On the partitions of a number into the powers of prime numbers.

By Takayoshi MITSUI

(Received June 25, 1957)

1. In 1953, Szekeres [4] proved an asymptotic formula for the number P(n, m) of the partitions of n into positive integers not exceeding m, for large n and m. The generating function of P(n, m) is

$$F(w) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{m} (1 - w^{\nu})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n, m) w^{n} \qquad (|w| < 1)$$

and we have

(1)
$$P(n,m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{n\rho} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(e^{-\rho+i\theta}) e^{-ni\theta} d\theta,$$

where ρ is the root of the equation

$$n=\sum_{\nu=1}^m\frac{\nu}{e^{\nu\rho}-1}.$$

The essential point in Szekeres's proof is this determination of ρ , by which it is shown that the integral over the neighborhood of the point $\theta=0$ in (1) gives the principal term of the asymptotic formula for P(n, m).

In this paper, we shall prove, by a method partly analogous to Szekeres's proof, an asymptotic formula for the number T(n, m; k) of the partitions of n into k-th $(k \ge 1)$ powers of *prime numbers* not exceeding m. Our result is stated as follows:

THEOREM. Let n and m be sufficiently large integers and $n^{1/k} \ge m$. Then we have, uniformly in n and m,

$$T(n, m; k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi A_2}} e^{n\omega + A_1} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\max\left(n^{-\frac{k}{2(k+1)(k+2)}}, m^{-\frac{k}{2(k+2)}}\right)\right) \right\}$$

where α is the root of equation

$$(2) n = \sum_{p \le m} \frac{p^k}{\rho^{\alpha p^k} - 1}$$

and

(3)
$$A_1 = -\sum_{n \leq m} \log(1 - e^{-\alpha p^k}),$$

(4)
$$A_2 = \sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^{2k} e^{\alpha p^k}}{(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^2}.$$

In the summations (2), (3) and (4), p is taken over all prime numbers $\leq m$. The generating function of T(n, m; k) is

$$G(w) = \prod_{n \le m} (1 - w^{p^k})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T(n, m; k) w^n \qquad (|w| < 1)$$

and we have by Cauchy's theorem

(5)
$$T(n, m; k) = e^{n\alpha} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} G(e^{-\alpha + 2\pi i\theta}) e^{-2\pi i n\theta} d\theta$$
$$= e^{n\alpha} G(e^{-\alpha}) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{G(e^{-\alpha + 2\pi i\theta})}{G(e^{-\alpha})} e^{-2\pi i n\theta} d\theta.$$

We shall divide the last integral as follows:

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} = \int_{- heta_o}^{ heta_o} + \left\{ \int_{ heta_o}^{1/2} + \int_{-1/2}^{- heta_o}
ight\} = I_1 + I_2$$
 ,

where $\theta_0 = n^{-1} (n\alpha)^{(k+2)/(2k+3)}$. (Since $\alpha < 1$, θ_0 becomes small).

The estimation of I_1 will be given in section 2 by an analogous method to that of Szekeres. In section 3, the estimation of I_2 will be considered, to which we shall apply, differing in this point from Szekeres's case, the estimation of a certain trigonometrical sum obtained by Vinogradov [5], Hua [1] and [2]. These estimations will lead to our Theorem.

In section 4, special cases will be treated. As the results, we shall have

COROLLARY 1. Let n and m be sufficiently large and $m \ge (n \log^2 n)^{1/(k+1)}$, then

$$T(n, m; k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} n^{-\frac{2k+1}{2(k+1)}} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{-\frac{1}{k}} \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) \zeta \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) (\log n)^{-1} \right\}^{\frac{k}{2(k+1)}} \times e^{n\alpha + A_1} \left(1 - \frac{k \log \log n}{2(k+1) \log n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n} \right) \right),$$

where α and A_1 have the meaning mentioned in our Theorem, $\zeta(s)$ is Riemann zeta function, and we have asymptotically

430 T. Mitsui

$$\alpha = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right)\zeta\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)}{n\log n} \right\}^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \left(1 - \frac{k\log\log n}{(k+1)\log n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right),$$

$$A_1 = \left\{\Gamma\left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right)\zeta\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)\right\}^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left(1 - \frac{k\log\log n}{(k+1)\log n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right).$$

COROLLARY 2. Let n and m be sufficiently large and $m \le n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$, then

$$T(n, m; k) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi \log m} \right)^{1/2} e^{n\omega + A_1} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m} \right) \right),$$

where we have asymptotically

$$\alpha = \frac{m}{n \log m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right),$$

 $A_{1} = \frac{m}{\log m} \log \frac{n \log m}{m^{k+1}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right).$

Throughout this paper, c denotes the positive constant which is independent of n and m but may depend on k. It does not always mean the same constant at every time it appears. When it is necessary to distinguish such constants, we shall use c_1, c_2, \cdots . We denote by p prime numbers and write a=1/k.

