

## HOLOMORPHIC FAMILIES OF RIEMANN SURFACES AND TEICHMÜLLER SPACES III

Bimeromorphic embedding of algebraic surfaces into projective  
spaces by automorphic forms

YOICHI IMAYOSHI

(Received December 12, 1979)

**Introduction.** In this paper, as an application of the results in [4] and [5], we will deal with the bimeromorphic embedding of algebraic surfaces into projective spaces by automorphic forms.

Let  $X$  be a two-dimensional, irreducible, non-singular projective algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . There exist a non-empty Zariski open subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $X$ , a Riemann surface  $R$  of finite type and a holomorphic mapping  $\pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow R$  so that the triple  $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, R)$  is a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces of type  $(g, n)$  with  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ . We may assume that the universal covering space of  $R$  is the unit disc. Then the universal covering space  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a bounded Bergman domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  be the covering transformation group of the universal covering  $\tilde{\Pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . A holomorphic function  $f$  is called an automorphic form of weight  $q$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  for  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ , if

$$f(T(x)) = f(x)[J_T(x)]^{-q}$$

for all  $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $q$  is an integer and  $J_T(x)$  is the Jacobian of  $T$  at  $x$ . We also say that  $f$  is a  $q$ -form for  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . We assume  $q \geq 2$  throughout this paper.

Our problem is stated as follows: *Can we construct many automorphic  $q$ -forms  $f_0, \dots, f_N$  for  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  in such a way that  $F = (f_0, \dots, f_N)$  induces a bimeromorphic embedding of  $X$  into the  $N$ -dimensional complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_N(\mathbb{C})$ ?* This problem is solved affirmatively in §8.

At the beginning, in §1, we recall the main results in [4] and [5]. In §2, we construct a domain  $\mathcal{D}$  and a discrete subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of the analytic automorphism group of  $\mathcal{D}$  so that our problem for  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  can be reduced to that for  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ . §3 is devoted to constructing some auxiliary domains, which will be used in §7. In §4, we define the behaviour of automorphic forms for  $\mathcal{G}$  near boundary points and, in §5, we recall some well-known results on the Poincaré metric and the

Poincaré series, which are used in §6 and §7, where the Poincaré series and the Poincaré-Eisenstein series for  $\mathcal{S}$  are constructed and their behaviour near boundary points are studied.

The author would like to express his hearty gratitude to Professor Kuroda for his constant encouragement and advices.

**1. Preliminaries.** We shall briefly explain the main results in [4] and [5].

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a two-dimensional Stein manifold and let  $R$  be a Riemann surface of finite type with the universal covering  $\rho: D \rightarrow R$ , where  $D$  is the unit disc  $|\tau| < 1$  in the complex  $\tau$ -plane. We assume that a holomorphic mapping  $\pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow R$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i)  $\pi$  is of maximal rank at every point of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and
- (ii) the fiber  $S_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is connected and of fixed finite type  $(g, n)$  with  $2g - 2 + n > 0$  as a Riemann surface for every  $t$  in  $R$ . Such a triple  $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, R)$  is called a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces of type  $(g, n)$  over  $R$ .

Take a finitely generated Fuchsian group  $\tilde{G}$  of the first kind with no elliptic elements acting on the upper half-plane  $U$  such that the quotient space  $\tilde{S} = U/\tilde{G}$  is a Riemann surface of finite type  $(g, n)$ . Let  $Q_{\text{norm}}(\tilde{G})$  be the set of all quasiconformal automorphisms  $w$  of  $U$  leaving  $0, 1, \infty$  fixed and satisfying  $w \circ \tilde{G} \circ w^{-1} \subset SL'(2; \mathbf{R})$ , where  $SL'(2; \mathbf{R})$  is the set of all real Möbius transformations. Two elements  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  of  $Q_{\text{norm}}(\tilde{G})$  are called equivalent if  $w_1 = w_2$  on the real axis  $\mathbf{R}$ . The Teichmüller space  $T(\tilde{G})$  of  $\tilde{G}$  is the quotient of  $Q_{\text{norm}}(\tilde{G})$  with respect to the above equivalence relation. Let  $L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})$  be the complex Banach space of bounded measurable complex-valued functions  $\mu$  satisfying  $\mu(g(z))\overline{g'(z)}/g'(z) = \mu(z)$  for all  $g$  in  $\tilde{G}$  and let  $L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})_1$  be the open unit ball in  $L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})$ .

Let  $w_\mu$  be the element of  $Q_{\text{norm}}(\tilde{G})$  with a Beltrami coefficient  $\mu \in L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})_1$  and let  $W^\mu$  be a quasiconformal automorphism of the Riemann sphere  $\hat{C}$  such that  $W^\mu$  has the Beltrami coefficient  $\mu$  on the upper half-plane  $U$ , is conformal on the lower half-plane  $L$  and

$$W^\mu(z) = 1/(z + i) + O(|z + i|)$$

as  $z$  tends to  $-i$ . This mapping  $W^\mu$  is uniquely determined by  $[w_\mu]$  up to the equivalence relation, that is,  $w_\mu = w_\nu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  if and only if  $W^\mu = W^\nu$  on  $L \cup \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\phi_\mu$  be the Schwarzian derivative of  $W^\mu$ . Then  $\phi_\mu$  is an element of the space  $B_2(L, \tilde{G})$  of bounded holomorphic quadratic differentials for  $\tilde{G}$  on  $L$ . Bers proved that the mapping sending  $[w_\mu]$  into  $\phi_\mu$  is a biholomorphic mapping of  $T(\tilde{G})$  onto a holomorphically convex bounded

domain of  $B_2(L, \tilde{G})$ , which is denoted by the same notation  $T(\tilde{G})$ . We set  $\tilde{G}_{\phi_\mu} = W^\mu \circ \tilde{G} \circ (W^\mu)^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{D}_{\phi_\mu} = W^\mu(U)$ . Then  $\tilde{G}_{\phi_\mu}$  is a quasi-Fuchsian group and Koebe's one-quarter theorem implies that  $\tilde{D}_{\phi_\mu} \subset (|w| < 2)$  for every  $\phi_\mu$  of  $T(\tilde{G})$ .

Now, for a holomorphic family of Riemann surfaces  $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, R)$  of type  $(g, n)$  with  $2g - 2 + n > 0$ , there exists a holomorphic mapping  $\Psi: D \rightarrow T(\tilde{G})$  such that the quotient space  $\tilde{D}_{\Psi(\tau)} / \tilde{G}_{\Psi(\tau)}$  is conformally equivalent to  $S_{\rho(\tau)}$  for every  $\tau \in D$ . We abbreviate  $\tilde{G}_{\Psi(\tau)}$  as  $\tilde{G}_\tau$  and  $\tilde{D}_{\Psi(\tau)}$  as  $\tilde{D}_\tau$ . We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \{(\tau, w) \mid \tau \in D, w \in \tilde{D}_\tau\} .$$

This set  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is a bounded Bergman domain in  $D \times (|w| < 2)$  and is topologically equivalent to the polydisc  $D \times D$ . Let  $F_\tau$  be the conformal mapping of  $\tilde{D}_\tau / \tilde{G}_\tau$  onto  $S_{\rho(\tau)}$  induced by  $\Psi(\tau)$  for every  $\tau \in D$ . Let  $\tilde{\Pi}$  be the holomorphic mapping of  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  onto  $\mathcal{S}$  sending  $(\tau, w)$  into  $F_\tau([w])$ , where  $[w]$  is the orbit of  $w$  with respect to  $\tilde{G}_\tau$ . Then  $\tilde{\Pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a universal covering of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  be the covering transformation group of  $\tilde{\Pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . We can explicitly express the elements of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  as follows. Let  $\Gamma$  be the covering transformation group of the universal covering  $\rho: D \rightarrow R$ . For each element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ , the homotopic monodromy  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma$  of  $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, R)$  is the element of the modular group  $\text{Mod}(\tilde{G})$  of  $\tilde{G}$  with  $\Psi(\gamma(\tau)) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma(\Psi(\tau))$  on  $D$ . Denote by  $N(\tilde{G})$  the set of all quasiconformal automorphisms  $\tilde{\omega}$  of  $U$  with  $\tilde{\omega} \circ \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{\omega}^{-1} = \tilde{G}$ . Take an element  $\tilde{\omega}_\gamma$  of  $N(\tilde{G})$  which induces  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma$ , that is, the element  $\langle \tilde{\omega}_\gamma \rangle$  of  $\text{Mod}(\tilde{G})$  induced by  $\tilde{\omega}_\gamma$  is equal to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma$ . We may assume that  $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma\delta} = \tilde{\omega}_\gamma \circ \tilde{\omega}_\delta$  for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $F(\tilde{G})$  be the fiber space over the Teichmüller space  $T(\tilde{G})$ , that is,

$$F(\tilde{G}) = \{(\phi, w) \mid \phi \in T(\tilde{G}), w \in \tilde{D}_\phi\} .$$

In general, every element  $\tilde{\omega}$  of  $N(\tilde{G})$  induces an analytic automorphism of  $F(\tilde{G})$  as follows. For every element  $[w_\mu]$  of  $T(\tilde{G})$ , we set  $w_\nu = \lambda \circ w_\mu \circ \tilde{\omega}^{-1} \in Q_{\text{norm}}(\tilde{G})$ , where  $\lambda$  is a real Möbius transformation. If we set

$$\hat{w} = [\tilde{\omega}]_*(\phi_\mu, w) = W^\nu \circ \tilde{\omega} \circ (W^\mu)^{-1}(w)$$

for  $w \in \tilde{D}_{\phi_\mu}$ , then the mapping  $(\langle \tilde{\omega} \rangle_*, [\tilde{\omega}]_*)$  sending  $(\phi_\mu, w)$  into  $(\phi_\nu, \hat{w})$  is an analytic automorphism of  $F(\tilde{G})$ . These elements  $(\langle \tilde{\omega} \rangle_*, [\tilde{\omega}]_*)$  give rise to the extended modular group  $\text{mod}(\tilde{G})$  of  $\tilde{G}$ .

