

Existence of derivations in graded algebras.

By Tsuyoshi FUJIWARA and Kentaro MURATA

(Received Sept. 12, 1958)

In the present paper we shall discuss on the existence of derivations in the sense of C. Chevalley [1] in graded algebras. We shall give a new definition of a homomorphism of graded algebras which is a generalization of the usual one. Such a homomorphism will naturally lead us to a definition of free graded algebras as a generalization of the concept of Z -graded free algebras. The free graded algebras will play a fundamental rôle in our study.

Section 1 shows the existence of derivations of the free graded algebras. Section 2 deals with transferability between the derivation of a graded algebra and that of its homomorphic image. In the last section 3 a criterion for the existence of derivations in any graded algebras is obtained by using new binary operations which are generalizations of the usual partial differential operators.

§1. Throughout this paper an algebra means an algebra with a unit element 1, and a homomorphism of algebras means a ring homomorphism which maps unit upon unit. We denote by Γ, Δ, \dots additive (commutative) groups and by (E, Γ) a Γ -graded algebra over any fixed (commutative or non-commutative) ring A with a unit element.

Let $E = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E_{\gamma}$ and $F = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} F_{\delta}$ be decompositions of (E, Γ) and (F, Δ) into homogeneous modules respectively. Let φ_R be a homomorphism from E onto (into) F as algebras, and φ_G a homomorphism from Γ onto Δ . If $\varphi_R(E_{\gamma}) \subseteq F_{\varphi_G(\gamma)}$, then $\varphi = (\varphi_R, \varphi_G)$ is called a homomorphism from (E, Γ) onto (into) (F, Δ) . For convenience, we write $\varphi(x) = \varphi_R(x)$ for $x \in E$, and $\varphi(\gamma) = \varphi_G(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$; the kernel of φ means the kernel of φ_R .

Let (E, Γ) be a Γ -graded algebra over A . Let Δ be a homomorphic image or a factor group of Γ . Then it is easy to see that E is also a Δ -graded algebra. An element in the homogeneous module E_{δ} of E is called Δ -homogeneous of degree δ . A submodule M of E is said to be Δ -homogeneous if $M = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} (M \cap E_{\delta})$. If a submodule M or an ideal \mathfrak{A} of E is generated by Δ -homogeneous elements, then it is Δ -homogeneous by Theorem 1.3 in [1].

THEOREM 1. *Let (E, Γ) be a graded algebra over A . If Δ is a factor group of Γ , then there exists, for any Δ -homogeneous two-sided ideal \mathfrak{A} of E , a homomorphism from (E, Γ) onto $(E/\mathfrak{A}, \Delta)$. Conversely, if $\varphi = (\varphi_R, \varphi_G)$ is a homomor-*

phism from (E, Γ) onto (F, Δ) , then there exists a Δ -homogeneous ideal \mathfrak{A} of E such that $(E/\mathfrak{A}, \Delta)$ and (F, Δ) are isomorphic.

PROOF. The former part of this theorem is easily obtained. We now prove the latter part. It is clear that E can be considered as a Δ -graded algebra, and that $\varphi' = (\varphi_R, I_G)$ is a homomorphism from (E, Δ) onto (F, Δ) . Let $a = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} a_\delta$ be an element of the kernel \mathfrak{A} of φ_R . Then $0 = \varphi_R(a) = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \varphi_R(a_\delta)$. By the uniqueness of this representation, we have $\varphi_R(a_\delta) = 0$, i. e. $a_\delta \in \mathfrak{A}$. Hence \mathfrak{A} is Δ -homogeneous. Therefore (F, Δ) is isomorphic to $(E/\mathfrak{A}, \Delta)$. This completes the proof.

Let (E, Γ) be a graded algebra over A . If Γ' is the subgroup of Γ generated by the set $\{\gamma; E_\gamma \neq 0\}$, then it is clear that Γ' is uniquely determined by (E, Γ) . Such a subgroup Γ' is called the irredundant subgroup of Γ with respect to E , and denoted by $\Gamma(E)$. It is easily seen that E is a $\Gamma(E)$ -graded algebra. Hereafter $[E, \Gamma]$ denotes the $\Gamma(E)$ -graded algebra E , i. e. $[E, \Gamma] = (E, \Gamma(E))$, and is called an irredundantly graded algebra.

