, k_1 \subset k_2 \subset \dots, [k_n: \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ . Let  $C(k_n)$  be the idèle class group of  $k_n$  and  $C_0(k_n)$  be the compact subgroup of  $C(k_n)$  consisting of all idèle classes of volume 1. We associate with  $k$  the inverse limit group of  $\{C_0(k_n)\}$  with respect to the norm-mapping  $N_{k_{n+1}/k_n}: C_0(k_{n+1}) \rightarrow C_0(k_n)$ . We shall call this limit group the *fundamental group* of  $k$  and denote it by

$$(1) \quad F(k) \rightarrow \text{inv. lim } C_0(k_n).$$

$F(k)$  is a compact group. For a finite extension  $K/k$  we have a natural injection  $\iota_{k,K}: F(k) \rightarrow F(K)$ , and for a normal extension  $K/k$  with the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$   $F(K)$  is a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -group and  $\iota_{k,K}F(k) = F(K)^\mathfrak{G}$  holds. It was proved that the system  $F(k)$  gives a class formation over  $k_0$ .

Let  $(\alpha_n, k_n)$  be the generalized norm-residue symbol of  $k_n$  which gives the continuous homomorphism of  $C_0(k_n)$  onto the Galois group  $\Gamma(k_n)$  of the

maximal abelian extension  $\Omega_n^a$  of  $k_n$ . The kernel of this mapping  $\alpha_n \rightarrow (\alpha_n, k_n)$  is the connected component  $D_0(k_n)$  of  $C_0(k_n)$  (see Weil [26] and Artin [2]). Let  $\alpha = \lim \alpha_n$ ,  $\alpha \in F(k)$ ,  $\alpha_n \in C_0(k_n)$ . For an arbitrary abelian extension  $K/k$ ,  $K = k(\theta)$  we have as before  $K = \cup_n K_n$ ,  $k = \cup_n k_n$ ,  $K_n = k_n(\theta)$ . Then the symbol  $(\alpha, k)$  induces the automorphism  $(\alpha, K/k)$  which is given by  $(\alpha, K/k) = (\alpha_n, K_n/k_n)$  (for  $n \geq n_0$ ). From this follows that the kernel  $D(k)$  of the mapping  $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha, k)$  ( $\alpha \in F(k)$ ) is given by

$$(2) \quad D(k) = \text{inv. lim } D_0(k_n).$$

Let us put then

$$(3) \quad F^*(k) = F(k)/D(k).$$

We have also

$$(4) \quad F^*(k) = \text{inv. lim } C_0(k_n)/D_0(k_n).$$

If  $k$  is totally imaginary then  $k_n$  are also total imaginary (for  $n \geq n_0$ ). Then for any normal extension  $K/k$ ,  $K = k(\theta)$ ,  $K = \cup_n K_n$ ,  $k = \cup_n k_n$ ,  $K_n = k_n(\theta)$  the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$  has trivial cohomologies for the coefficient groups  $D_0(K_n)$  ( $n \geq n_1$ ) (see Weil [26] and Artin [2]). Hence it is the same for  $D(k)$ . Now we can easily verify the following theorem.

**THEOREM 10.** *Assume that  $k_0$  is total imaginary. If we associate with each finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$  the compact group  $F^*(k)$  we have also a class formation. Moreover,  $F^*(k)$  is topologically isomorphic to the Galois group  $\Gamma(k)$  of the maximal abelian extension  $\Omega^a/k$  with the compact Krull topology.*

Now we shall consider the case where the ground field  $k_0$  contains all the roots of unity. In this case we shall compare the two class formations. One is the above defined  $F^*(k)$  and the other is  $E(k) = (k^* \otimes (Q/Z))^\wedge$  of the Kummer extensions. The purpose of this § is to give explicitly the isomorphism of these two class formations. For this purpose we need some more properties of Hilbert's norm-residue symbol. These properties were prepared in a paper of Satake [24] (in Japanese). For the sake of completeness we shall reproduce them here.