Since  $\tilde{\omega}_\gamma \circ \tilde{g}$  is an element of  $N(\tilde{G})$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ , an analytic

automorphism  $(\gamma, \tilde{g})$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is defined by

$$(\gamma, \tilde{g})(\tau, w) = (\gamma(\tau), H_{(\gamma, \tilde{g})}(\tau, w))$$

with  $H_{(\gamma, \tilde{g})}(\tau, w) = [\tilde{\omega}_\gamma \circ \tilde{g}]_*(\Psi(\tau), w)$  for  $(\tau, w) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then the covering transformation group  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  of  $\tilde{\Pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is identical with the set  $\{(\gamma, \tilde{g}) | \gamma \in \Gamma, \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}\}$ . By definition, we have the formula

$$(\gamma, \tilde{g}) \circ (\delta, \tilde{h}) = (\gamma \circ \delta, \tilde{\omega}_\delta^{-1} \circ \tilde{g} \circ \tilde{\omega}_\delta \circ \tilde{h})$$

for  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  and  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in \tilde{G}$ , that is,  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is a semi-direct product of  $\Gamma$  with  $\tilde{G}$ . The quotient spaces  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $C$  be the set of all cusps of  $\Gamma$ , that is, the set of all parabolic fixed points of  $\Gamma$ . For each  $\tau_0$  of  $C$ , there is an element  $\Psi(\tau_0)$  in the closure of  $T(\tilde{G})$  such that  $\Psi(\tau)$  converges to  $\Psi(\tau_0)$  uniformly as  $\tau \rightarrow \tau_0$  through any cusp region at  $\tau = \tau_0$  in  $D$ . For each  $\tau \in D \cup C$ , denote by  $\tilde{G}_\tau = \tilde{G}_{\Psi(\tau)}$  the Kleinian group associated with the quadratic differential  $\Psi(\tau)$  for  $\tilde{G}$ , by  $\Omega(\tilde{G}_\tau)$  the region of discontinuity of  $\tilde{G}_\tau$ , and by  $\Delta(\tilde{G}_\tau)$  the invariant component corresponding to the lower half-plane. Set  $\tilde{D}_\tau = \Omega(\tilde{G}_\tau) - \Delta(\tilde{G}_\tau)$  and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\tau$  be the set of all fixed points on  $\partial\tilde{D}_\tau$  of parabolic transformations of  $\tilde{G}_\tau$ . We set  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_c = \{(\tau, w) | \tau \in D \cup C, w \in \tilde{D}_\tau \cup \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\tau\}$ . Each point of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_c - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is called a cusp of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . A Hausdorff topology on  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_c$  is defined canonically and every element  $(\gamma, \tilde{g})$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is extended to a topological automorphism  $(\gamma, \tilde{g})_c$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_c$ . We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_c = \{(\gamma, \tilde{g})_c | \gamma \in \Gamma, \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}\}.$$

Then the quotient space  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_c = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_c/\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_c$  is a two-dimensional compact normal space and every compactification of  $\mathcal{S}$  is bimeromorphically equivalent to  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_c$ . (See §6 in [5].)

**2. Construction of domains  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}'$ .** Let  $\Pi_{\tilde{G}}: U \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  be the canonical projection. For a fixed  $\nu > 3$ , there exists a Fuchsian group  $G$  with signature  $(g, n; \nu, \dots, \nu)$  such that the quotient space  $U'/G$  is conformally equivalent to  $\tilde{S}$ , where  $U'$  is the complement in  $U$  of the set of elliptic fixed points of  $G$ . Let  $\Pi_G: U' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  be the canonical projection. There is a universal covering  $\Pi_{H_0}: U \rightarrow U'$  with  $\Pi_{\tilde{G}} = \Pi_G \circ \Pi_{H_0}$ . The covering transformation group  $H_0$  of  $\Pi_{H_0}: U \rightarrow U'$  is a normal subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$  and we have the relation

$$\tilde{G} = \{\tilde{g} \in \text{SL}'(2; \mathbf{R}) | \Pi_{H_0} \circ \tilde{g} = g \circ \Pi_{H_0} \text{ for some } g \in G\}.$$

If  $\tilde{S}$  is compact, that is,  $n = 0$ , then  $G = \tilde{G}$ ,  $U' = U$ ,  $\Pi_G = \Pi_{\tilde{G}}$  and  $\Pi_{H_0}$

is the identity map. The Teichmüller space  $T(\tilde{G})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $T(G)$  as follows. (See Bers and Greenberg [3].) For every  $\tilde{\mu} \in L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})_1$ , the element  $\mu \in L^\infty(U, G)_1$  is defined by

$$\mu(\Pi_{H_0}(z)) = \tilde{\mu}(z)\Pi'_{H_0}(z)/(\overline{\Pi'_{H_0}(z)}).$$

If  $w_{\tilde{\mu}} = w_{\tilde{\nu}}$  on  $R$  for  $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} \in L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})_1$ , then  $w_\mu = w_\nu$  on  $R$ . Therefore the mapping  $m: T(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow T(G)$  sending  $[w_{\tilde{\mu}}]$  into  $[w_\mu]$  is well-defined. It can be shown that the mapping  $m$  is isomorphic.

Now, the holomorphic mapping  $\Phi: D \rightarrow T(G)$  is defined by  $\Phi = m \circ \Psi$ . Take a Beltrami coefficient  $\tilde{\mu}_\tau \in L^\infty(U, \tilde{G})_1$  such that  $\Psi(\tau)$  is the Schwarzian derivative of  $\tilde{W}^{\tilde{\mu}_\tau}$ . Then  $\Phi(\tau)$  is the Schwarzian derivative of  $W^{\mu_\tau}$ . Let  $D_\tau = D_{\Phi(\tau)} = W^{\mu_\tau}(U)$ ,  $D'_\tau = D'_{\Phi(\tau)} = W^{\mu_\tau}(U')$  and  $G_\tau = W^{\mu_\tau} \circ G \circ (W^{\mu_\tau})^{-1}$ . We set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\tau, w) \mid \tau \in D, w \in D_\tau\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}' = \{(\tau, w) \mid \tau \in D, w \in D'_\tau\}.$$

Since the mapping  $M_\tau: \tilde{D}_\tau \rightarrow D'_\tau$  sending  $w$  into  $W^{\mu_\tau} \circ \Pi_{\tilde{H}_0} \circ (\tilde{W}^{\tilde{\mu}_\tau})^{-1}(w)$  is holomorphic and depends only on  $\Psi(\tau)$ , we can define a holomorphic mapping  $M: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$  with  $M(\tau, w) = (\tau, M_\tau(w))$ .

For every element  $\tilde{\omega}_\gamma \in N(\tilde{G})$  inducing the homotopic monodromy  $\tilde{M}_\gamma \in \text{Mod}(\tilde{G})$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there is a unique element  $\omega_\gamma \in N(G)$  with  $\Pi_{H_0} \circ \tilde{\omega}_\gamma = \omega_\gamma \circ \Pi_{H_0}$ . Hence the element  $(\langle \omega_\gamma \circ g \rangle_*, [\omega_\gamma \circ g]_*)$  of  $\text{mod}(G)$  can be defined for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $g \in G$ . We set

$$(\gamma, g)(\tau, w) = (\gamma(\tau), H_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w))$$

with  $H_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w) = [\omega_\gamma \circ g]_*(\Phi(\tau), w)$  for  $(\tau, w) \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $(\gamma, g)$  is an analytic automorphism of  $\mathcal{D}$  and all such automorphisms give rise to a properly discontinuous group  $\mathcal{G}$  of analytic automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$ . For every element  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$  and  $g \in G$  with  $\Pi_{H_0} \circ \tilde{g} = g \circ \Pi_{H_0}$ , we have the relation  $M \circ (\gamma, \tilde{g}) = (\gamma, g) \circ M$ , which implies  $M \circ \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \circ M$ .

By the same reasoning as for  $\Psi$ , we see the following fact. For each parabolic fixed point  $\tau_0$  of  $\Gamma$ , there is an element  $\Phi(\tau_0) \in \overline{T(G)}$  such that  $\Phi(\tau)$  converges to  $\Phi(\tau_0)$  uniformly as  $\tau \rightarrow \tau_0$  through any cusp region at  $\tau = \tau_0$  in  $D$ . For each  $\tau \in D \cup C$ , we denote by  $G_\tau = G_{\Phi(\tau)}$  the Kleinian group associated with quadratic differential  $\Phi(\tau)$  for  $G$ , by  $\Omega(G_\tau)$  the region of discontinuity of  $G_\tau$  and by  $\Delta(G_\tau)$  the invariant component corresponding to the lower half-plane. Set  $D_\tau = \Omega(G_\tau) - \Delta(G_\tau)$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_\tau$  be the set of all fixed points on  $\partial D_\tau$  of parabolic transformations of  $G_\tau$ . It should be noted that the set  $\mathcal{P}_\tau$  is empty for  $\tau \in D$ . We set

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} = \{(\gamma, w) \mid \gamma \in D \cup C, w \in D_\tau \cup \mathcal{P}_\tau\}.$$

Each point of  $\mathcal{E} = \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \mathcal{D}$  is called a cusp of  $\mathcal{E}$ . A Hausdorff topology on  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is defined canonically and every element of  $(\gamma, g)$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is extended to a topological automorphism  $(\gamma, g)_c$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ . We set

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \{(\gamma, g)_c \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G\}.$$

Then the quotient space  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \hat{\mathcal{D}}/\hat{\mathcal{E}}$  is a two-dimensional compact normal space. Moreover, the holomorphic mapping  $M: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$  is extended to a continuous mapping  $\hat{M}: \hat{\mathcal{D}}_c \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{D}}$  with  $\hat{M} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_c = \hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \hat{M}$ , which induces a biholomorphic mapping of  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_c$  onto  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ .

For an automorphic  $q$ -form  $\Psi$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{E}$ , we set

$$(M^*\Psi)(\tau, w) = \Psi(M(\tau, w))[J_M(\tau, w)]^q$$

for  $(\tau, w) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . Then  $M^*\Psi$  is an automorphic  $q$ -form on  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  for  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ .

Therefore, our problem stated in Introduction is reduced to the case for  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ . So, in the following sections, we will study automorphic forms on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{E}$  in place of those on  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  for  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ .

**3. Construction of domains  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}'_{i,j}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{i,j}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}'_{i,j}$ .** In this section, we will use the notations in §2 of [5].

Let  $\hat{R}$  be the compactification of  $R$ , that is,  $\hat{R}$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g_0$  such that the surface obtained from  $\hat{R}$  by deleting finitely many points  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}$  is conformally equivalent to  $R$ . Let  $R_{(g,n)}$  be the moduli space of all Riemann surfaces without nodes of signature  $(g, n; \nu, \dots, \nu)$  and let  $M_{(g,n)}$  be the moduli space of all Riemann surfaces with nodes of signature  $(g, n; \nu, \dots, \nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is a fixed integer greater than 3. Then the holomorphic mapping  $J: R \rightarrow R_{(g,n)}$  sending  $t$  into  $[S_t]$  can be extended to a holomorphic mapping  $\hat{J}: \hat{R} \rightarrow M_{(g,n)}$ . Let  $S_l$  be a Riemann surface with  $\hat{J}(t_l) = [S_l]$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$ .

Let  $\nu_0 > 3$  be an integer. We set  $\nu_{i,l} = \nu_0$  and  $\nu_{i,m} = \infty, l \neq m$  for  $l, m = 1, \dots, n_0$ . Let  $E$  be the unit disc  $|\zeta| < 1$  in the complex  $\zeta$ -plane. For each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$ , we take a Fuchsian group  $\tilde{\Gamma}_l$  acting on  $E$  such that  $E/\tilde{\Gamma}_l$  is conformally equivalent to  $\hat{R}$  with the given signature  $(g, n_0; \nu_{1,1}, \dots, \nu_{l,n_0})$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\rho}_l$  the canonical projection of  $E$  onto  $\hat{R}$  and by  $E'_l$  the complement in  $E$  of the set of elliptic fixed points of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_l$ . Let  $\Gamma_l$  be the covering transformation group of the universal covering  $\rho_l: D \rightarrow E'_l$  with  $\rho = \tilde{\rho}_l \circ \rho_l$ . For each point  $\zeta \in E'_l$ , we take a point  $[\tilde{S}, f_\tau, S_{\rho(\tau)}]$  of  $T(\tilde{S})$  corresponding to a point  $\Phi(\tau)$  of  $T(G)$  with  $\rho_l(\tau) = \zeta$ . Then there exist an integer  $\nu_0$  and a deformation  $\alpha: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S_l$  such that the analytic mapping  $K_l: E'_l \rightarrow X(a(S_l))$  sending  $\zeta$  into  $\langle a(S_{\rho(\tau)}), a(\alpha_l \circ f_\tau^{-1}) \rangle$ ,

$a(S_l)$  is single-valued and has a holomorphic extension  $\hat{K}_l: E \rightarrow X(a(S_l))$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$ .

For each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$  and  $\zeta \in E$ , we can canonically construct a finitely generated Kleinian group  $H_l(\zeta)$  as follows. Let  $S_l$  have  $r_l$  parts  $\Sigma_{l,1}, \dots, \Sigma_{l,r_l}$  and  $k_l$  nodes  $P_{l,1}, \dots, P_{l,k_l}$ , and let  $a(S_l)$  have  $r'_l$  parts  $\Sigma_{l,1}, \dots, \Sigma_{l,r'_l}$  and  $k'_l$  nodes  $P_{l,1}, \dots, P_{l,k'_l}$ . Assume that each part  $\Sigma_{l,j}$  has genus  $g_{l,j}$  and  $n_{l,j}$  punctures. We choose  $r'_l$  Fuchsian groups  $H_{l,1}, \dots, H_{l,r'_l}$  acting on discs  $\Delta_{l,1}, \dots, \Delta_{l,r'_l}$  with disjoint closures such that (i)  $H_{l,j}$  has  $n_{l,j}$  non-conjugate maximal subgroups with the same fixed order  $\nu > 3$ , (ii) the Riemann surface  $\Delta_{l,j}/H_{l,j}$  with the images of all elliptic vertices removed is conformally equivalent to  $\Sigma_{l,j}$  and (iii)  $H_{l,1}, \dots, H_{l,r'_l}$  generate a Kleinian group  $H_l$  with an invariant component  $\Delta_0$ . Let  $\Delta'_{l,j}$  be the complement in  $\Delta_{l,j}$  of the set of elliptic fixed points of  $H_{l,j}$ . Let  $\Omega(H_l)$  be the region of discontinuity of  $H_l$  and let  $\Omega'(H_l)$  be the complement in  $\Omega(H_l)$  of the set of elliptic fixed points of  $H_l$ . We assign to each node  $P_{l,i}$  of  $a(S_l)$  two non-conjugate maximal elliptic subgroups  $\Gamma'_{l,i}, \Gamma''_{l,i}$  of  $H_l$  so that, if  $P_{l,i}$  joins  $\Sigma_{l,j_1}$  to  $\Sigma_{l,j_2}$ , then  $\Gamma'_{l,i} \subset H_{l,j_1}$  and  $\Gamma''_{l,i} \subset H_{l,j_2}$ . Two elliptic vertices not lying in  $\Delta_0$  is called related if they are fixed under elliptic subgroups conjugate to either  $\Gamma'_{l,i}$  or to  $\Gamma''_{l,i}$ . The  $\Gamma_{l,i}$  are chosen so that the union of  $\Delta_{l,j}/H_{l,j}$  with the images of any two related elliptic vertices identified is isomorphic to  $a(S_l)$ .

If  $s_{l,i} \in \mathbb{C}$  is small and is not zero, then there exists a unique loxodromic Möbius transformation  $h_{s_{l,i}}$  which conjugates  $\Gamma'_{l,i}$  into  $\Gamma''_{l,i}$ , has the multiplier  $s_{l,i}$  and has fixed points in  $\Delta_{l,j_1}$  and  $\Delta_{l,j_2}$ , where  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are as before. We set  $s_l = (s_{l,1}, \dots, s_{l,k'_l})$ . If  $|s_l| = \max |s_{l,i}|$  is small, then  $H_l$  and  $h_{s_{l,i}}$  generate a Kleinian group  $H_{l,s_l}$ . Let  $s_l$  be as before and let  $V$  be a quasiconformal automorphism of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $V \circ H_{l,s_l} \circ V^{-1}$  is a Kleinian group,  $V|_{\Delta_0}$  is conformal and  $V(z) = z + O(1/|z|)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Then each  $V|_{\Delta_{l,j}}$  defines an element  $\xi_{l,j}$  of the Teichmüller space  $T(H_{l,j})$ . If  $s_{l,i} \neq 0$ , set  $\eta_{l,i} = a_{l,i} - \hat{a}_{l,i}$ , where  $a_{l,i}$  is the repelling fixed point of  $V \circ h_{s_{l,i}} \circ V^{-1}$  and  $\hat{a}_{l,i}$  is the fixed point of  $V \circ \Gamma'_{l,i} \circ V^{-1}$  in  $V(\Delta_{l,j})$ . If  $s_{l,i} = 0$ , set  $\eta_{l,i} = 0$ . Then the point

$$(\xi_l, \eta_l) = (\xi_{l,1}, \dots, \xi_{l,r'_l}, \eta_{l,1}, \dots, \eta_{l,k'_l})$$

determines the Kleinian group  $V \circ H_{l,s_l} \circ V^{-1}$  which is denoted by  $H(\xi_l, \eta_l)$ . The set of all points  $(\xi_l, \eta_l)$  for which a group  $H(\xi_l, \eta_l)$  can be defined, is denoted by  $X'(a(S_l))$ . We say that such a  $V$  is a quasiconformal automorphism associated with  $(\xi_l, \eta_l)$ . The deformation space  $X(a(S_l))$  is canonically identified with  $X'(a(S_l))$ . Let  $(\xi_l(\zeta), \eta_l(\zeta))$  be the point of  $X'(a(S_l))$  corresponding to the point  $\hat{K}_l(\zeta)$  of  $X(a(S_l))$  for  $\zeta \in E$ . Denote

