## Change of variables in the multiple Lebesgue integrals.

Masatsugu Tsuji.

(Received April 4, 1948)

Rademacher's theorem<sup>1)</sup> on the change of variables in the multiple Lebesgue integrals, though very important, is not found in any book on the theory of functions of real variables, so that I will give a simple proof of it in the following lines.

Let D be a domain in the  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ -space and  $\Delta$  be one in the  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ -space and D be mapped on  $\Delta$  topologically by

$$T: u_{i} = f_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}),$$

$$T^{-1}: x_{i} = \varphi_{i}(u_{1}, \dots, u_{n}), (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$
(1)

where  $f_i$  and  $\varphi_i$  are continuous in D and  $\Delta$  respectively.

If any measurable set in D is mapped on a measurable set in  $\Delta$ , then T is called a measurable mapping. It is well known? that the necessary and sufficient condition that T is a measurable mapping is that any null set in D is mapped on a null set in  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 1.4)** If at every point  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in D$ ,

$$\frac{\lim_{h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2 \to 0} \frac{|f_i(x_1 + h_1, \dots, x_n + h_n) - f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2}}$$

$$= L_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) < \infty \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \tag{2}$$

then T is a measurable mapping.

*Proof.* We define a set  $A_k$  (k=1,2,...) of points  $(x_1,...,x_n)$  by the condition

<sup>(1)</sup> Rademacher: Über die partielle und totale Differentierbarkeit von Funktionen mehererer Veränderlichen und über die Transformation der Doppelintegrale. Math. Ann. 79.

<sup>(2)</sup> Rademacher: Eineindeutige Abbildung und Messbarkeit. Monathefte f. Math. u. Phys. 27 (1916). Carathéodory: Vorlesungen über reelle Funktionen. p. 354.

<sup>(3)</sup> A set is called a null set, if its Lebesgue measure is zero.

<sup>(4)</sup> Rademacher. 1. c. (1), (2).

that for any  $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ , such that  $h_1^2 + \ldots + h_n^2 < \frac{1}{h^2}$ ,

$$|f_{i}(x_{1}+h_{1},...,x_{n}+h_{n})-f(x_{1},...,x_{n})| \leq k \sqrt{h_{1}^{2}+...+h_{n}^{2}},$$

$$(i=1, 2,....,n).$$
(3)

From the continuity of  $f_i(x_1,...,x_n)$ , it follows that  $A_k$  are closed sets and from (2)

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \,. \tag{4}$$

Let  $(x_1^0,...,x_n^0) \in A_k$  and

$$u_i = f_i(x_1^0 + h_1, ..., x_n^0 + h_n), \quad u_n^0 = f_i(x_1^0, ..., x_n^0), \quad (h_1^2 + h_n^2 < \frac{1}{k^2}), (5)$$

then by (3),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - u_i^0)^2 \le nk^2 (h_1^2 + \dots + h_n^2). \tag{6}$$

Let K(r) be the inside of a sphere of radius r:

$$K(r): \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_k^0)^2 \le r^2$$
 (7)

and K'(r) be its image in  $\Delta$  by (1), then by (6),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - u_i^0)^2 \le (\sqrt{n} \ k \ r)^2,$$

so that K'(r) is contained in a sphere of radius  $\sqrt{n} k r$ . Since

$$mK(r) = Cr^{n}, \left(C = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}\right), \tag{8}$$

we have

$$mK'(r) \leq M \ mK(r), \quad \text{if} \quad r \leq \frac{1}{k}, \ (M = \sqrt{n} \ k)^n.$$
 (9)

To prove that T is a measurable mapping, it suffices to prove that any null set e in D is mapped on a null set e' in  $\Delta$ .