We shall need a summation formula for $\sum f(p)$, instead of Euler's summation formula often used in Szekeres's paper. It is formulated as follows. Writing $\pi(x) = \sum_{x \le x} 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\nu \leq m} f(\nu) = \sum_{\nu=2}^{m} f(\nu)(\pi(\nu) - \pi(\nu - 1)) = \pi(m)f(m) - \int_{2}^{m} \pi(t)f'(t)dt.$$

Therefore putting

$$\pi(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} + \phi(x)$$

in the above formula, we have

(6)
$$\sum_{p \leq m} f(p) = \int_{2}^{m} \frac{f(t)}{\log t} dt + f(m)\phi(m) - \int_{2}^{m} f'(t)\phi(t) dt,$$

where

$$\phi(x) = O(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}})$$

by the prime number theorem. (We shall use O-notation when the constants in it are independent of n and m). Furthermore, we shall consider the functions of the following forms

$$f_{r,s}(x) = \frac{x^{rk}}{(e^{\beta x^k} - 1)^s}$$
 $(x \ge 0)$,

where $\beta > 0$, r and s are integers and $r \ge s \ge 1$. The following properties of $f_{r,s}(x)$ are obvious;

$$f_{r,s}(x) = O(\beta^{-r}) \cdot \min(1, (\beta x^k)^{r-s}),$$
 $x f_{r,s}(x) = O(\beta^{-r-a}) \cdot \min(1, x \beta^a),$
 $\int_0^x f_{r,s}(t) dt = O(\beta^{-r-a}) \cdot \min(1, x \beta^a),$
 $f'_{r,s}(x) = O(\beta^{-r+a}), \quad x f'_{r,s}(x) = O(\beta^{-r}),$
 $\int_0^x t |f'_{r,s}(t)| dt = O(\beta^{-r-a}) \cdot \min(1, x \beta^a).$

Now we shall apply (6) to $f_{r,s}(x)$, then we have

$$\begin{split} f_{r,s}(m)\phi(m) &= O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot m f_{r,s}(m) = O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot \beta^{-r-a} \min(1, m\beta^a) , \\ \int_2^m f'_{r,s}(x)\phi(x) dx &= \int_2^{m^{1/s}} + \int_{m^{1/s}}^m \\ &= O(\beta^{-r} m^{1/3}) + O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot \beta^{-r-a} \min(1, m\beta^a) \end{split}$$

and finally

$$\int_{2}^{m^{1/3}} \frac{f_{r,s}(x)}{\log x} dx = O(\beta^{-r} m^{1/3}).$$

Hence we have uniformly in β and m

$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^{rk}}{(e^{\beta p^k} - 1)^s} = \int_{m^{1/s}}^m \frac{x^{rk}}{(e^{\beta x^k} - 1)^s \log x} dx + O(m^{1/3} \beta^{-r})$$

(7)
$$+O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot \beta^{-r-a} \min(1, m\beta^a).$$

2. From now on we shall assume that n and m are sufficiently large and $n^{1/k} \ge m$. We shall first prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. If α is the root of equation

$$n=\sum_{p\leq m}\frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k}-1}$$
,

then

(8)
$$\frac{1}{3n} \min \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \frac{m}{\log m} \right\} \leq \alpha$$
$$\leq \frac{6(2+k)}{n} \min \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \frac{m}{\log m} \right\}.$$

PROOF. We apply (7) to the case r=s=1 and put

$$\beta = \frac{b}{n} \min \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \frac{m}{\log m} \right\} \qquad (b > 0).$$

Then we have $\beta = O(n^{-k/(k+1)})$, therefore

(9)
$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\beta p^k} - 1} = \int_{m^{1/s}}^m \frac{x^k}{(e^{\beta x^k} - 1) \log x} dx + \frac{1}{\beta^{1+a}} \min(1, m\beta^a) \cdot O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}).$$

Since

$$te^{t/2} \leq e^t - 1 \leq te^t$$
,
$$\int_0^t e^{-u} u^{a-1} du \leq \min(\Gamma(a), kt^a),$$

$$\int_0^t e^{-u} u^{a-1} du \geq \int_0^{\min(1,t)} (1-u) u^{a-1} du \geq \frac{k}{2} \min(1, t^a)$$

for $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_{m^{1/6}}^{m} \frac{x^{k}}{(e^{\beta x^{k}} - 1)\log x} dx \le \frac{3}{\beta \log m} \int_{0}^{m} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} x^{k}} dx$$

$$= \frac{3 \cdot 2^{a} a}{\beta^{1+a} \log m} \int_{0}^{\frac{\beta}{2} m^{k}} e^{-u} u^{a-1} du \le \frac{6}{\beta^{1+a} \log m} \min(1, m\beta^{a})$$

and

$$\int_{m^{1/s}}^{m} \frac{x^{k}}{(e^{\beta x^{k}} - 1)\log x} dx \ge \frac{1}{\beta \log m} \int_{m^{1/s}}^{m} e^{-\beta x^{k}} dx$$

$$= \frac{a}{\beta^{1+a} \log m} \int_{\beta m^{k/3}}^{\beta m^{k}} e^{-u} u^{a-1} du \ge \frac{1}{2\beta^{1+a} \log m} \min(1, m\beta^{a}) - \frac{m^{1/3}}{\beta \log m}.$$

Thus we have

On the partitions of a number into the powers of prime numbers.

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{2\beta^{1+a}\log m} (1+\varphi_1)\min(1, m\beta^a) \leq \sum_{p\leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\beta p^k}-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{6}{\beta^{1+a}\log m} (1+\varphi_2)\min(1, m\beta^a),$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}})$$
, $\varphi_2 = O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}})$.

