## NOTES ON FOURIER ANALYSIS (XXXIX):

## THEOREMS CONCERNING CESARO SUMMABILITY\*)

By

SHIN-ICHI IZUMI AND GEN-ICHIRÔ SUNOUCHI

In this paper it is proved that, if

(1) 
$$\int_0^t \varphi_x(u) du = o\left(t/\log\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0,$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable (C,1) at t=x, and if  $0<\alpha<1$  and

(2) 
$$\int_0^t \varphi_x(u) du = o(t^{1/\alpha}), \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0,$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $(C, \alpha)$  at t=x. These theorems are known (Wang [7], [8]), but we give two kinds of proof. Each method is generalized to prove more general theorem. We prove that o in (1) and (2) cannot be replaced by O in these theorems.

§ 1. THEOREM 1. If

(1) 
$$\int_0^t \varphi(u) du = o\left(t/\log\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad as \quad t \to 0,$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi_x(u) = \{f(x+u) + f(x-u) - 2f(x)\}/2,$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable (C, 1) at t=x.

We prove this theorem in two ways, one using Young's function and the other using the Fejér kernel, respectively.

The first Proof of Theorem 1. For  $\alpha > 0$ , Young's function is defined by (Hobson [2] and Bosanquet [1])

$$\gamma_{1+\alpha}(u) = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\alpha} \cos tu \, dt.$$

Then, as is well known,  $\gamma_{1+\alpha}(u)$  and its derivative  $\gamma'_{1+\alpha}(u)$  are bounded for  $n \ge 0$  and

$$(3) \quad \gamma_{1+\alpha}(u) \sim \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{u^{1+\alpha}} \cos\left(u - \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\pi\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\alpha+2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{u^2}\right) \quad (u \to \infty)$$

and  $\gamma'_{1+\alpha}(u)$  has the behaviour of the derivative of the right hand side of (3) as  $u\to\infty$ . Especially, for  $0<\alpha\leq 1$ ,

$$(4) \gamma_{1+\alpha}(u) = O(1/u^{1+\alpha}) (u \to \infty).$$

The necessary and sufficient condition that the Fourier series of f(t) is summable (C, 1) at t=x, is that

<sup>\*)</sup> Received Apr. 3rd., 1950.

(5) 
$$\sigma_{\omega} \equiv \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2}(\omega u) \varphi(u) du = o(1) \quad (\omega \to \infty),$$

where

$$\gamma_2(u) = O(1/u^2) \quad (u \to \infty), \quad \gamma_2(u) = O(1) \quad (u \to 0)$$

by (4).

Letting 0 < r < 1/2, we divide the integral (5) into two parts such as

say. Then we have

and

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \omega \int_0^{1/\omega^r} & \gamma_2(\omega u) \varphi(u) du \\ &= [\omega \gamma_2(\omega u) \varphi_1(u)]_0^{1/\omega^r} - \omega^2 \int_0^{1/\omega^r} & \gamma_2'(\omega u) \varphi_1(u) du \\ &\equiv J_1 - J_2, \end{split}$$

say, where  $\varphi_1(u) = \int_0^u \varphi(t) dt$ . We have

$$J_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = O(\omega^{\scriptscriptstyle -1+2r} | arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(1/\omega^r)|) = O(\omega^{\scriptscriptstyle -1+2r})$$

and

say, where

$$egin{aligned} K_1 &= o\Big(\omega^2 \int_0^{1/\omega} O\Big(u/\log rac{1}{u}\Big) du\Big) = o(1/\log \omega) = o(1), \ K_2 &= o\Big(\int_{1/\omega}^{1/\omega'} rac{du}{u \log 1/u}\Big) = o\Big(\log rac{1}{r}\Big) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking 0 < r < 1/2,  $I_2 = o(1)$  and  $I_1 = J_1 + o(1) = o(1)$ . Thus we get (5), which is the required.

By this method of proof, we get the following generalization.

Theorem 2. If  $\alpha > 0$  and

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)t} \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{u}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(u) du = o\left(1/\log\frac{1}{t}\right),$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $(C, \alpha)$  at t=x.

For, putting  $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$ , the Cesàro mean of the Fourier series of f(t) of order  $\beta$  is equivalent to (Bosanquet [1])

$$\sigma_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{s}} \equiv \omega \int_{0}^{\eta} \varphi_{\omega}(t) J_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\omega}(\omega t) dt,$$

where

$$J^{\alpha}_{\beta}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha+\beta}} \cos\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}(1+\alpha+\beta)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)$$

as  $t\to\infty$ . Thus we can prove  $\sigma_{\omega}^{\beta}=o(1)$  as the proof of Theorem 1.

