

SEMI-GROUPS OF OPERATORS IN FRÉCHET SPACE AND APPLICATIONS TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ISAO MIYADERA

(Received December 16, 1958)

1. Introduction. This paper is concerned with semi-groups of operators in Fréchet space and its application to the Cauchy problem for some linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients.

A topological vector space is called a Fréchet space if it is locally convex, complete and metrizable.

We shall deal with a semi-group of operators $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) For each $\xi \geq 0$, $T(\xi)$ is a continuous linear operator from a Fréchet space X into itself and

$$\begin{aligned} T(\xi + \eta) &= T(\xi)T(\eta) && \text{for } \xi, \eta \geq 0, \\ T(0) &= I \text{ (the identity).} \end{aligned}$$

(2) There exists a non-negative number σ such that

$$\{e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)x; \xi \geq 0\}$$

is bounded in X for each $x \in X$.

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} T(\xi)x = x \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Since a Banach space is obviously a Fréchet space, our semi-groups are an extension of semi-groups of class (C_0) in Banach space. (For semi-groups in Banach space see the book of E. Hille and R. S. Phillips [3].)

We first remark that the conditions (1) and (3) imply the condition (2) if X is a Banach space. For $M \equiv \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq 1} \|T(\xi)\| < \infty$ by the uniform boundedness theorem, and hence $\|T(\xi)\| \leq M \cdot \exp(\xi \log M)$ for each $\xi \geq 0$. But this is not true in general if X is a Fréchet space.

EXAMPLE. We consider real valued functions of one real variable. C^∞ denotes the space of ∞ times continuously differentiable functions. It is well known that the space C^∞ becomes a Fréchet space under the family of semi-norms $\{p_{m,k}(\cdot); m, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, where

$$(1.1) \quad p_{m,k}(x) = \sup_{|t| \leq k} |x^{(m)}(t)| \quad \text{for each } x \in C^\infty.$$

We define

$$(1.2) \quad [T(\xi)x](t) = x(\xi + t) \quad \text{for each } \xi \geq 0, x \in C^\infty.$$

Then $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ is obviously a semi-group of operators satisfying the conditions (1) and (3). But this semi-group does not imply the condition (2). In fact, for $x_0(t) = e^{t^2} \in C^\infty$,

$$p_{0,k}(e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)x_0) = \sup_{|t| \leq k} e^{-\sigma\xi}e^{(\xi+t)^2} \geq e^{\xi(\xi-2k-\sigma)}.$$

Hence

$$\overline{\lim}_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} p_{0,k}(e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)x_0) = \infty \quad \text{for each } \sigma \geq 0,$$

so that $\{e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)x_0; \xi \geq 0\}$ is not bounded for each $\sigma \geq 0$.

§ 2—§ 5 are devoted to investigations of such semi-groups and we can obtain results similarly as for semi-groups of class (C_0) in Banach space. In § 6, these results are applied to the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation and the wave equation.

2. Preliminaries. We first prove the following

THEOREM 2.1. *If $\{T_\alpha\}$ is a family of continuous linear operators from a Fréchet space X_1 into a Fréchet space X_2 such that the set $\{T_\alpha x\}$ is bounded for each $x \in X_1$, then for each neighborhood $N_2 \in \Sigma_2$ there exists a neighborhood $N_1 \in \Sigma_1$ such that $T_\alpha(N_1) \subset N_2$ for all α , where $\Sigma_i (i=1, 2)$ is a complete system of convex neighborhoods of the origin in X_i .*

PROOF. Since X_i is locally convex and metrizable, its topology is also determined by a family of denumerable semi-norms $\{p_{i1}, p_{i2}, p_{i3}, \dots\}$. Let us put

$$(2.1) \quad \|x\|_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{in}(x)}{2^n(1 + p_{in}(x))} \quad \text{for } x \in X_i.$$

Then X_i is a quasi-normed space under the quasi-norm (2.1) and $\|\cdot\|_i$ -topology is equivalent to the original topology in X_i . Thus X_i becomes a complete quasi-normed space, so that each T_α is a continuous linear operator from a complete quasi-normed space X_1 into a complete quasi-normed space X_2 and the set $\{T_\alpha x\}$ is bounded in the complete quasi-normed space X_2 for each $x \in X_1$. Hence, by the Mazur-Orlicz theorem [5], for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\|T_\alpha x\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for all α and $\|x\|_1 \leq \delta$. Then the theorem is proved from the equivalence of the quasi-normed topology (2.1) and the original topology in X_i .

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let $\{T_\alpha\}$ be a family of operators satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 2.1. If the limit $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} T_\alpha x$ exists on a dense subspace D in X_1 , then the limit $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} T_\alpha x$ exists on the whole space X_1 and $T = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} T_\alpha$*

T_α is a continuous linear operator from X_1 into X_2 .

PROOF. For any $N_2 \in \Sigma_2$ there exists a $N'_2 \in \Sigma_2$ such that $N'_2 + N'_2 + N'_2 \subset N_2$, and, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a symmetric neighborhood $N_1 \in \Sigma_1$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad T_\alpha(N_1) \subset N'_2 \quad \text{for all } \alpha.$$

Let x be any fixed element in X_1 and let x_0 be an element in D such that $\pm(x_0 - x) \in N_1$. Then, by assumption, there exists a number $\alpha_0 < 0$ such that $T_\alpha x_0 - T_{\alpha'} x_0 \in N'_2$ for $\alpha, \alpha' > \alpha_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha x - T_{\alpha'} x &= T_\alpha(x - x_0) + (T_\alpha x_0 - T_{\alpha'} x_0) + T_{\alpha'}(x_0 - x) \\ &\in N'_2 + N'_2 + N'_2 \subset N_2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha, \alpha' > \alpha_0$. The second part follows from (2.2).

COROLLARY 2.2. If $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ is a semi-group of operators satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3), then $\{e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)x; 0 \leq \xi < \infty, x \in B\}$ is bounded for each bounded set $B \subset X$. Especially, for any fixed $\omega > 0$, $\{T(\xi)x; 0 \leq \xi \leq \omega, x \in B\}$ is bounded.

PROOF. Theorem 2.1 shows that for each $N \in \Sigma$ there exists an $N' \in \Sigma$ such that $e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)(N') \subset N$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, where Σ denotes a complete system of convex neighborhoods of the origin in X . Since B is a bounded set, there exists a positive number α_B such that $\alpha_B B \subset N'$. Hence $\alpha_B e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)(B) \subset N$ for all $\xi \geq 0$.

3. Infinitesimal generator and resolvent. Let $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ be a semi-group of operators satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3). It is clear that, for each $x \in X$, $T(\xi)x$ is a continuous function of $\xi \in [0, \infty)$.