Let  $e \subset D$ , me=0 and put  $e_k=eA_k$ , then  $me_k=0$  and

$$e' = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k, \qquad e' = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k',$$
 (10)

where e',  $e_k'$  are images of e,  $e_k$  in  $\Delta$  respectively. We will prove that  $me_k'=0$ . Since  $me_k=0$ , there exists for any  $\varepsilon>0$  an open set O, such that  $e_k\subset O$ ,  $mO<\varepsilon$ . We express O as a sum of enumerably infinite number of non-overlapping cubes  $\{\Delta_i\}$ ,

$$O = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i, \qquad mO = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m\Delta_i < \varepsilon. \tag{11}$$

Let  $K_i$  be the inside of a sphere which is concentric with  $\Delta_i$  and passes through the vertices of  $\Delta_i$ , then

$$mK_i \leq M' \quad m\Delta_i, \quad (M' = \text{const.}).$$
 (12)

By taking  $\Delta_i$  sufficiently small, we may assume that all  $K_i$  are contained in O and their radii are less than  $\frac{1}{k}$ . Let  $K_i'$  be the image of  $K_i$  in  $\Delta$ .

If  $K_i$  has common points with  $e_k$ , then, since its radius is less than  $\frac{1}{k}$ , we have from (9),  $mK'_i \leq M mK_i$ . Hence if we denote the sum of  $K_i$ ,

which have comnon points with  $e_k$  by  $\sum_{i}' K_i$ , then

$$e_k \subset \sum_i' K_i, \qquad e_k' \subset \sum_i' K_i',$$

hence from (9), (12), (11),

$$m^*e_k' \leq \sum_i 'mK_i' \leq M\sum_i mK_i \leq MM' \sum_i 'm\Delta_i < MM'\varepsilon$$

where  $m^*e_k'$  is the outer measure of  $e_k'$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $me'_k=0$  and hence me'=0 from (10). Hence T is a measurable mapping.

2.

 $f(x_1,...,x_n)$  is said totally differentiable in Stolz's sense at  $(x_1^0,...,x_n^0)$ , if  $f(x_1,...,x_n)$  is expressed in the neighbourhood of  $(x_1^0,...,x_n^0)$  in the form:

$$f(x_1^0 + h_1, \dots, x_n^0 + h_n) = f(x^0, \dots, x_n^0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0)}{\partial x_k^0} h_k + D(h_1, \dots, h_n),$$
(13)

where

$$\lim_{h_1^2 + \dots + h^2_n \to o} \frac{|D(h_1, \dots, h_h)|}{\sqrt{h_1^2 + h^2_n}} = 0.$$

If in (1),  $f_i(x_1,...,x_n)$  (i=1,2,...,n) satisfy the condition (2) at every point of D, then  $f_i(x_1,...,x_n)$  are totally differentiable almost-everywhere in  $D^5$ . Hence  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x^k}$  and so

$$J(x_1,...,x_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}, & \dots, & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1}, & \dots, & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

exists almost everywhere in D and since  $f_i$  are continuous,  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_k}$  and hence

 $J(x_1,...,x_n)$  is measurable in D.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f_i(x_1,...,x_n)$  (i=1,2,...,n) satisfy the condition (2) at every point of D and  $F(u_1,...,u_n)$  be integrable in  $\Delta$ , then

 $F(f_1(x_1,...,x_n),...,f_n(x_1,...,x_n))|J(x_1,...x_n)|$  is measurable in D and

$$\int_{\Delta} \int F(u_1, ..., u_n) du_1 ... du_n = \int_{D} \int F(f_1(x_1, ..., x_n), ..., f_n(x_1, ..., x_n))$$

$$|J(x_1, ..., x_n)| dx_1, ... dx_n.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f_i(x_1,...,x_n)$  are totally differentiable almost everywhere in D, we may assume that  $(o,...,o) \in D$ ,  $f_i(o,....,o) = o$  and  $f_i(x_1,...,x_n)$  are totally differentiable at (o,...,o), so that in the neighbourhood of (o,...,o), (1) can be expressed in the form:

where

$$a_{ik} = \left(\frac{\partial f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_k}\right)_{x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0}$$

$$\lim_{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \to 0} \frac{|D_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}} = o, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$
(15)

<sup>(5)</sup> Rademacher: 1.c. (1). Saks: Theory of the integral. p. 311.