The second proof of Theorem 1. The Cesàro mean of the Fourier series of f(t) of the first order is, using the Fejér kernel,

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_n &= rac{1}{2\pi (n+1)} \int_0^\pi \! arphi(t) rac{\sin^2(n+1)t/2}{\sin^2\!t/2} dt \ &= rac{2}{\pi 2} \int_0^\pi \! arphi(t) rac{\sin^2 nt/2}{t^2} dt + o(1) \ &= rac{2}{\pi n} \Big( \int_0^{1/n^r} \! + \int_{1/n^r}^\pi \! \Big) \! arphi(t) rac{\sin^2 nt/2}{t^2} dt + o(1) \ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

say, where 0 < r < 1/2. Then we have

$$I_2 = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-2r}}\int_0^{\pi} |\varphi(t)| dt\right) = o(1),$$

and, by the integration by parts,

$$I_1 = rac{2}{\pi} \Big( \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^{1/n^r} \Big) \Big[ \Phi_1(t) rac{\sin nt}{t} + rac{1}{n} \Phi_1(t) rac{\sin^2 nt/2}{t^2} \Big] dt + \Big[ rac{2}{\pi n} \Phi_1(t) rac{\sin^2 nt}{t^2} \Big]_0^{1/n^r} \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3,$$

say, where

$$\Phi_1(t) = \varphi_1(t)/t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi(u) du.$$

By the hypothesis  $\Phi_1(t) = o\left(1/\log\frac{1}{t}\right)$ , whence

$$J_1 + J_3 = o(1), \ J_2 = o\Big(\int_{1/n}^{1/n^T} rac{dt}{t \log rac{1}{t}}\Big) = o\Big(\Big[\log \log rac{1}{t}\Big]_{1/n}^{1/n^T}\Big) = o(1).$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

§ 2. THEOREM 3. In Theorem 1, o in (1) cannot be replaced by O. PROOF. It is sufficient to construct a function f(t) such that the Fourier series is not summable (C, 1) at t=x and

$$\int_0^t \varphi(u) du = O\left(t/\log \frac{1}{t}\right).$$

Let  $(c_k)$  be a sequence of positive numbers and  $(M_k)$ ,  $(m_k)$ ,  $(n_k)$  be increasing sequences of integers, which will be determined later. Let us take a sequence of intervals

$$I_k \equiv \left(\frac{\pi}{n_k}, \frac{\pi}{n_k} + \frac{\pi}{m_k}\right) \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

which are disjoint mutually. Let f(t) be an even periodic function such that

(8) 
$$f(t) = (-1)^k c_k \left[ t \cos M_k t + \frac{1}{M_k} \sin M_k t \right]$$

in  $I_k(k=1,2,...)$  and f(t)=0 in  $(0,\pi)-\vee I_k$ . Supposing  $x=0, \varphi(u)=\varphi_0(u)=f(t)$  and

$$\begin{split} \int_{I_k} |f(t)| dt &= c_k \int_{I_k} \left| t \cos M_k t + \frac{1}{M_k} \sin M_k t \right| dt \\ &\leq \frac{c_k}{n_k m_k} + \frac{c_k}{n_k M_k}. \end{split}$$

If we suppose that

(9)  $m_k|M_k$ ,  $n_k|M_k$  (k=1,2,...), in order that f is integrable, it is sufficient that

$$(10) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{n_k m_k} < \infty.$$

We have also

$$\int_{I_k} f(t) dt = \left[ rac{c_k}{M_k} t \sin M_k t 
ight]_{t=\pi/n_k}^{\pi/n_k+\pi/m_k} = 0,$$

and then

$$\int_0^t f(u)du = \int_{\pi/n_k}^t f(u)du = \frac{c_k}{M_k} t \sin M_k t$$

for t in  $I_k$ . Taking

 $(11) m_k/n_k \to 0 (k \to \infty),$ 

(6) is satisfied when

$$(12) c_k \log m_k/M_k \to a \neq 0.$$

Let us now consider the Fourier series of f(t) and  $\sigma_n$  be its Cesaro mean of the first order. Then,

$$\sigma_{n} = \int_{0}^{\pi} f(t) \frac{\sin^{2} nt/2}{nt^{2}} dt + o(1)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \Phi_{1}(t) \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt - \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Phi_{1}(t) \frac{\sin^{2} nt/2}{t^{2}} dt + o(1)$$

$$\equiv J_{1} + J_{2} + o(1) = J_{1} + o(1),$$

say, where

$$\begin{split} \Phi_1(t) &\equiv \frac{\varphi_1(t)}{t} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u) du. \\ \frac{\pi}{8} J_1 &= \int_0^{\pi} \Phi_1(t) \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_i} \Phi_n(t) \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt. \end{split}$$