The infinitesimal generator is defined as the limit

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{T(h) - I}{h} x = Ax$$

whenever this limit exists, the domain $D(A)$ of A being the set of elements for which the limit exists. For $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{dT(\xi)x}{d\xi} = AT(\xi)x = T(\xi)Ax \quad \text{for } \xi > 0.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *The infinitesimal generator A is a closed linear operator and $D(A)$ is dense in X .*

PROOF. Let x be any fixed element in X . $T(\xi)x$ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with values in X , so that we can define the Riemann integral

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)x d\xi \quad (\equiv y_\eta)$$

for each $\eta > 0$. It is clear that $y_\eta \rightarrow x$ as $\eta \downarrow 0$ and that $y_\eta \in D(A)$. Hence $D(A)$ is dense in X . By (3.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta}(T(\eta)x - x) = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)Ax \, d\xi \quad \text{for } x \in D(A).$$

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of elements in $D(A)$ and that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, $Ax_n \rightarrow z_0$. The above formula holds for $x = x_n$, so that

$$\frac{1}{\eta}(T(\eta)x_n - x_n) = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)Ax_n \, d\xi.$$

Theorem 2.1 shows that for any closed convex neighborhood N there exists a number $n_0 > 0$ such that $T(\xi)(Ax_n - z_0) \in N$ for $n > n_0$, $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta$.

Hence $\eta^{-1} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)(Ax_n - z_0) \, d\xi \in N$ for $n > n_0$, that is,

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)Ax_n \, d\xi \rightarrow \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)z_0 \, d\xi$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have for each $\eta > 0$

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta T(\xi)z_0 \, d\xi = \frac{1}{\eta} [T(\eta)x_0 - x_0].$$

When $\eta \rightarrow 0$ the left hand side tends to z_0 , so that $x_0 \in D(A)$ and $Ax_0 = z_0$. This completes the proof.

Let x be any fixed element in X and let us put

$$R_w(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^w e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x \, d\xi$$

for each $w > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. (We can define the integral of Riemann type since $e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x$ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with values in X .) P denotes a family of denumerable semi-norms determining the topology of X . Then we have for any semi-norm $p \in P$

$$p(R_w(\lambda; A)x - R_{w'}(\lambda; A)x) \leq \int_{w'}^w e^{-\lambda\xi} p(T(\xi)x) \, d\xi.$$

By the assumption (2) there exists a constant $M_p > 0$ such that $p(T(\xi)x) \leq e^{\sigma\xi} M_p$ for all $\xi \geq 0$. Hence if $\lambda > \sigma$, then

$$p(R_w(\lambda; A)x - R_{w'}(\lambda; A)x) \leq M_p \int_{w'}^w e^{-(\lambda-\sigma)\xi} \, d\xi \rightarrow 0$$

as $w, w' \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the limit $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} R_w(\lambda; A)x$ exists.

We shall define $R(\lambda; A)$ for each $\lambda > \sigma$ by

$$(3.3) \quad R(\lambda; A)x = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} R_w(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x \, d\xi.$$

THEOREM 3.2. For each $\lambda > \sigma$, $R(\lambda; A)$ is a continuous linear operator from X into itself, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - A)R(\lambda; A)x &= x && \text{for all } x \in X, \\ R(\lambda; A)(\lambda - A)x &= x && \text{for all } x \in D(A). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It is clear that $R(\lambda; A)$ is a linear operator from X into itself. Let $x_n \rightarrow 0$, $x_n \in X$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so that $\{e^{-\sigma\xi}T(\xi)x_n; \xi \geq 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is a bounded set by the Corollary 2.2. For each semi-norm $p \in P$ there exists a positive constant M_p such that $p(T(\xi)x_n) \leq e^{\sigma\xi} M_p$ for each $\xi \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. From the definition of $R(\lambda; A)$ we have

$$p(R(\lambda; A)x_n) \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} p(T(\xi)x_n) d\xi.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(T(\xi)x_n) = 0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$ and $e^{-\lambda\xi} p(T(\xi)x_n) \leq M_p e^{-(\lambda-\sigma)\xi} \in L^1$ for all n , the convergence theorem shows that $p(R(\lambda; A)x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $R(\lambda; A)x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $R(\lambda; A)$ ($\lambda > \sigma$) is a continuous linear operator. The second part can be proved similarly as in the case of Banach space.

From this theorem we get the resolvent equation

$$(3.4) \quad R(\lambda; A) - R(\mu; A) = -(\lambda - \mu)R(\lambda; A)R(\mu; A)$$

for each $\lambda, \mu > \sigma$.

THEOREM 3.3. For each $x \in X$ and $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} R(\lambda; A)x = (-1)^k k! [R(\lambda; A)]^{k+1}x \quad (\lambda > \sigma).$$

PROOF. From (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} [R(\lambda + h; A)x - R(\lambda; A)x] - (-1)[R(\lambda; A)]^2 x \\ = hR(\lambda + h; A)[R(\lambda; A)]^2 x \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any semi-norm $p \in P$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\frac{1}{h} [R(\lambda + h; A)x - R(\lambda; A)x] - (-1)[R(\lambda; A)]^2 x\right) \\ = |h| p(R(\lambda + h; A)[R(\lambda; A)]^2 x) \leq |h| \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+h)\xi} p(T(\xi)[R(\lambda; A)]^2 x) d\xi \\ \leq |h| M_p \frac{1}{\lambda + h - \sigma} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $|h| \rightarrow 0$, where M_p is a constant such that $e^{-\sigma\xi} p(T(\xi)[R(\lambda; A)]^2 x) \leq M_p$ for all $\xi \geq 0$. This asserts that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}R(\lambda; A)x = (-1)[R(\lambda; A)]^2x \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Using the induction we see that (3.5) holds for each $k \geq 1$.

THEOREM 3.4. *For each bounded set B , the set*

$$\{[(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^n x; x \in B, \lambda > \sigma, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

is bounded.

PROOF. From the definition of $R(\lambda; A)$

$$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}R(\lambda; A)x = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty \xi^k e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x d\xi,$$

so that by (3.5)

$$[(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^{k+1}x = \frac{(\lambda - \sigma)^{k+1}}{k!} \int_0^\infty \xi^k e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x d\xi.$$

Thus for each semi-norm $p \in P$ we have

$$p([(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^{k+1}x) \leq \frac{(\lambda - \sigma)^{k+1}}{k!} \int_0^\infty \xi^k e^{-\lambda\xi} p(T(\xi)x) d\xi.$$

Corollary 2.2 shows that there exists a constant $M_p > 0$ such that $p(T(\xi)x) \leq M_p e^{\sigma\xi}$ for all $\xi \geq 0$ and $x \in B$. Hence

$$p([(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^{k+1}x) \leq M_p$$

for all $x \in B, \lambda > \sigma$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

THEOREM 3.5. *For each $x \in X$*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda; A)x = x.$$

PROOF. By Theorem 3.2

$$\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x = R(\lambda; A)Ax \quad \text{for } x \in D(A),$$

and Theorem 3.4 asserts that for each semi-norm $p \in P$ there exists a constant $M_p > 0$ such that $p(R(\lambda; A)Ax) \leq M_p(\lambda - \sigma)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda > \sigma$. Hence

$$p(\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x) = p(R(\lambda; A)Ax) \leq M_p(\lambda - \sigma)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda; A)x = x$ for $x \in D(A)$. Since $D(A)$ is dense in X and since $\{\lambda R(\lambda; A)x; \lambda \gg \sigma\}$ is bounded for each $x \in X$, the theorem follows from Corollary 2.1.