by  $H_i(\zeta)$  the finitely generated Kleinian group determined by the point  $(\xi_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta))$ . Let  $(\tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\eta}_i)$  be the point of  $X'(a(S_i))$  corresponding to the point  $\langle a(\tilde{S}), a(\alpha_i), a(S_i) \rangle$  of  $X(a(S_i))$ ,  $\tilde{H}_i$  the Kleinian group determined by  $(\tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\eta}_i)$  and let  $\tilde{V}_i$  be a quasiconformal automorphism of  $\hat{C}$  associated with  $(\tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\eta}_i)$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ , there is a component  $\tilde{A}_{i,j} (\subset \tilde{V}_i(A_{i,j}))$  of the region of discontinuity of  $\tilde{H}_i$  such that the Riemann surface  $\tilde{A}_{i,j}/\tilde{H}_{i,j}$  is conformally equivalent to  $\tilde{S} = U/G$ , where  $\tilde{H}_{i,j}$  is the component subgroup of  $\tilde{H}_i$  for  $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$ . Hence there exists a holomorphic covering map  $\tilde{f}_{i,j}: U \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{i,j}$  with  $\tilde{f}_{i,j} \circ G = \tilde{H}_{i,j} \circ \tilde{f}_{i,j}$ . Let  $W^{\mu_\tau}$  be a quasiconformal automorphism of  $\hat{C}$  corresponding to  $\Phi(\tau)$  of  $T(G)$  for  $\tau \in D$  with  $\rho_i(\tau) = \zeta \in E'_i$ . Then there exists a unique quasiconformal automorphism  $\tilde{V}_\zeta$  of  $\hat{C}$  and a holomorphic covering map  $f_\tau: D_\tau \rightarrow \tilde{V}_\zeta(\tilde{A}_{i,j})$  such that  $V_\zeta = \tilde{V}_\zeta \circ \tilde{V}_i$  is a quasiconformal automorphism associated with  $(\xi_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta))$ ,  $\tilde{V}_\zeta \circ \tilde{H}_i \circ (\tilde{V}_\zeta)^{-1} = H_i(\zeta)$  and  $\tilde{V}_\zeta \circ \tilde{f}_{i,j} = f_\tau \circ W^{\mu_\tau}$ . We set  $\Delta_{i,j}(\zeta) = \tilde{V}_\zeta(\tilde{A}_{i,j})$ ,  $H_{i,j}(\zeta) = \tilde{V}_\zeta \circ \tilde{H}_{i,j} \circ (\tilde{V}_\zeta)^{-1}$  and  $h(\zeta, \cdot) = \tilde{V}_\zeta \circ h \circ (\tilde{V}_\zeta)^{-1}$  for  $h \in \tilde{H}_i$ . Then  $\Delta_{i,j}(\zeta)$  is a component of  $H_i(\zeta)$  with the component subgroup  $H_{i,j}(\zeta)$  and  $f_\tau \circ G_\tau = H_{i,j}(\zeta) \circ f_\tau$ . Let  $\Delta'_{i,j}(\zeta)$  be the complement in  $\Delta_{i,j}(\zeta)$  of the set of elliptic vertices of  $H_{i,j}(\zeta)$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{i,j} &= \{(\zeta, w) \mid \zeta \in E'_i, w \in \Delta_{i,j}(\zeta)\}, \\ \mathcal{E}'_{i,j} &= \{(\zeta, w) \mid \zeta \in E'_i, w \in \Delta'_{i,j}(\zeta)\}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{i,j} &= \{(\zeta, w) \mid \zeta \in E, w \in \Delta_{i,j}(\zeta)\}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}'_{i,j} &= \{(\zeta, w) \mid \zeta \in E, w \in \Delta'_{i,j}(\zeta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r_l$ .

The above holomorphic coverings  $f_\tau: D_\tau \rightarrow \Delta_{i,j}(\zeta)$  induce a holomorphic covering  $F_{i,j}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  sending  $(\tau, w)$  into  $(\rho_i(\tau), f_\tau(w))$ .

For each  $h \in \tilde{H}_{i,j}$ , the conformal automorphism  $h(\zeta, \cdot)$  of  $\Delta_{i,j}(\zeta)$  induces an analytic automorphism  $\hat{h}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  sending  $(\zeta, w)$  into  $(\zeta, h(\zeta, w))$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{i,j} = \{\hat{h} \mid h \in \tilde{H}_{i,j}\}$  is a properly discontinuous group of analytic automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ . It is noted that each element  $\hat{h}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$  has a holomorphic extension on  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{i,j}$ .

Let  $\tau_l$  be a cusp for  $\Gamma$  with  $t_l = \rho(\tau_l)$  and let  $\gamma_{\tau_l}$  be a generator of the stabilizer  $\Gamma_{\tau_l}$  of  $\tau_l$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then the element  $\gamma_{l,\tau_l} = (\gamma_{\tau_l})^{\nu_0}$  is a generator of the stabilizer  $\Gamma_{l,\tau_l}$  of  $\tau_l$  in  $\Gamma_l$ . We set  $\zeta_l = \rho_l(\tau_l)$ . We may assume that  $\hat{K}_l(\zeta_l) = \langle \text{id} \rangle$ , which implies that  $H_l(\zeta_l) = H_l$ . By a reasoning similar to that in §4.1 of [5], we can prove that  $f_\tau$  converges uniformly to a holomorphic covering map  $f_{\tau_l}$  of a certain component  $\Omega_{\tau_l,j}$  of  $G_{\tau_l}$  onto the component  $\Delta_{l,j}$  of  $H_l$  on any compact subset of  $\Omega_{\tau_l,j}$  as  $\tau$  tends to  $\tau_l$  through any cusp region at  $\tau = \tau_l$ . If a component  $\Omega_{\tau_l,i}$  of  $G_{\tau_l}$  is not  $\Omega_{\tau_l,j}$ , then  $f_\tau$  converges to a constant map on any compact subset of  $\Omega_{\tau_l,i}$

as  $\tau$  tends to  $\tau_l$  through any cusp region at  $\tau = \tau_l$ . For the component subgroup  $G_{\tau_l, j}$  of  $G_{\tau_l}$  for  $\Omega_{\tau_l, j}$ , we have  $f_{\tau_l} \circ G_{\tau_l, j} = H_{l, j} \circ f_{\tau_l}$ . Moreover, we can prove that  $\tilde{V}_\zeta \circ (\tilde{V}_l \circ h \circ (\tilde{V}_l)^{-1}) \circ (\tilde{V}_\zeta)^{-1} = V_\zeta \circ h \circ V_\zeta^{-1}$  converges uniformly to  $h$  for each  $h \in H_l$  and  $\tilde{V}_\zeta \circ \tilde{h} \circ (\tilde{V}_\zeta)^{-1}$  converges uniformly to a constant for each  $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}_l - \tilde{V}_l \circ H_l \circ (\tilde{V}_l)^{-1}$  on any compact subset of  $\Omega'(H_l)$  as  $\zeta$  tends to  $\zeta_l$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \Gamma_{l, \tau_l} \circ \gamma_j$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{l, \tau_l} = \{(\gamma, g) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{l, \tau_l}, g \in G\}$ . Let  $\omega_{\tau_l, \tau_l} \in N(G)$  be the quasiconformal automorphism of  $U$  with  $\langle \omega_{\tau_l, \tau_l} \rangle = \mathcal{M}_{l, \tau_l}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_{l, \tau_l}$  is the homotopic monodromy of  $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, R)$  for  $\gamma_{l, \tau_l}$ . Since  $K_l \circ \rho_l \circ \gamma_{l, \tau_l} = K_l \circ \rho_l$ , we may assume that for a certain positive integer  $\nu_0$ ,  $\omega_{\tau_l, \tau_l}$  is induced by a quasiconformal automorphism of  $\tilde{S}$  which is homotopic to a product of  $\nu$ -th powers of Dehn twists about Jordan curves on  $\tilde{S}$  mapped by  $\alpha_l$  into nodes of  $S_l$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$ . Then we have  $F_{l, j} \circ \mathcal{G}_{l, \tau_l} = \mathcal{H}_{l, j} \circ F_{l, j}$  and  $H_{(\tau_l, \tau_l, 1)}(\Omega_{\tau_l, j}) = \Omega_{\tau_l, j}$ . Hence  $F_{l, j}$  induce a biholomorphic mapping of  $\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{G}_{l, \tau_l}$  onto  $\mathcal{E}_{l, j} / \mathcal{H}_{l, j}$ .

By using these facts, we will construct certain automorphic forms on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  in §7.

**4. Behaviour of automorphic forms for  $\mathcal{G}$  at cusps.** We determine the behaviour of a  $q$ -form  $\Psi$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  near a cusp  $(\tau_0, w_0) \in \mathcal{C}$  as follows.

(i) If  $\tau_0 \in C$ , that is,  $\tau_0$  is a cusp of  $\Gamma$ , and if  $w_0 \in D_{\tau_0}$ , then the stabilizer  $\Gamma_{\tau_0}$  of  $\tau_0$  in  $\Gamma$  is generated by a parabolic transformation  $\gamma_{\tau_0}$ . There is a Möbius transformation  $A$  of the upper half-plane  $U$  onto the unit disc  $D$  with  $A^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\tau_0} \circ A(\tau) = \tau + c_0$  for a positive constant  $c_0$ . Since  $\Phi(\tau)$  converges uniformly to  $\Phi(\tau_0)$  as  $\tau$  tends to  $\tau_0$  through any cusp region  $\Delta$  at  $\tau = \tau_0$  in  $D$ , there is a positive constant  $\delta$  such that  $N_\delta = \{|w - w_0| < \delta\}$  is contained in  $D_\tau$  for every  $\tau \in \Delta$ . (See §4.1 of [5].) We assume that  $\Delta$  is the image of the strip region  $E_{a, b} = \{t \in U \mid -a < \text{Re}(t) < a, \text{Im}(t) > b\}$  by  $A$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants. We set

$$\mathcal{D}^* = \{(t, w) \mid t \in U, w \in D_{A(t)}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(t, w) = (A(t), w) \text{ for } (t, w) \in \mathcal{D}^*.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{D}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a biholomorphic mapping and

$$(\mathcal{A}^* \Psi)(t, w) = \Psi(\mathcal{A}(t, w)) [J_{\mathcal{A}}(t, w)]^q$$

is a  $q$ -form on  $\mathcal{D}^*$  for  $\mathcal{A}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{A}$ . The behaviour of  $\Psi$  near  $(\tau_0, w_0)$  is determined by that of  $\mathcal{A}^* \Psi$  near  $(\infty, w_0)$  in  $E_{a, b} \times N_\delta$ .

(ii) Since  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is a two-dimensional compact normal complex space

and since the cusps for  $\mathcal{G}$  except in the case (i) corresponds to a set of finitely many points of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ , every meromorphic mapping of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - \{\text{finitely many points of } \hat{\mathcal{S}}\}$  into a projective space  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$  is extended to a meromorphic mapping of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  into  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus it is sufficient to study only the behaviour of  $\Psi$  near cusps in the case (i).

**5. Poincaré metric and Poincaré series.** We shall briefly recall some well-known results on the Poincaré metric and on the Poincaré series.