Putting  $n \equiv M_k$  and dividing the above sum into three parts,

$$\frac{\pi}{8}J_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} + \int_{I_k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \equiv K_1 + K_2 + K_3,$$

say. We have

$$\begin{split} (-1)^{k} K_{2} &= \frac{c_{k}}{M_{k}} \int_{I_{k}} \frac{\sin^{2} M_{k} t}{t} dt = \frac{c_{k}}{2M_{k}} \int_{I_{k}} \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{\cos 2M_{k} t}{t}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{c_{k}}{2M_{k}} \log \left(1 + \frac{n_{k}}{m_{k}}\right) - \frac{c_{k}}{2M_{k}} \int_{2\pi M_{k}/n_{k}}^{2(\pi/n_{k} + \pi/m_{k})M_{k}} \frac{\cos t}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{c_{k}}{2M_{k}} \log \frac{n_{k}}{m_{k}} + O\left(\frac{c_{k}n_{k}}{M_{k}^{2}}\right) + o(1). \end{split}$$

If we suppose that

$$(13) n_k = m_k^2 (k = 1, 2, ...),$$

then  $\log \frac{n_k}{m_k} = \log m_k$ , whence  $(-1)^k K_2 \to a/2$  by (12). Concerning  $K_1$ ,

$$\begin{split} K_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \int_{I_i} \frac{c_i}{M_t} \frac{\sin M_i t \sin M_k t}{t} dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \frac{c_i}{2M_t} \int_{\pi/n_t}^{\pi/n_t + n/m_t} [\cos(M_k - M_i)t + \cos(M_k + M_i)t] \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \frac{c_i}{2M_t} \Big\{ \int_{\pi/m_t + \pi/m_t \setminus (M_k - M_i)}^{(\pi/n_t + \pi/m_t) \setminus (M_k - M_i)} \frac{\cos t}{t} dt + \int_{\pi/m_t + \pi/m_t \setminus (M_k + M_i) \setminus n_t}^{(\pi/n_t + \pi/m_t) \setminus (M_k + M_i)} \frac{\cos t}{t} dt \Big\} \\ &= O\Big( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{c_i}{M_i} \frac{n_t}{M_k - M_i} \Big). \end{split}$$

If we suppose that  $(M_k)$  is convex and

(14) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{c_i n_i}{(k-i)M_i(M_{i+1}-M_i)} = o(1),$$

then  $K_1 = o(1)$ .

then 
$$K_1 = o(1)$$
. Similarly  $K_2 = o(1)$ , when
$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i n_i}{M_i (M_i - M_k)} = o(1).$$

Thus  $\sigma_n$  does not converge when  $(M_k)$ ,  $(m_k)$  and  $(n_k)$  satisfy the conditions (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14) and (15).

Let us define the sequence  $(M_k)$ ,  $(m_k)$ ,  $(n_k)$  satisfying the required conditions. Firstly, let

$$M_1 \equiv 2^5, \, m_1 \equiv 2^2, \, n_1 = 2^4, \, c_1 \equiv 2^5/(2 \log 2).$$

Taking  $\mu_2$  such as  $\mu_2^2 > 2n_1$ 

$$M_2 \equiv \mu_2^5, \, m_2 \equiv \mu_2^2, \, n_2 \equiv \mu_2^4, \, c_2 \equiv \mu_2^5/(2 \log \mu_2).$$

Further, taking  $\mu_3$  such as  $\mu_3^2 > 2n_2$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $m_3$ ,  $n_3$ ,  $c_3$  will be defined as above. In general, if  $M_{k-1}$ ,  $m_{k-1}$ ,  $m_{k-1}$  and  $c_{k-1}$  are defined, then we take  $\mu_k$  such as  $\mu_k^2 > 2n_{k-1}$  and put

$$M_k \equiv \mu_k^5, m_k \equiv \mu_k^2, n_k \equiv \mu_k^4, c_k \equiv \mu_k^5/(2 \log \mu_k).$$

Thus  $(M_k)$ ,  $(m_k)$ ,  $(n_k)$  and  $(c_k)$  are completely defined and, as easily may be verified, satisfy the required conditions.

§ 3. Theorem 4. If 
$$0 < \alpha < 1$$
 and

$$\int_0^t \varphi(u)du = o(t^{1/\alpha}),$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $(C, \alpha)$  at t=x.

We will also give two proofs.

THE FIRST PROOF OF THEOREM 4. The necessary and sufficient condition that the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $(C, \alpha)$ , is that

(16) 
$$\sigma_{\omega}^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1+\alpha}(\omega u) \varphi(u) du = o(1) \quad (\omega \to \infty).$$