Now, if

$$\left(\frac{n}{\log^k n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \geq \frac{m}{\log m}$$
,

then

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{1+a}\log m}\min(1, m\beta^a) \leq \frac{6m}{\beta\log m} = \frac{6n}{b}.$$

On the other hand, if

$$\left(\frac{n}{\log^k n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} < \frac{m}{\log m},$$

then

$$rac{6}{eta^{1+a}\log m}\min(1, meta^a) \leq rac{6}{eta^{1+a}\log m} = rac{6n\log n}{b^{1+a}\log m} \leq rac{6(2+k)n}{b^{1+a}}$$
 ,

since it follows from (11) that

$$\log m > \log m - \log \log m > \frac{1}{k+1} (\log n - k \log \log n) > \frac{1}{k+2} \log n$$

for large n. Furthermore we see that

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{\log m} \min(1, m eta^a) & \geq rac{\min(1, b^a)}{n^a} \min \left\{ rac{n^a}{\log n}, \left(rac{m}{\log m}
ight)^{a(k+1)}
ight\} \ & = rac{\min(1, b^a)}{n^a} \left(rac{n eta}{b}
ight)^{1+a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have from (10)

$$(12) \quad \frac{\min(1,b^a)}{2b^{1+a}} \, n(1+\varphi_1) \leq \sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\beta p^k} - 1} \leq 6 \, \max\left(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{2+k}{b^{1+a}}\right) n(1+\varphi_2) \,,$$

which shows that we have for large n and m

T. Mitsut

$$\sum_{p \le m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\beta p^k} - 1} \le n$$

if we put b=6(2+k), and on the other hand

$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\beta p^k} - 1} \geq n$$

if we put b=1/3. Our lemma is thereby proved. REMARK. We have from (8)

(13)
$$c_1 n \alpha^a \leq \frac{1}{\alpha \log m} \min(1, m \alpha^a) \leq c_2 n \alpha^a.$$

Furthermore, we may assume that $n\alpha$ is sufficiently large.

Now we shall consider

$$egin{aligned} I_1 = & \int_{- heta_0}^{ heta_0} rac{G(e^{-lpha+2\pi i heta})}{G(e^{-lpha})} \, e^{-2\pi in heta} d heta \ = & \int_{- heta_0}^{ heta_0} \expiggl\{ -\sum_{p \geq m} \log rac{e^{lpha p^k} - e^{2\pi i heta p^k}}{e^{lpha p^k} - 1} - 2\pi in heta iggr] d heta \;. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the sum in this integrand into two parts,

$$(14) \sum_{p \leq m} = \sum_{p \leq m} + \sum_{m$$

where $m_1 = \min(m, \lfloor n^{2/(2k+1)} \rfloor)$. The second sum in (14) is empty if $m \le n^{2/(2k+1)}$. When it is not empty, $m > n^{2/(2k+1)}$ implies that $\alpha \ge c(n \log n)^{-k/(k+1)}$, so that $\alpha m_1^k \ge c(n^{1/(2k+1)} (\log n)^{-1})^{k/(k+1)} \ge c(n\alpha)^{k/(2k+2)}$. Therefore

$$\sum_{m_1$$

Since $|\theta p^k| \leq \theta_0 m_1^k \leq n^{-1} (n\alpha)^{(k+2)/(2k+3)} \cdot n^{2k/(2k+1)} \leq c (\log n)^{-k/(2k+3)}$ and

$$\frac{e^{2\pi i\theta p^k}-1}{e^{\alpha p^k}-1}=O\left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\right)=O\left(\frac{\theta_0}{\alpha}\right)=O((n\alpha)^{-\frac{k+1}{2k+3}})$$

for $p \le m_1$, we have the following expansion of the first sum in (14);

(15)
$$\sum_{p \leq m_1} \log \frac{e^{\alpha p^k} - e^{2\pi i \theta p^k}}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} = \sum_{p \leq m_1} \log \left(1 - \frac{e^{2\pi i \theta p^k} - 1}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{p \leq m_1} \left\{ -\frac{e^{2\pi i \theta p^k} - 1}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} - \frac{(e^{2\pi i \theta p^k} - 1)^2}{2(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^2} + O\left(\frac{\theta^3 p^{3k}}{(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^3}\right) \right\} \\ &= -2\pi i \theta \sum_{p \leq m_1} \frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} + 2\pi^2 \theta^2 \sum_{p \leq m_1} \frac{p^{2k} e^{\alpha p^k}}{(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^2} + O\left(\theta^3 \sum_{l=1}^3 \sum_{p \leq m_1} \frac{p^{3k}}{(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^l}\right). \end{split}$$

It follows from (7) and (13) that this error term is

$$O(\theta_0^3 \alpha^{-3-a} (\log m)^{-1}) \cdot \min(1, m\alpha^a) = O(\theta_0^3 \alpha^{-2} n) = O((n\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{2k+3}}).$$

Furthermore we have, by the definitions of α and A_2 ,

$$(16) \sum_{p \leq m_1} \frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} = \left\{ \sum_{p \leq m} - \sum_{m_1$$

$$(17) \sum_{p \leq m_1} \frac{p^{2k} e^{\alpha p^k}}{(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^2} = \left\{ \sum_{p \leq m} - \sum_{m_1$$

Collecting (15), (16) and (17), we have

$$I_1 = \int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} e^{-2\pi^2 A_2 \theta^2} d\theta \{1 + O((n\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{2k+3}})\}$$
.

In this right hand side,

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\theta_{\circ}}^{\theta_{\circ}} & e^{-2\pi^{2}A_{s}\theta^{2}} d\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^{2}A_{2}}} \int_{-x_{\circ}}^{x_{\circ}} e^{-u^{2}} du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^{2}A_{2}}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}} du - 2 \int_{x_{\circ}}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}} du \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi A_{2}}} \left(1 + O(e^{-x_{\circ}^{2}}) \right) , \end{split}$$

where $x_0^2 = 2\pi^2 A_2 \theta_0^2$. Since

$$rac{p^{2k}e^{lpha p^k}}{(e^{lpha p^k}-1)^2} = rac{p^{2k}}{e^{lpha p^k}-1} + rac{p^{2k}}{(e^{lpha p^k}-1)^2}$$
 ,

we can apply the formula (7) to A_2 , and we have

(18)
$$\frac{c_3}{\alpha^{2+a}\log m}\min(1, m\alpha^a) \leq A_2 \leq \frac{c_4}{\alpha^{2+a}\log m}\min(1, m\alpha^a).$$

Hence by (13)

$$x_0^2 \ge c\theta_0^2 n\alpha^{-1} \ge c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+3}}$$
.

