

ON (f, g, u, v, λ) -STRUCTURES INDUCED ON A HYPERSURFACE OF AN ODD-DIMENSIONAL SPHERE

KENTARO YANO

(Received Feb. 10, 1971, Revised June 10, 1971)

An orientable differentiable submanifold M^{2n} of codimension 2 with globally defined normal vectors of an even-dimensional Euclidean space E^{2n+2} admits what we call an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9]. In [7] the present author studied (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} and special metric f -structures with complemented frames which are closely related to these (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures. The main purpose of the present paper is to generalize some of results obtained in [7] and study further (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures with $\lambda=0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} .

In § 1, we review some of known results on (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures naturally induced on hypersurfaces M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} . In § 2, we study (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} and obtain generalizations of some of results in [7]. In the last § 3, we study (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures with $\lambda=0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} .

1. Preliminaries. Let M^{2n} be an orientable differentiable submanifold of codimension 2 of a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{2n+2} and assume that there exist two globally defined mutually orthogonal unit normals C and D to M^{2n} . Then the natural Kählerian structure of E^{2n+2} induces a structure on M^{2n} defined by a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, a Riemannian metric g , two 1-forms u and v , and a function λ such that [1, 2, 8, 9]

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 X &= -X + u(X)U + v(X)V, \\ g(fX, fY) &= g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y), \\ u(fX) &= \lambda v(X), \quad v(fX) = -\lambda u(X), \\ u(U) &= v(V) = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad u(V) = v(U) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for any vector fields X and Y , vector fields U and V being defined by

$$u(X) = g(U, X), \quad v(X) = g(V, X) \tag{1.2}$$

for any vector field X . Thus the third equations of (1.1) can also be written as

$$(1.3) \quad fU = -\lambda V, \quad fV = \lambda U.$$

We call an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure the set of f, g, u, v and λ satisfying (1.1). When the tensor field of type (1,2) defined by

$$(1.4) \quad S(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) + du(X, Y)U + dv(X, Y)V$$

vanishes, where $[f, f]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with f , we say that the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is *normal*.

Now suppose that the M^{2n} is a hypersurface of an odd-dimensional sphere S^{2n+1} of radius 1 and choose the first normal C of M^{2n} as the opposite of the radius vector of S^{2n+1} . In this case, we say that the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is naturally induced on M^{2n} . Then, for the second fundamental tensor h and the Weingarten tensor H with respect to C and the third fundamental tensor l , we have

$$(1.5) \quad h(X, Y) = g(X, Y), \quad HX = X, \quad l(X) = 0,$$

for any vector fields X and Y and consequently we obtain

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X f)Y &= -g(X, Y)U + u(Y)X - k(X, Y)V + v(Y)KX, \\ (\nabla_X u)(Y) &= \omega(X, Y) - \lambda k(X, Y), \\ (\nabla_X v)(Y) &= -k(X, fY) + \lambda g(X, Y), \\ \nabla_X \lambda &= -v(X) + u(KX), \end{aligned}$$

where k is the second fundamental tensor, K the Weingarten tensor with respect to D , and

$$(1.7) \quad \omega(X, Y) = g(fX, Y)$$

a 2-form and consequently the tensor S defined by (1.4) takes the form

$$(1.8) \quad S(X, Y) = v(X)(fK - Kf)Y - v(Y)(fK - Kf)X.$$

In [7], we have proved

THEOREM A. *In order that the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} be normal, it is necessary and sufficient that f and K commute, K being the Weingarten tensor.*

If $\lambda = 0$ on M^{2n} , then (1.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^2 X &= -X + u(X)U + v(X)V, \\
 g(fX, fY) &= g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y), \\
 u(fX) &= 0, \quad v(fX) = 0, \\
 u(U) &= v(V) = 1, \quad u(V) = v(U) = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.9}$$

and (1.3) the form

$$fU = 0, \quad fV = 0 \tag{1.10}$$

and consequently the set (f, g, u, v, λ) defines a metric f -structure with complemented frames [5, 6] and (1.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_x f)Y &= -g(X, Y)U + u(Y)X - k(X, Y)V + v(Y)KX, \\
 (\nabla_x u)(Y) &= \omega(X, Y) \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_x U = fX, \\
 (\nabla_x v)(Y) &= -k(X, fY) \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_x V = fKX, \\
 u(KX) &= v(X) \quad \text{or} \quad KU = V.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.11}$$

In [7], we proved

THEOREM B. *Consider the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda = 0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} . In order that f and K commute, it is necessary and sufficient that V is a Killing vector field, or that the tensor field k satisfies*

$$k(X, Y) = k(fX, fY) + u(X)v(Y) + u(Y)v(X) + k(V, V)v(X)v(Y) \tag{1.12}$$

for any vector fields X and Y .

THEOREM C. *Consider the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda = 0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} . In order that f and K anticommute, it is necessary and sufficient that v is a harmonic 1-form, or that the tensor field k satisfies*

$$k(X, Y) = -k(fX, fY) + u(X)v(Y) + u(Y)v(X) + k(V, V)v(X)v(Y) \tag{1.13}$$

for any vector fields X and Y . In this case, M^{2n} is a minimal hypersurface of S^{2n+1} if and only if $k(V, V) = 0$.