Let 0 < r < 1 and  $\psi \equiv \psi(\omega)$  tend to  $\infty$  sufficiently slowly. Dividing the integral (16) into two parts,

say, where

(17) 
$$I_{2} = \omega \int_{\psi|\omega^{r}}^{\infty} (\omega u)^{-(1+\alpha)} |\varphi(u)| du$$

$$= O\left\{ \frac{1}{\omega^{\alpha}} \left( \omega^{(1+\alpha)r} \psi^{-(1+\alpha)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{1+\alpha}} \right) \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\varphi| du \right\}$$

$$= O\left( \omega^{-\alpha+(1+\alpha)r} \psi^{-(1+\alpha)} \right) = O\left( \omega^{-\alpha+(1+\alpha)r} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \omega \int_0^{\psi/\omega^r} \gamma_{1+\alpha}(\omega u) \varphi(u) du \\ &= \omega \Big[ \gamma_{1+\alpha}(\omega u) \varphi_1(u) \Big]_0^{\psi/\omega^r} - \omega^2 \int_0^{\psi/\omega^r} \gamma_{1+\alpha}'(\omega u) \varphi_1(u) du \\ &\equiv J_1 + J_{22} \end{split}$$

say. Since 
$$\varphi_1(u) = \int_0^u \varphi(t) dt = o(u^{1/\alpha})$$
, we have 
$$J_1 = \omega \omega^{-(1+\alpha)} \omega^{(1+\alpha)r} \varphi^{-(1+\alpha)} \varphi_1(\psi/\omega^r)$$
$$= o(\omega^{-\alpha+(1+\alpha)r} (\psi^{1/\alpha-1-\alpha}/\omega^{r/\alpha})).$$

We can suppose that

$$\psi^{1/\alpha-1-\alpha}/\omega^{r/\alpha}=o(1)\quad (\omega\to\infty).$$

Thus

$$J_1 = o(\omega^{-\alpha + (1+\alpha)r}).$$

Concerning  $J_2$ , we put

$$J_2=\omega^2\int_0^{1/\omega}+\,\omega^2\int_{1/\omega}^{\psi/\omega^T}\!\equiv K_1\,+\,K_2.$$

Then

(19) 
$$K_1 = \omega^2 \int_0^{1/\omega} o(u^{1/\alpha}) du = o(\omega^{1-1/\alpha}) = o(1).$$

If we take  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(u)$  such as  $\mathbf{x}(u)$  tends to zero and

$$\varphi_1(u) = o(u^{1/\alpha}X),$$

then

$$K_2 = \omega^2 \int_{1/\omega}^{\psi/\omega^r} \omega^{-(1+\alpha)} u^{-(1+\alpha)} o(u^{1/\alpha} \chi(u)) du$$

$$= o\left(\omega^{1-\alpha}\chi(\omega^{-r/2})\int_{1/\omega}^{\psi/\omega^{r}} u^{-1-\alpha-1/\alpha}du\right)$$
$$= o\left(\omega^{1-\alpha-(1/\alpha-\alpha)r}\chi(\omega^{-r/2})\psi^{1/\alpha-\alpha}\right).$$

If we suppose

$$\chi(\omega^{-r/2})\psi(\omega)^{1/\alpha-\alpha}=O(1) \quad (\omega\to\infty),$$

which is always possible, then .

(20) 
$$K_{\mathbf{z}} = o(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha})-(1/\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})r}).$$

Let us take  $r \equiv \alpha/(1+\alpha)$ . Then, by (17), (18), (19) and (20),

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\sigma_{\omega}^{\alpha} = I_1 + I_2 = (J_1 + J_2) + I_2$$

$$= (J_1 + K_1 + K_2) + I_2 = o(1).$$

Thus the theorem is completely proved.

REMARK. Hsiang [3] has proved that if

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_t^{\pi} \varphi(u) / u^{1/\alpha} du$$

exists, then the Fourier series is summable (C, 1), but not summable  $(C, \beta)$  for  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ . Since

$$\int_0^t \varphi(u) du = t^{1/\alpha} \int_t^{\pi} \frac{\varphi(u)}{u^{1/\alpha}} du - \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_u^t u^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} du \int_u^{\pi} \frac{\varphi(v)}{v^{1/\alpha}} dv,$$

(\*) implies (2). Hence Theorem 4 shows that if (\*) holds, then the Fourier series is summable  $(C, \alpha)$ , Theorem 4 has early proved by Wang [7]. But by the method used here, we can generalize the Wang theorem in the following form.

Theorem 5. If  $\gamma > \beta \ge 1$ , and

$$\Phi_{m{eta}}(t) \equiv rac{1}{\Gamma(m{eta})} \int_0^t (t-u)^{m{eta}-1} m{arphi}(u) du = o(t^{\gamma}),$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $(C, \beta - (\gamma - \beta)/(\gamma - \beta + 1))$ .

PROOF. Put  $\gamma - \beta = \eta > 0$ , then the theorem is equivalent to

(21) 
$$\varphi_{\beta}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{u}{t}\right)_0^{\beta - 1} \varphi(u) du = o(t^{\eta}),$$

implies  $(C, \beta - \eta/(1+\eta))$ -summability.

