

# AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF BROUWER'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

TAKEJIRO SEKI

(Received December 25, 1956)

The well-known classical Brouwer's fixed point theorem reads:

*If  $f$  maps continuously an  $n$  dimensional sphere  $\|X\| \leq 1$  into itself, there exists a fixed point  $X$  such that  $f(X) = X$ .*

Here in this brief note an alternative proof of the theorem will be presented: this will be carried out by appealing to some elementary results on analytic functions rather than to a combinatoric lemma regarding a simplex on which the customary proof is based.

In §2 the proof for the general case will be offered. We should like to point out, however, that the case for  $n = 2$  allows us to obtain an extremely simple proof, which will be first described in §1.

**1. Case  $n = 2$ .** We designate a point by a complex number  $z = x + yi$  in a Gaussian plane. Without loss of generality we assume that  $f$  maps continuously a square  $K: |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1$  into itself.

We assume  $f$  has no fixed point. Then  $w = z - f(z)$  is continuous on  $K$  and does not vanish, and therefore  $\text{Amp } w$  is defined everywhere in  $K$ .

Take an arbitrary square  $M$  in  $K$ . If  $z$  runs around the boundary of  $M$  once in positive direction, the increment of  $\text{Amp } w$  is evidently a multiple of  $2\pi$ , which we denote by  $\rho(M)$ .

On the boundary of  $K$

$$-\pi < \text{Amp } w - \text{Amp } z < \pi$$

holds, as is easily shown by graphical consideration; and so, if  $z$  runs around the boundary of  $K$ , the increment of  $\text{Amp } w - \text{Amp } z$  is zero. Since  $\text{Amp } z$  is increased by  $2\pi$  when  $z$  runs around the boundary of  $K$ , the corresponding increment of  $\text{Amp } w$  is also  $2\pi$ . Therefore we have  $\rho(K) = 2\pi$ .

Now, if we subdivide  $K$  into  $m^2$  squares  $K_1, \dots, K_{m^2}$ , each with edge of length  $2/m$ , the following relation holds as is easily seen:

$$\rho(K) = \rho(K_1) + \dots + \rho(K_{m^2}) \quad (1)$$

Since  $c = \text{Min}|w|$  is positive by our assumption, there is, by the uniform continuity of  $w$ , a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$|z_1 - z_2| < \varepsilon \text{ implies } |w_1 - w_2| < c/2$$

where  $w_i = z_i - f(z_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

If we take in such a way  $m > 2\sqrt{2}/\varepsilon$ , then for any  $z$  and  $z'$  in  $K_i$  we have  $|w - w'| < c/2$ ; therefore  $w$  lies in the circle with center  $w'$  and radius  $c/2$ , which does not involve the origin. Therefore for such a number  $m$  we have  $\rho(K_i) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m^2$ ). Accordingly  $\rho(K) = 0$  by (1). This con-

tradicts the above consequence  $\rho(K) = 2\pi$ . Hence there exists a fixed point.

2. Case  $n \geq 3$ . We denote a point in a real Euclidian  $n$  space by  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and define  $\|X\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ . The sum of any two points  $X = (x_i)$  and  $Y = (y_i)$  is defined as  $X + Y = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$ .

We assume  $f$  maps continuously a sphere  $\|X\| \leq 1$  into itself.

It is easily seen that if a certain extension  $\bar{f}$  of  $f$  which is defined by the following formulas has a fixed point, this point is also fixed under the original  $f$ , and vice versa :

$$\bar{f}(X) = \begin{cases} f(X) & \text{if } \|X\| \leq 1 \\ f(X/\|X\|) & \text{if } \|X\| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

To see the existence of a fixed point for  $\bar{f}$ , we consider the regularisation  $\bar{f}_\delta$  of  $\bar{f}$  defined by

$$\bar{f}_\delta(X) = \int_{\|Y\| \leq \delta} \bar{f}(X + Y) dV \Big/ \int_{\|Y\| \leq \delta} 1 dV, \quad dV = dy_1 \dots dy_n, \quad 0 < \delta < 1.$$

$\bar{f}_\delta(X)$  tends uniformly to  $\bar{f}(X)$  when  $\delta$  tends to 0. Furthermore we have  $\|\bar{f}_\delta(X)\| \leq 1$  for any allowable  $\delta$ .

Now assume that  $\bar{f}_\delta$  has a fixed point  $X(\delta)$  for every  $\delta$ , then, the compactness of the unit sphere gives rise to the existence of a positive decreasing sequence  $\{\delta_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X(\delta_n)$  exists. Next, in view of the uniform convergence of  $\{\bar{f}_{\delta_n}(X)\}$  together with the continuity of  $\bar{f}(X)$ , it follows that  $X_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X(\delta_n)$  is a fixed point of  $\bar{f}$ .