<sup>(6)</sup> Rademcher: 1.c. (1).

so that

$$J(o,...,o) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11},....,a_{1n} \\ .... \\ a_{n1},...,a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} . \tag{16}$$

Let K(r) be the inside of a sphere of radius r:

$$K(r): \quad x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \le r^2 \tag{17}$$

and K'(r) be its image in  $\Delta$  by (14). Then we will prove that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{mK'(r)}{mK(r)} = |J(o,...,o)|. \tag{18}$$

To prove this, we associate to (14) an affine transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
v_1 = a_{11} & x_1 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n, \\
\dots & \dots & \dots \\
v_n = a_{n1} x_1 + \dots + a_{nn} x_n.
\end{array}$$
(19)

Then by (15),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - v_i)^2 \le \varepsilon^2 (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2), \tag{20}$$

where  $\varepsilon \rightarrow o$  with  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \rightarrow o$ .

We have two cases to consider according as J(o,...o) = o or  $J(o,...,o) \neq o$ .

(i) 
$$f(o,...,o) = o$$
.

In this case,  $(x_1,...,x_n)$ -space is mapped on a linear sub-space of at most (n-1)-dimensions in  $(v_1,...,v_n)$ -space by (19), hence from (20), we have easily  $mK'(r) \leq \varepsilon r^n$ , where  $\varepsilon \to o$  with  $r \to o$ , hence from (8), we have  $mK'(r) \leq \delta mK(r)$ , where  $\delta \to o$  with  $r \to o$ , so that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{mK(r)}{mK(r)} = o = |J(o, \dots, o)|. \tag{21}$$

(ii) 
$$J(o,...,o) \neq o$$
.

In this case, we can solve (19) with respect to  $x_i$ , such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{c}
 x_1 = b_{11}v_1 + \dots + b_{1n}v_n, \\
 \dots & \dots \\
 x_n = b_{n1}v_1 + \dots + b_{nn}v_n
\end{array} \right\}, 
 (22)$$

where

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_{11}, \dots, b_{1n} \\ \dots \\ b_{n}, \dots, b_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n} \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{J(o, \dots, o)}.$$
 (23)

Let K(r) be mapped on  $\Delta(r)$  by (19), then by (22),

$$\Delta(r): (b_{11}v_1 + \ldots + b_{1n}v_n)^2 + \ldots + (b_{n1}v_1 + \ldots + b_{nn} v_n)^2 = \sum_{i,k}^{1,\ldots,n} B_{ik} v_i \quad v_k \leq r^2,$$

where

$$B = \begin{vmatrix} B_{11}, \dots, B_{1n} \\ B_{n1}, \dots, B_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}, \dots, b_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n1}, \dots, b_{nn} \end{vmatrix}^{2} = \frac{1}{f^{2}(o, \dots, o)}. \quad (25)$$

By a suitable orthogonal transformation,  $\Delta(r)$  can be brought into the form:

$$\Delta(r): \quad \frac{\xi_1^2}{a_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_n^2}{a_n^2} \leq r^2, \quad (a_i > 0), \qquad (26)$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{a_1^2}, \dots, \lambda_n = \frac{1}{a_n^2}$  are the roots of the characteristic equation:

$$B(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} B_{11} - \lambda, \dots, B_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ B_{n1}, \dots, B_{nn} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

so that by (25),

$$\frac{1}{(a_1...a_n)^2} = \lambda_1...\lambda_n = B(o) = \frac{1}{J^2(o...,o)}, \text{ or}$$

$$a_1...a_n = |J(o,...,o)|. \tag{27}$$

Since by a transformation:  $\hat{\xi}_i = a_i X_i$ , (26) can be transformed into the form:  $X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 \leq r^2$ , we have from (8),