Using the formula in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$\sigma^{\alpha}(\omega) = \omega \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{\delta}(t) J_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\omega t) dt + o(1)$$
$$= I(\omega) + o(1)$$

and

$$J_{\alpha}^{\delta}(x) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \frac{\cos\left\{x - \frac{\pi}{2}(1 + \alpha + \delta)\right\}}{x^{1+\alpha-\delta}} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)$$

as  $x \to \infty$ 

If we put  $\beta = \delta + 1$ , then (21) is equivalent to

(22) 
$$\varphi_{\delta+1}(u) = o(t^{\eta}).$$

So  $\varphi_{\delta}(u)$  is integrable in the sense of Cauchy-Lebesgue. Put

$$\Phi(t) \equiv \int_0^t \! arphi_\delta(u) du,$$

then by (22), we get

$$\Phi(t) = o(t^{1+\eta}).$$

Then

$$egin{aligned} I(oldsymbol{\omega}) &\equiv oldsymbol{\omega} \int_0^1 & oldsymbol{arphi}_\delta(t) J_{oldsymbol{arphi}}^\delta(\omega t) dt = oldsymbol{\omega} \int_0^{oldsymbol{\omega}^{-r_\psi}} + oldsymbol{\omega} \int_{oldsymbol{\omega}^{-r_\psi}}^1 & = I_1 + I_2 \end{aligned}$$

say, Firstly

If we assume

$$\varepsilon = \alpha - \delta > 0$$
 and  $r = \varepsilon/(1 + \varepsilon)$ ,

then by applying the second mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= o(\omega^{-\varepsilon + r(1+\varepsilon)}) + \omega^2 \int_{\omega^{-r}\psi}^{1} \Phi(t) \frac{\sin\left\{\omega t - \frac{\pi}{2}(1+\alpha+\delta)\right\}}{(\omega t)^{1+\alpha-\delta}} dt + o(1) \\ &= o(1) + \omega^{1-\varepsilon} \omega^{r(1+\varepsilon)} \psi^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \int_{\omega^{-r}\theta}^{1} \left|\sin\left\{\omega t - \frac{\pi}{2}(1+\alpha+\delta)\right\}\right| dt \\ &= o(1) + O(\omega^{-\varepsilon} \omega^{\varepsilon} \psi^{-(1+\varepsilon)}) = o(1). \end{split}$$

Next we get

$$I_1 = \omega \int_0^{\omega^{-r} \psi} \varphi_{\delta}(t) J_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\omega t) dt$$
$$= o(\omega^{1-\varepsilon+(1+\eta-\varepsilon)r}) = o(1),$$

by the analogous method to the proof of Theorem 4, for the kernel is same order. The order of summabllity  $\alpha$  is determind by

$$\alpha = \delta + 1/(1+\eta) = \beta - 1 + 1/(1+\eta) = \beta - \eta/(1+\eta)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \alpha - \delta = 1/(1 + \eta).$$

REMARK. The order of summability by Wang's theorem is

$$\beta - \eta(\beta + 1 - n)/(n + \eta),$$

where  $n \ge \gamma > n-1$  and  $n \ge 2$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{1+\eta} > \frac{1+\beta-n}{n+\eta}, \quad (\text{for } n-\beta > 0, \ n \ge 2)$$

our theorem is better than Wang's.

The second proof of Theorem 4. Let the Cesàro mean of Fourier series of f(t) of the  $\alpha$ -th order  $\sigma_n^{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi(t) K_n^{\alpha}(t) dt,$$

 $K_n^{\alpha}(t)$  being Fejér kernel. It is known that

(23) 
$$\begin{cases} |K_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(t)| \leq C/n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}t^{1+\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \quad (nt \geq 1), \\ |[K_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(t)]'| \leq n^2, \\ |[K_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(t)]'| \leq Cn^{1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}/t^{1+\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \quad (nt \geq 1), \end{cases}$$

C being an absolute constant. This is proved for  $1 < \alpha < 2$  by Zygmund [10, p. 48 and p. 56] using Abel's transformation twice, but in our case it is sufficient to use it once. Now

$$\begin{split} \sigma_n^{\alpha} &= -\left[\int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \right] [K_n^{\alpha}(t)]' \varphi_1(t) dt \\ &+ \left[\varphi_1(t) K_n^{\alpha}(t)\right]_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} + \int_{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}^{\pi} \varphi(t) K_n^{\alpha}(t) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{split}$$

say, where  $\psi \equiv \psi(n)$  increases indefinitely and sufficiently slowly. Using (23),

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= o\Big(n^2 \int_0^{1/n} t^{1/\alpha} dt\Big) = o\left(n^2/n^{1+1/\alpha}\right) = o(1) \\ I_2 &= o\Big(n^{-1-\alpha} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} t^{1/\alpha-\alpha-1} dt\Big) = o(1) \\ I_3 &= o\Big(\Big[t^{1/\alpha} K_n^{\alpha}(t)\Big]_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}\Big) = o\Big(\frac{\psi^{1/\alpha-\alpha-1}}{n^{1/(1+\alpha)}}\Big) \\ I_4 &= O\Big(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \int_{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi|}{t^{1+\alpha}}\Big) = O\Big(\frac{1}{\psi} \int_0^{\pi} |\varphi| dt\Big) = O\Big(\frac{1}{\psi}\Big). \end{split}$$

Thus we get  $\sigma_n^{\alpha} = o(1)$ .