Hence, the problem is reduced to show that  $\bar{f}_\delta$  has a fixed point. Noting that every coordinate of  $\bar{f}_\delta$ , the regularisation of  $\bar{f}$ , has partial derivatives of the  $n$ -th order and replacing  $\bar{f}_\delta$  by  $f$  for simplicity of notation, we may assume, without loss of generality, that

*$f$  is a continuous mapping from an  $n$  space  $R^n$  into the unit sphere  $\|X\| \leq 1$ , and every coordinate  $y_i$  of  $f(X)$  has partial derivatives of the  $n$ -th order, consequently  $\frac{\partial^2 y_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \frac{\partial^2 y_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_j}$  holds.*

We proceed to the next step of our proof. For every  $X$  such that  $X \neq \varepsilon f(X)$  where  $|\varepsilon| < 1.5$ , we define  $f(X|\varepsilon)$  by

$$f(X|\varepsilon) = \frac{X - \varepsilon f(X)}{\|X - \varepsilon f(X)\|}. \quad (1)$$

The function  $f(X|\varepsilon)$  has evidently derivatives of the  $n$ -th order and is continuous with respect to  $(X, \varepsilon)$  whenever it is defined. Moreover it is a regular function of  $\varepsilon$ .

Since  $X - \varepsilon f(X)$  does not vanish on the surface of the cube  $K: |x_1| \leq 2, \dots, |x_n| \leq 2$ ,  $f(X|\varepsilon)$  is continuous there. Take a point  $X_i = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and consecutive  $n - 1$  points

$$X_j = (x_1, \dots, x_j + dx_j, \dots, x_n) \quad (j = 1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n)$$

lying on the surface  $S_i$  of  $K$  defined by  $x_i = 2$ . We calculate the limit of the ratio of the volume of a tetrahedron with vertices  $f(X_1|\varepsilon), \dots, f(X_n|\varepsilon)$  and 0, to that of another tetrahedron with vertices  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and 0. If we put  $f(X|\varepsilon) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $D(y_j/x_k) = \partial y_j / \partial x_k$ , this limit is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n!} \begin{vmatrix} y_1 + D(y_1/x_1)dx_1 & \overset{i}{\vdots} & y_1 + D(y_1/x_n)dx_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_n + D(y_n/x_1)dx_1 & \vdots & y_n + D(y_n/x_n)dx_n \end{vmatrix} : \frac{1}{n!} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 + dx_1 & \overset{i}{\vdots} & x_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_n & \vdots & x_n + dx_n \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} D(y_1/x_1) & \overset{i}{\vdots} & D(y_1/x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D(y_n/x_1) & \vdots & D(y_n/x_n) \end{vmatrix} \quad (x_i = 2). \end{aligned}$$

We define for every  $X$  such that  $X \neq \varepsilon f(X)$

$$F^i(X|\varepsilon) = \begin{vmatrix} D(y_1/x_1) & \overset{i}{\vdots} & D(y_1/x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D(y_n/x_1) & \vdots & D(y_n/x_n) \end{vmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

As the height of the latter tetrahedron is 2,  $F^i(X|\varepsilon)_{x_i=2}$  is just the ratio by which the area element at  $X_i$  on  $S_i$  is magnified under the mapping  $f(X|\varepsilon)$ ,  $f(X|\varepsilon)$  being regarded as a mapping from  $S_i$  on the surface of the unit sphere. In a similar manner,  $-F^i(X|\varepsilon)_{x_i=-2}$  is the corresponding magnifying ratio for area elements under the mapping  $f(X|\varepsilon)$  from  $S_i$  defined by  $x_i = -2$  on the surface of the unit sphere.

Since  $f(X|0) = X/\|X\|$  maps homeomorphically the surface of  $K$  on that of unit sphere and, as is easily shown,  $F^i(X|0)_{x_i=2} \geq 2/(2\sqrt{n})^n$  and  $-F^i(X|0)_{x_i=-2} \geq 2/(2\sqrt{n})^n$  hold,  $F^i(X|\varepsilon)_{x_i=2}$  and  $-F^i(X|\varepsilon)_{x_i=-2}$  are also positive for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently near 0, and consequently for such a small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f(X|\varepsilon)$  is a homeomorphism from the surface of  $K$  on that of the unit sphere. For every image point is an inner point and the set of all image points must be closed as the image of a compact set, and therefore the image of the surface of  $K$  is the surface of the unit sphere. Therefore we have for small  $\varepsilon$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int \dots \int \{F^i(X|\varepsilon)_{x_i=2} - F^i(X|\varepsilon)_{x_i=-2}\} dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n = \text{const.} \tag{3}$$

where the constant equals to the area of the surface of the unit sphere.