Thus we obtain the estimation of I_1 ;

(19)
$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi A_2}} \left\{ 1 + O((n\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{2k+3}}) \right\}.$$

3. We put

$$H(\theta) = \left| \frac{G(e^{-\alpha + 2\pi i\theta})}{G(e^{-\alpha})} \right| = \exp\left(-\sum_{p \leq m} \log \left| \frac{e^{\alpha p^k} - e^{2\pi i\theta p^k}}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} \right| \right)$$

and assume first that $\theta_0 < \theta \le \theta_1 = (n\alpha)^{-k/(2k+3)}$.

Obviously we have

(20)
$$H(\theta) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \leq m_s} \log\left(1 + \frac{2e^{\alpha p^k}(1 - \cos 2\pi\theta p^k)}{(e^{\alpha p^k} - 1)^2}\right)\right\},$$

where $m_2 = \min(m, [\alpha^{-a}], [(2\theta)^{-a}])$. Since

$$1-\cos 2\pi heta p^k \geq 8 heta^2 p^{2k}, \quad rac{1}{e^{lpha p^k}-1} \geq rac{1}{2lpha p^k}$$

for $p \leq m_2$, it follows from (20) that

$$H(\theta) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi(m_2)}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{4\theta^2}{\alpha^2}\right)\right\}$$
.

If $\theta \leq \alpha$, then

$$\frac{\pi(m_2)}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{4\theta^2}{\alpha^2}\right) \ge c\pi(m_2)\frac{\theta^2}{\alpha^2} \ge \frac{cm_2}{\log m_2} \cdot \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha^2}$$

$$\ge \frac{c}{\log m}\min\left(m,\frac{1}{(2\alpha)^a}\right)\frac{\theta^2}{\alpha^2} \ge \frac{c}{\log m}\min(1,m\alpha^a)\frac{\theta_0^2}{\alpha^{2+a}} \ge c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+3}}.$$

Hence

(21)
$$\int_{\theta_0}^{\infty} H(\theta) d\theta = O(\alpha) \cdot \exp\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+3}}\}.$$

On the other hand, if $\theta_1 \ge \theta \ge \alpha$, then

$$\log\Bigl(1+rac{4 heta^2}{lpha^2}\Bigr) {\ge \lograc{2 heta}{lpha}}$$
 ,

so we have, putting $A = \pi(m_2)/2$,

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\theta_{1}} H(\theta) d\theta \leq \int_{\alpha}^{\theta_{1}} \exp\left(-A \log \frac{2\theta}{\alpha}\right) d\theta = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{2}^{2\theta_{1}/\alpha} e^{-A \log x} dx$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{2(A-1)} \left\{ e^{(1-A)\log 2} - e^{(1-A)\log(2\theta_{1}/\alpha)} \right\}$$

$$\leq c\alpha \left\{e^{(1-A)\log 2} - e^{(1-A)\log(2\theta_1/\alpha)}\right\}.$$

Since

$$m_2 = \min(m, [(2\theta)^{-a}]) \ge \min(m, (3\theta_1)^{-a}) \ge c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+3}}$$

and θ_1/α is large, we have

(22)
$$\int_{\alpha}^{\theta_1} H(\theta) d\theta \leq c\alpha \exp\{-c\pi(m_2)\} \leq c\alpha \exp\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+4}}\}.$$

Thus we have by (21) and (22)

(23)
$$\int_{\theta_n}^{\theta_1} H(\theta) d\theta = O(\alpha) \cdot \exp\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+4}}\}.$$

Finally we shall estimate $H(\theta)$ for $\theta_1 < \theta \le 1/2$. Our starting point is the following expansion;

$$\log G(e^{-\alpha+2\pi i\theta}) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{n \leq m} e^{-\alpha r p^k + 2\pi i \theta r p^k}.$$

Put now

$$S_{\theta}(t) = \sum_{p \leq t} e^{2\pi i \theta p^k}$$

for integer $t \ge 1$, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{p \leq m} e^{-\alpha r p^k + 2\pi i \theta r p^k} &= \sum_{t=2}^m (S_{r\theta}(t) - S_{r\theta}(t-1)) e^{-\alpha r t^k} \\ &= \sum_{t=2}^{m-1} S_{r\theta}(t) (e^{-\alpha r t^k} - e^{-\alpha r (t+1)^k}) + S_{r\theta}(m) e^{-\alpha r m^k}. \end{split}$$

Since $S_0(t) = \pi(t)$, we have

$$\log H(\theta) = \Re \log G(e^{-\alpha+2\pi i\theta}) - \log G(e^{-\alpha}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} g(r),$$

where

$$g(r) = \sum_{t=2}^{m-1} \{\Re S_{r\theta}(t) - \pi(t)\} (e^{-\alpha r t^k} - e^{-\alpha r (t+1)^k}) + \{\Re S_{r\theta}(m) - \pi(m)\} e^{-\alpha r m^k}.$$

The following lemma follows from the results of Vinogradov and Hua.