Theorem 6. In Theorem 4, o in (2) connot be replaced by O.

Proof runs similarly as Theorem 3. (cf. the succeeding paper, Izumi [5]).

REMARK. Theorem 6 is better than the second part of Hsiang's theorem [3]. For, if  $\varphi_1(u) = O(u^{1/\beta}) (0 < \beta < \alpha)$ , then

$$\int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{\varphi(u)}{u^{1/\alpha}} du = \left[\frac{\varphi_{1}(u)}{u^{1/\alpha}}\right]_{\eta}^{t} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{\varphi_{1}(u)}{u^{1/\alpha+1}} du$$

exists as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ .

§ 4. We can now generalize Theorem 5.

Theorem 7. If  $0 < \beta < \gamma$  and

$$\Phi_{m{eta}}(t) \equiv rac{1}{\Gamma(m{eta})} \int_0^t (t-u)^{m{eta}-1} m{arphi}(u) du = o(t^{m{\gamma}}),$$

then the Fourier series of f(t) is  $(C, \alpha)$  summable at t=x, where  $\alpha > \beta/(\gamma - \beta + 1)$ .

Proof. It is known that

(F. T. Wang [9]) and 
$$s_n = O(n^{\gamma/(\beta+1)})$$
$$\sigma^{(\gamma+\varepsilon)} = (n^{\beta-\gamma}) \quad (\varepsilon > 0)$$

(F. T. Wang [7] and Hyslop [4]). Thus by Riesz's convexity theorem [6], we get the theorem.

THEOREM 8. If 
$$0 < \beta < \gamma$$
,  $\beta \le 1 + (\gamma - \beta)$  and  $\Phi_{\beta}(t) = o(t^{\gamma})$ ,

then the Fourier series of f(t) is summable  $(C, \beta/(\gamma-\beta+1))$  at t=x.

REMARK. It is conjectured that the condition  $\beta \leq 1 + (\gamma - \beta)$  is superfluous, that is, may be taken such as

$$\alpha = \beta/(\gamma - \beta + 1)$$

in Theorem 7. We could prove this for integreal  $\beta$ .

PROOF. We will begin by the case  $0 < \beta < 1$ . This case is contained in the next case, but the proof of this case suggests that of the general case. Let us consider the Cesàro mean of Fourier series of f(t) order  $\alpha$ , which we denote by  $\sigma_n^{\sigma}$ . We have, putting  $\alpha \equiv \beta/(\gamma - \beta + 1)$ ,

as in the proof of Theorem 4. If we denote the last integral by I, then

$$egin{aligned} I &= \int_0^{\psi/n^{m{lpha}/(1+m{lpha})}} [K_n^{m{lpha}}(t)]' dt \int_0^t &\Phi_{m{eta}}(u) (t-u)^{-m{eta}} du \ &= \int_0^{1/n} \! dt \int_0^t \! du + \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{m{lpha}/(1+m{lpha})}} \! dt \int_0^t du \equiv I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. By (22)

$$egin{align} I_1 &= O\!\!\left(n^2\!\int_0^{1/n}\!\!dt \int_0^t\!\!u^{\!\gamma}(t-u)^{-eta}\!\!du
ight) \ &= O\!\!\left(n^2\!\!\int_0^{1/n}\!\!t^{\!\gamma-eta+1}\!\!dt
ight) = O(n^2\!/n^{\!\gamma-eta+2}) = O(1/n^{\!\gamma-eta}) \ &= o(1). \end{split}$$

Concerning  $I_2$ ,

$$I_{2} = \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} [K_{n}^{\alpha}(t)]' dt \int_{0}^{t} \Phi_{\beta}(u) (t-u)^{-\beta} du$$