$$m\Delta(r) = a_1 \dots a_n \ mK(r) = C \cdot a_1 \dots a_n \ r^n. \tag{28}$$

If  $(x_1,...,x_n)$  lies on a sphere:  $x^2+...+x_n^2=r^2$ , then  $(v_1,...,v_n)$  lies on an ellipsoid:  $\frac{\xi_1^2}{a_1^2}+...+\frac{\xi_n^2}{a_n^2}=r^2$ , so that by (20),  $(u_1,...,u_n)$  lies between two ellipsoids:

$$\frac{\xi_1^2}{a_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_n^2}{a_n^2} = r^2 (1 - \delta)^2, \quad \frac{\xi_1^2}{a_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_n^2}{a_n^2} = r^2 (1 + \delta)^2, \quad (29)$$

where  $\delta \rightarrow o$  with  $r \rightarrow o$ . Hence we have from (27), (28),

$$C \ a_1...a_n \ r^n (1-\delta)^n \le mK'(r) \le Ca_1...a_n \ r^n \ (1+\delta)^n$$
, or  $| J(o,...,o) | (1-\delta)^n \ mK(r) \le mK'(r) \le | J(o,...,o) | (1+\delta)^n mK(r)$ , so that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{mK'(r)}{mK(r)} = |J(o,...,o)|.$$
 (29)

From (21), (29), we have (18).

By Theorem 1, the mapping  $u_i = f_i(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is a measurable mapping, so that any measurable set  $e \subset D$  is mapped on a measurable set  $e' \subset \Delta$  and a null set in D is mapped on a null set in  $\Delta$ . Hence if we put

$$me' = \Phi(e)$$
,

then  $\Phi(e)$  is an absolutely continuous additive set function defined on measurable sets in D, so that by Lebesgue's theorem,

$$me' = \Phi(e) = \int \dots \int_e D\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1, \dots dx_n,$$

where  $D\Phi(x_1,...,x_n)$  is the derivative of  $\Phi(e)$  at  $(x_1,...,x_n)$ , which exists almost everywhere in D. From (18), we have  $D\Phi(x_1,...,x_n) = |J(x_1,...,x_n)|$  almost everywhere in D, so that

$$me' = \int \dots \int_{e} | J(x_1, \dots, x_n) | dx_1, \dots, dx_n.$$
 (30)

Let  $e_0$  be the set of  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ , such that  $J(x_1, ..., x_n) = o$ , then  $e_0$  is measurable, so that from (30),

$$me'_0 = o, (31)$$

where  $e'_0$  is the image of  $e_0$  in  $\Delta$ .

Now  $\Delta - e_0'$  is mapped on  $D - e_0$  by  $x_i = \varphi_i(u_1, ..., u_n)$ . We will prove that this is a measurable mapping. To prove this, it suffices to prove that any null set  $e' \subset \Delta - e_0'$  is mapped on a null set  $e \subset D - e_0$ .

Let  $e' \subset \Delta - e'_0$  be a null set and e be its image in  $D - e_0$ . Since me' = o, there exists a  $G_{\delta}$ -set H' in  $\Delta$ , such that

$$e' \subset H', \quad mH' = o.$$
 (31)

Let H be the image of H' in D, then H is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set, so that by (30),

$$o = mH' = \int_{H} \int |J(x_1, \dots, x_n)| dx_1, \dots, dx_n.$$

Hence  $J(x_1,...,x_n) = o$  almost everywhere in H. From this we conclude that  $m(H-He_0) = o$ . Since  $e \in H-He_0$ , we have me=o, q.e.d.

Hence any measurable set in  $\Delta - e_0'$  is mapped on a measurable set in  $D - e_0$ .

