

A NOTE ON GENERAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES.*)

By

Noboru Matsuyama.

1. If for any subset A of the fundamental set S we can assign a "closure" \bar{A} satisfying some proper conditions, then the set S is said to be a space. In general there are two methods defining the closure, that is;

(I) When there corresponds a family "neighbourhoods" V_x to every point x in S , $x \in A$ is, by definition, that no $V_x \cap A$ is vacuous.

(II) When there is a family of "sequences" $\{x_\alpha\}$ in $S^{(1)}$ for which it is always decided that $\{x_\alpha\}$ converge to x or not, $x \in \bar{A}$ is by definition, that there is a sequence in A convergent to x .

S is said to be a neighbourhood space or convergent space according as it is topologized by a system of neighbourhoods or a family of convergent sequences. When convergence of sequences are suitably defined by means of system of neighbourhoods, the neighbourhood space becomes a convergence space. For example, if in a neighbourhood space S convergency of the sequence $\{x_\alpha\}$ is defined by

(III) $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to x if and only if for each neighbourhood V_x of x , there exists an $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(V_x)$ such that $\alpha > \alpha_0$ implies $x_\alpha \in V_x$, then S becomes a convergence space.

In this paper we introduce the notion of " φ -closure" (in Definition 2), by which neighbourhood space turns to the space with " φ -topology". Main results concerning φ -topology are contained in Theorem 4.

But if we consider some set A such as $\{x_\alpha\} \subset ACS$, we obtain many interesting results, for instance, all convergence topologies defined in S is a Boolean algebra⁽²⁾ by some order relation.

2. Let φ be a set-function on 2^S (=family of all subsets in S) such that

(2, 1) for any subset A in S , $A \subset \varphi(A)$,

(2, 2) $A \subset B$ implies $\varphi(A) \subset \varphi(B)$.

And let ϕ be the class of all such φ .

* Received July 12th, 1943.

(1) For any finite or infinite directed set.

(2) G. Birkhoff, Fund Math., XXVI(1936).

For any φ_1 and φ_2 in ϕ , we write $\varphi_1 < \varphi_2$ if and only if

$$\varphi_1(A) \subset \varphi_2(A)$$

for all subsets A of S . φ is a partially ordered system, that is,

$$(2, 3) \quad \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < \varphi_3 \text{ implies } \varphi_1 < \varphi_3,$$

$$(2, 4) \quad \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < \varphi_1 \text{ implies } \varphi_1 = \varphi_2.$$

Further ϕ is a lattice, and

$$(2, 5) \quad (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) A = \varphi_1(A) \cap \varphi_2(A),$$

$$(2, 6) \quad (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) A = \varphi_1(A) \cup \varphi_2(A).$$

If we define O and I by

$$(2, 7) \quad O(A) \equiv A \text{ for all subsets } A \text{ of } S,$$

$$(2, 8) \quad I(A) \equiv S \text{ for all subsets } A \text{ of } S,$$

then ϕ becomes a Boolean algebra.

3. Closure with respect to φ . Let S be a neighbourhood space and denote its points by x, y, \dots . Suppose that for each x in S there corresponds at least one "neighbourhood" V_x of x such that

$$(N. 1) \quad \text{for each } x \in S, V_x \text{ contains } x,$$

(N. 2) if U_x and V_x are neighbourhoods of x , $W_x = U_x \cap V_x$ is also a neighbourhood of x .

We shall now introduce an equivalent sequential topology and weaker ones into S . The convergence of the sequence in S is defined by

Definition 1. If a sequence $\{x_\alpha\}$ is contained in a certain fixed set A , and for each neighbourhood V_x of x containing A^c there exists an $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(V_x)$ such that $\alpha > \alpha_0$ implies $x_\alpha \in V_x$, then $\{x_\alpha\}$ is said to be *convergent to x* with respect to A and denote it by

$$x_\alpha \rightarrow x(A)^{(3)}$$

In this definition if we take $S \equiv S$, then $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(\)$ coincides with ordinary convergence in (III). As easily may be seen by example, $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(A)$ does not imply $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(B)$ in general if $A \neq B$.

Lemma 1. If $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to x with respect to A and $\{x_\beta\}$ is a cofinal subsequence of $\{x_\alpha\}$, then $\{x_\beta\}$ converges to x with respect to A .

Proof is easy.

Lemma 2. If $\{x_\alpha\} \subset B \subset A$ and $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(A)$, then $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(B)$.

Proof. Each neighbourhood V_x of x containing B^c is that of x containing A^c . Since $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(A)$ for any neighbourhood V_x containing B^c , there exists an $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(V_x)$ such that $\alpha > \alpha_0$ implies $x_\alpha \in V_x$, that is, $x_\alpha \rightarrow x(B)$.