$$= \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} du \int_{u}^{u+1/n} dt + \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1/n} du \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{1/n} du \int_{1/n}^{u+1/n} dt - \int_{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} du \int_{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}^{u+1/n} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 - J_4, \\ & J_1 = o\Big(\int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma} du \int_{u}^{u+1/n} n^{1-\mathfrak{a}} t^{-(1+\mathfrak{a})} (t-u)^{-\beta} dt \Big) \\ & = o\Big(n^{1-\mathfrak{a}} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma-\mathfrak{a}-1} du \int_{u}^{u+1/n} (t-u)^{-\beta} dt \Big) \\ & = o\Big(\frac{n^{1-\mathfrak{a}}}{n^{1-\beta}} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma-\mathfrak{a}-1} du \Big) = 0\Big(n^{\beta-\mathfrak{a}-\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{1+\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma-\mathfrak{a})}\Big) \\ & = o(1), \\ \text{for } \gamma > \alpha \text{ and } (1+\alpha) (\beta-\alpha) - \alpha(\gamma-\alpha) = 0. \quad \text{Now} \\ & J_2 = \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})} - 1/n} \Phi_{\beta}(u) du \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} [K_n^{\mathfrak{a}}(t)]'(t-u)^{-\beta} dt \\ & = \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})} - 1/n} \Phi_{\beta}(u) du \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} K_n^{\mathfrak{a}}(t) \Big[(t-u)^{-\beta-1} dt \Big]. \\ \text{By } (21), \text{ we have} \\ & J_2 = o\Big[\int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma} du \Big\{ \frac{n^{\beta-\mathfrak{a}}}{(u+1/n)^{\mathfrak{a}+1}} + \frac{1}{\psi^{\mathfrak{a}+1}} \Big(\frac{\psi}{n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} - u\Big)^{-\beta} \\ & + \frac{1}{n^{\mathfrak{a}}} \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} \frac{dt}{t^{\mathfrak{a}+1}(t-u)^{\beta+1}} \Big\} \Big] \\ & = o\Big(n^{\beta-\mathfrak{a}} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma-\mathfrak{a}-1} du + \frac{1}{\psi^{\mathfrak{a}+1}} \int_{0}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma} \Big(\frac{\psi}{n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} - u\Big)^{-\beta} du \\ & + \frac{1}{n^{\mathfrak{a}}} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\mathfrak{a}/(1+\mathfrak{a})}} u^{\gamma-\mathfrak{a}-\beta-1} du\Big) \\ & = o\Big(n^{\beta-\mathfrak{a}-\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{1+\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma-\mathfrak{a})} + \frac{1}{\psi^{\mathfrak{a}+1}} + \frac{1}{n^{\gamma-\beta}} + \frac{1}{n^{\mathfrak{a}+\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{1+\mathfrak{a}}}(\gamma-\mathfrak{a}-\beta)} \Big) \\ & = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J_3+J_4=o(1)$ , we get  $I_2=o(1)$ , and then I=o(1). Thus the theorem is proved for the case  $0<\beta<1$ .

Let us now turn to the case  $0 < \alpha = \beta/(\gamma - \beta + 1) \le 1$ . There is an integer k > 1 such that  $k - 1 \le \beta < k$ . We suppose that  $k - 1 < \beta < k$ , for the case  $\beta = k$  can be easily deduced by the following argument. As we have already seen,

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha} = rac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\psi_n^{(\alpha)(1+\alpha)}} \varphi(t) K_n^{\alpha}(t) dt + o(1).$$

By k time application of integration by parts, the last integral, which we denote by I', becomes

$$I' = (-1)^k \int_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \Phi_k(t) [K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{(k)} dt + \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} \left[ \Phi_{h+1}(t) [K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{(h)} \right]_{t=0}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}$$

$$\equiv (-1)^k I_1' + I_2',$$

Now, since say.

$$\Phi_1(t) = o(1), \quad \Phi_{\beta}(t) = o(t^{\gamma}),$$

we have

$$\Phi_{h+1}(t) = o(t^{\gamma h/(\beta-1)})$$

by the M. Riesz theorem [6]. On the other hand, by Zygmund [10, p. 259], we have

(24) 
$$[K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{h} = O\left(\frac{n^{h-\alpha}}{t^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{n^{h-s}}{t^{s+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{n^j t^{j+h+1}}\right)$$

for  $nt \ge 1$ , s being sufficiently large integer and

(25) 
$$[K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{(h)} = O(n^{h+1})$$

for all t. Since  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , we have, for  $h \ge 0$ ,

$$\frac{n^{h-\alpha}}{t^{\alpha+1}} \ge \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{n^j t^{j+h+1}}.$$

Hence we have

(26)

$$[K_n^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(t)]^{(h)} = O(n^{h-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}/t^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+1}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \Phi_{h+1}(t) \left\{ K_n^{\alpha}(t) \right\}^{(h)} \right]_{t=\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} = o\left( \left[ t_{\beta-1}^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\alpha-1}} n^{h-\alpha} \right]_{t=\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \right) \\ & = o\left( \psi^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta-1}h-\alpha-1} / n^{\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta-1} \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}-1\right)h} \right) = o(1) \\ & h \geq 0. \quad \text{Therefore } I_2 = o(1). \end{split}$$

for 
$$h \ge 0$$
. Therefore  $I_2 = o(1)$ .
$$I_1' = \int_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \Phi_k(t) [K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{(k)} dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} [K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{(k)} dt \int_0^t \Phi_{\beta}(u) (t-u)^{k-\beta-1} du$$

$$= \int_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \Phi_{\beta}(u) du \int_u^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} [K_n^{\alpha}(t)]^{(k)} (t-u)^{k-\beta-1} dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} du \int_u^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} dt + \int_0^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1/n} du \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} dt$$

$$- \int_{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} du \int_{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} dt$$

say, and

$$J_1' = \int_0^{1/n} du \int_u^{u+1/n} dt + \int_{1/n}^{\mu/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} du \int_u^{u+1/n} dt \equiv K_1' + K_2',$$