Let now  $k$  be a finite number field containing a  $n$ -th primitive root of unity. We denote by  $W_n$  the group of  $n$ -th roots of unity as in §5. The idèle group  $J_k = J$  is the restricted direct product of the locally compact groups  $k_p^*$  with respect to the compact open subgroups  $U_p$  (unit group of  $k_p^*$ ) (except infinite prime divisors  $p_\infty$ ). Then the quotient locally compact group  $J/J^n$  is the restricted direct product of  $k_p^*/k_p^{*n}$  with respect to the compact open subgroups  $U_p k_p^{*n}/k_p^{*n}$  (except  $p = p_\infty$ ):

$$(5) \quad J/J^n = \prod' k_p^*/k_p^{*n}.$$

$k^*$  can be considered as a subgroup of  $J$  by identifying each element  $a \in k^*$  with the principal idèle  $(a)$ .

$$(I) \quad k^* \cap J^n = k^{*n}.$$

PROOF. Let  $(a) \in k^* \cap J^n$ . Then  $k_p(a^{1/n}) = k_p$  hold for all  $p$  which implies  $k(a^{1/n}) = k$ , i. e.  $a \in k^{*n}$ , q. e. d.

Let  $V(a)$  be the volume of an idèle  $a \in J$ . Let  $J_0$  be the subgroup of  $J$  consisting of all idèles with volume 1. Since  $J \cong J_0 \times R$  (direct) we have

$$(6) \quad J/J^n \cong J_0/J_0^n.$$

Now we shall define the inner product  $(a, b|k)_n$  for idèles  $a = \{a_p\}$  and  $b = \{b_p\} \in J$  by

$$(7) \quad (a, b|k)_n = \prod_{\text{all finite } p} \left( \frac{a_p, b_p|k_p}{p} \right)_n.$$

Here  $\left( \frac{a_p, b_p}{p} \right)_n = 1$  if (i)  $n$  is not divisible by  $p$  and (ii)  $a_p \in U_p$  and  $b_p \in U_p$ . Hence  $(a, b|k)_n$  is certainly well defined. This symbol has the following properties which will follow easily from N1-N8 in §5 and the product formula of Hilbert's norm-residue symbol. We shall use also the notation  $(a, b)_n$  instead of  $(a, b|k)_n$ .

$$N^*1. \quad (a, b)_n \in W_n.$$

$$N^*2. \quad (a, b)_n = (b, a)_n^{-1}.$$

$$N^*3. \quad (a_1 a_2, b)_n = (a_1, b)_n (a_2, b)_n,$$

$$(a, b_1 b_2)_n = (a, b_1)_n (a, b_2)_n.$$

$$N^*4. \quad (\text{Product formula}) \quad (a, b)_n = 1 \text{ if } a \in k^* \text{ and } b \in k^*.$$

$$N^*5. \quad \text{If } k \supset W_n \text{ and } m \text{ divides } n \text{ then}$$

$$(a, b)_m = (a, b)_n^{n/m}.$$

$$N^*6. \quad \text{Let } \tau \text{ be an automorphism of } k \text{ then}$$

$$(a^\tau, b^\tau|k^\tau)_n = (a, b|k)_n^\tau \quad \text{for } a, b \in J_k.$$

$$N^*7. \quad \text{Let } K \supset k \text{ and } k \supset W_n. \text{ Then}$$

$$(a, b|K)_n = (a, b|k)_n \quad \text{for } a, b \in J_k.$$

$$N^*8. \quad \text{Let } \mathcal{Q}/k \text{ be any finite extension and } k \supset W_n. \text{ Then}$$

$$(a, b|\mathcal{Q})_n = (N_{\mathcal{Q}/k} a, b|k)_n \quad \text{for } a \in J_{\mathcal{Q}}, b \in J_k.$$

From N\*1 and N\*3 follows that  $(a, b)_n = 1$  if  $a \in J^n$  or  $b \in J^n$ . Hence  $(a, b)_n$  gives a pairing of  $J/J^n$  and  $J/J^n$ .

(II)\*  $J/J^n$  is self-dual with respect to the inner product  $(a, b)_n$ .

We use the following general lemma. Let  $G$  and  $X$  be locally compact abelian groups which are orthogonally paired by the inner product  $(g, \chi)$  ( $g \in G, \chi \in X$ ) into  $R/Z$ . Moreover, if there exist compact open subgroups  $G_1$  of  $G$  and  $X_1$  of  $X$  such that they are mutually annihilators of the other. Then we have  $G \cong X^\wedge$  and  $X \cong G^\wedge$ , where  $^\wedge$  means the character group in the sense of Pontrjagin.