2. (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure induced on a hypersurface of an odd-dimensional unit sphere. Assume that f and K commute: $fK - Kf = 0$. This is equivalent to $k(X, fY) + k(Y, fX) = 0$ for any vector fields X and Y , and consequently we have, from the third equation of (1.6),

$$(\nabla_X v)(Y) + (\nabla_Y v)(X) = 2\lambda g(X, Y),$$

which shows that V is a conformal Killing vector field.

Conversely, suppose that V is a conformal Killing vector field, then we have

$$(\nabla_X v)(Y) + (\nabla_Y v)(X) = 2\rho g(X, Y),$$

ρ being a function. Thus from the third equation of (1.6) and this equation, we find

$$-k(X, fY) - k(Y, fX) + 2\lambda g(X, Y) = 2\rho g(X, Y),$$

from which, by contraction $\lambda = \rho$, since k is symmetric and ω is skew-symmetric, and consequently $k(X, fY) + k(Y, fX) = 0$, that is, $fK - Kf = 0$.

Thus we have

THEOREM 2.1. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , in order that f and K commute, it is necessary and sufficient that V is a conformal Killing vector field.*

Assume next that f and K anticommute: $fK + Kf = 0$. This is equivalent to $k(X, fY) - k(Y, fX) = 0$, and consequently we have, from the third equation of (1.6),

$$(\nabla_X v)(Y) - (\nabla_Y v)(X) = 0,$$

which shows that the 1-form v is closed. The converse being evident, we have

THEOREM 2.2. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , in order that f and K anticommute, it is necessary and sufficient that v is closed.*

Suppose that $\lambda = 0$ on M^{2n} , then we have, from the third equation of (1.6), $\delta v = 0$, that is, v is coclosed. Conversely, if v is coclosed, we have, from the third equation of (1.6), $\lambda = 0$. Thus we have

THEOREM 2.3. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure naturally induced on a*

hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , in order that $\lambda = 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that v is coclosed.

From Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we have

THEOREM 2.4. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , in order that f and K anticommute and $\lambda = 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that v is harmonic.*

We now assume that the hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} is compact, and $\lambda = 0$. Then we have, from the fourth equation of (1.6), $KU = V$.

Conversely, if this holds, then we have $\nabla_X \lambda = 0$, from which $\lambda = \text{constant}$. But from the third equation of (1.6), we have, by contraction, $\text{div } V = 2n\lambda$, since $k(X, Y)$ is symmetric and $\omega(X, Y)$ is skew-symmetric, from which

$$0 = \int_{M^{2n}} \text{div} V dS = 2n\lambda \int_{M^{2n}} dS,$$

dS being the surface element of M^{2n} , and consequently $\lambda = 0$.

Thus we have

THEOREM 2.5. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure naturally induced on a compact orientable hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , in order that $\lambda = 0$, it is necessary and sufficient that $KU = V$.*

3. (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda = 0$ induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} . We now assume that the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional sphere S^{2n+1} satisfies $\lambda = 0$.

If we denote by F the natural Kähler structure of the ambient E^{2n+2} , then $FC \cdot D = \lambda$, the dot denoting the inner product in E^{2n+2} and consequently $\lambda = 0$ means that FC is orthogonal to C and D , and is tangent to M^{2n} . If we denote by (φ, ξ, η) the Sasakian structure induced on S^{2n+1} , then FC is the vector field ξ on S^{2n+1} and $\lambda = 0$ means that ξ is always tangent to M^{2n} .

From the second equation of (1.11), we have, by taking account of $fU = 0$ and $fV = 0$,

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_U U = 0, \quad \nabla_V U = 0.$$

From the third equation of (1.11), we have, by taking account of $fV = 0$ and $KU = V$,

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_U V = 0, \quad \nabla_V V = fKV.$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 3.1. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda=0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , we have*

$$(3.3) \quad [U, V] = \nabla_U V - \nabla_V U = 0.$$

We now assume that $\nabla_V V = 0$, then we have $fKV = 0$, from which, applying f , we find $-KV + u(KV)U + v(KV)V = 0$. But, from $KU = V$, we have $u(KV) = k(U, V) = v(KU) = v(V) = 1$, and consequently we have $KV = U + K(V, V)V$. Conversely, if KV has this form, then we have

$$\nabla_V V = fKV = f(U + K(V, V)V) = 0.$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 3.2. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda=0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , the vector field U is parallel in the direction of U and in the direction of V . The vector field V is parallel in the direction of U . In order that V is parallel in the direction of V , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(3.4) \quad KV = U + k(V, V)V.$$