Let F be a free algebra with a free system of generators $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$ over a ring A , and Δ the additive group freely generated by A . Let δ be any element of Δ , and $\delta = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ an expression of δ in the normal form in Δ . F_δ denotes the submodule of F which is spanned by the set $\{y_{\pi(\delta)} = x_{\pi(\lambda_1)} \cdots x_{\pi(\lambda_n)}; \pi \text{ runs over the symmetric group } S_n\}$. Then F forms an irredundantly Δ -graded algebra. This algebra $[F, \Delta]$ is called a free graded algebra with a free system of generators $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $[E, \Gamma]$ be any irredundantly graded algebra over A . Then there exists a free graded algebra $[F, \Delta]$ such that a homomorphism from $[F, \Delta]$ onto $[E, \Gamma]$ exists.*

PROOF. Let $\{a_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$ be a system of homogeneous generators of $[E, \Gamma]$. Take symbols $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$. Then there exists a free graded algebra $[F, \Delta]$ with a free system of generators $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$. The mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow a_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in A$) can be clearly extended to a homomorphism φ_R from F onto E as algebras over A . If x is a homogeneous element of degree δ in $[F, \Delta]$, then we have $x = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \alpha_{\pi(\lambda_1), \dots, \pi(\lambda_n)} x_{\pi(\lambda_1)} \cdots x_{\pi(\lambda_n)}$ with $\delta = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$. Let a be the φ_R -image of x . Then $a = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \alpha_{\pi(\lambda_1), \dots, \pi(\lambda_n)} a_{\pi(\lambda_1)} \cdots a_{\pi(\lambda_n)}$. If a_λ is a homogeneous element of degree $\gamma(\lambda)$, then a is a homogeneous element of degree $\gamma = \gamma(\lambda_1) + \dots + \gamma(\lambda_n)$. Since Δ is a free additive group, the mapping $\varphi_G: \delta \rightarrow \varphi_G(\delta) = \gamma$ is clearly a homomorphism from Δ onto $\Gamma(E)$. Hence $\varphi = (\varphi_R, \varphi_G)$ is a homomorphism from $[F, \Delta]$ onto $[E, \Gamma]$. This completes the proof.

Let (E, Γ) be a graded algebra over A . Let Γ have a subgroup Γ' of index 2. Then the main involution J of E with respect to Γ/Γ' can be defined as in [1]. If $\Theta = \Gamma(E) \cap \Gamma' \neq \Gamma(E)$, then it is easily verified that $(\Gamma(E): \Theta) = 2$. Hence we can form the main involution J_1 of E with respect to $\Gamma(E)/\Theta$.

Then we have $J(x) = J_1(x)$, and also the symbolical power $J^\nu(x) = J_1^\nu(x)$ for every ν in $\Gamma(E)$. On the other hand if $\Theta = \Gamma(E)$, then we may define $J_2(x) = x$ for every x in E . Then we have $J(x) = J_2(x)$, and $J^\nu(x) = J_2^\nu(x)$ for every ν in $\Gamma(E)$. In the following, we assume that J and J^ν are defined on $[E, \Gamma]$ as above, whether $\Gamma(E)$ has a subgroup of index 2 or not.

Let (E, Γ) , (F, Δ) be two graded algebras over A , φ a homomorphism from (E, Γ) into (F, Δ) , and let ν be a fixed element of Γ . A linear mapping D from E into F is called of degree $\varphi(\nu)$, if $D(x) \in F_{\varphi(\mu+\nu)}$ for $x \in E_\mu$. A linear mapping D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ is called a φ -derivation of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from (E, Γ) into (F, Δ) , if it satisfies

$$D(xy) = D(x)\varphi(y) + \varphi(J^\nu(x))D(y)$$

for every x, y in E .

