

Betti numbers and exact differential forms.

By Yasurô TOMONAGA

(Received September 19, 1951)

In this paper we consider an orientable compact positive definite Riemannian space R_n . The relations between exact differential forms and Betti numbers are known as de Rham's theorem. We shall give some applications of this theorem.

In § 1 and § 2, we shall find the conditions that the Betti number be greater than a certain number. In § 3, we consider the conditions that the equations of harmonic tensors become some total differential equations which enable us to evaluate the Betti numbers.

§ 1. LEMMA 1. *Let $A_{i(1)\dots i(p)}$ and $C^{i(1)\dots i(p)}$ be skew-symmetric and satisfy the conditions*

$$(1.1) \quad A_{i(1)\dots i(p)} = B_{[i(1)\dots i(p-1); i(p)]}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad C^{i(1)\dots i(p)}; i(p) = 0,$$

where $B^{i(1)\dots i(p-1)}$ is a certain tensor. Then it follows that

$$(1.3) \quad \int A_{i(1)\dots i(p)} C^{i(1)\dots i(p)} dv = 0,$$

where dv is the volume element and the integral extends over the whole space.

PROOF. By Green's theorem we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int (B_{i(1)\dots i(p-1)} C^{i(1)\dots i(p)}); i(p) dv = \int B_{i(1)\dots i(p-1); i(p)} C^{i(1)\dots i(p)} dv \\ &+ \int B_{i(1)\dots i(p-1)} C^{i(1)\dots i(p)}; i(p) dv = \int B_{[i(1)\dots i(p-1); i(p)]} C^{i(1)\dots i(p)} dv \\ &= \int A_{i(1)\dots i(p)} C^{i(1)\dots i(p)} dv. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. *Let $H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(p)}$ ($A=1, 2, \dots, s$) and $H_{(B)i(p+1)\dots i(n)}$ ($B=1, 2, \dots, t$) be exact and put*

$$(1.5) \quad a_{AB} = \int H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(p)} \epsilon^{i(1)\dots i(n)} H_{(B)i(p+1)\dots i(n)} dv = \int H_{(A)} \vee H_{(B)},$$

then the p -th Betti number exceeds the rank of the matrix

$$(1.6) \quad \| a_{AB} \|.$$

PROOF. By the assumption, $H_{(B)i(p+1)\dots i(n)}$'s are exact. Hence their dual tensors

$$C_{(B)}^{i(1)\dots i(p)} = \epsilon^{i(1)\dots i(n)} H_{(B)i(p+1)\dots i(n)}$$

satisfy

$$(1.7) \quad C_{(B)}^{i(1)\dots i(p)};_{i(p)} = 0. \quad (\text{Hodge [1]})$$

If any r tensors of $H_{(A)}$'s satisfy the relations of the form

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{A=A(1)}^{A(r)} P^{(A)} H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(p)} = B_{[i(1)\dots i(p-1); i(p)],}$$

where $P^{(A)}$'s are certain constants and B is a certain tensor, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{A=A(1)}^{A(r)} P^{(A)} \int H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(p)} C_{(B)}^{i(1)\dots i(p)} dv \\ &= \sum P^{(A)} \int H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(p)} \epsilon^{i(1)\dots i(n)} H_{(B)i(p+1)\dots i(n)} dv \\ &= \sum P_{(A)} a_{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence any minor determinant of degree r of the matrix $\| a_{AB} \|$ is zero, i. e. the rank of the matrix $\| a_{AB} \|$ is less than r .

Therefore, if the rank of $\| a_{AB} \|$ is r , there exists at least one set of tensors

$$H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(p)} \quad (A=A(1), \dots, A(r))$$

which does not satisfy any relation of the form (1.8).

Hence we have from de Rham's theorem that

$$B_p \geq r$$

where B_p denotes the p -th Betti number.