LEMMA 2. Let N be sufficiently large integer and $1/2 \ge |\theta| \ge (\log N)^{\sigma} \cdot N^{-k}$, where $\sigma = 2^{6k+3}$, then we have

(24)
$$\Re S_{\theta}(N) - \pi(N) \leq -c \frac{N}{\log N}.$$

PROOF. Since $S_{\theta'}(N) = S_{\theta}(N)$ for $\theta' = \theta + 1$, it is sufficient to prove (24) for θ such that

$$\frac{(\log N)^{\sigma}}{N^k} \leq \theta \leq 1 - \frac{(\log N)^{\sigma}}{N^k}.$$

Putting $\tau = N^k(\log N)^{-\sigma}$, we pick up the subintervals

$$I_{h,q} = \left[rac{h}{q} - rac{1}{ au} , rac{h}{q} + rac{1}{ au}
ight]$$

from the interval $[\tau^{-1}, 1-\tau^{-1}]$, where h and q are integers such that $1 \le h < q \le (\log N)^{\sigma}$, (h, q) = 1.

If θ does not belong to any $I_{h,q}$, then we have uniformly in θ

(26)
$$S_{\theta}(N) = O\left(\frac{N}{\log^3 N}\right)$$

(Hua [2]).

If $\theta \in I_{h,q}$, then θ can be written in the form

$$\theta = \frac{h}{q} + z, \quad |z| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}$$

and we have

(27)
$$S_{\theta}(N) = \frac{W_{h,q}}{\varphi(q)} \int_{2}^{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i z x^{k}}}{\log x} dx + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^{3} N}\right),$$

where

$$W_{h,q} = \sum_{\substack{l=1 \ (l,q)=1}}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{h}{q} l^k}$$

and the constants in the error term of (27) are independent of q and h. (This formula (27) can be easily obtained in the same manner as in Vinogradov [5]). Since $W_{h,q} = O(q^{3/4})$ (Hua [1], [2]) and $1/\varphi(q) = O(q^{-7/8})$ (Landau [3], Satz 245), there exists an integer q_1 depending on k alone and

$$\frac{|W_{h,q}|}{\varphi(q)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for $q \ge q_1$. Therefore, noting that $|W_{h,q}| < \varphi(q)$ for q > 2, we see that

$$b_0 = \max_{q > 2} \frac{|W_{h,q}|}{\varphi(q)} \leq \max_{q_1 > q > 2} \frac{|W_{h,q}|}{\varphi(q)} < 1$$

and consequently

$$|S_{\theta}(N)| \leq \frac{1+b_0}{2} \cdot \frac{N}{\log N}$$

for $\theta \in I_{h,q}$ with $q \ge 3$.

When $\theta = 1/2 + z$, $|z| \le \tau^{-1}$, then

(29)
$$\Re S_{\theta}(N) = -\int_{2}^{N} \frac{\cos 2\pi z x^{k}}{\log x} dx + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^{3} N}\right),$$

since $W_{1,2} = -1$, $\varphi(2) = 1$.

Assume first $0 \le z \le (4N^k)^{-1}$, then $\cos 2\pi z x^k \ge 0$ for $2 \le x \le N$, which means that

$$\Re S_{\theta}(N) \leq \frac{N}{\log^2 N}$$

for large N.

Next assume $z > (4N^k)^{-1}$. Since $n^{a-1}/\log u$ is a decreasing function of u for u > 1, we have

(31)
$$-\int_{2}^{N} \frac{\cos 2\pi z x^{k}}{\log x} dx = -\int_{2^{k}}^{N^{k}} \frac{u^{a-1} \cos 2\pi z u}{\log u} du$$

$$\leq -\int_{\frac{1}{4z}}^{\xi} \frac{u^{a-1} \cos 2\pi z u}{\log u} du ,$$

where $\xi = \min\left(N^k, \frac{3}{4z}\right)$. Furthermore, the application of the second mean value theorem for integral to the right hand side of (31) gives

$$-\int_{\frac{1}{4z}}^{\xi} \frac{u^{a-1} \cos 2\pi z u}{\log u} du = -\frac{(4z)^{1-a}}{\log \frac{1}{4z}} \int_{\frac{1}{4z}}^{\eta} \cos 2\pi z u du$$

$$= \frac{4^{1-a}}{\log \frac{1}{4z}} \cdot \frac{1 - \sin 2\pi z \eta}{2\pi z^a} \le \frac{4^{1-a}}{\log(\tau/4)} \cdot \frac{1 - \sin 2\pi z \eta}{2\pi z^a},$$

where $\eta \leq \xi$.

Now, if $N^k \leq (2z)^{-1}$, then $2\pi z \eta \leq \pi$, so that

$$\frac{1-\sin 2\pi z\eta}{2\pi z^a} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi z^a} \leq \frac{4^a}{2\pi} N.$$

On the other hand, $N^k > (2z)^{-1}$ implies that

$$\frac{1-\sin 2\pi z\eta}{2\pi z^a} \leq \frac{1}{\pi z^a} < \frac{2^a}{\pi} N.$$

Thus we have

$$(32) \qquad -\int_{\frac{1}{4z}}^{\xi} \frac{u^{a-1}\cos 2\pi z u}{\log u} du$$

$$\leq \frac{2^{2-a}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{N}{k \log N - \log(4(\log N)^{\sigma})} \leq \frac{2N}{3 \log N}$$

and it follows from (30) and (32) combined with (29) and (31) that

(33)
$$\Re S_{\theta}(N) \le \frac{3N}{4 \log N}$$

for $\theta \in I_{1,2}$.

Collecting the results (26), (28) and (33), we see that there exists a positive constant $c_0 < 1$ independent of N such that

(34)
$$\Re S_{\theta}(N) \leq c_0 \frac{N}{\log N}$$

for sufficiently large N and $1/2 \ge |\theta| \ge \tau^{-1}$.

Our lemma follows then from (34) at once.