Definition 2. Let $\varphi \in \phi$. If there exists at least one sequence $\{x_\alpha\}$ of

(3) If such neighbourhood does not exist, $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to x with respect to A

points in A such as $x_\alpha \rightarrow x (\varphi(A))$, then we say that x is a *limiting point* of A with respect to φ -topology and denote it by $x \in A^\varphi$. And A^φ is said to be φ -closure of A .⁽⁴⁾

Specially if $\varphi \equiv I$, it coincides with (III).

Corollary. *If $\varphi > \varphi'$ and $x \in A^\varphi$, then $x \in A^{\varphi'}$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis there exists a sequence $\{x_\alpha\}$ in A , such as $x_\alpha \rightarrow x (\varphi(A))$. Since $\{x_\alpha\} \subset A \subset \varphi'(A) \subset \varphi(A)$, we have $x_\alpha \rightarrow x (\varphi'(A))$ by Lemma 2.

From this Cor. we see that if $\varphi > \varphi'$, then φ' -topology is not weaker than φ -topology.

4. Fundamental theorems.

Theorem 1. *For any subsets A and B in S ,*

$$(A \cup B)^\varphi \subset A^\varphi \cup B^\varphi. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a sequence of points in $A \cup B$ such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x (\varphi(A \cup B))$. Then at least one of $\{x_\alpha\} \cap A$ and $\{x_\alpha\} \cap B$ must be a cofinal subsequence of $\{x_\alpha\}$.

If

$$\{x_\beta\} \equiv \{x_\alpha\} \cap A$$

is so, Lemma 1 and 2 show that $x_\beta \rightarrow x (\varphi(A \cup B))$ and $x_\beta \rightarrow x (\varphi(A))$ for $\{x_\beta\} \subset A \subset \varphi(A) \subset \varphi(A \cup B)$. Thus the theorem is proved.

As easily may be seen by example, equality (1) does not hold in general.

Theorem 2. *A set V is a neighbourhood of x if and only if $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$, for any φ in Φ .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show, by Cor. of Definition 2, $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$. If we suppose that $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$, then for some $\{x_\alpha\}$ in V^c , $\{x_\alpha\}$ converges to x with respect to $(V^c)^\varphi = V^c$. Since $V^{cc} = V$ is a neighbourhood of x containing $V^{cc} = V$, there exists an $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(V)$ such that $\alpha > \alpha_0$ implies $x_\alpha \in V$. On the other hand all points of $\{x_\alpha\}$ are contained in V^c . Thus we have a contradiction. Conversely let $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$, then there exists at least one neighbourhood U_x containing V . For, if such neighbourhood U_x does not exist, every sequence $\{x_\alpha\}$ in V^c must converges to x with respect to V , which contradicts to $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$. From all such U_x we can select a set $\{x_U\}$ consisting of points such that $x_U \in U_x \cap V^c$. Since all neighbourhoods of x containing V form a directed system concerning set-implication, $\{x_U\}$ is a sequence. By the construction of $\{x_U\}$ it converges to x with respect to V^c , which contradicts to $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$.

(4) This concept may be considered as a generalization of closure notion. Therefore we may say A^φ a φ -derived set, instead of φ -closure.

Consequently $U_x \cap U^c = 0$ and $U_x \supset V$, that is, $U_x = V$ is a neighbourhood of x .
Q. E. D.

Theorem 3. $A \subset B$ implies $A^c \subset B^c$ if and only if⁽⁵⁾

(N. 3) for any neighbourhood U_x of x , a set containing U_x is also a neighbourhood of x .

Proof. Let $A \subset B$ and $x \in A^c$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x with respect to $\varphi(A)$. By $0 < \varphi$, $x_n \rightarrow x (A)$, and then A^c is not a neighbourhood of x .

Since $(\varphi(B))^c \subset B^c \subset A^c$, and by (N. 3), $(\varphi(B))^c$ is not a neighbourhood of x . Moreover intersection of B with each neighbourhood V_x of x containing $(\varphi(B))^c$ is not empty. As in the proof of Theorem 2 there exists a sequence $\{Y_r\}$ such that $Y_r \rightarrow x (\varphi(B))$. That is, $x \in B^c$. Thus $A \subset B$ implies $A^c \subset B^c$. Conversely, if $U_x \subset V$ then $U_x^c \supset V^c$. By the hypothesis of φ -topology, $(U_x^c) \supset (V^c)^\varphi$. Since $x \in (U_x^c)^\varphi$, $x \in (V^c)^\varphi$. Consequently V is a neighbourhood of x by Theorem 2. Q. E. D.

Summing up the above results we get:

Theorem 4. If S is a neighbourhood space satisfying (N. 1)–(N. 3), then each topology defined by Definition 2 satisfies the following two conditions concerning closure,

$$(A \cup B)^\varphi \supset A^\varphi \cup B^\varphi,$$

$$(A \cup B)^\varphi \supset A^\varphi \cup B^\varphi,$$

and moreover the φ -topologies form a Boolean algebra.

Math. Inst., Tôhoku Univ., Sendai.

(5) Necessity was communicated by Prof. A. Komatu, to whom the author expresses his hearty thanks.