Tôhoku Math. Journ. 21(1969), 190-194.

KÄHLER SUBMANIFOLDS OF HOMOGENEOUS ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

Alfred Gray¹⁾

(Received March 25, 1968)

Let M=(M, J, < , >) be an almost Hermitian manifold, i.e., J is an almost complex structure on M, < , > is a Riemannian metric on M, and $\langle JX, JY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle$ for all vector fields X, Y on M. (Throughout this paper each almost Hermitian manifold M that we consider is always assumed to have a specific Riemannian metric and almost complex structure associated with it.) We define the Kähler deficiency of M to be the least integer d(M) such that any Kähler submanifold of M must have (real) dimension $\leq d(M)$. The purpose of this paper is to estimate the Kähler deficiency of certain homogeneous almost Hermitian manifolds of positive Euler characteristic.

The proofs of the following two propositions are easy.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_r$ be a Riemannian product manifold. Suppose that M, M_1, \cdots, M_r are all almost Hermitian with almost complex structures J, J_1, \cdots, J_r such that $J = J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_r$. Then

 $d(M) = d(M_1) + \cdots + d(M_r).$

PROPOSITION 2. Let M be an almost Hermitian manifold and suppose \widetilde{M} is a covering space of M. Then M is almost Hermitian and $d(\widetilde{M})=d(M)$.

Now let M be a compact homogeneous space of positive Euler characteristic. Because of Proposition 2, we may assume that M is simply connected and that M=G/K where G is a compact semisimple Lie group acting effectively on M and K is the isotropy group at some point $p \in M$. Then rank G= rank K. We assume that the metric of M is determined by a biinvariant metric on G.

Denote by θ an automorphism of G of order 3 and assume that K is the

¹⁾ This work was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant GP-6974.

KÄHLER SUBMANIFOLDS

fixed point set of θ . All such homogeneous spaces have been determined [5]. Since rank $G = \operatorname{rank} K$, θ is an inner automorphism. Thus, on account of Proposition 1, we may assume that G is simple and M is irreducible. θ determines an almost complex structure J on M as follows. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} denote the Lie algebras of G and K, respectively. Then we may write

 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ (orthogonal direct sum),

where \mathfrak{m} may be identified with the tangent space to M at p. Let P denote the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} determined by θ . Write

$$P|\mathfrak{m} = -\frac{1}{2}I + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}J,$$

where I denotes the identity. Then $J: \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{m}$ is an almost complex structure. The action of G on M gives rise to an almost complex structure (also denoted by J) on all of M. We call this the *canonical almost complex structure* determined by θ . If the isotropy representation is irreducible (e.g., if K is a maximal subgroup) then up to sign the canonical almost complex structure is the only possible homogeneous almost complex structure on M [4].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let M=G/K be a compact irreducible simply connected homogeneous space of positive Euler characteristic. Assume that K is the fixed point set of an automorphism θ of G of order 3 and that J is the canonical almost complex structure on M determined by θ . Let M have a Riemannian metric determined by a biinvariant metric on G. If M=G/Kis not a Hermitian symmetric space, then

$$d(M) \leq \dim M - 4.$$

PROOF. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. According to [5] we have

$$[X, JY]_{\mathfrak{m}} = -J[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Let $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection of *M*. Then

(1)

$$\overline{\nabla}_{x}(J)(Y) = \overline{\nabla}_{x}JY - J\overline{\nabla}_{x}Y$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[X, JY]_{\mathfrak{m}} - \frac{1}{2}J[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

$$= -J[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

A. GRAY

Thus if M = G/K is not a Hermitian symmetric space, M is not Kählerian. We see, however, that $\overline{\bigtriangledown}_{x}(J)(X) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, and so M is *nearly Kählerian* in the sense of [3]. Now every nearly Kähler manifold of dimension 2 or 4 is Kählerian [2], and so we must have dim $M \ge 6$. Therefore it sufficess to prove that an almost complex submanifold N of dimension dim M-2 cannot be Kählerian.

We may assume that $p \in N$. Then the tangent space of N at p can be identified with a subspace n of m. Let T denote the configuration tensor field of N in M [2], and let ∇ be the Riemannian connection of N. Then

$$\overline{\bigtriangledown}_{X}Y = \bigtriangledown_{X}Y + T_{X}Y$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{n}$, and $T_xY \in \mathfrak{n}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Hence by (1)

$$(2) -J[X,Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} = \nabla_{\mathcal{X}}(J)(Y) + T_{\mathcal{X}}JY - JT_{\mathcal{X}}Y.$$

Suppose that N is not totally geodesic in M at p. Then let $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ be the unit vector at which $Z \to ||T_z Z||^2$ achieves its maximum on the unit sphere of \mathfrak{n} . If $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, JY \rangle = 0$, it is not hard to see that $\langle T_x X, T_x Y \rangle = 0$. Moreover, $\langle JT_x X, T_Y Y \rangle = 0$ because $JT_x X = T_U U$ with $U = (X + JX)/\sqrt{2}$. Similarly $T_x JY$ is perpendicular to both $T_x X$ and $JT_x X$. Since $T_x X$ and $JT_x X$ span \mathfrak{n}^\perp we must have $T_x Y = T_x JY = 0$.