Then we have, by (25),
$$K_1' = o\left(n^{k+1} \int_0^{1/n} u^{\gamma} du \frac{1}{n^{k-\beta}}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\gamma-\beta}}\right) = o(1),$$

and, by (26)

$$K_2' = o\left(\int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} u' du \int_u^{u+1/n} \frac{n^{k-\alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}} (t-u)^{k-\beta-1} dt\right)$$

$$= o\left(n^{k-\alpha} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} u^{\gamma-\alpha-1} du \int_{u}^{u+1/n} (t-u)^{k-\beta-1} dt\right)$$

$$= o\left(\frac{n^{k-\alpha}}{n^{k-\beta}} \int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} u^{\gamma-\alpha-1} du\right)$$

$$= o\left(n^{\beta-\alpha-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}(\gamma-\alpha)}\right) = o(1).$$

Hence  $J_1 = o(1)$ .

Concerning  $J_2$ , if we use integration by parts k times in the inner integral, then we have

$$J_{2} = \int_{0}^{\psi |n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1|n} \Phi_{\beta}(u) du \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi |n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} [K_{n}^{\alpha}(t)]^{(k)} (t-u)^{k-\beta-1} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\psi |n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1|n} \Phi_{\beta}(u) du \left\{ (-1)^{k} C \int_{u+1/n}^{\psi |n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} K_{n}^{\alpha}(t) (t-u)^{-\beta-1} du \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} C_{h} \left[ [K_{n}^{\alpha}(t)]^{(h)} (t-u)^{h-\beta} \right]_{t=u+1/n}^{\psi |n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \right\}$$

$$= L' + \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} L'_{h},$$

say, where C and  $C_h$  are constants arising by differentiation.

By (21)
$$L' = o\left(\int_{1/n}^{*\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} u^{\gamma} du \int_{u+1/n}^{*\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} \frac{dt}{n^{\alpha}t^{1+\alpha}(t-u)^{\beta+1}}\right)$$

$$= o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} u^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta-1} du\right)$$

$$=o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\gamma-\beta}}+\frac{1}{n^{\alpha(\gamma-\beta+1)/(1+\alpha)}}\right)+o(1)=o(1),$$

and by (26)

$$I'_{h} = o\left(\frac{n^{h-\alpha}}{n^{h-\beta}}\int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} u^{\gamma-\alpha-1}du + \frac{n^{h}}{\psi^{\alpha+1}}\int_{1/n}^{\psi/n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}-1/n} u^{\gamma}\left(\frac{\psi}{n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}} - u\right)^{h-\beta}du\right)$$

$$= o\left(n^{\beta-\alpha-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}(\gamma-\alpha)} + n^{\beta-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}(\gamma+1)}\right) = o(1).$$

Thus  $J_2'=o(1)$ . Since we have easily  $J_3'=o(1)$ , we get I'=o(1), which is the required.

## Literature.

- [1] Bosanquer, L.S., On the Cesàro summation of Fourier series and allied series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 37 (1934), 17-32.
- [2] Hosson, E. W., The theory of functions of a real variable, Vol. II, Cambridge (1925).
- [3] HSTANG, F.C., The summability  $(C, 1-\varepsilon)$  of Fourier series, Duke Math. Journ., 13 (1946), 43-50.
- [4] Hyslop, J. M., Note on a group of theorems in the theory of Fourier series, Journ.

London Math. Soc., 24 (1949) 91-100.

- [5] Izumi, S., Notes on Fourier Analysis (XXXIII): Negative examples in the theory of Fourier series, in the press.
- [6] Riesz, M., Sur un théorème de la moyenne et ses applications, Acta Szeged, 1 (1922), 114-126.
- [7] WANG, F.T.. A note on Cesàro summability of Fourier series, Annals of Math., 44 (1943), 397-400.
- [8] WANG, F. T., A remark on (C) summability of Fourier series, Journ. London Math. Soc., 22 (1947), 40-47.
- [9] WANG, F. T., On the convergence factor of Fourier series at a point, II, Science Rep. Tôhoku Imp. Univ., 24 (1935), 665-696.
- [10] Zygmund, A., Trigonometrical series, Warsawa (1935).

Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University, Sendai.