Now we shall apply Lemma 2 to the estimation of $\log H(\theta)$. Let M_{θ} be the set of integers $r \ge 1$ such that $\min(r\theta - [r\theta], 1 + [r\theta] - r\theta) \ge m_3^{-k}(\log m_3)^{\sigma}$, where we put $m_3 = [m^{1/(2k+1)}]$. Since m is sufficiently large, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\Re S_{r\theta}(t) - \pi(t) \leq -c \, \frac{t}{\log t}$$

for $t \geq m_3$ and $r \in M_6$.

Hence we have for $r \in M_{\theta}$

$$g(r) \leq \sum_{t=m_{s}}^{m-1} \{\Re S_{r\theta}(t) - \pi(t)\} (e^{-\alpha rt^{k}} - e^{-\alpha r(t+1)^{k}}) + \{\Re S_{r\theta}(m) - \pi(m)\} e^{-\alpha rm^{k}}$$

$$\leq -\frac{c}{\log m} \left\{ \sum_{t=m_{s}}^{m-1} t(e^{-\alpha rt^{k}} - e^{-\alpha r(t+1)^{k}}) + me^{-\alpha rm^{k}} \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{c}{\log m} \left(m_{s} e^{-\alpha rm_{s}^{k}} + \sum_{t=m_{s}+1}^{m} e^{-\alpha rt^{k}} \right) \leq -\frac{c}{\log m} \int_{m_{s}}^{m} e^{-\alpha rx^{k}} dx$$

and consequently

(35)
$$\log H(\theta) \leq \sum_{r \in M_{\theta}} \frac{1}{r} g(r)$$

$$\leq -\frac{c}{\log m} \sum_{r \in M_{\theta}} \frac{1}{r} \int_{m_{\theta}}^{m} e^{-\alpha r x^{k}} dx.$$

Since

$$|\theta| \ge \theta_1 \ge c \left(\frac{\log m}{m}\right)^{\frac{k}{2k+3}} \ge \frac{(\log m_3)^{\sigma}}{m_3^k}$$

for large m, it follows that $1 \in M_{\theta}$. Therefore we have

(36)
$$\log H(\theta) \leq -\frac{c}{\log m} \int_{m_s}^{m} e^{-\alpha x^k} dx \leq -\frac{c}{\alpha^a \log m} \min(1, m\alpha^a)$$
$$\leq -cn\alpha \leq -c(n\alpha)^{2/3} - \log n$$

for $m \ge n^{\frac{1}{4k}}$.

When $m < n^{\frac{1}{4k}}$, we must consider the following inequality derived from (35):

$$\log H(\theta) \leq -\frac{c}{\log m} \sum_{r \in M'} \frac{1}{r} \int_{m_s}^{m} e^{-\alpha r x^k} dx,$$

where M' is the set of r such that $r \in M_0$, $1 \le r \le (m\alpha^a)^{-1}$. (Note that $m\alpha^a$ is very small in this case). Since $\alpha rm^k \le 1$ for $r \in M'$, we have

(37)
$$\log H(\theta) \leq -\frac{c}{\log m} \sum_{r \in M'} \frac{1}{r} e^{-1} (m - m_3) \leq -\frac{cm}{\log m} \sum_{r \in M'} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$= -\frac{cm}{\log m} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor (m_{\infty}a^{\alpha})^{-1} \rfloor} \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{r \in M''} \frac{1}{r} \right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{cm}{\log m} \left(\log \frac{1}{m\alpha^{\alpha}} - \sum_{r \in M''} \frac{1}{r} \right),$$

where M'' is the set of r such that $r \in M_{\theta}$, $1 \le r \le (m\alpha^a)^{-1}$.

We shall consider here Farey dissection of order $\tau_1/4$, where $\tau_1 = m_3^k (\log m_3)^{-\sigma}$. Let h/q, h'/q' be the consecutive points of this dissection such that $h/q \ge \theta \ge h'/q'$. We see then hq' - h'q = 1 and $q \ne q'$. Furthermore $q, q' \ge 2$, since

$$\theta \ge \theta_1 \ge c \left(\frac{\log m}{m}\right)^{\frac{k}{2k+3}} \ge \frac{4}{\tau_1}$$

442 T. Mitsui

for large m.

Now we shall define, in x-y plane, for a pair of non-negative integers s and t, a parallelogram B(s,t) and two segments I and I' contained in B(s,t) as follows;

$$B(s, t) = \{(uq + vq', uh + vh'); s \le u < s+1, t \le v < t+1\},$$

$$I = \{((s+1)q + tq', (s+1)h + th' - z); 0 < z \le \tau_1^{-1}\},$$

$$I' = \{(sq + (t+1)q', sh + (t+1)h' + z); 0 < z \le \tau_1^{-1}\}.$$

The area of B(s, t) is equal to 1 and only one point with integral coordinates is contained in B(s, t). Furthermore we denote by l_{θ} a straight line $y = \theta x$.

Let $(b, \theta b)$, $(b+1, \theta(b+1)), \dots, (b', \theta b')$ be all points in $B(s, t) \cap l_{\theta}$, of which x-coordinates are integers. This set may be empty. When it is not empty, we see that $r \notin M_{\theta}$ for $b \leq r \leq b'$ if and only if r satisfies one of the following three conditions;

(i)
$$r=b=sq+tq'$$
.

(ii)
$$r=(s+1)q+tq'$$
, $(r,\theta r) \in I \cap l_{\theta}$.

(iii)
$$r = sq + (t+1)q'$$
, $(r, \theta r) \in I' \cap I_{\theta}$.