Let  $F(u_1,...,u_n)$  be a measurable function in  $\Delta$  and for any real number  $\alpha$ , let  $E_{\alpha}'$  be the set of  $(u_1,...,u_n) \in \Delta - e'_0$ , such that

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_n) > a. \tag{32}$$

Then  $E_{\alpha}'$  is measurable, so that its image  $E_{\alpha} \subset D - e_0$  by  $x_i = \varphi_i(u_1, ..., u_n)$  is measurable. Evidently  $E_{\alpha}$  is the set of  $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in D - e_0$ , such that

$$F(f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n),\ldots,f_n(x,\ldots,x_n)) > a.$$
(33)

Hence  $F(f_1(x_1,...,x_n),...,f_n(x_1,...,x_n))$  and so

$$F(f_1(x_1,...,x_n),...f_n(x_1,...,x_n)) | J(x_1,...,x_n) |$$
(34)

is measurable in  $D-e_0$ . Since  $J(x_1,...,x_n)=o$  in  $e_0$ , (34) is measurable in D.

First we suppose that  $F(u_1,...,u_n)$  is bounded and  $A < F(u_1,...u_n) < B$  in  $\Delta$ . Let

$$A = l_0 < l_1 < \dots < l_{p+1} = B \quad (l_{i+1} - l_i < \varepsilon),$$

$$E_i' = E(l_i \le F(u_1, \dots, u_n) < l_{i+1}), \text{ where } E = \Delta - e'_0,$$
(35)

and  $E_i$  be the image of  $E_i'$  in  $D-e_0$ , then  $E_i'$ ,  $E_i$  are measurable and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p} E_{i}' = \Delta - e_{0}', \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p} E_{i} = D - e_{0}. \tag{36}$$

By (30),

$$mE_i' = \int \dots \int |J(x_1, \dots, x_n)| dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

We put

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{p} l_{i} \ mE_{i}' = \sum_{i=0}^{p} l_{i} \int_{E_{i}} \dots \int |J(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})| dx_{1} \dots dx_{n},$$

$$I = \int_{D} \dots \int F(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n}) |J(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})| dx_{1} \dots dx_{n} = \int_{D-e_{0}} \dots \int F(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n})$$

$$|J(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})| dx_{1} \dots dx_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{p} \int_{C} \dots \int_{C} F(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n}) |J(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})| dx_{1} \dots dx_{n},$$

$$(38)$$

then

$$|I-S| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{p} \int_{E_{i}} \dots \int |F(f_{1},...,f_{n}) - l_{i}| |J(x_{1},...,x_{n},)| dx_{1}...dx_{n} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{p} \int_{E_{i}} \dots \int |J(x_{1},...,x_{n})| dx_{1}...dx_{n} \leq \varepsilon \int_{D-\varepsilon} \dots \int |J(x_{1},...,x_{n})| dx_{1}...dx_{n} \leq \varepsilon \int_{D-\varepsilon} \dots \int |J(x_{1},...,x_{n})| dx_{1}...dx_{n} = \varepsilon \int_{D} \dots \int |J(x_{1},...,x_{n})| dx_{1}...dx_{n} = \varepsilon M \Delta.$$
(39)

Since for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

$$S \rightarrow \int \dots \int F(u_1, \dots u_n) du_1 \dots du_n = \int \dots \int F(u_1, \dots u_n) du_1 \dots du_n,$$

we have from (39),

$$\int \dots \int F(u_1, \dots, u_n) \ du_1, \dots du_n = \int \dots \int F(f_1, \dots, f_n) | J(x_1, \dots, x_n) | dx_1, \dots dx_n.$$
(40)

If  $F(u_1,...,u_n) \ge o$  in  $\Delta$ , then put

$$F_N(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = [F(u_1,\ldots,u_n)]_0^N$$

where  $F_N = F$ , if  $F \le N$  and F = N, if  $F \ge N$ . Then from (40)

$$\int_{\Delta} \int F_{N}(u_{1},...,u_{n}) du_{1}...du_{n} = \int_{D} \int F_{N}(f_{1},...,f_{n}) |J(x_{1},...,x_{n})| dx_{1}...dx_{n}.$$

If we make  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then we have (40). In the general case we put

$$F=F_1-F_2$$
, where  $F_1=\frac{|F|+F}{2} \ge o$ ,  $F_2=\frac{|F|-F}{2} \ge o$  and apply (40)

on  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  and we have (40).

Hence the theorem is completely proved.

Mathematical Institute,
Tokyo University.