§ 2. By Bianchi's identity we can prove that the tensors

$$(2.1) \quad K_{2m} = R^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)i(2)} R^{a(2)}_{a(3)i(3)i(4)} \cdots R^{a(m)}_{a(1)i(2m-1)i(2m)} \quad (m \geq 2)$$

are exact. But we must remark that if the Riemannian space under

consideration is of class 1, the tensor K_{2m} 's are identically zero, for, in this case the curvature tensor takes the form

$$(2.2) \quad R^i{}_{jkl} = H^i{}_k H_{jl} - H^i{}_l H_{jk}.$$

Next we can prove that K_{4m+2} 's ($m \geq 1$) are identically zero, for example, as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_6 &= R^{a(1)}{}_{a(2)[i(1)i(2)} R^{a(2)}{}_{a(3)i(3)i(4)} R^{a(3)}{}_{a(1)i(5)i(6)} \\ &= R^{a(3)}{}_{a(1)[i(5)i(6)} R^{a(2)}{}_{a(3)i(3)i(4)} R^{a(1)}{}_{a(2)i(1)i(2)} \\ &= -R^{a(1)}{}_{a(3)[i(5)i(6)} R^{a(3)}{}_{a(2)i(3)i(4)} R^{a(2)}{}_{a(1)i(1)i(2)} \\ &= -R^{a(1)}{}_{a(3)[i(1)i(2)} R^{a(3)}{}_{a(2)i(3)i(4)} R^{a(2)}{}_{a(1)i(5)i(6)} \\ &= -K_6 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can find only the following exact tensors.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &K_4 \quad (\text{degree } 4), \\ &K_8, K_4 \times K_4 \quad (\text{degree } 8), \\ &K_{12}, K_8 \times K_4, K_4 \times K_4 \times K_4 \quad (\text{degree } 12), \end{aligned}$$

etc., where the symbols \times denote exterior products.

When $n=16$ we take as sets of matrices of the type (1.6) the followings:

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\int K_4 \vee K_{12} \quad \int K_4 \vee (K_8 \times K_4) \quad \int K_4 \vee (K_4 \times K_4 \times K_4) \right),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc} \int K_8 \vee K_8 & \int (K_4 \times K_4) \vee K_8 \\ \int K_8 \vee (K_4 \times K_4) & \int (K_4 \times K_4) \vee (K_4 \times K_4) \end{array} \right).$$

Therefore, if the rank of the matrix (2.5) is 1, we have

$$B_4 = B_{12} \geq 1.$$

If the rank of the matrix (2.6) is r (1 or 2), we have

$$B_8 \geq r.$$

In special cases we can find exact tensors other than (2.4).

(I) *The case in which $R^a{}_{i,jk;a} = 0$.*

In this case it holds that

$$(2.7) \quad R_{ij;k} - R_{ik;j} = 0.$$

Hence we have the following exact tensors :

$$(2.8) \quad K_4, L_4 \equiv R_{a[i} R^a_{b]jk} R^b_{l]} \quad (\text{degree } 4),$$

$$(2.9) \quad K_8, K_4 \times K_4, K_4 \times L_4, L_4 \times L_4, \\ L_8 \equiv R_{a(1)[i(1)} R^{a(1)}_{a(2)i(2)i(3)} \cdots R^{a(3)}_{a(4)i(6)i(7)} R^{a(4)}_{i(8)]} \quad (\text{degree } 8),$$

$$(2.10) \quad K_{12}, K_8 \times K_4, K_4 \times K_4 \times K_4, L_8 \times L_4, L_4 \times L_4 \times L_4, \\ K_8 \times L_4, K_4 \times L_4 \times L_4, K_4 \times L_8, L_4 \times K_4 \times K_4, \\ L_{12} \equiv R_{a(1)[i(1)} R^{a(1)}_{a(2)i(2)i(3)} \cdots R^{a(5)}_{a(6)i(10)i(11)} R^{a(6)}_{i(12)]} \quad (\text{degree } 12),$$

etc., provided that n is greater than 4, 8 and 12 respectively.

(II.) *The case in which a skew-symmetric tensor H_{ij} satisfying*

$$(2.11) \quad H_{[ij;k]} = 0$$

exists.