THEOREM 3. *Let $[F, \Delta]$ be a free graded algebra with a free system of generators $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Let (E, Γ) be an arbitrary graded algebra over A , and φ a homomorphism from $[F, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) . Assume that for each element λ of Λ , a Γ -homogeneous element $y_\lambda \in E$ of degree $\varphi(\lambda + \nu)$ is preassigned arbitrarily, where ν is a fixed element in Δ . Then there exists one and only one φ -derivation D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[F, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) , which is an extension of the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow y_\lambda$.*

PROOF. First we put $D(1) = 0$ and $D(x_\lambda) = y_\lambda$. Next we set

$$D(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}) = \sum_i \varphi(J^\nu(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_{i-1}}))D(x_{\lambda_i})\varphi(x_{\lambda_{i+1}} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}),$$

and define

$$D(x) = \sum_{(\lambda)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} D(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}), \quad (\lambda) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

for any element $x = \sum_{(\lambda)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}$ in F . Then D forms a linear mapping of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[F, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) . Now we shall prove that D satisfies the condition:

$$D(xy) = D(x)\varphi(y) + \varphi(J^\nu(x))D(y).$$

If $y = \sum_{(\mu)} \beta_{(\mu)} x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m}$, $(\mu) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$, then

$$xy = \sum_{(\lambda)(\mu)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} \beta_{(\mu)} x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n} x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(xy) &= \sum_{(\lambda)(\mu)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} \beta_{(\mu)} D(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n} x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m}) \\ &= \sum_{(\lambda)(\mu)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} \beta_{(\mu)} [\sum_i \varphi(J^\nu(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_{i-1}}))D(x_{\lambda_i})\varphi(x_{\lambda_{i+1}} \cdots x_{\lambda_n})\varphi(x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m}) \\ &\quad + \sum_j \varphi(J^\nu(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}))\varphi(J^\nu(x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_{j-1}}))D(x_{\mu_j})\varphi(x_{\mu_{j+1}} \cdots x_{\mu_m})] \\ &= \sum_{(\lambda)(\mu)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} \beta_{(\mu)} [D(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n})\varphi(x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m}) + \varphi(J^\nu(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}))D(x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m})] \\ &= [\sum_{(\lambda)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} D(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n})]\varphi(\sum_{(\mu)} \beta_{(\mu)} x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m}) \\ &\quad + \varphi(J^\nu(\sum_{(\lambda)} \alpha_{(\lambda)} x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}))[\sum_{(\mu)} \beta_{(\mu)} D(x_{\mu_1} \cdots x_{\mu_m})] \\ &= D(x)\varphi(y) + \varphi(J^\nu(x))D(y). \end{aligned}$$

Namely the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow y_\lambda$ is extended to a φ -derivation D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[F, \mathcal{A}]$ into (E, Γ) . If a φ -derivation D' of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[F, \mathcal{A}]$ into (E, Γ) is any extension of the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow y_\lambda$, then it is easily verified that $D - D'$ is a zero derivation. Hence D is uniquely determined by the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow D(x_\lambda) = y_\lambda$. This completes the proof.

§2. Let (E_1, Γ_1) , (E_2, Γ_2) , (E_1', Γ_1') and (E_2', Γ_2') be four graded algebras over A , and φ a homomorphism from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2, Γ_2) . Let σ_1 be a homomorphism from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ onto $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$, and σ_2 a homomorphism from (E_2, Γ_2) onto (E_2', Γ_2') . Let \mathfrak{A}_i be the kernel of σ_i ($i = 1, 2$) as algebras, and let $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$. Moreover let N_i be the kernel of σ_i as groups, and let $\varphi(N_1) \subseteq N_2$. Then $\psi = (\psi_R, \psi_G)$ defined by the pair of mappings $\psi_R: \sigma_1(x) \rightarrow \sigma_2(\varphi(x))$ and $\psi_G: \sigma_1(r) \rightarrow \sigma_2(\varphi(r))$ gives a homomorphism from $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$ into (E_2', Γ_2') . Let Θ be a subgroup of $\Gamma_1(E_1)$ which contains N_1 . Then the subgroup $\sigma_1(\Theta)$ of $\Gamma_1'(E_1')$ has the same index as that of Θ in $\Gamma_1(E_1)$. Conversely, if Θ' is a subgroup of $\Gamma_1'(E_1')$, then the subgroup $\sigma_1^{-1}(\Theta')$ of $\Gamma_1(E_1)$ has the same index as that of Θ' in $\Gamma_1'(E_1')$. And we get

$$\sigma_1^{-1}(\sigma_1(\Theta)) = \Theta, \quad \sigma_1(\sigma_1^{-1}(\Theta')) = \Theta'.$$