Now we shall prove (II). By Lemma 4 we can easily see that  $J/J^n$  and



$J/J^n$  are orthogonally paired by the inner product  $(\alpha, \beta)_n$ . Next we shall prove that there exist compact open subgroups  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $J/J^n$  which are mutually annihilators of the other. Let  $E$  be a finite set of prime divisors. Then we denote  $J^E = \{\alpha : \alpha \in J, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in U_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } \mathfrak{p} \in E\}$  and  $J^{E,n} = \{\alpha : \alpha \in J, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for } \mathfrak{p} \in E \text{ and } \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in U_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } \mathfrak{p} \notin E\}$ . If we take  $E$  such that (i)  $E$  contains all infinite prime divisors, (ii)  $E$  contains all finite prime divisors which divides  $n$ . Then (II)\* follows from the following Lemma (III)\*:

(III)\*  $J^E \cdot J^n/J^n$  and  $J^{E,n} \cdot J^n/J^n$  are compact open subgroups of  $J/J^n$  which are mutually annihilators of the other.

PROOF. That these are compact open subgroups follows immediately from (5). That they are mutually annihilators of the other follows from Lemma 4 and from the fact that  $(U_{\mathfrak{p}}, U_{\mathfrak{p}} | k_{\mathfrak{p}})_n = 1$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in E$ , q. e. d.

(IV)\* By the above self-duality of  $J/J^n$  the discrete subgroup  $k^*J^n/J^n$  is the annihilator of itself.

PROOF. Let  $E$  be a finite set of prime divisors which satisfy the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii)  $J = J^E k^*$ . Then we shall first prove that

$$(8) \quad J^n J^{E,n} k^* / J^n \quad \text{and} \quad J^n k^E / J^n$$

are mutually annihilators of the other, where we denote  $k^E = k^* \cap J^E$ . By the self-duality of  $J/J^n$  it suffices to see that

$$(9) \quad (J^n J^{E,n} k^*, J^n k^E | k)_n = 1$$

and

$$(10) \quad [J : J^n J^{E,n} k^*] = [J^n k^E : J^n] < \infty.$$

Here (9) is easy to see. We shall prove (10). Since  $J = J^E k^*$ ,  $J^n \subset (J^E)^n k^* \subset J^{E,n} k^*$  we have

$$[J : J^n J^{E,n} k^*] = [J^E k^* : J^{E,n} k^*] = [J^E : J^{E,n}] / [J^E \cap k^* : J^{E,n} \cap k^*].$$

Since  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1/n}) = k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in U_{\mathfrak{p}}$  if  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid n$  we can prove

$$(11) \quad k^* \cap J^{E,n} = (k^E)^n$$

quite similarly as (I)\*. Hence we have

$$(12) \quad [J^E \cap k^* : J^{E,n} \cap k^*] = [k^E : (k^E)^n] = n^{s+1},$$

where  $s$  is the number of prime divisors of  $E$  (see Chevalley [3, § 3, p. 121, Corollary of Theorem 3]). Also we know that

$$(13) \quad [J^E : J^{E,n}] = n^{2(s+1)}$$

(see Chevalley [3, § 8.2, p. 129]). Substituting (12), (13) into the above equality we have

$$(14) \quad [J : J^n J^{E,n} k^*] = n^{s+1}.$$

On the other hand we have by (11)

$$(15) \quad [J^n k^E : J^n] = [k^E : k^E \cap J^n] = [k^E : (k^E)^n] = n^{s+1}.$$

From (14) and (15) follows (10), q. e. d.

Now the proof of (IV)\* is immediate. Since  $k^* = \bigcup_E k^E$  and  $J^n = \bigcap_E J^n J^{E,n}$  where  $E$  runs over the family of all finite sets of prime divisors, we have

$$(k^* J^n / J^n)^+ = \bigcap_E (k^E J^n / J^n)^+ = \bigcap_E (k^* J^n J^{E,n} / J^n) = k^* J^n / J^n.$$

Here  $+$  means the annihilator with respect to the inner product. q. e. d.

As a corollary of (IV)\* we have

(V)\* The compact group  $J/k^* J^n \cong C(k)/C(k)^n$  and the discrete group  $k^* J^n / J^n \cong k^*/k^{*n}$  are mutually the character groups of the other.

Applying the above results of Satake, it is now easy to prove the isomorphism of two class formations.