1. Let  $\Omega$  be a domain on the Riemann sphere whose boundary consists of more than two points. Let  $\lambda_\Omega(z)|dz|$  be the Poincaré metric for  $\Omega$ . We call  $\lambda_\Omega$  the Poincaré density of this metric. Then the following proposition is well known. (See Kra [6, Chap. II, Prop. 1.1].)

**PROPOSITION A.**

(a) *If  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_1$  is a conformal mapping, then*

$$\lambda_{\Omega_1}(f(z))|f'(z)| = \lambda_\Omega(z), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

(b) *If  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ , then  $\lambda_\Omega(z) \leq \lambda_{\Omega_1}(z)$  for  $z \in \Omega_1$ .*

(c) *Let  $\delta_\Omega(z) = \inf\{|z - \zeta|; \zeta \in \partial\Omega\}$ . Then*

$$\lambda_\Omega(z)\delta_\Omega(z) \leq 1, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

(d) *If  $\Omega$  is connected and simply connected and if  $\infty \in \Omega$ , then*

$$\lambda_\Omega(z)\delta_\Omega(z) \geq 1/4.$$

2. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind with translations acting on the upper half-plane  $U$ . Let  $\Gamma_\infty$  be the stabilizer of  $\infty$  for  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma_\infty$  is generated by a parabolic element  $\gamma_\infty(z) = z + c$  with  $c > 0$ . Writing  $\Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma = \Gamma_\infty\gamma_0 + \Gamma_\infty\gamma_1 + \cdots$ , we have a system  $(\Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma) = \{\gamma_i | i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  of representatives of the cosets  $\Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma$ . The following proposition is also known. (See Lehner [7, Chap. 2, Prop. 1.B and Prop. 1.E].)

**PROPOSITION B.** *For any integer  $q > 1$ , the series*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\gamma'_i(z)|^q$$

*converges for each  $z \in U$  and converges uniformly on each closed region*

$$E_a = \{z = x + iy \mid |x| \leq a^{-1}, y \geq a > 0\}.$$

*Let  $x_0$  be a parabolic fixed point for  $\Gamma$  on the real axis which is not equivalent to  $\infty$  under  $\Gamma$  and take the real Möbius transformation  $\alpha(z) = (zx_0 - 1)/z$  sending  $x_0$  into  $\infty$ . Then the series*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |(\gamma_j \circ \alpha)'(z)|^q$$

converges to zero uniformly as  $z$  tends to  $\infty$  through  $E_a$ .

3. Let  $X$  be a bounded domain in  $C^n$  and let  $H$  be a discrete subgroup of the analytic automorphism group of  $X$ . For any bounded holomorphic function  $f$  on  $X$ , we set

$$P_f(x) = \sum_{h \in H} f(h(x))J_h(x)^q$$

for  $x \in X$ . This series is called the Poincaré series of weight  $q$  for  $H$ . The following proposition holds. (See Baily [1, Chap. 5, Prop. 1].)

**PROPOSITION C.** *The Poincaré series  $P_f$  converges absolutely and uniformly on each compact subset of  $X$  for  $q \geq 2$  and is a holomorphic  $q$ -form on  $X$  for  $H$ .*

We denote by  $H_a$  the stabilizer of  $a \in X$  in  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a neighbourhood of the origin  $O$  in  $C^n$  and let  $\lambda_a$  be a biholomorphic mapping of  $\mathcal{N}$  onto a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $a$  stable under  $H_a$  with  $\lambda_a(O) = a$  and  $|J_{\lambda_a}(O)| = 1$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\lambda_a$  are chosen in such a way that (1)  $h \in H, h(\mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$  imply  $h \in H_a$ , and (2)  $\tilde{H}_a = \lambda_a^{-1} \circ H_a \circ \lambda_a$  acts on  $\mathcal{N}$  by linear transformations.

If  $f$  is a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood of  $a$  satisfying  $f(h(x))J_h(x)^q = f(x)$  for all  $h \in H_a$  when  $h(x)$  is contained in the domain of definition  $\text{def}(f)$  of  $f$ , then we say  $f$  is a local automorphic form of weight  $q$  with respect to  $H_a$ . For such a function  $f$ , define  $\lambda_a^* f$  by

$$(\lambda_a^* f)(\zeta) = f(\lambda_a(\zeta))J_{\lambda_a}(\zeta)^q$$

for  $\zeta \in \mathcal{N} \cap \lambda_a^{-1}(\text{def}(f))$ . Then we have

$$(\lambda_a^* f)(\tilde{h}(\zeta)) = (\lambda_a^* f)(\zeta)J_{\tilde{h}}(\zeta)^{-q}$$

for each  $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}_a$ . Since  $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}_a$  is linear,  $J_{\tilde{h}}(\zeta)$  is a constant  $N$ -th root of unity, where  $N$  is the order of  $H_a$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(q)_a$  denote the linear space of germs of local automorphic forms of weight  $q$  for  $H_a$  at  $a$ . For each  $q$  divisible by  $N$ , the mapping  $\lambda_a^*$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}(q)_a$  onto the ring  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{H}_a)$  of germs of  $\tilde{H}_a$ -invariant holomorphic functions at  $O$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be the maximal ideal in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_0$  of germs of holomorphic functions at  $O$  in  $C^n$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_0 \mid f(O) = 0\}.$$

Then we know that the following proposition holds. (See Baily [1, Chap. 5, Theorem 10].)

PROPOSITION D. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in X$  belong to distinct orbits of  $H$  and let a positive integer  $l$  be given. Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{H}_{a_i})$  be given for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $q$  and a Poincaré series  $P_f$  of weight  $q$  for  $H$  such that

$$\lambda_{a_i}^* P_f \equiv f_i \pmod{\mathcal{M}_0^{l+1}}$$

in a neighborhood of  $O$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

6. Poincaré series on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary bounded holomorphic function on the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  defined in §2. Assume that  $|f| \leq M$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} P_f(\tau, w) &= \sum_{(\gamma, g)} f[(\gamma, g)(\tau, w)] [J_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w)]^q \\ &= \sum_{(\gamma, g)} f[(\gamma(\tau), H_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w))] H'_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w)^q \gamma'(\tau)^q \end{aligned}$$

for  $(\tau, w) \in \mathcal{D}$ , where  $(\gamma, g)$  runs through  $\Gamma \times G$ ,  $H'_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w) = \partial H_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w) / \partial w$  and  $q \geq 2$  is an arbitrary integer. By Proposition C, this Poincaré series  $P_f$  converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathcal{D}$  and is a holomorphic  $q$ -form for  $\mathcal{G}$ .

We study the behaviour of  $P_f$  near a cusp for  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $(\tau_0, w_0)$  be a cusp for  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\tau_0$  is a cusp for  $\Gamma$  and  $w_0 \in D_{\tau_0}$ . We use the notations of §4 and §5. Let  $\Gamma^* = A^{-1} \circ \Gamma \circ A$  and  $\gamma^* = A^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ A$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The stabilizer  $\Gamma_\infty^*$  of  $\infty$  in  $\Gamma^*$  is generated by  $\gamma_0^* = A^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ A$  which is a translation  $\gamma_0^*(\tau) = \tau + c_0$  with a positive constant  $c_0$ . Let  $\{\gamma_i^* \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a system of representatives of the left cosets  $\Gamma_\infty^* \backslash \Gamma^*$ .

LEMMA 1. There exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$\sum_{g \in G} |H'_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w)|^q \leq C_1$$

on  $A(E_{a, b}) \times N_\delta$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $\lambda_\tau$  be the Poincaré density of  $D_\tau$  and  $F_\tau$  a fundamental domain for  $G_\tau$ . We set  $g_\tau(w) = H_{(1, g)}(\tau, w)$  for each  $g \in G$ . Since  $(\gamma, g) = (1, \omega_\tau \circ g \circ \omega_\tau^{-1})(\gamma, 1)$ , we have  $H_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w) = (\omega_\tau \circ g \circ \omega_\tau^{-1})_{\tau(\tau)} \circ H_{(\gamma, 1)}(\tau, w)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} |H'_{(\gamma, g)}(\tau, w)|^q &= \sum_{g \in G} | \{ (\omega_\tau \circ g \circ \omega_\tau^{-1})_{\tau(\tau)} \circ H_{(\gamma, 1)} \}'(\tau, w) |^q \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} | \{ g_{\tau(\tau)} \circ H_{(\gamma, 1)} \}'(\tau, w) |^q \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} | g'_{\tau(\tau)}(H_{(\gamma, 1)}(\tau, w)) |^q | H'_{(\gamma, 1)}(\tau, w) |^q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \iint_{F_\tau} \lambda_\tau(w)^{2-q} \sum_{g \in G} |H'_{(\tau, g)}(\tau, w)|^q |dw \wedge d\bar{w}| \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \iint_{F_\tau} \lambda_\tau(w)^{2-q} |g'_{\gamma(\tau)}(H_{(\tau, 1)}(\tau, w))|^q |H'_{(\tau, 1)}(\tau, w)|^q |dw \wedge d\bar{w}| \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \iint_{F_{\gamma(\tau)}} \lambda_{\gamma(\tau)}(z)^{2-q} |g'_{\gamma(\tau)}(z)|^q |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \iint_{g_{\gamma(\tau)}(F_{\gamma(\tau)})} \lambda_{\gamma(\tau)}(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| \\
 &= \iint_{D_{\gamma(\tau)}} \lambda_{\gamma(\tau)}(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| \leq \iint_{\Omega} \lambda_{\Omega}(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| = K_1
 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\tau \in D$ , where  $z = H_{(\tau, 1)}(\tau, w)$ ,  $F_{\gamma(\tau)} = H_{(\tau, 1)}(\tau, F_\tau)$  and  $\Omega = \{|z| < 2\}$  which contains  $D_{\gamma(\tau)}$  for each  $\tau \in D$ . We may assume that  $N_{3\delta} = \{|w - w_0| < 3\delta\}$  is contained in  $F_\tau$  for each  $\tau \in A(E_{a, b})$ . Since  $\lambda_\tau(w)\delta_{D_\tau}(w) \leq 1$  by Proposition A, we have  $\delta_{D_\tau}(w)^{q-2} \leq \lambda_\tau(w)^{2-q}$  for  $w \in D_\tau$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{g \in G} \iint_{N_{2\delta}} |H'_{(\tau, g)}(\tau, w)|^q |dw \wedge d\bar{w}| \\
 & \leq \delta^{2-q} \sum_{g \in G} \iint_{N_{2\delta}} \delta_{D_\tau}(w)^{q-2} |H'_{(\tau, g)}(\tau, w)|^q |dw \wedge d\bar{w}| \\
 & \leq \delta^{2-q} \sum_{g \in G} \iint_{F_\tau} \lambda_\tau(w)^{2-q} |H'_{(\tau, g)}(\tau, w)|^q |dw \wedge d\bar{w}| \\
 & \leq \delta^{2-q} K_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$\sum_{g \in G} |H'_{(\tau, g)}(\tau, w)|^q \leq C_1$$

for each  $(\tau, w) \in A(E_{a, b}) \times N_\delta$  and for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