Suppose that the vector field V is parallel in M^{2n} , then we have, from the third equation of (1.11),

$$(3.5) \quad fKX = 0$$

for any vector field X , from which, applying f , we have

$$-KX + u(KX)U + v(KX)V = 0,$$

that is,

$$(3.6) \quad KX = v(X)U + k(X, V)V$$

or

$$(3.7) \quad k(X, Y) = v(X)u(Y) + k(X, V)v(Y),$$

from which, $k(X, Y)$ being symmetric,

$$v(X)u(Y) - v(Y)u(X) + k(X, V)v(Y) - k(Y, V)v(X) = 0.$$

Thus putting $Y = V$ in this equation, we find

$$(3.8) \quad k(X, V) = u(X) + k(V, V)v(X).$$

Thus substituting (3.8) into (3.7), we find

$$(3.9) \quad k(X, Y) = u(X)v(Y) + u(Y)v(X) + k(V, V)v(X)v(Y)$$

or

$$(3.10) \quad KX = v(X)U + u(X)V + k(V, V)v(X)V.$$

Conversely, if K has the form (3.10), then (3.5) is satisfied. Thus we have

THEOREM 3.3. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda=0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , in order that the vector field V is parallel, it is necessary and sufficient that k has the form (3.9) or K has the form (3.10).*

Now, from the first equation of (1.11), we have, by putting $X=U$,

$$(\nabla_U f)Y = -u(Y)U + u(Y)U - k(U, Y)V + v(Y)KU = 0$$

since $KU = V$ and, by putting $X=V$,

$$(3.11) \quad (\nabla_V f)Y = -v(Y)U + u(Y)V - k(V, Y)V + v(Y)KV.$$

Thus, in order that $\nabla_V f=0$, we should have

$$-v(Y)U + u(Y)V - k(V, Y)V + v(Y)KV = 0,$$

from which, putting $Y=V$, $KV=U+k(V, V)V$.

Conversely if this is satisfied, then we see, from (3.11), that $\nabla_V f=0$. Thus we have

THEOREM 3.4. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda=0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^{2n} of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , the tensor field f is parallel in the direction of U . In order that the tensor field f is parallel also in the direction of V , it is necessary and sufficient that K satisfies*

$$KV = U + k(V, V)V.$$

Now, using (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad (\mathcal{L}_U f)Y &= (\nabla_U f)Y + f\nabla_U U - \nabla_{fU}U \\ &= -g(U, Y)U + u(Y)U - k(U, Y)V \\ &\quad + v(Y)KU + f^2Y - f(fY) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $KU = V$, \mathcal{L}_V denoting the operator of Lie derivation with respect to U . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V f)Y &= (\nabla_V f)Y + f\nabla_V V - \nabla_{fV} V \\ &= -g(V, Y)U + u(Y)V - k(V, Y)V + v(Y)KV + f^2KY - f(KfY), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.13) \quad (\mathcal{L}_V f)Y = v(Y)(KV - U) + \{u(Y) - k(V, Y)\}V + f(fK - Kf)Y.$$

We first assume that our structure is normal, that is, f and K commute, then, by Theorem B, we have (1.12), from which, putting $X = V$,

$$(3.14) \quad k(V, Y) = u(Y) + k(V, V)v(Y),$$

that is,

$$(3.15) \quad KV = U + k(V, V)V,$$

and consequently, we have, from (3.13),

$$(\mathcal{L}_V f)Y = v(Y)k(V, V)V - k(V, V)v(Y)V = 0.$$

We next assume that our structure is antinormal, that is, f and K anticommute, then, by Theorem C, we have (1.13), from which we have (3.14) and (3.15), and consequently, we have, from (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V f)Y &= 2f^2KY \\ &= 2\{-KY + u(KY)U + v(KY)V\} \\ &= -2\{KY - v(Y)U - u(Y)V - k(V, V)v(Y)V\} \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the vanishing of $\mathcal{L}_V f$ is equivalent to (3.9) or to (3.10).

Thus we have, taking account of Theorem 3.3,

THEOREM 3.5. *For the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure with $\lambda = 0$ naturally induced on a hypersurface M^n of an odd-dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} , the Lie derivative of the tensor field f with respect to U vanishes. If the structure is normal, then the Lie derivative of the tensor field f with respect to V also vanishes. If the structure is antinormal, the Lie derivative of the tensor field f with respect to V vanishes if and only if k has the form (3.10), that is, the vector field V is parallel.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. E. BLAIR AND G. D. LUDDEN, On intrinsic structures similar to those on S^{2n} , to appear in Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [2] D. E. BLAIR, G. D. LUDDEN AND K. YANO, Induced structures on submanifolds, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 22(1970), 188–198.
- [3] D. E. BLAIR, G. D. LUDDEN AND K. YANO, Hypersurfaces of an odd-dimensional sphere, J. Diff. Geom., 5(1971), 479–486.
- [4] D. E. BLAIR, G. D. LUDDEN AND K. YANO, On the intrinsic geometry of $S^n \times S^n$, to appear in Math. Ann.
- [5] H. NAKAGAWA, f -structures induced on submanifolds in spaces, almost Hermitian or Kaehlerian, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 18(1966), 161–183.
- [6] K. YANO, On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor N. S., 14(1963), 99–109.
- [7] K. YANO, On a special f -structure with complemented frames, to appear in Tensor.
- [8] K. YANO AND M. OKUMURA, On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 22(1970), 401–423.
- [9] K. YANO AND M. OKUMURA, On normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in an even-dimensional Euclidean space, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 23(1971), 172–197.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
AND
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