4. Representation theorem. We now define

$$T_\lambda^n(\xi)x = \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k}{k!} [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k x$$

for each $x \in X$. For each fixed $\lambda > \sigma, \xi \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, the sequence $\{T_\lambda^n(\xi)x; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for any semi-norm $p \in P$,

$$p(T_\lambda^n(\xi)x - T_\lambda^m(\xi)x) \leq \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{m+1}^n \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k}{k!} p([\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k x)$$

and there exists, by Theorem 3.4, a constant $M_p > 0$ such that $(\lambda - \sigma)^k p([\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k x) \leq M_p$ for all k , and so that

$$p(T_\lambda^n(\xi)x - T_\lambda^m(\xi)x) \leq M_p \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{m+1}^n \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k}{k!} \frac{\lambda^k}{(\lambda - \sigma)^k} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, for each $\lambda > \sigma$, $\xi \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, the limit

$$(4.1) \quad T_\lambda(\xi)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda^n(\xi)x = \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k}{k!} [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k x$$

exists. Since $T_\lambda^n(\xi)$ is a continuous linear operator from X into itself, it follows from Corollary 2.1 that $T_\lambda(\xi)$ is a continuous linear operator from X into itself.

THEOREM 4.1. *For each fixed $\lambda > \sigma$ and $x \in X$, $T_\lambda(\xi)x$ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with values in X . Furthermore the set*

$$\left\{ \exp\left(\frac{-\sigma\xi}{1-\sigma/\lambda}\right) T_\lambda(\xi)x; x \in B, \xi \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda > \sigma \right\}$$

is bounded if B is a bounded set.

PROOF. $T_\lambda^n(\xi)x$ is a continuous function of $\xi \in [0, \infty)$ and (4.1) holds uniformly with respect to ξ in any finite interval of ξ , so that $T_\lambda(\xi)x$ is a continuous function of $\xi \in [0, \infty)$. By Theorem 3.4 we have for each semi-norm $p \in P$

$$\begin{aligned} p(T_\lambda(\xi)x) &\leq \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k}{k!} p([\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k x) \\ &\leq M_p \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \sigma}\right)^k = M_p \exp \frac{\xi\sigma}{1 - \sigma/\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$, $\lambda > \sigma$ and $x \in B$, where $M_p > 0$ is a constant.

THEOREM 4.2. *For each fixed $\lambda, \mu > \sigma$ and $x \in X$*

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} T_\lambda(\xi - \eta) T_\mu(\eta)x = T_\lambda(\xi - \eta) T_\mu(\eta) (\mu AR(\mu; A)x - \lambda AR(\lambda; A)x) \quad (0 \leq \eta \leq \xi).$$

PROOF. An elementary calculus shows that for each semi-norm $p \in P$

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} p\left(\frac{d}{d\xi} \left\{ \exp(-\lambda\xi) \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x \right\}\right)$$

$$\leq M_p \lambda \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \omega)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \sigma} \right)^k + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \sigma} \sum_{k_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \omega)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \sigma} \right)^k \right)$$

($0 \leq \xi \leq \omega$), where M_p is a constant such that $\mathcal{P}([\lambda - \sigma]R(\lambda; A)]^k x \leq M_p$ for all $\lambda > \sigma$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The right hand side tends to zero as $k_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and hence the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left\{ \exp(-\lambda\xi) \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x \right\}$$

converges uniformly with respect to ξ in any finite interval of ξ . Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left\{ \exp(-\lambda\xi) \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x \right\}$ is a continuous function of $\xi \in [0, \infty)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\xi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\eta} \left\{ \exp(-\lambda\eta) \frac{(\lambda\eta)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x \right\} d\eta \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda\xi) \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x - x \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi > 0$, and so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\xi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda\xi) \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\exp(-\lambda\xi) \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x \right) \\ &= \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} (\lambda^2 R(\lambda; A)x - \lambda x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda^2 R(\lambda; A)x - \lambda x = \lambda AR(\lambda; A)x$ by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{d\xi} T_{\lambda}(\xi)x = T_{\lambda}(\xi)\lambda AR(\lambda; A)x \quad \text{for } \xi \geq 0.$$

Then the formula (4.2) follows from (4.3), Theorems 4.1, and 2.1, and the property $T_{\mu}(\eta)AR(\lambda; A) = AR(\lambda; A)T_{\mu}(\eta)$.

The same argument shows that

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} T_{\lambda}(\xi - \eta)T(\eta)x = T_{\lambda}(\xi - \eta)T(\eta)(A - \lambda R(\lambda; A)A)x$$

for $x \in D(A)$, $\lambda > \sigma$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq \xi$.

THEOREM 4.3. For each $\xi \geq 0$ and $x \in X$

$$(4.5) \quad T(\xi)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x.$$

PROOF. By (4.4) we have

$$T(\xi)x - T_{\lambda}(\xi)x = \int_0^{\xi} \frac{d}{d\eta} T_{\lambda}(\xi - \eta)T(\eta)x d\eta$$

$$= \int_0^\xi T_\lambda(\xi - \eta)T(\eta)(Ax - \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax) d\eta$$

for $x \in D(A)$. It follows from Theorems 4.1 and 2.1 that for any closed convex neighborhood N of the origin there exists a neighborhood $N' \in \Sigma$ such that $T_\lambda(\xi - \eta)N' \subset N$ for all $\lambda > 2\sigma$ and $\eta \in [0, \xi]$. Further there exists by Theorem 2.1 a neighborhood $N'' \in \Sigma$ such that $T(\eta)N'' \subset N'$ for all $\eta \in [0, \xi]$, and Theorem 3.5 asserts that there exists a number $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $Ax - \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax \in N''$ for $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Hence if $\lambda > \max(2\sigma, \lambda_0)$, then $T_\lambda(\xi - \eta)T(\eta)(Ax - \lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax) \in N$ for all $\eta \in [0, \xi]$. Hence we get

$$\frac{1}{\xi}[T(\xi)x - T_\lambda(\xi)x] \in N$$

for $\lambda > \max(\lambda_0, 2\sigma)$, that is, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(\xi)x = T(\xi)x$ for each $\xi > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$. We have by Corollary 2.1 that the limit $T'(\xi)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(\xi)x$ exists for all $x \in X$ and that $T'(\xi)$ is a continuous linear operator. Since $T'(\xi)x = T(\xi)x$ for $x \in D(A)$ and since the operators $T'(\xi)$ and $T(\xi)$ are continuous, we have $T(\xi)x = T'(\xi)x$ for all $x \in X$ and $\xi > 0$. If $\xi = 0$, then $T(\xi)x = x = T_\lambda(\xi)x$ for all $\lambda > \sigma$ and $x \in X$. Therefore (4.5) holds for all $x \in X$ and $\xi \geq 0$.