We shall show here that l_{θ} cannot have common points with I and I' at the same time. If there exist the points $(x, y) \in I \cap l_{\theta}$ and $(x', y') \in I' \cap l_{\theta}$, then

$$\theta = \frac{y - y'}{x - x'} = \frac{h - h' - z - z'}{q - q'},$$

where $0 < z, z' \le \tau_1^{-1}$. Since $(z+z')\max(q, q') \le 2\tau_1^{-1} \cdot \tau_1/4 = 1/2$ and hq' - h'q = 1, we have

$$\theta - \frac{h}{q} = \frac{1 - (z + z')q}{q(q - q')} > 0$$
 (when $q > q'$),

$$\frac{h'}{q'} - \theta = \frac{1 - (z + z')q'}{q'(q' - q)} > 0$$
 (when $q' > q$),

which is contrary to $h/q \ge \theta \ge h'/q'$. Therefore at least one of $l_{\theta} \cap I$ and $l_{\theta} \cap I'$ is empty. It follows then that the number of r such that $r \notin M_{\theta}$, $b \le r \le b'$ is at most two. Furthermore we have for these $r \notin M_{\theta}$

$$(38) r \ge \begin{cases} (s+t)q_0 & \text{(when } r=b=sq+tq'), \\ (s+t+1)q_0 & \text{(when } (r,\theta r) \in I \text{ or } I'), \end{cases}$$

where $q_0 = \min(q, q') \ge 2$.

Let (s_1, t_1) , (s_1, t_2) ,..., (s_μ, t_μ) be all pairs of integers with $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \cdots \leq s_\mu$ and $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_\mu$, for which $B(s_i, t_i) \cap l_\theta$ are not empty and $s_i q + t_i q' \leq (m\alpha^a)^{-1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu)$. Then we have from (38)

(39)
$$\sum_{r \in M''} \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \frac{1}{s_i + t_i + 1} + \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{s_j + t_j},$$

where J is the set of integers j such that

$$1 \leq j \leq \mu$$
, $(s_j q + t_j q', s_j h + t_j h') \subset l_\theta$.

After simple examination, we see that

$$s_{i+1} = s_i + 1$$
, $t_{i+1} = t_i + 1$ (when $i+1 \in J$)

(40)

$$s_{i+1} + t_{i+1} = s_i + t_i + 1$$
 (when $i+1 \oplus J$)

for $i=1, 2, \dots, \mu-1$. Since $s_{\mu}+t_{\mu} \leq \nu = [(q_{0}m\alpha^{a})^{-1}]$, it follows from (39) and (40) that

(41)
$$\sum_{r \in M''} \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{s=1}^{\nu+1} \frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{1}{q_0} (\log(\nu+1)+1)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1}{2m\alpha^a}+1\right) + \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{2}{3} \log\frac{1}{m\alpha^a} .$$

Considering that m is sufficiently large, we have by (41) and (37)

$$\log H(\theta) \le -\frac{cm}{\log m} \log \frac{1}{m\alpha^a} \le -\frac{cm}{\log m} \log \frac{n^a \log^a m}{m^{1+a}}$$
$$\le -\frac{cm}{\log m} \log n \le -\frac{cm}{\log m} - \log n$$

for $m < n^{\frac{1}{4k}}$.

Thus we have, by (36) and the result just proved,

$$(42) \qquad \log H(\theta) \leq -c(n\alpha)^{2/3} - \log n$$

for $\theta_1 < \theta \le 1/2$. Furthermore it follows from (23) and (42) that

$$\int_{\theta_n}^{1/2} H(\theta) d\theta = O\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \exp\left\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+4}}\right\}$$

$$=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\cdot\exp\left\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+4}}\right\}.$$

We have similar result for $-\theta_0 > \theta \ge -1/2$ and finally

(43)
$$|I_2| \leq \left\{ \int_{\theta_0}^{1/2} + \int_{-1/2}^{-\theta_0} H(\theta) d\theta = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \exp\left\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+4}}\right\} .$$

Since we have $\sqrt{A_2} = O(\sqrt{n/\alpha}) = O(n)$ by (18) and (13), so it follows from (19) and (43) that

(44)
$$I_{1}+I_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi A_{2}}}\left\{1+O((n\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{2k+3}})\right\}+O(n^{-1})\cdot\exp\{-c(n\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2k+4}}\}$$

$$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi A_{2}}}\left\{1+O((n\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{2k+3}})\right\}.$$

Putting this result (44) in (5), we now complete the proof of our Theorem.

4. We shall now consider special cases. First assume that $m \ge (n \log^2 n)^{1/(k+1)}$, then

$$\frac{m}{\log m} \ge \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \ c(n \log n)^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \ge \alpha \ge c(n \log n)^{-\frac{k}{k+1}},$$

$$\alpha m^k \geq c (\log n)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}$$
.

Applying (7) to the case r=s=1 and $\beta=\alpha$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} = \int_2^m \frac{x^k}{(e^{\alpha x^k} - 1)\log x} dx + O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot \alpha^{-1-a},$$

where

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{x^{k}}{(e^{\alpha x^{k}}-1)\log x} dx = \int_{2}^{\alpha^{-a/2}} + \int_{\alpha^{-a/2}}^{m} = O(\alpha^{-1-a/2}) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{1+a}} \int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha m^{k}} \frac{u^{a}}{(e^{u}-1)\log(u/\alpha)} du.$$