Let  $k_0$  be an infinite algebraic number field such that  $k$  contains all the roots of unity. We denote by  $W$  the group of all the roots of unity as in § 5. In this case we can see that three conditions K 1, K 2, and K 3 in § 5 hold. Here the condition K 3 follows from a theorem of Hasse [5]. Hence we have a class formation theory of Kummer extensions by associating with each finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$  the compact group  $E(k) = (k^* \otimes (Q/Z))^\wedge$ . We shall compare  $E(k)$  and the group  $F^*(k)$  defined in Theorem 10.

(I) Let  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$  [ $k_n : Q$ ]  $< \infty$  and  $W_n \subset k_n$ . We shall define a function  $F^*(k) \times E(k) \rightarrow W$  as follows. Let  $\eta = \lim \eta_n$ ,  $\eta \in F^*(k)$ ,  $\eta_n \in C_0(k_n)/D_0(k_n)$  and  $A = a \otimes (r/s) \in E(k)^\wedge$ ,  $a \in k^*$ . Then we can take  $k_n$  such that  $a \in k_n$  and  $n$  is divisible by  $s$ . Then we put

$$(16) \quad (\eta, A) = (a_n, a^{r n/s})_n \in W,$$

where  $a_n$  is an arbitrary representative idèle of the class  $\eta_n$ . If we take another representative  $a'_n$  then  $a'_n = a_n(a')\mathfrak{b}$  holds where  $a' \in k_n$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \in D_0(k_n)$ . Since  $D_0(k_n)$  is infinitely divisible (Weil [26] and Artin [2]) we have  $\mathfrak{b} \in J_{k_n}^n$ . Hence by N\*4 we have  $(a_n, a^{r n/s})_n = (a'_n, a^{r n/s})_n$ . That the value of (16) is independent of the choice of  $n$  and the representation  $A = a \otimes (r/s)$  can be proved just as in local case by N\*5, N\*7, N\*8.

(II)  $(\eta, A)$  is a continuous bilinear function on  $F^*(k) \times E(k)^\wedge$  with respect to the compact topology of  $F^*(k)$  and the discrete topology of  $E(k)^\wedge$ .

PROOF. That  $(\eta, A)$  is bilinear follows from N\*3. To prove the continuity of  $(\eta, A)$  it suffices to see that for any given  $A \in E(k)^\wedge$  there exists an open subgroup  $H$  of  $F^*(k)$  such that

$$(17) \quad (H, A) = 1$$

holds. This follows immediately from the fact that for any given  $a_n \in k_n$  the set  $H$  consisting of all idèles  $\mathfrak{b} \in J_{k_n}$  with the property  $(\mathfrak{b}, a_n)_n = 1$  is an open subgroup of  $J_{k_n}$  of index  $[k_n(a_n^{1/n}) : k_n]$  and that  $H$  contains  $k_n^* D_0(k_n)$ .

(III) (Orthogonality)  $(F^*(k), A) = 1$  implies  $A = 0$  and  $(\eta, E(k)^\wedge) = 1$  implies  $\eta = 1$ .

PROOF. The first statement can be proved just as in local case by applying class field theory. For the proof of the second statement we use the result of Satake. Namely, let  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ . Then  $(\eta, E(k)^\wedge) = 1$  implies  $(\eta_n, k_n^*) = 1$ . Hence by (IV) we have  $\eta_n \in k_n^* J_{k_n}^n D_0(k_n) / k_n^* D_0(k_n)$ . If we take any multiple  $m$  of  $n$  then  $\eta_n = N_{k_m/k_n} \eta_m \in k_n^* J_{k_n}^m D_0(k_n) / k_n^* D_0(k_n)$ . Hence we have

$$\eta_n \in \bigcap_m k_n^* J_{k_n}^m D_0(k_n) / k_n^* D_0(k_n) = 1.$$

This proves  $\eta = 1$ , q. e. d.

From (II) follows that each  $\eta \in F^*(k)$  determines uniquely an element  $\chi_\eta \in E(k)$  defined by

$$(17) \quad \chi_\eta(A) = (\eta, A) \quad (A \in E(k)^\wedge).$$

Since  $F^*(k)$  is compact and  $E(k)^\wedge$  is discrete we have by (III) the topological isomorphism

$$(18) \quad \Psi_k: F^*(k) \cong E(k) = (k^* \otimes (Q/Z))^\wedge$$

by the mapping  $\Psi_k: \eta \rightarrow \chi_\eta$ .