5. Generation of semi-groups. Collecting the previous results we get the following

THEOREM 5.1. *If $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ is a semi-group of operators satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3), then*

(1') *the infinitesimal generator A is a closed linear operator and its domain $D(A)$ is dense in X ,*

(2') *for each $\lambda > \sigma$ there exists a continuous linear operator $R(\lambda; A)$ from X into itself such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - A)R(\lambda; A)x &= x && \text{for } x \in X, \\ R(\lambda; A)(\lambda - A)x &= x && \text{for } x \in D(A), \end{aligned}$$

(3') *for each $x \in X$ the set*

$$\{[(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^n x; \lambda > \sigma, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is bounded.

Then we have

$$T(\xi)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x.$$

We now consider the converse problem for the theory of semi-groups, namely, what properties should an operator A possess in order that it be

the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group of operators satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3)?

Let A be a linear operator satisfying the following conditions:

(1') A is a closed linear operator from the domain $D(A)$ into X and $D(A)$ is dense in X .

(2') For each $\lambda > \sigma$, where σ is some non-negative constant, there exists a continuous linear operator $R(\lambda; A)$ from X into itself such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - A)R(\lambda; A)x &= x && \text{for } x \in X, \\ R(\lambda; A)(\lambda - A)x &= x && \text{for } x \in D(A). \end{aligned}$$

(3') For each $x \in X$ the set

$$\{[(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^n x; \lambda > \sigma, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is bounded.

Under these assumptions it follows from the previous arguments that

$$(5.1) \quad T_\lambda(\xi)x = \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x$$

is well defined for each $\xi \geq 0$, $\lambda > \sigma$ and $x \in X$, and that Theorems 3.5, 4.1 and 4.2 hold.

We now prove that for each fixed $x \in X$ the limit $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(\xi)x$ exists uniformly with respect to ξ in any finite interval of ξ . In fact, by Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu(\xi)x - T_\lambda(\xi)x &= \int_0^\xi \frac{d}{d\eta} T_\lambda(\xi - \eta)T_\mu(\eta)x d\eta \\ &= \int_0^\xi T_\lambda(\xi - \eta)T_\mu(\eta)[\mu R(\mu; A) - \lambda R(\lambda; A)]Ax d\eta \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in D(A)$. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 for any closed neighborhood $N \in \Sigma$ there exists a neighborhood $N' \in \Sigma$ such that $T_\lambda(\xi - \eta)T_\mu(\eta)N' \subset N$ for all $\mu, \lambda > 2\sigma$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq \xi \leq \omega$, where ω is any fixed number, and Theorem 3.5 shows that there exists a number $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $[\mu R(\mu; A) - \lambda R(\lambda; A)]Ax \in N'$ for all $\lambda, \mu > \lambda_0$. Then we have for each $0 \leq \xi \leq \omega$

$$\xi^{-1}(T_\mu(\xi)x - T_\lambda(\xi)x) \in N$$

if $\lambda, \mu > \max(\lambda_0, 2\sigma)$, so that $T_\mu(\xi)x - T_\lambda(\xi)x \in \omega N$ for all $\xi \in [0, \omega]$ if $\lambda, \mu > \max(\lambda_0, 2\sigma)$. Hence for each fixed $x \in D(A)$ the limit $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} T_\mu(\xi)x$ exists uniformly with respect to ξ in any finite interval. Corollary 2.1 concludes that for each fixed $x \in X$ the limit $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} T_\mu(\xi)x$ exists uniformly with respect to ξ in any finite interval and this limit is a continuous linear operator from X into itself.

We define

$$(5.2) \quad T(\xi)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(\xi)x \quad \text{for each } \xi \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Since $T_\lambda(\xi)x$ is a continuous function of $\xi \in [0, \infty)$, $T(\xi)x$ is continuous with respect to $\xi \in [0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$.

An elementary argument shows that for $\lambda > \sigma$

$$T_\lambda(\xi + \eta) = T_\lambda(\xi)T_\lambda(\eta) \text{ and } T_\lambda(0) = I,$$

and hence we have by (5.2) and Theorem 2.1 the semi-group property

$$T(\xi + \eta) = T(\xi)T(\eta) \text{ and } T(0) = I.$$

Finally, from Theorem 4.1, we have that the set $\{e^{-\sigma\xi} T(\xi)x; 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ is bounded for each $x \in X$. Thus we obtain the following

THEOREM 5.2. *If A is an operator satisfying the assumptions (1'), (2') and (3'), then A is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group of operators $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3). Further*

$$T(\xi)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-\lambda\xi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\xi)^k [\lambda R(\lambda; A)]^k}{k!} x$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\xi \geq 0$.

PROOF. It has already been observed that the family of operators which is defined by (5.2) satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3). We shall now show that A is the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$.

By (4.3)

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{\xi}(T_\lambda(\xi)x - x) = \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi T_\lambda(\eta) \lambda R(\lambda; A) Ax \, d\eta$$

for each $x \in D(A)$. Let $N \in \Sigma$ be any neighborhood and let N' be a closed convex neighborhood of origin such that $N' + N' \subset N$. By Theorems 4.1 and 2.1 there exists a neighborhood $N'' \in \Sigma$ such that $T_\lambda(\eta)N'' \subset N'$ for all $\lambda > 2\sigma$ and $\eta \in [0, \xi]$, and by Theorem 3.5 there exists a number $\lambda'_j > 0$ such that $\lambda R(\lambda; A)Ax - Ax \in N''$ for all $\lambda > \lambda'_j$. Since (5.2) holds uniformly in any finite interval of ξ , there exists a number $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $(T_\lambda(\eta) - T(\eta))Ax \in N'$ for all $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and $\eta \in [0, \xi]$. Thus if $\lambda > \max(\lambda_0, \lambda'_j, 2\sigma)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\int_0^\xi T_\lambda(\eta) \lambda R(\lambda; A) Ax \, d\eta - \int_0^\xi T(\eta) Ax \, d\eta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi T_\lambda(\eta) (\lambda R(\lambda; A) Ax - Ax) \, d\eta + \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi (T_\lambda(\eta) - T(\eta)) Ax \, d\eta \\ &\in N' + N' \subset N. \end{aligned}$$

Then passing to the limit with λ in (5.3) we have

$$\frac{1}{\xi}(T(\xi)x - x) = \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi T(\eta)Ax d\eta$$

for $x \in D(A)$, so that $\lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\xi}(T(\xi)x - x) = Ax$ for $x \in D(A)$.