Let J_Θ be the main involution of $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ defined by the subgroup Θ of $\Gamma_1(E_1)$. The main involution $J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}$ of $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$ defined by $\sigma_1(\Theta)$ is said to be deduced from J_Θ . Conversely, let $J_{\Theta'}$ be a main involution defined by the subgroup Θ' of $\Gamma_1'(E_1')$. Then we get

$$J_{\sigma_1^{-1}(\sigma_1(\Theta))} = J_\Theta, \quad J_{\sigma_1(\sigma_1^{-1}(\Theta'))} = J_{\Theta'}.$$

If D is a φ -derivation of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2, Γ_2) with respect to the main involution J_Θ , and if the mapping

$$\bar{D}: \sigma_1(x) \rightarrow \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x)) = \sigma_2(D(x))$$

forms a ψ -derivation of degree $\psi(\sigma_1(\nu))$ from $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$ into (E_2', Γ_2') with respect to the involution $J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}$ deduced from J_Θ , then we say that \bar{D} is deduced from D .

Under the above assumptions, we prove the following Theorems 4 and 5.

THEOREM 4. *If there exists a φ -derivation D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2, Γ_2) , then in order that the mapping*

$$\bar{D}: \sigma_1(x) \rightarrow \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x)) = \sigma_2(D(x))$$

is a deduced ψ -derivation of degree $\psi(\sigma_1(\nu))$ from $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$ into (E_2', Γ_2') , it is necessary and sufficient that $D(\mathfrak{A}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$.

PROOF. Suppose that $D(\mathfrak{A}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$. First we prove that \bar{D} is a linear mapping. Let $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_1(y)$ for some elements x, y in E_1 , then $\sigma_1(x - y) = 0$, $x - y = a \in \mathfrak{A}_1$, and $\sigma_2(D(x)) - \sigma_2(D(y)) = \sigma_2(D(x) - D(y)) = \sigma_2 D(x - y) = \sigma_2 D(a)$. Since $D(\mathfrak{A}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$, we get $\sigma_2 D(a) = 0$. Hence $\sigma_2(D(x)) = \sigma_2(D(y))$, i. e. \bar{D} is a well defined map-

ping. The linearity of \bar{D} can be easily obtained from the linearity of D and σ_i .

Next we shall show the relation $\sigma_1 J_{\Theta}^{\nu}(x) = J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}^{\sigma_1(\nu)}(\sigma_1(x))$. This relation is clear in the case of $\Theta = \Gamma_1(E_1)$. Hence we shall prove the relation in case $(\Gamma_1(E_1): \Theta) = 2$. Let $x = x_+ + x_-$ be the homogeneous decomposition for the semi-graduation concerning Θ , $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_1(x)_+ + \sigma_1(x)_-$ the homogeneous decomposition for the semi-graduation concerning $\sigma_1(\Theta)$. Since it is easily verified that $\sigma_1(x_+) = \sigma_1(x)_+$ and $\sigma_1(x_-) = \sigma_1(x)_-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 J_{\Theta}(x) &= \sigma_1 J_{\Theta}(x_+ + x_-) = \sigma_1(x_+ - x_-) = \sigma_1(x_+) - \sigma_1(x_-) \\ &= \sigma_1(x)_+ - \sigma_1(x)_- = J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}(\sigma_1(x)_+ + \sigma_1(x)_-) = J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}(\sigma_1(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $\sigma_1 J_{\Theta}^{\nu}(x) = J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}^{\sigma_1(\nu)}(\sigma_1(x))$.