Thus whether or not N is totally geodesic at p, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ with ||X|| = 1 such that $T_x Y = T_x JY = 0$ whenever $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, JY \rangle = 0$. Also, since M is nearly Kählerian, $T_x JX = JT_x X$. Now assume that N is Kählerian. Then (2) reduces to

$$[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

Let \mathfrak{m}_1 and \mathfrak{m}_2 be the eigenspaces of J on $\mathfrak{m} \otimes C$, and let $\mathfrak{n}_i = \mathfrak{m}_i \cap \mathfrak{n}$, i=1,2. Since N is an almost complex submanifold of M, we have $\mathfrak{n} \otimes C$ $= \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$. Then (3) implies the existence of $X \in \mathfrak{n}_1$, such that $X \neq 0$ and

$$[X, Y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{n}_1.$$

Next we decompose $\mathfrak{g} \otimes C$ as

$$\mathfrak{g}\otimes \boldsymbol{\mathit{C}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum \{\mathfrak{g}^{lpha} | \, \mathfrak{g}^{lpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{k} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mathit{C}}\} \oplus \sum \{\mathfrak{g}^{lpha} | \, \mathfrak{g}^{lpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_1\} \oplus \sum \{\mathfrak{g}^{lpha} | \, \mathfrak{g}^{lpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_2\}$$

where \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k} \otimes C$ and $\mathfrak{g} \otimes C$ and the \mathfrak{g}^{α} are the root spaces of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes C$. For i = 1, 2 we set $\Delta_i = \{\alpha | \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i\}$. We define an equivalence relation \sim on Δ_1 as follows: $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$ or

192

there exist roots $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p \in \Delta_1$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_0, \beta = \alpha_p$, and $\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i \in \Delta_2$ for $i=1,\dots,p$. From the classification of automorphisms of order 3 of a compact simple Lie algebra [5], it follows that all the roots in Δ_1 are equivalent to one another under \sim .

Let X and Y be as in (4). For each \mathfrak{g}^{α} let E_{α} be a basis vector of \mathfrak{g}^{α} such that $\langle E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1$ and $[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha,\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root. If $\alpha + \beta$ is not a root we set $N_{\alpha,\beta} = E_{\alpha+\beta} = 0$. Write

$$X = \sum \{ x_{lpha} E_{lpha} | \, lpha \in \Delta_1 \} \; ,$$

 $Y = \sum \{ y_{lpha} E_{lpha} | \, lpha \in \Delta_1 \} \; .$

Then

$$0 = [X, Y] = \sum \{ (x_{\alpha} y_{\beta} - x_{\beta} y_{\alpha}) N_{\alpha, \beta} E_{\alpha+\beta} | \alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1 \}.$$

Since $\alpha \sim \beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1$, we must have

(5)
$$y_{\alpha} = \lambda x_{\alpha}$$
 for all $\alpha \in \Delta_1$.

However, since dim $N \ge 4$, it is always possible to choose $Y \in \mathfrak{n}_1$ linearly independent from X, i.e., so that (5) is not satisfied.

Thus dim $N = \dim M - 2$ is impossible. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1 suppose that dim M=6. Then M has no 4-dimensional almost complex submanifolds.

The spaces to which this corollary applies consist of the following: $\frac{U(3)}{U(1) \times U(1)}, \frac{SO(5)}{U(1) \times SO(3)}, \frac{SO(5)}{U(2)}, \frac{SO(6)}{U(3)}, \frac{Sp(3)}{U(3)}, \text{ and } \frac{G_2}{SU(3)} = S^6.$ For the last space, the above corollary was proved in [3] by a different method.

In conclusion we prove a theorem about the curvature operator of a reductive homogeneous space G/K for which K is the fixed point set of an automorphism of order 3. Although $S^6 = G_2/SU(3)$ is locally symmetric, this is not true in general. However, it is possible to prove that a weak version of local symmetry holds.

THEOREM 2. Let M=G/K be a reductive homogeneous space for which K is the fixed point set of an automorphism θ of G of order 3. Let M have a pseudo-Riemannian metric determined by a biinvariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on G. Let σ be a geodesic in M with velocity vector A. GRAY

field X. Then the holomorphic sectional curvature K_{XJX} is constant along σ , where J is the canonical almost complex structure defined by θ .

PROOF. We may assume that $\sigma(0) = p$ where K is the isotropy subgroup of G at p. Then $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $W, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then [1] we have

where \overline{R} denotes the curvature operator of M. In particular

From (6) we obtain

(7)
$$X < \bar{R}_{XJX}X, JX > = 2 < \bar{R}_{X\overline{\nabla}_X(J)(X)}X, JX > = 0.$$

Now Theorem 2 follows from (7).

References

- A. GRAY, Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, J. Math. Mech., 16(1967), 715-738.
- [2] A. GRAY, Vector cross products on manifolds, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,
- [3] A. GRAY, Almost complex submanifolds of the six sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 277-279.
- [4] R. HERMANN, Compact homogeneous almost complex spaces of positive characteristic, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 83(1956), 471-481.
- [5] J. WOLF AND A. GRAY, Homogeneous spaces defined by means of Lie group automorphisms, J. Differential Geometry, 2 (1968), 77–159.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND, U. S. A

194