Let A' be the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(\xi); 0 \leq \xi < \infty\}$ and $D(A')$ be its domain. Since $D(A') \supset D(A)$ and $A'x = Ax$ for $x \in D(A)$, it follows from the assumption (2') and Theorem 5.1 (2') that $R(\lambda; A')(\lambda - A)x = x = R(\lambda; A)(\lambda - A)x$ for $x \in D(A)$. Hence we have $R(\lambda; A) = R(\lambda; A')$ according to $(\lambda - A)[D(A)] = X$, so that $A = A'$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.2.

6. Applications to partial differential equations. The theory of semi-groups of operators in Banach space has been applied to the Cauchy problem for linear partial differential equations by E. Hille [2], P. D. Lax & A. N. Milgram [4] and K. Yosida [7], [8].

In this section we shall apply to the Cauchy problem our semi-group theory.

6.1. Preliminaries. Let H be the space of real-valued C^∞ -functions (infinite times continuously differentiable functions) defined on m -dimensional euclidean space E^m such that its partial derivatives of all orders belong to the space L^2 . It is clear that the space H becomes a pre-Hilbert space under the inner product

$$(6.1) \quad (\varphi, \psi)_n = \sum_{|k| \leq n} \int_{E^m} D^{(k)} \varphi(t) D^{(k)} \psi(t) dt,$$

where $D^{(k)} = \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_m}}{\partial t_1^{k_1} \partial t_2^{k_2} \dots \partial t_m^{k_m}}$, $|k| = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$ and $dt = dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_m$.

Let H_n be the completion of H with respect to the norm

$$(6.2) \quad \|\varphi\|_n = (\varphi, \varphi)_n^{1/2}.$$

The following theorem is due to P. D. Lax & A. N. Milgram [4].

THEOREM 6.1. *Let a bilinear functional $B(\varphi, \psi)$ defined on the Hilbert space H_n satisfying the followings;*

$$\begin{aligned} |B(\varphi, \psi)| &\leq \gamma \|\varphi\|_n \|\psi\|_n && \text{for all } \varphi, \psi \in H_n, \\ B(\varphi, \varphi) &\geq \delta \|\varphi\|_n^2 && \text{for all } \varphi \in H_n, \end{aligned}$$

where γ, δ are some positive constants. Then there exists a bounded linear operator S from H_n onto itself such $\|S\| \leq \delta^{-1}$ and $(\varphi, \psi)_n = B(\varphi, S\psi)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in H_n$.

We shall introduce a topology into the vector space H . Let D be a partial differential operator of the form $\partial^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_m} / \partial t_1^{k_1} \partial t_2^{k_2} \dots \partial t_m^{k_m}$ and let us

put

$$(6.3) \quad p_D(\varphi) = \left(\int_{E^m} |(D\varphi)(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H.$$

It is a semi-norm of the vector space H and the totality of those semi-norms corresponding to all partial differential operators defines a topology of H . We shall again denote by H the topological vector space H provided with this topology.

LEMMA 6.1. *If $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} f_\alpha = f$ in H , then for each partial differential operator $D^{*})$*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} (Df_\alpha)(t) = (Df)(t)$$

holds uniformly with respect to t in any compact set in E^m .

PROOF. We now prove the lemma for $m = 2$. We may assume $f = 0$ without loss of generality. By the assumption and the Schwarz inequality we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1+1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1} \partial t_2} e^{-(t_1^2+t_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, t_2) \right| dt_1 dt_2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, that is, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1+1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1} \partial t_2} e^{-(t_1^2+t_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, t_2) \right| dt_1 dt_2 < \varepsilon$$

for $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$, where $\delta_1 = 0$ or 1 . Then

$$(6.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1}} e^{-(t_1^2+s_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, s_2) - \frac{\partial^{\delta_1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1}} e^{-(t_1^2+\varepsilon_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, \varepsilon_2) \right| dt_1 \\ & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{\varepsilon_2}^{s_2} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1+1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1} \partial t_2} e^{-(t_1^2+t_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, t_2) \right| dt_2 \\ & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1+1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1} \partial t_2} e^{-(t_1^2+t_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, t_2) \right| dt_1 dt_2 < \varepsilon \end{aligned} \right.$$

for all $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0, s_2$ and ε_2 . Now

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1}} e^{-(t_1^2+t_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, t_2) \right| dt_1 < \infty.$$

Hence we see that

$$\lim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{\delta_1}}{\partial t_1^{\delta_1}} e^{-(t_1^2+t_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, t_2) \right| dt_1 = 0$$

*) From now the symbol D (or D_i) denotes a partial differential operator of the form $\frac{\partial^{k_1+\dots+k_m}}{\partial t_1^{k_1} \dots \partial t_m^{k_m}}$.

when t_2 tends to $-\infty$ (or $+\infty$) without taking the values of t_2 which form a set of finite measure. Therefore for each α there exists a sequence $\{\epsilon_2^k\} = \{\epsilon_2^k(\alpha)\}$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon_2^k \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{s_1}}{\partial t_1^{s_1}} e^{-(t_1^2 + (\epsilon_2^k)^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, \epsilon_2^k) \right| dt_1 = 0.$$

Combining this and (6.5) we have

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^{s_1}}{\partial t_1^{s_1}} e^{-(t_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, s_2) \right| dt_1 < \epsilon$$

for all $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$ and s_2 . Let us take $\delta_1 = 1$, then

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{cases} |e^{-(s_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(s_1, s_2) - e^{-(\epsilon_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(\epsilon_1, s_2)| \\ \leq \int_{\epsilon_1}^{s_1} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} e^{-(t_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, s_2) \right| dt_1 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} e^{-(t_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, s_2) \right| dt_1 < \epsilon \end{cases}$$

for all s_1, ϵ_1, s_2 and $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$. On the other hand if we put $\delta_1 = 0$ in (6.6), then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| e^{-(t_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(t_1, s_2) \right| dt_1 < \epsilon$$

for all s_2 and $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$. Hence, as in the preceding case, we see that for each fixed s_2 and $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$ there exists a sequence $\{\epsilon_1^k\} = \{\epsilon_1^k(\alpha, s_2)\}$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon_1^k \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-((\epsilon_1^k)^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(\epsilon_1^k, s_2) = 0.$$

Combining this and (6.7) we have $|e^{-(s_1^2 + s_2^2)} f_\alpha(s_1, s_2)| < \epsilon$ for all s_1 and s_2 if $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$. Thus $f_\alpha(s_1, s_2) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to (s_1, s_2) in any compact set.

Finally if $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} f_\alpha = 0$ in H then it is obvious that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} Df_\alpha = 0$ in H for each partial differential operator D , so that $(Df_\alpha)(t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to t in any compact set.

Using the same method we can also prove the lemma for $m \geq 3$.

The following lemma is easily proved.