In this case the following tensors are exact :

$$(2.12) \quad H_{ij}, \dots \dots \quad (\text{degree } 2),$$

$$(2.13) \quad K_4, H \times H, \dots \dots \quad (\text{degree } 4),$$

$$(2.14) \quad H \times H \times H, K_4 \times H, \dots \dots \quad (\text{degree } 6),$$

$$(2.15) \quad K_8, K_4 \times K_4, K_4 \times H \times H, H \times H \times H \times H \quad (\text{degree } 8),$$

etc., provided that n is greater than 2, 4, 6 and 8 respectively.

(III.) *The case in which a tensor S^i_{jk} satisfying*

$$(2.16) \quad S^i_{jk;l} - S^i_{jl;k} = 0$$

exists.

In this case we get the following exact tensors :

$$(2.17) \quad S^a_{ai} \quad (\text{degree } 1),$$

$$(2.18) \quad S^a_{b[i} S^b_{c]j} S^c_{ak}], R^a_{b[ij} S^b_{ak]} \quad (\text{degree } 3),$$

$$(2.19) \quad K_4, S^a_{b[i} R^b_{c]jk} S^c_{al]} \quad (\text{degree } 4),$$

$$(2.20) \quad S^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)} S^{a(2)}_{a(3)i(2)} \cdots S^{a(5)}_{a(1)i(5)]}, \\ R^a_{b[ij} R^b_{c]kl} S^c_{ah]}, \\ R^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)i(2)} S^{a(2)}_{a(3)i(3)} S^{a(3)}_{a(4)i(4)} S^{a(4)}_{a(1)i(5)]} \quad (\text{degree } 5),$$

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} &R^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)i(2)]}R^{a(2)}_{a(3)i(3)i(4)}S^{a(3)}_{a(4)i(5)}S^{a(4)}_{a(1)i(6)}, \\ &R^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)i(2)]}S^{a(2)}_{a(3)i(3)}S^{a(3)}_{a(4)i(4)}S^{a(4)}_{a(5)i(5)}S^{a(5)}_{a(1)i(6)}, \\ &R^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)i(2)]}S^{a(2)}_{a(1)i(3)}S^{b(1)}_{b(2)i(4)}S^{b(2)}_{b(3)i(5)}S^{b(3)}_{b(1)i(6)} \quad (\text{degree } 6), \end{aligned}$$

etc., provided that n is greater than 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively.

Generally, if there exists a tensor $Q^a_{bi(1)\dots i(p)}$ which is skew-symmetric with respect to $i(1), \dots, i(p)$ and satisfies

$$(2.22) \quad Q^a_{b[i(1)\dots i(p); i(p+1)]} = 0,$$

then we have the following exact tensors:

$$(2.23) \quad Q^{a(1)}_{a(2)[i(1)\dots i(p)]}Q^{a(2)}_{a(3)i(p+1)\dots i(2p)}\dots Q^{a(m)}_{a(1)i(mp-p+1)\dots i(mp)} \quad (m=1, 2, \dots).$$

But in the following cases above tensors become identically zero.

- (1) p is odd and m is even.
- (2) Q is symmetric with respect to a and b and p is odd and

$$m=3, 7, \dots, 4k-1.$$

- (3) Q is skew-symmetric with respect to a and b and p is even and m is odd.

- (4) Q is skew-symmetric with respect to a and b and p is odd and

$$m=5, 9, \dots, 4k+1.$$

From these tensors and K_{2m} 's we get many exact tensors as in the case (III).

Let

$$H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(q)} \quad (A=1, 2, \dots, s)$$

and

$$H_{(B)i(q+1)\dots i(n)} \quad (B=1, 2, \dots, t)$$

be these tensors. Then the rank of the matrix

$$\left\| \int H_{(A)i(1)\dots i(q)} \vee H_{(B)i(q+1)\dots i(n)} \right\|$$

gives us a lower bound of the q -th Betti number.