(IV) Let  $k \subset K$ . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F^*(k) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k,K}} & F^*(K) \\ \Psi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_K \\ E(k) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k,K}} & E(K) \end{array} .$$

(V) Let  $K/k$  be normal with the Galois group  $\mathfrak{G} = G(K/k)$ . Then  $\Psi_K: F^*(K) \cong E(K)$  is an  $\mathfrak{G}$ -isomorphism:

$$(20) \quad \sigma \circ \Psi_K = \Psi_K \circ \sigma \quad \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

We can prove (IV), (V) just as in local case by N\*4, N\*6, N\*8. Summing up (I)–(V) we have the following theorem:

**THEOREM 11.** *Let  $k_0$  be an infinite algebraic number field such that  $k_0$  contains all the roots of unity. There are two kinds of class formations: one is that of defined in Theorem 10 and the other is that of Kummer extensions. Then they are isomorphic by the mapping (18).*

Finally we shall mention here briefly the case of an algebraic function field  $k$  of one variable with absolutely algebraic and algebraically closed constant field  $A$  of characteristic  $p$ . Namely,  $A$  is the union of all finite fields  $GF(q)$ ,  $q = p^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then for a suitable finite field  $GF(q_0)$ ,  $q_0 = p^{n_0}$  there is an algebraic function field  $k_{n_0}$  with constant field  $GF(q_0)$  such that  $k$  is the union of  $k_{n_0}$  and  $A$ . Hence if we put  $k_n = k_0 \cdot GF(p^{nn_0})$  which has

$GF(p^{nn_0})$  as constant field, then we have  $k = \bigcup_n k_n$ . Therefore, we can derive a class formation over  $k$  as the limit of the usual class field theory over  $k_n$ .

Let  $J_{k_n}$  be the group of all idèles of  $k_n$  and let  $J_{k_n}^0$  be the group of all idèles with degree 0. We denote the group of idèle classes by  $C(k_n) = J_{k_n}/k_n^*$  and also  $C_0(k_n) = J_{k_n}^0/k_n^*$ . Here  $C_0(k_n)$  is a compact group and  $C(k_n)/C_0(k_n) \cong Z$ . As in Weil [26] we can compactify the group  $C(k_n)$ . We denote the compactification by  $C^*(k_n)$ . Then  $C^*(k_n) \supset C_0(k_n)$ ,  $C^*(k_n)/C_0(k_n) \cong \tilde{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{Z} = \prod_p Z_p$ . Moreover, we denote  $J_{k_n}^\phi = \prod_p U_p$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_0(k_n) = J_{k_n}^0/k_n^* \cdot J_{k_n}^\phi$  (group of divisor classes of degree 0), which is a finite group.

Now the *fundamental group*  $F(k)$  is defined by

$$(21) \quad F(k) = \text{inv. lim } C^*(k_n)$$

with respect to the norm-mapping  $N_{k_{n+1}/k_n} : C^*(k_{n+1}) \rightarrow C^*(k_n)$ . By local class field theory the image  $N_{k_{n+1}/k_n} C^*(k_{n+1})$  is the group of all idèle classes whose degrees are divisible by  $[k_{n+1} : k_n]$ . From this follows that if we express  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta \in F(k)$ ,  $\eta_n \in C^*(k_n)$  the range of  $\eta_n$  is  $C_0(k_n)$ . Hence we can write

$$(22) \quad F(k) = \text{inv. lim } C_0(k_n)$$

where  $N_{k_{n+1}/k_n} C_0(k_{n+1}) = C_0(k_n)$ .  $F(k)$  contains a closed subgroup

$$(23) \quad F^\phi(k) = \text{inv. lim } k_n^* J_{k_n}^\phi / k_n^*$$

such that we have the factor group:

$$(24) \quad \mathfrak{F}(k) = F(k)/F^\phi(k) = \text{inv. lim } \mathfrak{G}_0(k_n)$$

where  $N_{k_{n+1}/k_n} \mathfrak{G}_0(k_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{G}_0(k_n)$  holds.

By the general theory (Kawada [14]) we have a class formation over such a field  $k$  if we associate with every finite separable extension  $K$  the fundamental group  $F(K)$  and  $F(K)$  is topologically isomorphic to the Galois group  $\Gamma(K)$  of the maximal separable abelian extension  $\mathcal{Q}^a(K)/K$  with the compact Krull topology.