LEMMA 6.2. *The space H is a Fréchet space.*

6.2. Parabolic equation. Let A be a partial differential operator of the $2n$ -th order in m -dimensional euclidean space E^m ;

$$(6.8) \quad A = -(-1)^n \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n a^{\rho, \nu} D^{(\rho)} D^{(\nu)},$$

where $D^{(\rho)} = \frac{\partial^{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_m}}{\partial t_1^{\rho_1} \dots \partial t_m^{\rho_m}}$, $|\rho| = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i$ and the coefficients $a^{\rho\nu} = a^{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_m}$ are real constants.

In this section we consider the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation in m -dimensional euclidean space E^m ;

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(\xi, t)}{\partial \xi} = Au(\xi, t), \\ u(0, t) = f(t). \end{cases} \quad \xi \geq 0,$$

We assume that

$$(6.9) \quad a^{\rho\nu} = a^{\nu\rho} \quad \text{for } |\rho| = |\nu| = n,$$

and there exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_{|\rho|=|\nu|=n} a^{\rho\nu} t_1^{\rho_1} \dots t_m^{\rho_m} t_1^{\nu_1} \dots t_m^{\nu_m} \geq \epsilon_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t_j^2 \right)^n$$

for each $(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in E^m$. Therefore A is an elliptic differential operator. We define the adjoint operator A^* by

$$(6.11) \quad A^* = - \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n (-1)^{|\rho|+|\nu|} a^{\rho\nu} D^{(\nu)} D^{(\rho)}.$$

We can easily prove

$$(6.12) \quad (Af, g)_0 = (f, A^*g)_0 \quad \text{for all } f, g \in H,$$

where $(f, g)_0 = \int_{E^m} f(t)g(t) dt$.

LEMMA 6.3. (*Gårding's inequalities*) *There exist positive constants δ_0 , $\lambda_0 (= \lambda_0(\delta_0))$ and K such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ then*

$$(6.13) \quad ((\lambda - A)f, f)_0 = (f, (\lambda - A^*)f)_0 \geq \delta_0 \|f\|_n^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in H,$$

$$(6.14) \quad |(Af, g)_0 - (f, Ag)_0| \leq K \|f\|_n \|g\|_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } f, g \in H.$$

Further for each $\lambda > 0$ there exists a constant M_λ such that

$$(6.15) \quad |((\lambda - A)f, g)_0| = |(f, (\lambda - A^*)g)_0| \leq M_\lambda \|f\|_n \|g\|_n$$

for all $f, g \in H$.

For the proof see Gårding's paper [1]. In our case the coefficients are constants, so that we see that the inequalities hold in the space H .

LEMMA 6.4. *Let λ be any fixed number such that $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Then, for any function $f \in L^2 \cap C^\infty$, the equation*

$$\lambda u - Au = f$$

has a solution $u_f \in H_n \cap C^\infty$.

PROOF. Let us define a bilinear functional

$$\bar{B}_\lambda(u, v) = (\lambda u - A^* u, v)_0$$

for all $u, v \in H$. From Gårding's inequalities we have

$$|\bar{B}_\lambda(u, v)| \leq M_\lambda \|u\|_n \|v\|_n, \quad \bar{B}_\lambda(u, u) \geq \delta_0 \|u\|_n^2.$$

Since H_n is the completion of H with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_n$, $\bar{B}_\lambda(u, v)$ may be extended to the bilinear functional $B_\lambda(u, v)$ defined on H_n satisfying

$$(6.16) \quad |B_\lambda(u, v)| \leq M_\lambda \|u\|_n \|v\|_n, \quad B_\lambda(u, u) \geq \delta_0 \|u\|_n^2.$$

For any $f \in L^2 \cap C^\infty$ the linear functional $(u, f)_0$ is a bounded functional defined on H_n since $|(u, f)_0| \leq \|u\|_n \|f\|_0$. Hence, by the F. Riesz theorem, there exists an element $v(f) \in H_n$ such that $(u, f)_0 = (u, v(f))_n$ for all $u \in H_n$. Thus, by Theorem 6.1, we get

$$(u, f)_0 = (u, v(f))_n = B_\lambda(u, S_\lambda v(f)) \quad \text{for all } u \in H_n,$$

where S_λ is a bounded linear operator from H_n onto itself which is determined in Theorem 6.1. Let $v_k \in H$ be a sequence such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|v_k - S_\lambda v(f)\|_n = 0.$$

Then, for $u \in \mathfrak{D}(E^m)^* \subset H$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_\lambda(u, S_\lambda v(f)) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} B_\lambda(u, v_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda u - A^* u, v_k)_0 \\ &= (\lambda u - A^* u, S_\lambda v(f))_0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(u, f)_0 = (\lambda u - A^* u, S_\lambda v(f))_0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathfrak{D}(E^m).$$

Thus $(\lambda - A)S_\lambda v(f) = f$ in \mathfrak{D}' (= the dual of \mathfrak{D} = the space of distributions). $f(x)$ being any C^∞ -function and $(\lambda - A)$ being an elliptic differential operator, we see, by the L. Schwartz theorem [6], that $u_f = S_\lambda v(f) \in H_n$ is a C^∞ -solution.

LEMMA 6.5. *Let λ be any fixed number such that $\lambda > \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1 (> \lambda_0)$ is a constant. If $w \in L^2 \cap C^\infty$ and if $\lambda w - Aw = 0$, then $w(t) = 0$ for all $t \in E^m$.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{S} be the space of all rapidly decreasing functions and let \mathfrak{S}' be the dual of \mathfrak{S} . We now define

$$T_w(\varphi) = \int_{E^m} w(t)\varphi(t) dt$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}$. It is clear that $T_w \in \mathfrak{S}'$. According to L. Schwartz [6] we can

*) $\mathfrak{D}(E^m)$ denotes the space of C^∞ -functions with compact carriers.

define the Fourier transform $F(T) \in \mathfrak{S}'$ for all $T \in \mathfrak{S}'$. It is well known that

$$(6.17) \quad F(\partial T / \partial t_i) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} t_i \cdot F(T),$$

$$(6.18) \quad F^*(F(T)) = T,$$

where F^* denotes the conjugate Fourier transform.