LEMMA 2. *There exists a positive constant  $C_2$  such that*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |A'[(\gamma_0^*)^n \circ \gamma_j^*(t)]|^q \leq C_2$$

on  $E_{a, b}$  for each  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

PROOF. Let  $A(t) = e^{i\theta}(t - i\alpha)/(t + i\alpha)$  and  $\gamma_0^*(t) = t + c_0$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $c_0$  are positive real numbers and  $\theta$  is real. Set  $\gamma_j^*(t) = u + iv$  with  $v > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |A'[(\gamma_0^*)^n \circ \gamma_j^*(t)]|^q &= (2\alpha)^q \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\{(u + c_0n)^2 + (\alpha + v)^2\}^q} \\
 &\leq (2\alpha)^q \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\{(u + c_0x)^2 + (\alpha + v)^2\}^q} + \frac{2}{(\alpha + v)^{2q}} \right\} \\
 &\leq (2\alpha)^q \left\{ \frac{\pi}{c_0(\alpha + v)^{2q-1}} + \frac{2}{(\alpha + v)^{2q}} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{2^q \pi}{c_0 \alpha^{q-1}} + \frac{2^{q+1}}{\alpha^q} = C_2 .$$

Now we have the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(\tau_0, w_0)$  be a cusp for  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\tau_0$  is a cusp for  $\Gamma$  and  $w_0 \in D_{\tau_0}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}^* P_f)(t, w)$  converges to zero uniformly as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_1)$  with  $w_1 \in N_\delta$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$ .*

**PROOF.**

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}^* P_f(t, w)| &= \left| \sum_{r,g} f[(r, g)(A(t), w)] [J_{(r,g)}(A(t), w)]^q A'(t)^q \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r,g} |f[(\gamma, g)(A(t), w)]| |H'_{(r,g)}(A(t), w)(A \circ \gamma^*)'(t)|^q \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} |H'_{(j_0^n \cdot \gamma_j, g)}(A(t), w)|^q \right\} |A'[(\gamma_0^*)^n \gamma_j^*(t)]|^q \right] |(\gamma_j^*)'(t)|^q \end{aligned}$$

and the series on the right hand side converges to zero uniformly as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_1)$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$  by Proposition B and Lemmas 1, 2. This proves our Theorem 1.

Let  $a = (\tau_0, w_0)$  be a point of  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $G_{\tau_0, w_0}$  be the stabilizer of  $w_0$  in  $G_{\tau_0}$ . We use the notations of § 5.3.

*Case 1.*  $G_{\tau_0, w_0} = \{\text{id}\}$ . We set  $(x, y) = (\tau - \tau_0, w - w_0)$  and  $\lambda_a(x, y) = (x + \tau_0, y + w_0)$ . Then  $(x, y)$  are local coordinates of  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$  in a neighbourhood of  $[\tau_0, w_0]$ . Since the stabilizer  $\mathcal{G}_a$  of  $a$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is trivial, the group  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a = \lambda_a^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_a \circ \lambda_a$  is also trivial. Therefore, each element of  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a)$  is a convergent power series

$$\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm} x^n y^m .$$

*Case 2.*  $G_{\tau_0, w_0}$  is generated by an elliptic transformation  $g_{\tau_0}$ . The transformation  $\hat{w} = g_\tau(w)$  is given by the relation

$$(\hat{w} - \xi_1(\tau))/(\hat{w} - \xi_2(\tau)) = \exp(2\pi i/\nu)(w - \xi_1(\tau))/(w - \xi_2(\tau)) ,$$

where  $\xi_1(\tau) \neq \xi_2(\tau)$  are holomorphic functions of  $\tau \in D$  and  $\xi_1(\tau_0) = w_0$ . We set

$$(t, z) = ((\xi_1(\tau_0) - \xi_2(\tau_0))(\tau - \tau_0), (w - \xi_1(\tau))/(w - \xi_2(\tau))) ,$$

$\lambda_a(t, z) = (\tau, w)$  and  $(x, y) = (t, z^\nu)$ . For the stabilizer  $\mathcal{G}_a$  of  $a$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , the group  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a = \lambda_a^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_a \circ \lambda_a$  is generated by the linear transformation sending  $(t, z)$  into  $(t, (\exp 2\pi i/\nu)z)$ . Since each element  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_a)$  is a convergent power series

$$\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm} t^n z^{\nu m} ,$$

the function  $\phi$  is regarded as a holomorphic function of  $(x, y) = (t, z^\nu)$  and  $(x, y)$  are local coordinates of  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$  in a neighbourhood of  $[\tau_0, w_0]$ .

Thus, for any point  $a = (\tau_0, w_0) \in \mathcal{D}$  and for any automorphic form  $f_0$  of weight  $q_0$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $f_0(a) \neq 0$ , Proposition D implies that there exist two Poincaré series  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight  $q$  such that

$$\partial((\lambda_a^* f_1)^d / (\lambda_a^* f_0)^{d_0}, (\lambda_a^* f_2)^d / (\lambda_a^* f_0)^{d_0}) / \partial(x, y) \neq 0$$

at  $(x, y) = 0$  for all positive integers  $d_0$  and  $d$  with  $d_0 q_0 = dq$ .

Now we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $a = (\tau_0, w_0)$  be a point of  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $f_0$  be an automorphic form of weight  $q_0$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $f_0(a) \neq 0$ . Then there exist two Poincaré series  $f_1, f_2$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight  $q$  such that*

$$\partial((\lambda_a^* f_1)^d / (\lambda_a^* f_0)^{d_0}, (\lambda_a^* f_2)^d / (\lambda_a^* f_0)^{d_0}) / \partial(x, y) \neq 0$$

at  $(x, y) = 0$  for all positive integers  $d_0, d$  with  $d_0 q_0 = dq$ , where  $(x, y)$  are local coordinates of  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$  in a neighbourhood of  $[\tau_0, w_0]$  so that  $[\tau_0, w_0]$  is given by  $(x, y) = 0$ .

**7. Poincaré-Eisenstein series on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$ .** We use the notations in § 3 and § 4, but for the sake of simplicity, let us simply denote  $B, f_t, \sigma$  and  $\sigma_j$  instead of  $\rho_l \circ A, f_{A(t)}, \gamma^*$  and  $\gamma_j^*$ , respectively.

For any bounded holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{l,j}$ , set

$$Q_f(\zeta, w) = \sum f[\zeta, h(\zeta, w)][h'(\zeta, w)]^q$$

for  $(\zeta, w) \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{l,j}$ , where  $h(z, \cdot)$  runs through  $H_l(\zeta)$  and  $h'(\zeta, w) = \partial h(\zeta, w) / \partial w$ . Proposition C implies that this Poincaré series  $Q_f$  is a holomorphic  $q$ -form on  $\mathcal{E}_{l,j}$  for  $\mathcal{H}_{l,j}$ .

Let  $\tau_l$  be a cusp for  $\Gamma$  with  $t_l = \rho(\tau_l)$  and let  $\gamma_{\tau_l}$  be a generator of the stabilizer  $\Gamma_{\tau_l}$  of  $\tau_l$  in  $\Gamma$ . The element  $\gamma_{l,\tau_l} = (\gamma_{\tau_l})^{\nu_0}$  is a generator of the stabilizer  $\Gamma_{l,\tau_l}$  of  $\tau_l$  in  $\Gamma_l$ . Take a Möbius transformation  $A: U \rightarrow D$  such that  $A^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\tau_l} \circ A(t) = t + c$  for a positive constant  $c$ . Let  $\Gamma_l^* = A^{-1} \circ \Gamma \circ A, \Gamma_{l,\tau_l}^* = A^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{l,\tau_l} \circ A$  and  $\sigma = A^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ A$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We set

$$\mathcal{D}_l^* = \{(t, w) \mid t \in U, w \in D_{A(t)}\},$$

$$H_{(\sigma,g)}(t, w) = H_{(\sigma,g)}(A(t), w),$$

$$\mathcal{A}(t, w) = (A(t), w).$$

Then

$$R_f(t, w) = Q_f[B(t), f_t(w)][f'_t(w)]^q$$

is a  $q$ -form on  $\mathcal{D}_l^*$  for  $\mathcal{G}_{l,\tau_l}^* = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_{l,\tau_l} \circ \mathcal{A}$ . In fact, for each  $(\sigma, g) \in \mathcal{G}_{l,\tau_l}^*$

with  $\sigma = A^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ A$ , we have  $R_f[(\sigma, g)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma, g)}(t, w)]^q = Q_f[B \circ \sigma(t), f_{\sigma(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma, g)}(t, w)][(f_{\sigma(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma, g)})'(t, w)]^q = Q_f[\rho_l \circ \gamma \circ A(t), f_{\gamma \circ A(t)} \circ H_{(\gamma, g)}(A(t), w)] \times [(f_{\gamma \circ A(t)} \circ H_{(\gamma, g)})'(A(t), w)]^q$ . Since  $\omega_{\Gamma_l, \tau_l}$  of  $N(G)$  is induced by a quasiconformal automorphism of  $\tilde{S}$  which is homotopic to a product of  $\nu$ -th powers of Dehn twists about Jordan curves on  $\tilde{S}$  mapped by  $\alpha_i$  into nodes of  $S_i$ , we see that, if  $\nu_0$  is sufficiently large, then there exists an element  $h(B(t), \cdot)$  of  $H_i(B(t))$  with

$$f_{\gamma \circ A(t)} \circ H_{(\gamma, g)}(A(t), w) = h(B(t), f_i(w))$$

for an element  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{l, \tau_l}$ . Since  $\rho_l \circ \gamma = \rho_l$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{l, \tau_l}$  and  $\sigma' = 1$  for  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{l, \tau_l}$ , we get  $R_f[(\sigma, g)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma, g)}(t, w)]^q = Q_f[B(t), h(B(t), f_i(w))][\{h(B(t), f_i(w))\}'^q = Q_f[B(t), f_i(w)][f_i'(w)]^q = R_f(t, w)$ . Hence  $R_f$  is a  $q$ -form on  $\mathcal{D}_l^*$  for  $\mathcal{S}_{l, \tau_l}^*$ .