If we use a complex number  $\xi$  instead of real number  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f(X|\xi)$  can be defined by the same formula as (1) whenever  $X \neq \xi f(X)$ , where  $\|X - \xi f(X)\|$

denotes a complex number  $\left\{ \sum (x_i - \xi f_i(X))^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ . Though we must determine, in a precise consideration, which value  $\left\{ \sum (x_i - \xi f_i(X))^2 \right\}^{1/2}$  represents, we define the value only for  $X$  and  $\xi$  such that  $\|X\| > 1.8$  and  $|\xi| < 1.7$ , because we use  $X$  near the surface of  $K$ . For any  $X$  such that  $\|X\| > 1.8$ , we define  $\left\{ \sum (x_i - \xi f_i(X))^2 \right\}^{1/2}$  in such a way that it represents a regular function which takes a positive number at  $\xi = 0$ . Thus if  $\|X\| > 1.8$  holds, coordinates  $y_j = y_j(X|\xi)$  of  $f(X|\xi)$  are, as is easily seen, regular in a circle  $|\xi| < 1.7$  and continuous with respect to  $(X, \xi)$  in this region. Moreover  $y_j(X|\xi)$  has, as is easily shown by our assumption, partial derivatives  $D(y_j/x_k|\xi) = \frac{\partial y_j(X|\xi)}{\partial x_k}$  continuous with respect to  $(X, \xi)$  in the same region.

Now, we will show that  $D(y_j/x_k|\xi)$  is analytic. Denoting  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $Y = (x_1, \dots, x_k + \Delta x_k, \dots, x_n)$ , the Cauchy's integral formula gives us, for every  $\xi$  such that  $|\xi| < 1.6$ ,

$$\frac{y_j(Y|\xi) - y_j(X|\xi)}{\Delta x_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1}{\zeta - \xi} \frac{y_j(Y|\zeta) - y_j(X|\zeta)}{\Delta x_k} d\zeta$$

where  $C$  denotes a circle with a center 0 and radius 1.6. When  $\Delta x_k$  tends to zero, the integrand of the right side tends to  $\frac{D(y_j/x_k|\zeta)}{\zeta - \xi}$  uniformly with respect to  $\zeta$  on  $C$ . Therefore we have

$$D(y_j/x_k|\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{D(y_j/x_k|\zeta)}{\zeta - \xi} d\zeta.$$

Hence  $D(y_j/x_k|\xi)$  is a regular function of  $\xi$  ( $|\xi| < 1.6$ ), as was to be shown.

Thus  $D(y_j/x_k|\xi)$  is continuous with respect to  $(X, \xi)$  and regular with respect to  $\xi$ . Replacing  $D(y_j/x_k)$  by  $D(y_j/x_k|\xi)$  in (2), we define  $F^i(X|\xi)$  in the same way. Then  $F^i(X|\xi)$  is continuous with respect to  $(X, \xi)$  on the region defined by  $\|X\| > 1.8$  and  $|\xi| < 1.6$ , and regular in  $|\xi| < 1.6$ . Therefore  $F^i(X|\xi)_{x_i=y}$  is also regular in  $|\xi| < 1.6$  and continuous with respect to  $(X, \xi)$  when  $X$  ranges on the surface  $S_i$  of  $K$ . By an analogous method as above which depends only on the Cauchy's integral formula and on the theory of uniform convergence, we can show that

$$\int \dots \int F^i(X|\xi)_{x_i=2} dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n$$

is regular in  $|\xi| < 1.5$ , integral domain being  $-2 \leq x_j \leq 2, j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n$ . By the similar consideration, we know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int \dots \int \{F^i(X|\xi)_{x_i=2} - F^i(X|\xi)_{x_i=-2}\} dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n \tag{4}$$

is regular in a circle  $|\xi| < 1.5$ .

Since (3) holds for every real  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, the representation (4) equals to a constant in  $|\xi| < 1.5$  by a well-known property of analytic functions. Putting  $\xi = 1$  in (4), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int \dots \int \{F^i(X|1)_{x_i=2} - F^i(X|1)_{x_i=-2}\} dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n \neq 0. \quad (5)$$

It will be shown that, if  $f$  has no fixed point, the left side of (5) is zero. In fact, if  $f$  has no fixed point, then  $F^i(X|1)$  is defined and has continuous derivatives everywhere by our assumption. Therefore the left side of the representation (5) equals to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int \dots \int \frac{\partial F^i(X|1)}{\partial x_i} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int \dots \int \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F^i(X|1)}{\partial x_i} dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Denote  $\Delta_j^i$  the determinant which is obtained by differentiating the  $j$ -th column of  $F^i(X|1)$  with respect to  $x_i$ , then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F^i(X|1)}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j^i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^i,$$

because  $i \neq j$  implies  $\Delta_j^i = -\Delta_i^j$  as is easily shown. Since  $y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2 = 1$  implies  $\Delta_i^i = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F^i(X|1)}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^i = 0.$$

Hence if  $f$  has no fixed point, the left side of the representation (5) vanishes. This contradicts (5). Therefore  $f$  has a fixed point.