We transform the integral in the right hand side as follows;

$$\int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha m^k} = \int_{\alpha^{-1/2}}^{\infty} + \int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha^{-1/2}} - \int_{\alpha m^k}^{\infty} = O(e^{-c\alpha^{-1/2}}) + \int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha^{-1/2}} + O(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha m^k})$$

$$= O(\exp(-c(\log n)^{\frac{k}{k+1}})) + \int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha^{-1/2}} \frac{u^{\alpha}}{(e^u - 1)\log(u/\alpha)} du.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\log(u/\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\log 1/\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\left| \frac{\log u}{\log \alpha} \right| \right) \right)$$

for $\alpha^{1/2} \leq u \leq \alpha^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha^{-1/2}} \frac{u^{\alpha}}{(e^{u}-1)\log(u/\alpha)} du = \frac{1}{\log 1/\alpha} \int_{\alpha^{1/2}}^{\alpha^{-1/2}} \frac{u^{\alpha}}{e^{u}-1} du + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \alpha|^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\log 1/\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\alpha}}{e^{u}-1} du \left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\zeta(1+\alpha)}{\log 1/\alpha} \left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{x^{k}}{(e^{\alpha x^{k}} - 1)\log x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(1+a)\zeta(1+a)}{\alpha^{1+a}\log 1/\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\zeta(1+\alpha)}{\alpha^{1+\alpha} \log 1/\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) \right).$$

As a consequence of our definition of α , it must satisfy the relation

$$n = \frac{\Gamma(1+a)\zeta(1+a)}{\alpha^{1+a}\log 1/\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right)$$
,

which gives an asymptotic expansion of α stated in Corollary 1. Now applying (6) and (7) to A_1 and A_2 , we have generally

(45)
$$A_{1} = -\int_{2}^{m} \frac{\log(1 - e^{-\alpha x^{k}})}{\log x} dx + O(|\log(1 - e^{-\alpha m^{k}})| m e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) + O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot \alpha^{-a} \min(1, m\alpha^{a}).$$

(46)
$$A_{2} = \int_{2}^{m} \frac{x^{2k} e^{\alpha x^{k}}}{(e^{\alpha x^{k}} - 1)^{2} \log x} dx + O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot \alpha^{-2-a} \min(1, m\alpha^{a}).$$

After similar calculations as above, we have

$$-\int_{2}^{m} \frac{\log(1-e^{-\alpha x^{k}})}{\log x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\zeta(1+\alpha)}{\alpha^{a} \log 1/\alpha} \left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right).$$

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{x^{2k} e^{\alpha x^{k}}}{(e^{\alpha x^{k}}-1)^{2} \log x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(2+\alpha)\zeta(1+\alpha)}{\alpha^{2+a} \log 1/\alpha} \left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right).$$

446 T. Mitsui

Therefore

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= \frac{\Gamma(1+a)\zeta(1+a)}{\alpha^a \log 1/\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \\ &= \left\{\Gamma(2+a)\zeta(1+a)\right\}^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left(1 - \frac{k \log \log n}{(k+1)\log n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right). \\ A_2 &= \frac{\Gamma(2+a)\zeta(1+a)}{\alpha^{2+a}\log 1/\alpha} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \\ &= (1+a)n^{\frac{2k+1}{k+1}} \left\{\frac{\log n}{\Gamma(2+a)\zeta(1+a)}\right\}^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \left(1 + \frac{k \log \log n}{(k+1)\log n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain Corollary 1.

Assume now $m \leq n^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$, then

$$\frac{m}{\log m} \leq \left(\frac{n}{\log^k n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \quad \alpha = O\left(\frac{m}{n \log m}\right), \quad \alpha m^k = O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right).$$

In this case, we have

$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} = \int_2^m \frac{x^k}{(e^{\alpha x^k} - 1) \log x} dx + O(e^{-c\sqrt{\log m}}) \cdot m\alpha^{-1},$$

where

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{x^{k}}{(e^{\alpha x^{k}} - 1)\log x} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{2}^{m} \frac{1 + O(\alpha x^{k})}{\log x} dx$$
$$= \frac{m}{\alpha \log m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right).$$

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{p \leq m} \frac{p^k}{e^{\alpha p^k} - 1} = \frac{m}{\alpha \log m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right)$$

and consequently

$$\alpha = \frac{m}{n \log m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right)$$
.

Since

$$-\int_{2}^{m} \frac{\log(1 - e^{-\alpha x^{k}})}{\log x} dx = -\int_{2}^{m} \frac{\log(\alpha x^{k}) + O(1)}{\log x} dx$$

$$= \frac{m}{\log m} \log \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right)\right) - km,$$

$$\int_{2}^{m} \frac{x^{2k} e^{\alpha x^{k}}}{(e^{\alpha x^{k}} - 1)^{2} \log x} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \int_{2}^{m} \frac{1 + O(\alpha x^{k})}{\log x} dx$$

$$= \frac{m}{\alpha^{2} \log m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right)\right),$$

we have by (45) and (46)

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= \frac{m}{\log m} \log \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k \log m}{\log 1/\alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{m}{\log m} \log \frac{n \log m}{m^{k+1}} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right), \\ A_2 &= \frac{m}{\alpha^2 \log m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right) = \frac{n^2 \log m}{m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log m}\right) \right). \end{split}$$

Corollary 2 is thereby proved.

University of Tokyo.

References

- [1] L.K. Hua, On representations of numbers as the sums of the powers of primes, Math. Zeit., 44 (1938), 335-346.
- [2] —, Additive prime number theory, Moscow, 1947, (in Russian).
- [3] E. Landau, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, I, Leipzig, 1927.
- [4] G. Szekeres, Some asymptotic formulae in the theory of partitions, II, Q. J. M., 4 (1953), 96-111.
- [5] I. M. Vinogradov, Some theorems concerning the theory of primes, Rec. Math., 2 (1937), 179-195.

Note

An asymptotic formula for T(n,n;1) was obtained by Haselgrave and Temperley (Cambridge Phil. Soc., 50 (1954), 225-241). Their method is very different from ours. They make use of a function with two variables as their generating function and contour integrals.