We obtain therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x)\sigma_1(y)) &= \bar{D}(\sigma_1(xy)) = \sigma_2(D(xy)) \\ &= \sigma_2[D(x)\varphi(y) + \varphi(J_{\Theta}^{\nu}(x))D(y)] \\ &= \sigma_2 D(x) \cdot \sigma_2 \varphi(y) + \sigma_2 \varphi(J_{\Theta}^{\nu}(x)) \cdot \sigma_2 D(y) \\ &= \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x)) \cdot \psi \sigma_1(y) + \psi \sigma_1(J_{\Theta}^{\nu}(x)) \cdot \bar{D}(\sigma_1(y)) \\ &= \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x)) \psi(\sigma_1(y)) + \psi[J_{\sigma_1(\Theta)}^{\sigma_1(\nu)}(\sigma_1(x))] \bar{D}(\sigma_1(y)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the sufficient part.

The necessary part can be easily obtained. Because, if $D(\mathfrak{A}_1) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$, then \bar{D} is not well defined.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let (E^*, Γ^*) , (E, Γ) and (F, Δ) be three graded algebras. Let σ be a homomorphism from $[E^*, \Gamma^*]$ onto $[E, \Gamma]$, ψ a homomorphism from $[E, \Gamma]$ into (F, Δ) and let $\varphi = \psi\sigma$, i. e. $\varphi_R = \psi_R \sigma_R$ and $\varphi_G = \psi_G \sigma_G$. Suppose that there exists a φ -derivation D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[E^*, \Gamma^*]$ into (F, Δ) . Then in order that the mapping $\bar{D}: \sigma(x) \rightarrow \bar{D}(\sigma(x)) = D(x)$ is a deduced ψ -derivation of degree $\psi(\sigma(\nu))$ from $[E, \Gamma]$ into (F, Δ) , it is necessary and sufficient that the kernel of σ is contained in the kernel of D .*

THEOREM 5. *Assume that $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ is a free graded algebra with a free system of generators $\{x_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, and that $(\sigma_2)_G$ is an isomorphism from Γ_2 onto Γ_2' . If there exists a ψ -derivation \bar{D} of degree $\psi(\sigma_1(\nu))$ from $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$ into (E_2', Γ_2') with respect to the main involution $J_{\Theta'}$, then there exists a φ -derivation D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2, Γ_2) which deduces \bar{D} , i. e. $\bar{D}(\sigma_1(x)) = \sigma_2(D(x))$ for every x in E_1 .*

PROOF. For each generator x_{λ} of $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$, we consider the set $\sigma_2^{-1} \bar{D} \sigma_1(x_{\lambda})$. Since

$$\bar{D} \sigma_1(x_{\lambda}) \in (E_2')_{\psi(\sigma_1(\lambda+\nu))} = (E_2')_{\sigma_2(\varphi(\lambda+\nu))}$$

and since σ_2 gives a module-homomorphism from $(E_2)_{\varphi(\lambda+\nu)}$ onto $(E_2')_{\sigma_2(\varphi(\lambda+\nu))}$, it is easy to see that there exists an element a_{λ} contained in $\sigma_2^{-1} \bar{D} \sigma_1(x_{\lambda}) \cap$

$(E_2)_{\varphi(\lambda+\nu)}$. Hence by Theorem 3, the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow a_\lambda$ can be extended to a φ -derivation D of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2, Γ_2) which concerns the main involution $J_{\sigma^{-1}(\theta)}$. Now we shall prove that the derivation D deduces the given derivation \bar{D} . $\bar{D}\sigma_1$ is a $\psi\sigma_1$ -derivation of degree $\psi\sigma_1(\nu)$ from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2', Γ_2') , and $\sigma_2 D$ is a $\sigma_2\varphi$ -derivation of degree $\sigma_2\varphi(\nu)$ from $[E_1, \Gamma_1]$ into (E_2', Γ_2') . Since $\psi\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\varphi$, and since $\bar{D}\sigma_1(x_\lambda) = \sigma_2 D(x_\lambda)$ for every generator x_λ , it is easy to see that $\bar{D}\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2 D$ are the same derivation, i. e. $\bar{D}\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_2 D(x)$ for every element x in E_1 . If $\sigma_1(x) = 0$, then $\bar{D}\sigma_1(x) = 0$, i. e. $\sigma_2 D(x) = 0$. Hence the kernel \mathfrak{A}_1 of σ_1 is contained in the kernel of $\sigma_2 D$, i. e. $D(\mathfrak{A}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_2$. Then by Theorem 4 the mapping