In a former paper (Kawada-Satake [13, §3]) we have proved the isomorphism of two kinds of class formations of separable  $p$ -extensions of  $k_n$ . Namely, let  $\mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  be the group of all Witt's vectors over  $k_n$  and let

$$(25) \quad \mathfrak{B}(k_n) = (\mathfrak{B}(k_n)/\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{B}(k_n)) \otimes (\mathcal{Q}^{(p)}/Z)$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}^{(p)} = \{a/p^n; a \in Z, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and

$$(26) \quad E(k_n) = \mathfrak{B}(k_n)^\wedge.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  and the compact group  $Z_p \times (C_0(k_n)/C_0(k_n)^\infty)$  are orthogonally paired by the inner product defined in §3 of our joint paper. Here we put  $C_0(k_n)^\infty = \bigcap_m C_0(k_n)^{p^m}$ .

Similarly we define

$$(27) \quad E(k) = \mathfrak{B}(k)^\wedge, \quad \mathfrak{B}(k) = (\mathfrak{B}(k)/\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{B}(k)) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/Z).$$

Then we have  $\mathfrak{B}(k) = \text{dir. lim } \mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  by the natural injection and dually

$$E(k) = \text{inv. lim } E(k_n).$$

Let  $F(k)^\infty = \bigcap_m F(k)^{p^m}$ . Then we can define a pairing  $(\eta, A)$  of  $\eta \in F(k)/F(k)^\infty$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(k)$  as follows. Since  $A$  belongs to some  $\mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  we define

$$(28) \quad (\eta, A) = (\eta_n, A)$$

where  $\eta = \mathbf{lim} \eta_n$ ,  $\eta_n \in C_0(k_n)/C_0(k_n)^\infty$ . We can prove similarly to the formula (38) in § 2 of Kawada-Satake [13], that the value of (28) is independent of the choice of  $n$ , i. e.  $(N_{k_m/k_n}\eta_m, A) = (\eta_m, A)$  for  $m > n$ . It is not difficult to prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 12.** *Let  $k_0$  be an algebraic function field of one variable with absolutely algebraic and algebraically closed constant field of characteristic  $p$ . Let us consider separable  $p$ -extensions of  $k_0$ . Then two kinds of class formations  $\{E(k)\}$  and  $\{F(k)/F(k)^\infty\}$  are isomorphic.*

Now we shall consider only separable unramified  $p$ -extensions of  $k_0$ . We have then also a class formation in this case (Kawada-Satake [13, § 4]). Namely let us associate with  $k$  the compact group

$$(29) \quad {}^*E(k) = {}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)^\wedge, \quad {}^*\mathfrak{B}(k) = ({}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)/\mathfrak{F}{}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/Z)$$

where  ${}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)$  is the group of all unramified Witt's vectors over  $k$ . We can consider  ${}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)$  naturally as a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{B}(k)$ . Then we have

**LEMMA 5.** *By the duality of  $\mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  and  $Z_p \times (C_0(k_n)/C_0(k_n)^\infty)$  the subgroup  ${}^*\mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(k_n)$  and the subgroup  $Z_p \times (J_{k_n}^\phi k_{k_n}^*(J_{k_n}^0)^\infty)/k_n^*(J_{k_n}^0)^\infty$  of the latter group are mutually annihilators of the other.*

Hence by taking the limit groups we have

**THEOREM 13.** *By the orthogonal pairing of the discrete group  $\mathfrak{B}(k)$  and the compact group  $F(k)/F(k)^\infty$  the subgroup  ${}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(k)$  and the closed subgroup  $F^\phi(k)/F(k)^\infty$  of  $F(k)/F(k)^\infty$  are mutually annihilators of the other.*

*As a corollary we have the topological isomorphism of  ${}^*E(k) = {}^*\mathfrak{B}(k)^\wedge$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(k)/\mathfrak{F}(k)^\infty$ .*

We know already that both groups  ${}^*E(k)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(k)/\mathfrak{F}(k)^\infty$  are topologically isomorphic to the direct sum of  $\rho$  copies of  $Z_p$  where  $\rho$  is the Hasse-Witt's invariant of  $k$  (Hasse-Witt [7]). Theorem 13 gives us an explicit isomorphism of these groups.