By the hypothesis

$$\lambda T_w + (-1)^n \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n a^{\rho:\nu} D^{(\rho)} D^{(\nu)} T_w = 0,$$

so that by (6.17)

$$(6.19) \quad \lambda F(T_w) + (-1)^n \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n a^{\rho:\nu} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{(\rho|+|\nu|)} t_1^{\rho_1+\nu_1} \dots t_n^{\rho_n+\nu_n} \cdot F(T_w) = 0.$$

An elementary calculus shows that if $\lambda > \lambda_1 = \max(\lambda_0, C)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |h_\lambda(t)| &= |\lambda + (-1)^n \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n a^{\rho:\nu} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{|\rho|+|\nu|} t_1^{\rho_1+\nu_1} \dots t_n^{\rho_n+\nu_n}| \\ &\geq \lambda - C > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = (2\pi)^{2n} \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n |a^{\rho:\nu}| \alpha_0^{|\rho|+|\nu|}$ and $\alpha_0 = \max(1, \varepsilon_0^{-1} \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n |a^{\rho:\nu}|)$. Then, for each $\lambda > \lambda_1$, $1/h_\lambda$ is a slowly increasing function, so that $\varphi/h_\lambda \in \mathfrak{S}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Now, by (6.19), $(F(T_w))(h_\lambda \varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}$. Hence if $\lambda > \lambda_1$, then $(F(T_w))(\varphi) = (F(T_w))(h_\lambda \frac{\varphi}{h_\lambda}) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}$, that is, $F(T_w) = 0$. Thus we have $T_w = 0$ from (6.18). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(t) \varphi(t) dt = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}$, so that $w(t) = 0$ since \mathfrak{S} is dense in L^2 .

LEMMA 6.6. *Let λ be any fixed number such that $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Then, for any functions $f \in H$, the equation*

$$(\lambda - A)u = f$$

has a unique solution $u_f \in H$ and, for each semi-norm $p_\nu(\cdot)$,

$$p_\nu(u_f) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - C} p_\nu(f),$$

where λ_1 and C are constants in the preceding lemma.

PROOF. By Lemma 6.4, for each function $f \in H$ there exists a function $u_f \in H_n \cap C^\infty$ such that $(\lambda - A)u_f = f$. Operating any partial differential

operator D , we have

$$(6.20) \quad (\lambda - A)Du_f = Df.$$

Again it follows from Lemma 6.4 that there exists a function $u_{Df} \in H_n \cap C^\infty$ such that

$$(6.21) \quad (\lambda - A)u_{Df} = Df.$$

We remark that $D^{(1)}u_f$ belongs to $L^2 \cap C^\infty$, where $D^{(1)}$ denotes a partial differential operator of the first order. If we put $w = D^{(1)}u_f - u_{D^{(1)}f}$, then $w \in L^2 \cap C^\infty$ and $(\lambda - A)w = 0$. Thus Lemma 6.5 shows that $D^{(1)}u_f = u_{D^{(1)}f} \in H_n \cap C^\infty \subset L^2 \cap C^\infty$. Repeating the same argument, for each partial differential operator D , we have $Du_f = u_{Df} \in H_n \cap C^\infty \subset L^2 \cap C^\infty$. Hence $u_f \in H$ and the uniqueness of the solution follows from Lemma 6.5.

Finally we get from (6.20)

$$h_\lambda(t) F(Du_f) = F(Df),$$

where F denotes the Fourier transform on L^2 , and

$$|h_\lambda(t)| = |\lambda + (-1)^n \sum_{|\rho|, |\nu|=0}^n a^{\rho, \nu} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{|\rho|+|\nu|} t_1^{\rho_1+\nu_1} \dots t_m^{\rho_m+\nu_m}| \geq \lambda - C$$

for all $t \in E^m$. Hence

$$|F(Du_f)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - C} |F(Df)(t)|$$

for all $t \in E^m$, so that by the Parseval theorem

$$\begin{aligned} p_D(u_f) &= \left(\int_{E^m} |Du_f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{E^m} |F(Du_f)(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda - C} \left(\int_{E^m} |F(Df)(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\lambda - C} \left(\int_{E^m} |Df(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda - C} p_D(f). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.6.

It is clear that A is a continuous linear operator from H into itself. If we put $R(\lambda; A)f = u_f$, then we obtain from Lemma 6.6 that $R(\lambda; A)$ ($\lambda > \lambda_1$) is a continuous linear operator from H onto itself, that

$$(\lambda - A)R(\lambda; A)f = R(\lambda; A)(\lambda - A)f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in H, \lambda > \lambda_1,$$

and that

$$p_D([R(\lambda; A)]^k f) \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda - C)^k} p_D(f)$$

for each semi-norm p_D , $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Hence the set

$$\{[(\lambda - \sigma)R(\lambda; A)]^k f; \lambda > \sigma, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is bounded in H for each $f \in H$, where $\sigma = \max(\lambda_1, C)$. Thus Theorem 5.2 shows that the differential operator A generates a semi-group of operators satisfying the following conditions;

$$(6.22) \quad T(\xi + \eta) = T(\xi)T(\eta), \quad T(0) = I,$$

$$(6.23) \quad p_D(T(\xi)f) \leq e^{\sigma\xi} p_D(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in H,$$

$$(6.24) \quad \lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} T(\xi)f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in H.$$

In this case $D(A) = H$, so that

$$(6.25) \quad \frac{d^l T(\xi)f}{d\xi^l} = T(\xi)A^l f = A^l T(\xi)f$$

for all $f \in H$ and $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

It follows from Lemma 6.1 that $dT(\xi)f/d\xi$ is equal to the ordinary derivative $\partial(T(\xi)f)(t)/\partial\xi$ and that $\lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} (T(\xi)f)(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \in E^m$. Thus if

we put $u(\xi, t; f) = T(\xi)f$, then

$$(6.26) \quad \frac{\partial u(\xi, t; f)}{\partial \xi} = Au(\xi, t; f) \quad \text{for all } \xi \geq 0, t \in E^m,$$

$$(6.27) \quad \lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} u(\xi, t; f) = f(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in E^m.$$

Furthermore, for each partial differential operator D_l with respect to t , we have

$$(6.28) \quad \left(\int_{E^m} |D_l u(\xi, t; f)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq e^{\sigma\xi} \left(\int_{E^m} |D_l f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$. It is clear that $u(\xi, t; f)$ is a C^∞ -function with respect to ξ and $u(\xi, t; f) \in H$ for each $\xi \geq 0$.

Finally we shall prove that $u(\xi, t; f)$ is a C^∞ -function with respect to (ξ, t) . Since $D_l A = A D_l$, we have $D_l R(\lambda; A) = R(\lambda; A) D_l$. Then, by the continuity of D_l and the representation theorem of $T(\xi)$, we have

$$T(\xi)D_l = D_l T(\xi).$$

Therefore we obtain from (6.25) that

$$\frac{\partial^l}{\partial \xi^l} D_l u(\xi, t; f) = D_l \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \xi^l} u(\xi, t; f) = u(\xi, t; A^l D_l f)$$

for $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Now $T(\xi)A^l D_l f = u(\xi, \cdot; A^l D_l f)$ is a continuous function of $\xi \geq 0$ with values in H , so that it follows from Lemma 6.1 that $u(\xi, t; A^l D_l f)$ is a continuous function of (ξ, t) . Hence $u(\xi, t; f)$ is a C^∞ -function with respect to (ξ, t) . Thus we have the following

THEOREM 6.2. *The Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation in m -*

m-dimensional euclidean space E^m

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(\xi, t)}{\partial \xi} = (Au)(\xi, t), & \xi \geq 0, t \in E^m, \\ u(0, t) = f(t), & t \in E^m, \end{cases}$$

is solvable in the following sense. For any given $f \in H$ the above parabolic equation admits a C^∞ (with respect to (ξ, t)) solution $u(\xi, t) = u(\xi, t; f)$ satisfying the conditions

- (i) $u(\xi + \eta, t; f) = u(\xi, t; u(\eta, \cdot; f))$ for all $\xi, \eta \geq 0$ and $t \in E^m$,
- (ii) there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{E^m} |D_i u(\xi, t; f)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq e^{\sigma \xi} \left(\int_{E^m} |D_i f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$ and for all partial differential operators D_i ,

- (iii) $\lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} u(\xi, \cdot; f) = f(\cdot)$ in H and $du(\xi, \cdot; f)/d\xi = Au(\xi, \cdot; f)$ in H .