$$\check{D}: \sigma_1(x) \rightarrow \check{D}(\sigma_1(x)) = \sigma_2 D(x)$$

is a deduced ψ -derivation of degree $\psi(\sigma_1(\nu))$ from $[E_1', \Gamma_1']$ into (E_2', Γ_2') , which concerns the main involution J_θ . Now we have

$$\check{D}(\sigma_1(x)) = \sigma_2(D(x)) = \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x))$$

for every element x of E_1 . Hence we get $\check{D}(\sigma_1(x)) = \bar{D}(\sigma_1(x))$ for every element $\sigma_1(x)$ in E_1' . This completes the proof.

§ 3. Let (E, Γ) be any graded algebra over A , and $[F, \mathcal{A}]$ a free graded algebra with a free system of generators $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in \mathcal{A}\}$ over A . Let φ be a homomorphism from $[F, \mathcal{A}]$ into (E, Γ) . Let f be any element of F , and let

$$(*) \quad f = \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} \alpha_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}$$

be an expression of normal form in the free algebra F . Let A_f denote the set of all λ each of which appears in $(*)$. Let a be any element of E . Now we define a binary operation $\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle$ from $E \times F$ into E as follows:

$$a \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} \alpha_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} \sum_{\lambda_i = \lambda} \varphi(J^\nu(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_{i-1}})) \cdot a \cdot \varphi(x_{\lambda_{i+1}} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}) & \text{if } \lambda \in A_f, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \notin A_f. \end{cases}$$

If D is a φ -derivation of degree $\varphi(\nu)$ from $[F, \mathcal{A}]$ into (E, Γ) , then, by using the above operation $\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle$, we have

$$D(f) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}} D(x_\lambda) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f.$$

It is convenient to consider $a \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle$ as an operator for F . We now define an addition \boxplus of the operators as follows:

$$\left(a \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle \boxplus b \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle \right) f = a \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f + b \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f.$$

Then we have

$$D(f) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} D(x_\lambda) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in A} D(x_\lambda) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle \right) (f).$$

If E is commutative, then defining

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} f = 1 \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f,$$

we have

$$a \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f = (a \cdot 1) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f = a \left(1 \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f \right) = a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\lambda)} f \right).$$

REMARK. Let $[F, \Delta]$ be a free graded algebra freely generated by $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$ over a field k , and let K be the polynomial ring generated by $\{X_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$ over k . Then K forms a Δ -graded algebra, and the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$ can be extended to a homomorphism σ from $[F, \Delta]$ onto $[K, \Delta]$:

$$\sigma: f \rightarrow \sigma f = f^\sigma(X_\lambda), \quad f \in F, f^\sigma(X_\lambda) \in K.$$

Let (E, Γ) be any commutative graded algebra over k , ψ a homomorphism from $[K, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) , and $\varphi = \psi\sigma$. If J^ν is identity on F , then the above $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi(x_\mu)} f$ coincides with the usual symbol $\frac{\partial f^\sigma}{\partial \psi(X_\mu)}$, which is the result of the substitution of $\psi(X_\lambda)$ ($= \varphi(x_\lambda)$) for X_λ in the partial derivative $\frac{\partial f^\sigma}{\partial X_\mu}$ [2; p. 12].

THEOREM 6. Let $[F, \Delta]$ be a graded algebra over A with a system of homogeneous generators $\{a_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$, and $[F^*, \Delta^*]$ a free graded algebra over A with a free system of generators $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$. Let σ be a homomorphism from $[F^*, \Delta^*]$ onto $[F, \Delta]$ which is an extension of the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow a_\lambda$, and let $\mathfrak{A} = (f_\rho; \rho \in P)$ be the kernel of σ . Let (E, Γ) be a graded algebra over A , and ψ a homomorphism from $[F, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) . Then in order that the mapping

$$d: a_\lambda \rightarrow d(a_\lambda) = b_\lambda \in E_{\psi(\sigma(\lambda) + \sigma(\nu))} \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \Delta^*)$$

can be extended to a ψ -derivation \bar{D} of degree $\psi(\sigma(\nu))$ from $[F, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) , it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in A} b_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0$$