Let  $\Gamma_l^* = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{l, \tau_l}^* \circ \sigma_i$ . Set

$$E_f^*(t, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_f[(\sigma_i, 1)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma_i, 1)}(t, w)]^q$$

for  $(t, w) \in \mathcal{D}_l^*$ . This series  $E_f^*$  is called a Poincaré-Eisenstein series for  $\mathcal{S}_l^*$ . Explicitly,  $E_f^*$  is given by

$$E_f^*(t, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \{f[B \circ \sigma_i(t), h(B \circ \sigma_i(t), f_{\sigma_i(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma_i, 1)}(t, w))]\} \times [\{h(B \circ \sigma_i(t), f_{\sigma_i(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma_i, 1)}(t, w))\}'^q] \sigma_i'(t)^q,$$

where  $h(B \circ \sigma_i(t), \cdot)$  runs through  $H_i(B \circ \sigma_i(t))$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $t_0$  be a point in  $U$  or a parabolic fixed point of  $\Gamma_l^*$  and let  $w_0$  be a point in  $D_{A(t_0)}$ . Take a neighbourhood  $\Delta$  of  $t_0$  or a cusp region  $\Delta$  at  $t_0$  such that a neighbourhood  $N_\delta$  of  $w_0$  is contained in  $D_{A(t)}$  for each  $t$  in  $\Delta$ . Then there exists a positive constant not depending on  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  such that*

$$\sum |\{h(B \circ \sigma_i(t), f_{\sigma_i(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma_i, 1)}(t, w))\}'^q| \leq C_\delta$$

on  $\Delta \times N_\delta$ , where  $h(B \circ \sigma_i(t), \cdot)$  runs through  $H_i(B \circ \sigma_i(t))$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\tau = A(t)$ ,  $\zeta = B \circ \sigma_i(t)$ ,  $\phi(w) = f_{\sigma_i(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma_i, 1)}(t, w)$  and let  $\lambda_\tau$  be the Poincaré density of  $D_\tau$ . Let  $\lambda_h$  be the Poincaré density of the domain  $\Delta_h = h(\zeta, \Delta_{i, j}(\zeta))$  for each  $h \in H_i(\zeta)$ . Since  $h(\zeta, \phi(w)): D_\tau \rightarrow \Delta_h$  is a universal covering, by definition,  $\lambda_h[h(\zeta, \phi(w))]| \{h(\zeta, \phi(w))\}' | = \lambda_\tau(w)$ . Hence, for a fundamental domain  $F$  for  $G_\tau$ ,

$$\sum_h \iint_F \lambda_\tau(w)^{2-q} |\{h(\zeta, \phi(w))\}'|^q |dw \wedge d\bar{w}| = \sum_h \iint_{F_h} \lambda_h(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}|,$$

where  $F_h = h(\zeta, \phi(F))$  and  $z = h(\zeta, \phi(w))$ . Since  $V_\epsilon(z) = z + O(1/|z|)$  as  $z$  tends to  $\infty$ , Koebe's one-quarter theorem implies there is a positive

constant  $r_0$  such that  $\Delta_h$  is contained in  $D_0 = (|z| < r_0)$  for each  $\zeta \in E$  and each  $h(\zeta, \cdot) \in H_i(\zeta)$ . If  $\lambda_0$  is the Poincaré density of  $D_0$ , then  $\lambda_h(z) \geq \lambda_0(z)$  for  $z \in \Delta_h$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_h \iint_{F_h} \lambda_h(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| &\leq \sum_h \iint_{F_h} \lambda_0(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| \\ &\leq \iint_{D_0} \lambda_0(z)^{2-q} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| \leq K_2 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $t \in U$ , where  $K_2$  is a positive constant not depending on  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 1, we can prove Lemma 3.

**THEOREM 2.** *The Poincaré-Eisenstein series  $E_f^*$  for  $\mathcal{G}_i^*$  converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathcal{D}_i^*$  and is a holomorphic  $q$ -form for  $\mathcal{G}_i^*$ .*

**PROOF.** Proposition B and Lemma 3 imply that  $E_f^*$  converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathcal{D}_i^*$ .

For each  $(\sigma, g) \in \mathcal{G}_i^*$ , we have

$$E_f^*[(\sigma, g)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma, g)}(t, w)]^q = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_f[(\sigma_i \circ \sigma, g)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma_i \circ \sigma, g)}(t, w)]^q.$$

Since there exists an integer  $\alpha_i$  and a non-negative integer  $k_i$  with  $\sigma_i \circ \sigma = (\gamma_{i, \tau_i}^*)^{\alpha_i} \circ \sigma_{k_i}$  for each  $i$ , we have  $(\sigma_i \circ \sigma, g) = ((\gamma_{i, \tau_i}^*)^{\alpha_i}, g_i) \circ (\sigma_{k_i}, 1)$  with  $g_i = \omega_{\gamma_{k_i}} \circ g \circ \omega_{\gamma_{k_i}}^{-1}$  and  $\gamma_{k_i} = A \circ \sigma_{k_i} \circ A^{-1}$ . Hence,  $E_f^*[(\sigma, g)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma, g)}(t, w)]^q = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_f[(\sigma_{k_i}, 1)(t, w)][J_{(\sigma_{k_i}, 1)}(t, w)]^q = E_f^*(t, w)$ . Therefore,  $E_f^*$  is a  $q$ -form for  $\mathcal{G}_i^*$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Now, we set

$$E_f(\tau, w) = ((\mathcal{A}^{-1})^* E_f^*)(\tau, w).$$

Then  $E_f$  is a  $q$ -form on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$ , which is called a Poincaré-Eisenstein series on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$ .

We study the behaviour of  $E_f$  near cusps of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $w_i \in D_{\tau_i}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_i^* E_f$  is bounded in the domain  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$  for  $(\tau_i, w_i)$ . If  $w_i \in \Omega_{\tau_i, j}$ , then  $(\mathcal{A}_i^* E_f)(t, w)$  converges uniformly to*

$$E_f^0(\tau_i, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu_0-1} \left\{ \sum_{h \in H_{1,j}} f[\rho_i(\tau_i), h \circ f_{\tau_i} \circ H_{(\gamma_i, 1)}(\tau_i, w_i)] [(h \circ f_{\tau_i} \circ H_{(\gamma_i, 1)})'(\tau_i, w_i)]^q \right\}$$

as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_i)$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$ , where  $w_i \in N_\delta$  and  $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{\tau_i})^i$ . Moreover,  $E_f^0$  is a holomorphic  $q$ -form on  $\Omega_{\tau_i, j}$  for the group generated by  $G_{\tau_i, j}$  and  $H_{(\gamma_i, 1)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \nu_0 - 1$ . On the other hand, if a parabolic fixed point  $\tau_0$  for  $\Gamma$  is not equivalent to  $\tau_i$  under  $\Gamma$  and if  $w_0 \in D_{\tau_0}$ , then

$(\mathcal{A}^*E_f)(t, w)$  converges to zero uniformly as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_1)$  with  $w_1 \in N_\delta$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$ .

PROOF. By Proposition B and Lemma 3, it is clear that  $\mathcal{A}_i^*E_f = E_f^*$  is bounded in  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$  and it is also clear that  $E_f^*$  converges uniformly on any compact subset of  $\Omega_{\tau_l, j}$  as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_1)$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$ . Each covering  $f_{\sigma_i(t)} \circ H_{(\sigma_i, 1)}(t, w)$  converges uniformly to the covering  $f_{\tau_l} \circ H_{(\tau_l, 1)}(\tau_l, w_1)$  as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_1)$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$ . As stated in § 3,  $V_\zeta \circ h \circ V_\zeta^{-1}$  converges uniformly to  $h$  for each  $h \in H_l$ , and  $\tilde{V}_\zeta \circ \tilde{h} \circ (\tilde{V}_\zeta)^{-1}$  converges uniformly to a constant mapping for each  $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}_l - \tilde{V}_l \circ H_l \circ (\tilde{V}_l)^{-1}$  on any compact subset of  $\Omega'(H_l)$  as  $\zeta$  tends to  $\zeta_l$ . Therefore, if  $w_l \in \Omega_{\tau_l, j}$ , then  $\lim_{(t, w) \rightarrow (\infty, w_1)} (\mathcal{A}_i^*E_f)(t, w) = E_f^*(\infty, w_1) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_0-1} \{ \sum_{h \in H_{l, j}} f[\rho_i(\tau_l), h \circ f_{\tau_l} \circ H_{(\tau_l, 1)}(\tau_l, w_1)] [(h \circ f_{\tau_l} \circ H_{(\tau_l, 1)})'(\tau_l, w_1)]^q \}$ .