§ 3. Let a skew-symmetric tensor $\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p)}$ be harmonic, i. e. satisfy the conditions

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \xi_{[i(1)\dots i(p); r]} = 0, \\ \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} g^{i(p)r} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is known that the conditions (3.1) are equivalent with

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p)} = K_{i(1)\dots i(p)}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)},$$

where

$$K_{i(1)\dots i(p)j(1)\dots j(p)} = p(p-1)R_{[i(1)[j(1)(2)j(2)g_{i(3)j(3)} \dots g_{i(n)j(n)}]]} \\ + pR_{[i(1)[j(1)g_{i(2)j(2)} \dots g_{i(n)j(n)}]]}.$$

In our previous paper ([2]) we have proved that

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = \int \Delta(\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p)} \xi^{i(1)\dots i(p)}) dv = 2 \int K_{i(1)\dots i(p)j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi^{i(1)\dots i(p)} \xi^{j(1)\dots j(p)} dv \\ + 2 \int \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} \xi^{i(1)\dots i(p); r} dv \\ = 2 \int \{ p(p-1)R_{\alpha(1)b(1)\alpha(2)b(2)} + pg_{\alpha(1)b(1)} R_{\alpha(2)b(2)} \} \xi^{\alpha(1)\alpha(2)\alpha(3)\dots\alpha(p)} \xi^{b(1)b(2)\dots b(p)} dv \\ + 2 \int \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} \xi^{i(1)\dots i(p); r} dv.$$

Hence, if the quadratic form

$$(3.5) \quad \{ (p-1)R_{acbd} + g_{ac}R_{bd} \} f^{ab} f^{cd} \quad (f^{ab} = -f^{ba})$$

is everywhere positive semi-definite, it follows that

$$(3.6) \quad \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} = 0.$$

The solution of the equation (3.6) involves at most $\binom{n}{p}$ arbitrary constants. On the other hand, we know from Hodge's theorem that any harmonic tensor is a linear combination (with constant coefficients) of B_p fundamental harmonic tensors. Hence we have the

THEOREM 2 (Bochner-Lichnerowicz). *If the quadratic form (3.5) is everywhere positive semi-definite, then it follows that*

$$B_p \leq \binom{n}{p}.$$

Next we consider an harmonic vector ξ_i satisfying

$$(3.7) \quad \xi_{i; j} = \xi_{j; i}, \quad \xi_{i; j} g^{ij} = 0$$

and a certain tensor A_{ijk} satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad A_{ijk} = A_{jik}.$$

By Green's theorem we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \Delta \{ (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k) (\xi^{i;j} + A^{ij}{}^m{}_m \xi^m) \} dv \\ &= 2 \int \Delta (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k) (\xi^{i;j} + A^{ij}{}^m{}_m \xi^m) dv \\ &\quad + 2 \int (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k)_{;r} (\xi^{i;j} + A^{ij}{}^m{}_m \xi^m)^{;k} dv. \end{aligned}$$

If in this case the following relation is satisfied

$$(3.10) \quad \Delta (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k) = c (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k) \quad (c > 0),$$

where c is a certain positive constant, then (3.9) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= c \int (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k) (\xi^{i;j} + A^{ij}{}^m{}_m \xi^m) dv \\ &\quad + \int (\xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k)_{;r} (\xi^{i;j} + A^{ij}{}^m{}_m \xi^m)^{;r} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have in this case

$$(3.12) \quad \xi_{i;j} + A_{ijk} \xi^k = 0.$$

Since the solution of the equation (3.12) involves at most n arbitrary constants, we have in this case

$$(3.13) \quad B_1 \leq n.$$

In order that the relation (3.10) be satisfied by any harmonic vector, it is sufficient that

$$(3.14) \quad (a) \quad \Delta A_{ijk} + A_{ijm} R^m{}_k + R_{ki;j} + R_{kj;i} - R_{ij;k} = c A_{ijk} \quad (c > 0),$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{(k,l)} \left(A_{ijk;l} - R_{kijl} + \frac{R_{ki}g_{lj} + R_{lj}g_{ik} - cg_{ik}g_{jl}}{2} \right) = 0,$$

where $\sum_{(k,l)}$ denotes $(k,l) + (l,k)$

Thus we have the

THEOREM 3. *If there exist a tensor $A_{i,jk}$ and a positive constant c satisfying the equations (3.14), it follows that*

$$B_1 \leq n.$$

Next, if the relations (3.14) are satisfied by $c=0$ and a certain A we have from (3.11) that

$$(3.15) \quad (\xi_{i;j} + A_{i,jm} \xi^m)_{;r} = 0.$$

The last equation and

$$\xi_{i;j} = \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial x^j} - \binom{m}{ij} \xi_m$$

constitute a system of differential equations for ξ_i and $\xi_{i;j}$. Its solution involves at most