for every $\rho \in P$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3, the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow b_\lambda$ can be extended to a $\psi\sigma$ -derivation D of degree $\psi\sigma(\nu)$ from $[F^*, \Delta^*]$ into (E, Γ) . It is verified by Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 5 that the mapping

$$d: a_\lambda = \sigma(x_\lambda) \rightarrow D(x_\lambda) = b_\lambda$$

can be extended to a ψ -derivation \bar{D} of degree $\psi(\sigma(\nu))$ from $[F, \Delta]$ into (E, Γ) ,

if and only if the kernel \mathfrak{A} of σ is contained in the kernel of D . Hence it is sufficient to prove that

$$(**) \quad \mathfrak{A} \subseteq \text{kernel}(D) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{\lambda \in A} b_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P).$$

Since $D(f_\rho) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} b_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho$, the implication \Rightarrow is evident. To prove the converse implication of (**), it suffices only to show that $D(gf_\rho h) = 0$ for any elements g, h of F^* . Since $D(f_\rho) = 0$ and $\sigma(f_\rho) = \sigma(J^\nu(f_\rho)) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(gf_\rho h) &= D(g)\psi\sigma(f_\rho)\psi\sigma(h) + \psi\sigma(J^\nu(g))D(f_\rho)\psi\sigma(h) + \psi\sigma(J^\nu(g))\psi\sigma(J^\nu(f_\rho))D(h) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 6.1. *If E is commutative in Theorem 6, then in order that the mapping d can be extended to a ψ -derivation D , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in A} b_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0$$

for every $\rho \in P$.

THEOREM 7. *Under the same assumption of Theorem 6, in order that a system of equations*

$$(***) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in A} Z_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P)$$

has a non-trivial solution for Z_λ , it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a system of one-variable equations

$$Z_\mu \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\mu)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P)$$

with a non-trivial solution for Z_μ .

PROOF. Let $\{b_\lambda; \lambda \in A\}$ be a non-trivial solution of (***), i. e.

$$\sum_{\lambda \in A} b_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi \sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P).$$

Then, by Theorem 6, the mapping $\sigma(x_\lambda) \rightarrow b_\lambda$ can be extended to a ψ -derivation \bar{D} from $[F, A]$ into (E, Γ) , and by Theorem 3, the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow b_\lambda$ can be extended to a $\psi\sigma$ -derivation D from $[F^*, A^*]$ into (E, Γ) . Then it is clear that $D(x) = \bar{D}(\sigma(x))$, and hence, by Corollary 4.1, the kernel of σ is contained in the kernel of D . Suppose that $b_\mu \neq 0$, and $c_\lambda = \delta_{\lambda\mu} b_\mu$. It is clear from Theorem 3 that the mapping $x_\lambda \rightarrow c_\lambda$ can be extended to a $\psi\sigma$ -derivation D' from $[F^*, A^*]$ into (E, Γ) . Then the kernel of D is clearly contained in the kernel of D' . Hence the kernel of σ is contained in the kernel of D' . Therefore, by Corollary 4.1, there exists a ψ -derivation $\bar{D}' : \sigma(x) \rightarrow D'(x)$ which

is an extension of the mapping $a_\lambda = \sigma(x_\lambda) \rightarrow D'(x_\lambda) = c_\lambda$. By Theorem 6 we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in A} c_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_\sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0, \text{ i. e. } c_\mu \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_\sigma(x_\mu)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P).$$

Conversely, if there exists a system of one-variable equations

$$Z_\mu \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_\sigma(x_\mu)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P)$$

with a non-trivial solution c_μ , then putting $b_\lambda = \delta_{\lambda\mu} c_\mu$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in A} b_\lambda \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_\sigma(x_\lambda)} \right\rangle f_\rho = c_\mu \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_\sigma(x_\mu)} \right\rangle f_\rho = 0 \quad (\rho \in P).$$

This completes the proof.

Yamaguchi University.

References

- [1] C. Chevalley, The construction and study of certain important algebras, Tokyo, Publications of the Math. Soc. of Japan, 1955.
- [2] A. Weil, Foundations of algebraic geometry, New York, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 1946.