Let  $\tau_0$  be a cusp of  $\Gamma$  which is not equivalent to  $\tau_l$  under  $\Gamma$ . We set

$$B(t) = (tA_l^{-1}(\tau_0) - 1)/t,$$

$A = A_l \circ B$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(t, w) = (B(t), w)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(t, w) = (A(t), w)$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{A}^*E_f)(t, w) = (\mathcal{B}^*E_f^*)(t, w) = E_f^*(B(t), w)B'(t)^q.$$

Hence, Proposition B and Lemma 3 imply that  $(\mathcal{A}^*E_f)(t, w)$  converges to zero uniformly as  $(t, w)$  tends to  $(\infty, w_1)$  through  $E_{a,b} \times N_\delta$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Now, by Propositions B, D and Theorem 3, it can be proved that for each  $l = 1, \dots, n_0$ , there exist finitely many Poincaré-Eisenstein series  $E_{f_{l,1}}, \dots, E_{f_{l,\alpha_l}}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight such that they have finitely many common zeros on the compactification of  $D_{\tau_l}/G_{\tau_l}$ . Therefore,  $E_{f_{1,1}}, \dots, E_{f_{1,\alpha_1}}, \dots, E_{f_{n_0,1}}, \dots, E_{f_{n_0,\alpha_{n_0}}}$  have finitely many common zeros on  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}/\hat{\mathcal{G}} - \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$ .

Thus, we have the following.

COROLLARY. Let  $\Sigma = \hat{\mathcal{D}}/\hat{\mathcal{G}} - \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$ . Then there exist finitely many Poincaré-Eisenstein series  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight  $q_0$  such that they have finitely many common zeros on  $\Sigma$ .

Now, we have the following.

THEOREM 4. If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are non-zero Poincaré or Poincaré-Eisenstein series for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight  $q$ , then the quotient  $f = (f_1/f_2)^d$  is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  for all positive integers  $d_0, d$  with  $d_0q_0 = dq$ .

PROOF. Let  $Z_1$  be the set of common zeros of  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  on  $\Sigma$  and let  $Z_2$  be the set of points on  $\Sigma$  which correspond to cusps  $(\tau_0, w_0)$  for

$\mathcal{G}$  with  $w_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_0}$ . Set  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , which consists of finitely many points. Since  $f_1, f_2$  are holomorphic on  $\mathcal{D}$ , it is clear that  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$ . For each point  $p \in \Sigma - Z$ , there exists a Poincaré-Eisenstein series  $E_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $E_i(p) \neq 0$ . By Theorems 1 and 3, the functions  $(f_1^d)/(E_i^{d_0})$  and  $(f_2^d)/(E_i^{d_0})$  are holomorphic and bounded in  $U_p - \Sigma$ , where  $U_p$  is a neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ . Since  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is normal and  $\Sigma$  is a one-dimensional analytic subset of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $(f_1^d)/(E_i^{d_0})$  and  $(f_2^d)/(E_i^{d_0})$  are holomorphic in  $U_p$ , which implies that  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - Z$ . Therefore, by Levi's extension theorem,  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

**8. Bimeromorphic embedding of algebraic surfaces into projective spaces by automorphic forms.**

**THEOREM 5.** *There exist holomorphic automorphic forms  $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_N$  of the same weight on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  so that  $\Phi = (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_N)$  induces a bimeromorphic embedding of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \hat{\mathcal{S}}/\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  into the  $N$ -dimensional complex projective space  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$ .*

**PROOF.** Set  $\Sigma = \hat{\mathcal{S}}/\hat{\mathcal{G}} - \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{G}$ . There exist finitely many Poincaré-Eisenstein series  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  of the same weight  $q_0$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  such that the set  $Z_1$  of their common zeros on  $\Sigma$  consists of finitely many points. Let  $Z_2$  be the set of all points on  $\Sigma$  which correspond to cusps  $(\tau_0, w_0)$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $w_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_0}$ .

For arbitrary non-zero Poincaré series  $f_0, f_1$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight  $q$ , Theorem 4 implies that  $F_1 = (f_1/f_0)^d$  is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  for all positive integers  $d_0, d$  with  $d_0 q_0 = dq$ . Let  $I(F_1)$  be the set of points of indeterminacy of  $F_1$ . Set

$$\Delta(F_1) = \{(p, q) \mid F_1(p) = F_1(q), p, q \in \hat{\mathcal{S}} - I(F_1)\}.$$

Since  $\Delta(F_1)$  is a three-dimensional analytic subset of  $(\hat{\mathcal{S}} - I(F_1)) \times (\hat{\mathcal{S}} - I(F_1)) - (\hat{\mathcal{S}} \times I(F_1)) \cup (I(F_1) \times \hat{\mathcal{S}})$  and since  $(\hat{\mathcal{S}} \times I(F_1)) \cup (I(F_1) \times \hat{\mathcal{S}})$  is a two-dimensional analytic subset of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \times \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ , Remmert-Stein's extension theorem implies that the closure of  $\Delta(F_1)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \times \hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is a three-dimensional analytic subset of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \times \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ . Therefore, by Proposition D and Theorem 4, there exist finitely many Poincaré series  $f_{i,0}, f_{i,1}$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same weight  $q_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, \alpha$  such that the mapping  $F = (f_{1,0}, f_{1,1}; \dots; f_{\alpha,0}, f_{\alpha,1})$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  into the product of  $\alpha$  copies of  $P_1(\mathbb{C})$  is meromorphic on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  and is injective on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - \Sigma$ .

For arbitrary non-zero Poincaré series  $g_0, g_1, g_2$  for  $\mathcal{G}$  of the same

weight  $q'$ , Theorem 4 implies that  $G_1 = (g_1/g_0)^d$  and  $G_2 = (g_2/g_0)^d$  are meromorphic functions on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  for all positive integers  $d_0, d$  with  $d_0q_0 = dq'$ . Let  $I(G_1, G_2)$  be the set of points of indeterminacy of  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  and let  $\text{Sing}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$  be the set of singular points of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ . Since  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is a normal complex space,  $I(G_1, G_2)$  and  $\text{Sing}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$  are analytic subsets of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  of codimension 2. The set  $D(G_1, G_2)$  of points on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - I(G_1, G_2) \cup \text{Sing}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$ , where the mapping  $(G_1, G_2)$  is degenerate, is a one-dimensional analytic subset of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - I(G_1, G_2) \cup \text{Sing}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$ . By Remmert-Stein's extension theorem, the closure of  $D(G_1, G_2)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is a one-dimensional analytic subset of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1 and Theorem 4, there exist finitely many Poincaré series  $g_{j,0}, g_{j,1}, g_{j,2}$  for  $\mathcal{S}$  of the same weight  $q'_j$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, \beta$  such that the mapping  $G = (g_{1,0}, g_{1,1}, g_{1,2}; \dots; g_{\beta,0}, g_{\beta,1}, g_{\beta,2})$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  into the product of  $\beta$  copies of  $P_2(\mathbb{C})$  is meromorphic on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  and is of maximal rank at every point of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - \Sigma$ .

We now use the well-known Segre mapping, that is, for any two projective spaces  $P_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $P_m(\mathbb{C})$ , the Segre mapping is an injective holomorphic mapping of  $P_n(\mathbb{C}) \times P_m(\mathbb{C})$  into  $P_M(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $M = ((n + 1) \times (m + 1) - 1)$ . By this Segre mapping, the above mappings  $F$  and  $G$  induce a meromorphic mapping  $\Phi$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  into  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $N = 2^\alpha 3^\beta - 1$ . This mapping  $\Phi$  is injective on  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - \Sigma$  and is of maximal rank at every point of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} - \Sigma$ . We set

$$G_\Phi = \{(p, x) \mid x \in \Phi(p), p \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}\}.$$

Since  $\Phi$  is a meromorphic mapping of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  into  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$ , the graph  $G_\Phi$  of  $\Phi$  is a two-dimensional analytic subset of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \times P_N(\mathbb{C})$  and the projection  $p_1$  of  $G_\Phi$  onto  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is a proper modification. Let  $p_2$  be the projection of  $G_\Phi$  into  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $Y = p_2(G_\Phi)$ . Then, by the proper mapping theorem,  $Y$  is an analytic subset of  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $p_Y$  is the projection of  $G_\Phi$  onto  $Y$ , then  $p_Y$  induces a biholomorphic mapping of  $G_\Phi - p_Y^{-1}(p_Y(\Sigma))$  onto  $Y - p_Y(\Sigma)$ , which implies that  $p_Y$  is a proper modification. Therefore  $\Phi: \hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow Y$  is a bimeromorphic mapping. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

[1] W. L. BAILY, JR., *Introductory Lectures on Automorphic Forms*, Publ. of the Japan Math. Soc. 12, Iwanami Shoten, Publishers and Princeton University Press, 1973.  
 [2] L. BERS, *Spaces of degenerating Riemann surfaces*, in *Discontinuous Groups and Riemann Surfaces*, Ann. of Math. Studies 79, Princeton University Press, (1974), 43-55.  
 [3] L. BERS AND L. GREENBERG, *Isomorphisms between Teichmüller spaces*, in *Advances in the Riemann Surfaces*, Ann. of Math. Studies 66, Princeton University Press, (1971), 51-79.

- [4] Y. IMAYOSHI, Holomorphic families of Riemann surfaces and Teichmüller spaces, to appear in the Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference on Riemann Surfaces and Related Topics, Ann. of Math. Studies 97, 1980.
- [5] Y. IMAYOSHI, Holomorphic families of Riemann surfaces and Teichmüller spaces II, Applications to uniformization of algebraic surfaces and compactification of two-dimensional Stein manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J. 31 (1979), 469-489.
- [6] I. KRA, Automorphic Forms and Kleinian Groups, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1972.
- [7] J. LEHNER, A Short Course in Automorphic Functions, Holt, New York, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560  
JAPAN