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n - 1$$

arbitrary constants. Thus we have the

THEOREM 4. *If the equations (3.14) are satisfied by $c=0$ and a certain $A_{i,jk}$, it follows that*

$$(3.16) \quad B_1 \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n - 1.$$

Let $\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p)}$ be harmonic and $A_{i(1)\dots i(p)r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)}$ be a certain tensor. By Green's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.17) \quad 0 &= \int \mathcal{A} \{ \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p);r} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)} \\ &\quad \times (\xi^{i(1)\dots i(p);r} + A^{i(1)\dots i(p)r}_{a(1)\dots a(p)} \xi^{a(1)\dots a(p)}) \} dv \\ &= 2 \int \mathcal{A} (\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p);r} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)}) \\ &\quad \times (\xi^{i(1)\dots i(p);r} + A^{i(1)\dots i(p)r}_{a(1)\dots a(p)} \xi^{a(1)\dots a(p)}) dv \\ &+ 2 \int (\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p);r} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)})_{;s} \\ &\quad \times (\xi^{i(1)\dots i(p);r} + A^{i(1)\dots i(p)r}_{a(1)\dots a(p)} \xi^{a(1)\dots a(p)})_{;s} dv. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$(3.18) \quad A_{[i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} = 0.$$

Moreover, if the following relation is satisfied

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Delta(\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)}) \\ & = c(\xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)}) \end{aligned}$$

provided that c is a certain positive constant, then we have from (3.17)

$$(3.20) \quad \xi_{i(1)\dots i(p); r} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} \xi_{j(1)\dots j(p)} = 0.$$

The solution of the last equation involvs at most $\binom{n}{p}$ arbitrary constants. Hence we have in this case

$$B_p \leq \binom{n}{p}.$$

The sufficient conditions that (3.16) become (3.19) are as follows :

$$(3.21) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } \Delta A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} + A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{a(1)\dots a(p)} K_{a(1)\dots a(p)]j(1)\dots j(p)} \\ & \quad \quad \quad + B_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} c A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)}, \\ & \text{(b) } \sum_{(j(1)\dots j(p)s)} \left(A_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)s} + C_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)s} \right. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \left. - \frac{c}{2(p!)^2} g_{[i(1)[j(1)\dots j(p)]j(p)]} g_{rs} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$B_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)} = K_{i(1)\dots i(p)]j(1)\dots j(p); r} + p R_{[i(1)[j(1)rs]^s g_{i(2)j(2)} \dots g_{i(p)]j(p)}],$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i(1)\dots i(p)]r}^{j(1)\dots j(p)s} &= \frac{1}{2} K_{i(1)\dots i(p)]j(1)\dots j(p)]} g_{rs} + p R_{[i(1)[j(1)rs] g_{i(2)j(2)} \dots g_{i(p)]j(p)}] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} g_{[i(1)[j(1)\dots j(p)]j(p)]} R_{rs} \end{aligned}$$

and $\sum_{(j(1)\dots j(p)s)}$ denotes

$$j(1)\dots j(p)s + sj(2)\dots j(p)j(1) + j(1)sj(3)\dots j(p)j(2) + \dots + j(1)j(2)\dots sj(p).$$

Thus we have the

THEOREM 5. *If the equations (3.18) and (3.21) are satisfied by a certain tensor A and a positive constant c , then we have $B_p \leq \binom{n}{p}$. If the equations are satisfied by $c=0$, then we have*

$$B_p \leq \binom{n}{p} + n \binom{n}{p} - \binom{n}{p-1} - \binom{n}{p+1}.$$

Utunomiya University.

References.

- [1] Hodge: The theory and applications of harmonic integrals, 1940.
- [2] Tomonaga, Y: On Betti numbers of Riemannian spaces. Journ. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 2 (1950), pp. 93-104.