

**A Theorem on Riemann Sum.
Notes on Fourier Analysis (XIII)**

TAMOTU TSUCHIKARA.

Let us consider the series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^a} \quad \left(0 < a < \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

and let $f_n(x)$ be its n -th Riemann sum, i.e.

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(x + 2\pi \frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Since $f(x)$ is of order $1/x^{1-a}$ in the neighbourhood of the origin, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \infty$$

for almost all x , by the theorem due to J. Marcinkiewicz, A. Zygmund¹⁾ and H. Ursell²⁾.

Connected with this fact it may be of some interest to prove the following

Theorem. *Let $f(x)$ be a function integrable in $(0, 2\pi)$ and of period 2π . Let $f_n(x)$ be its Riemann sum and its Fourier series be*

$$(1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$$

If the Fourier coefficients satisfy the condition

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (|a_{nv} - a_{n(v+1)}| + |b_{nv} - b_{n(v+1)}|) = 0,$$

in particular if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n - a_{n+1}| + |b_n - b_{n+1}|) < \infty,$$

or if $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ are non-increasing sequences, then for almost all x there exists a sequence of integers $\{m_k\}$ (depending on x) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{m_k}(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx$$

1) Mean values of trigonometrical polynomials, Fund. Math., 28 (1937), p. 131—166, spec., p. 157.

2) On the behaviour of a certain sequence of functions derived from a given one, Jour. London Math. Soc., 12 (1937).

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k/m_k = 1.$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality we can suppose that $a_0=0$ (if $a_0 \neq 0$, it is sufficient to consider the function $f(x) - a_0/2$ instead of $f(x)$), and that the series (1) is the cosine one, since we can treat the cosine part and the sine part of the series similarly.

For sufficiently large n , by (2) and the relation $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{nv} \cos nvx.$$

By Abel's transformation

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (a_{nv} - a_{n(v+1)}) \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \cos n\mu x + \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} a_{nv} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \cos n\mu x,$$

if the right-hand side exists. As easily seen,

$$\left| \sum_{\mu=1}^v \cos \mu y \right| < 1/\sin \frac{\delta}{2} \quad (v=1,2,\dots)$$

if $y \in (\delta, 2\pi-\delta)$.

By the well known theorem due to Weyl, for almost all x there exists an infinite sequence of integers $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$n_k x \in (\delta, 2\pi-\delta) \quad (k=1,2,\dots)$$

and

$$k/n_k \rightarrow \frac{(2\pi-\delta)-\delta}{2\pi} = 1 - \frac{\delta}{\pi} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

For such n_k and x , we have

$$|f_{n_k}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |a_{n_kv} - a_{n_k(v+1)}|$$

which implies $f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) by the condition (2).

We will now take sequences $\{\delta_v\}$ and $\{\epsilon_v\}$ such that $\delta_v \downarrow 0$, $\epsilon_v \downarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{n_k^{(v)}\}_k$ be a sequence of integers which correspond to $\pi\delta_v$ similarly as $\{n_k\}$ to δ . Then by the above result we have for almost all x

$$(3) \quad k^{(v)}/n \rightarrow 1 - \delta_v \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (v=1,2,\dots)$$

$$(4) \quad f_{n_k^{(v)}}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

where we can take the exceptional x -set E of measure zero irrelevant to v .

From (3) and (4) there exists a number N'_1 such that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{k}{n_k^{(1)}} \right| < \delta_1 + \epsilon_1 \quad (k \geq N'_1)$$

and

$$|f_{n_k^{(1)}}(x)| < \epsilon_1 \quad (k \geq N'_1).$$

Nextly we can take $N_2 > N'_1$ such that

$$|f_{n_k^{(2)}}(x)| < \epsilon_2 \quad (k \geq N_2).$$

If we put $N''_1 = \max k$, for $n_k^{(1)} > n_{N_2}^{(2)}$ then by (3) there exists $N'_2 > N_2$ such that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{(k-N_2+1)+N''_1}{n_k^{(2)}} \right| < \delta_2 + \epsilon_2 \quad (k \geq N'_2)$$

Thirdly we take $N_3 > N'_2$ such

$$|f_{n_k^{(3)}}(x)| < \epsilon_3 \quad (k \geq N_3).$$

If we put $N''_2 = \max k$, (for $n_k^{(2)} < n_{N_3}^{(3)}$) then there exists (by (3)) $N'_3 < N_3$ such that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{(k-N_3+1)+(N''_2-N_2+1)+N''_1}{n_k^{(3)}} \right| < \delta_3 + \epsilon_3 \quad (k > N'_3)$$

Thus proceeding we get an infinite sequence of integers

$$n_1^{(1)}, n_2^{(1)}, \dots, n_{N_1}^{(1)}, n_{N_2}^{(2)}, n_{N_2+1}^{(2)}, \dots, n_{N_3}^{(2)}, \dots, n_{N_3}^{(3)}, \dots,$$

which we denote by $\{m_k\}$.

Then we can easily see that the sequence $\{m_k\}$ is the required one. q.e.d.

Mathematical Institute
of Tôhoku University.