Furthermore the solution $u(\xi, t; f)$ such that the conditions (ii) and (iii) satisfy, is uniquely determined for $f \in H$.

PROOF OF UNIQUENESS. We suppose $u_1(\xi, t; f)$ and $u_2(\xi, t; f)$ satisfy the conditions (ii) and (iii). Then $v(\xi, t; f) = u_1(\xi, t; f) - u_2(\xi, t; f)$ implies the followings;

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\xi \downarrow 0} v(\xi, \cdot; f) = 0 \text{ in } H, \\ \frac{dv(\xi, \cdot; f)}{d\xi} = Av(\xi, \cdot; f) \text{ in } H, \\ p_D(v(\xi, \cdot; f)) \leq 2e^{\sigma \xi} p_D(f). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$L(\lambda; v) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \xi} v(\xi, \cdot; f) d\xi$$

exists for each $\lambda > \sigma$ and

$$\begin{aligned} AL(\lambda; v) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \xi} Av(\xi, \cdot; f) d\xi = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \xi} \frac{dv(\xi, \cdot; f)}{d\xi} d\xi \\ &= \lambda L(\lambda; v), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(\lambda - A)L(\lambda; v) = 0$. Thus $L(\lambda; v) = 0$ for all $\lambda > \sigma$. Hence $v(\xi, \cdot; f) = 0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, so that $u_1(\xi, t; f) = u_2(\xi, t; f)$.

6.3. Wave equation. Let A be a partial differential operator of the second order in m -dimensional euclidean space E^m with constant coefficients satisfying (6.9) and (6.10) (with $n = 1$).

We now consider the Cauchy problem for the wave equation in m -

dimensional euclidean space E^m ;

$$(6.29) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u(\xi, t)}{\partial \xi^2} = Au(\xi, t), & -\infty < \xi < \infty, \\ u(0, t) = f(t), u_t(0, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(0, t) = g(t). \end{cases}$$

The problem is equivalent to the matricial equation

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \begin{pmatrix} u(\xi, t) \\ v(\xi, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(\xi, t) \\ v(\xi, t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} u(0, t) \\ v(0, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(t) \\ g(t) \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Let λ_0 be a fixed positive number such that the Gårding inequality (6.13) holds, and let D be a partial differential operator of the form $\partial^{k_1+\dots+k_m} / \partial t_1^{k_1} \dots \partial t_m^{k_m}$. We define q_D by

$$(6.31) \quad q_D(f) = ((\lambda_0 - A)Df, Df)^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } f \in H.$$

The following lemma is easily proved from the Gårding inequalities.

LEMMA 6.7. q_D is a semi-norm of the vector space H and H becomes a Fréchet space under the topology defined by the totality of semi-norms q_D corresponding to all partial differential operators. Further this topology is equivalent to the previous topology determined by $\{p_D; D\}$.

Let us put

$$r_D \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = (q_D^2(f) + p_D^2(g))^{1/2}$$

for each $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in H \times H$.

It is a semi-norm of the product vector space $H \times H$ and the totality of those semi-norms corresponding to all partial differential operators defines a topology of $H \times H$. We shall again denote by $H \times H$ the topological vector space $H \times H$ provided with this topology. Then it is clear that the product space $H \times H$ is a Fréchet space.

From Yosida's arguments [8] and Lemma 6.6 we can prove the following

LEMMA 6.8. *There exists a positive number λ_2 such that if λ is a real number with $|\lambda| > \lambda_2$, then the equation*

$$\left(\lambda \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

has a unique solution $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_f \\ v_g \end{pmatrix} \in H \times H$ for each $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in H \times H$.

Further

$$(6.32) \quad r_D \begin{pmatrix} v_f \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda| - \beta} r_D \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

for each semi-norm $r_D, |\lambda| \geq \lambda_2$ and $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in H \times H$, where β is a positive constant independent of $\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ and r_D .

Using the same method in the parabolic case, we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.3. *The Cauchy problem for (6.29) is solvable in the following sense: For any given pair $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ of H -functions the equation (6.29) admits a C^∞ (with respect to (ξ, t)) solution $u(\xi, t) = u(\xi, t; \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix})$ satisfying the conditions*

(i') *there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & [((\lambda_0 - A)D_t u(\xi, \cdot), D_t u(\xi, \cdot))_0 + (D_t u_\xi(\xi, \cdot), D_t u_\xi(\xi, \cdot))_0]^{1/2} \\ & \leq e^{\sigma|\xi|} [((\lambda_0 - A)D_t f, D_t f)_0 + (D_t g, D_t g)_0]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for all ξ and for each partial differential operator D_t ,

$$(ii') \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \begin{pmatrix} u(\xi, \cdot) \\ u_\xi(\xi, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } H \times H, \\ \frac{d}{d\xi} \begin{pmatrix} u(\xi, \cdot) \\ u_\xi(\xi, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(\xi, \cdot) \\ u_\xi(\xi, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } H \times H. \end{cases}$$

Further the solution $u(\xi, t)$ which satisfies the conditions (i') and (ii') is uniquely determined for $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in H \times H$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. GÅRDING, Dirichlet's problem for linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Math. Scand.*, 1(1953).
- [2] E. HILLE, The abstract Cauchy problem and Cauchy's problem for parabolic differential equations, *Journ. d'Analyse Math.*, 3(1954).
- [3] E. HILLE AND F. S. PHILLIPS, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., XXXI (1957).
- [4] P. D. LAX AND A. N. MILGRAM, *Parabolic equations*, Contributions to the theory of partial differential equations, Princeton (1954).
- [5] S. MAZUR AND W. ORLICZ, Über Folgen linearer Operationen, *Studia Math.*, 4(1933).
- [6] L. SCHWARTZ, *Théorie des distributions*, Paris (1950).
- [7] K. YOSIDA, On the integration of diffusion equations in Riemannian space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(1952).
- [8] K. YOSIDA, An operator-theoretical integration of the wave equations, *Journ. Math. Soc. Japan*, 8(1956).