University of Tokyo.

## References

- [ 1 ] E. Artin, Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions I (1951), Mimeographed Notes, Princeton University and New York University.
- [ 2 ] E. Artin, Representatives of the connected component of the idèle class group, Proc. International Symposium on Algebraic Number Theory, Tokyo and Nikko 1955, 47-50.
- [ 3 ] C. Chevalley, La théorie du corps de classes, Ann. of Math., 41 (1940), 394-418.
- [ 4 ] H. Hasse, Bericht über neuere Untersuchungen und Probleme aus der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper II, J. d. D. M. V., Ergänzungsband VI (1930).
- [ 5 ] H. Hasse, Die Struktur der R. Brauerschen Algebrenklassengruppe über einem algebraischen Zahlkörper, Math. Ann., 107 (1933), 731-760.
- [ 6 ] H. Hasse, Normenresttheorie galoisscher Zahlkörper mit Anwendungen auf Führer und Diskriminante abelscher Zahlkörper, J. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. I, 2 (1934), 477-498.
- [ 7 ] H. Hasse und E. Witt, Zyklische unverzweigte Erweiterungskörper vom Primzahlgrade  $p$  über einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper der Charakteristik  $p$ , Monatsh. Math., 43 (1936), 477-492.
- [ 8 ] J. Herbrand, Théorie arithmétique des corps de nombres de degré infini, I, Extensions algébriques finies de corps infinis, Math. Ann., 106 (1932), 473-501; II, Extensions algébriques de degré infini, Math. Ann. 108 (1933), 699-717.
- [ 9 ] S. Iyanaga, Ueber den Wertevorrat des Normenrestsymbols, Abh. Hamburg, 9 (1933), 159-165.
- [10] Y. Kawada, On the ramification theory of infinite algebraic extensions, Ann. of Math., 58 (1953), 24-47.
- [11] Y. Kawada, On the structure of the Galois group of some infinite extensions II, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. I, 7 (1954), 87-106.
- [12] Y. Kawada, Class formations, Duke Math. J., 22 (1955), 165-178.
- [13] Y. Kawada and I. Satake, Class formations II, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. I, 7 (1956), 353-389.
- [14] Y. Kawada, Class formation IV (Infinite extension of the ground field), J. Math. Soc. Japan, 9 (1957), 395-405.
- [15] M. Krasner, Sur la primitivité des corps  $p$ -adiques, Mathematica, Cluj, 13 (1937), 72-191.
- [16] M. Mori, Ueber die Klassenkörpertheorie für unendliche Erweiterungen von einem  $p$ -adischen Zahlkörper, Proc. Japan Acad., 33 (1957), 376-379.
- [17] M. Mori, Ueber Kummer'sche Erweiterungen, Proc. Japan Acad., 33 (1957), 372-375.
- [18] M. Moriya, Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper unendlichen Grades, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 3 (1935), 107-190. Berichtigung, 4, (1936), 121-122.
- [19] M. Moriya, Galoissche Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper unendlichen Grades, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 4 (1936), 67-120.
- [20] M. Moriya, Klassenkörpertheorie im Kleinen für die unendlichen algebraischen Zahlkörper, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 5 (1936), 9-66.
- [21] M. Moriya, Klassenkörpertheorie im Grossen für unendliche algebraische Zahlkörper, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 6 (1937), 63-101.
- [22] M. Moriya, Abelsche Erweiterungen über algebraischen Funktionenkörpern einer Unbestimmten mit absolut-algebraischem Konstantenkörper, J. Reine

- Angew. Math, 179 (1938), 143-147.
- [23] I. Satake, On a generalization of Hilbert's theory of ramifications, Sci. Papers Coll. Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo, 2 (1952), 25-39.
- [24] I. Satake, Notes on the class field theory (in Japanese), I, Math. Reports Tôdai Kyôyôgakubu, No. 3 (1951), 1-16, II, *ibid.* No. 6 (1952), 41-56.
- [25] T. Tamagawa, On the theory of ramification groups and conductors, Jap. J. Math., 21 (1951), 197-215.
- [26] A. Weil, Sur la théorie du corps de classes, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 3 (1951), 1-35.
- [27] E. Witt, Zyklische Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik  $p$  vom Grade  $p^n$ , J. Reine Angew. Math., 176 (1936), 126-140.
-