

## A MODIFIED FORM OF THE VARIATION-OF-CONSTANTS FORMULA FOR EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY

Dedicated to Professor Tamotsu Tsuchikura on his sixtieth birthday

TOSHIKI NAITO

(Received December 22, 1982)

**1. Introduction.** For equations with finite delay, the variation-of-constants formula was given in Halanay's book [2]. Banks [1] pointed out a mistake in this book and presented the correct result. Since equations with finite delay were mainly considered, the results were derived under the restrictive hypotheses: the kernel function  $\eta(t, \theta)$  of the linear operator  $L(t, \cdot)$  (cf. Theorem 2.1) is constant for sufficiently small  $\theta < 0$ .

In the present paper, we start from the following hypotheses:  $L(t, \phi)$  is continuous and the phase space for  $\phi$  is the general space for equations with infinite delay introduced by Hale and Kato [4]. From the first hypothesis, the Borel measurability of  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is naturally induced; from the second, the constant property of  $\eta(t, \theta)$  mentioned above cannot be assumed (see Theorem 2.1). The equation related to the fundamental matrix is reduced to the standard equation with infinite delay (Proposition 3.1):

$$(1.1) \quad x'(t) = L(t, x_t) + h(t),$$

where  $h$  is locally integrable. The representation of solutions in Theorem 3.3, which is already announced in [5], has a form that is somewhat different from the variation-of-constants formula given in [1], [2], [3]. For the special phase space  $\mathcal{E}_r$  defined in Section 4, our formula is rewritten in a form analogous to the variation-of-constants formula. However, it contains a new term depending on the "exponential limit of the initial function at  $-\infty$ ". Finally, we remark that the present result is an extension of the work for autonomous equations [6] to the case of nonautonomous equations.

**2. Representation of linear operators.** For a function  $x: (-\infty, a) \rightarrow C^n$ , let  $x_t: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow C^n$ ,  $t < a$ , be defined by  $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$  for  $\theta$  in  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear space of functions  $\phi, \psi, \dots$ , mapping  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $C^n$ , with a semi-norm  $|\phi|, |\psi|, \dots$  having the following

properties.

(H<sub>1</sub>) If  $x: (-\infty, \sigma + A) \rightarrow C^n$ ,  $A > 0$ , is continuous on  $[\sigma, \sigma + A)$  and  $x_\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $x_t$  also lies in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $x_t$  is a continuous function of  $t$  in  $[\sigma, \sigma + A)$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exist measurable functions  $K(t)$  and  $M(t)$  of  $t \geq 0$ , non-negative and locally bounded, such that

$$|x_t| \leq K(t - \sigma) \sup \{|x(s)|: \sigma \leq s \leq t\} + M(t - \sigma)|x_\sigma|$$

for  $\sigma \leq t < \sigma + A$  and  $x$  having the properties in (H<sub>1</sub>).

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $|\phi(0)| \leq K|\phi|$  for  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and some constant  $K$ .

Hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>) implies that the space  $\mathcal{B}$  contains the space  $\mathcal{C}$  of all continuous functions mapping  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $C^n$  with compact support. To state the following representation theorem, a definition is needed. A function  $f$  mapping  $(-\infty, 0]$  into a finite dimensional Banach space, locally of bounded variation on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , is said to be normalized if  $f(0) = 0$  and it is continuous to the left in the interior of  $(-\infty, 0]$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose  $L: J \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^n$ , where  $J$  is an interval, is a continuous mapping such that  $L(t, \phi)$  is linear in  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$  for each  $t$  in  $J$ . Then there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix function  $\eta(t, \theta)$  for  $(t, \theta)$  in  $J \times (-\infty, 0]$ , locally of bounded variation for  $\theta$  in  $(-\infty, 0]$ , such that*

$$(2.1) \quad L(t, \phi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 [d_\theta \eta(t, \theta)] \phi(\theta) \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Var}(\eta(t, \cdot), [-r, 0]) \leq c|L(t, \cdot)|K(r) \quad \text{for } r > 0,$$

where  $c$  is a constant dependent on the norm of  $C^n$  and the integral in (2.1) is the improper Riemann-Stieltjes integral (cf. [6, Theorem 3.5]). If  $\eta(t, \theta)$  in Relation (2.1) is normalized in  $\theta$ , then it is determined uniquely by  $L$  and is Borel measurable for  $(t, \theta)$  in  $J \times (-\infty, 0]$ .

**PROOF.** The first result of the theorem is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 in [6]; Hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) in [6] is not used to derive these results. Suppose  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is normalized in  $\theta$  and Relation (2.1) holds. For  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , define a function  $\chi^m(t)$  by the relation  $\chi^m(t) = -I$ ,  $-m(t + 1/m)I$ ,  $0$  for  $t$  in  $[0, \infty)$   $(-1/m, 0)$ ,  $(-\infty, -1/m)$ , respectively, where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $0$  the  $n \times n$  null matrix. Then by integration by parts one has

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L(t, \chi^m_\theta) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m \int_{\theta - 1/m}^\theta \eta(t, r) dr = \eta(t, \theta) \quad \text{for } \theta < 0,$$

since  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is continuous to the left for  $\theta < 0$ . This shows that  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is determined uniquely by  $L$ . Furthermore, it is Borel measurable for

$(t, \theta)$  in  $J \times (-\infty, 0)$  as a limit function of the sequence of continuous functions  $L(t, \chi_{-\theta}^m)$ . Therefore  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is Borel measurable for  $(t, \theta)$  in  $J \times (-\infty, 0]$  since  $\eta(t, 0) = 0$  for  $t$  in  $J$ . q.e.d.

**3. Fundamental matrix and representation of solutions.** Consider a linear functional differential equation with infinite delay (1.1), where  $L: R \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^n$  satisfies the assumption in Theorem 2.1 and  $h: R \rightarrow C^n$  is locally integrable. Since the operator norm  $|L(t)| = \sup\{|L(t, \phi)|: |\phi| = 1\}$  is a lower semi-continuous function for  $t$  in  $R$ , it is Borel measurable; furthermore, from Lemma 3.1 in [7],  $|L(t)|$  is locally bounded for  $t$  in  $R$ . The normalized function  $\eta(t, \theta)$ , therefore, is locally bounded for  $(t, \theta)$  in  $R \times (-\infty, 0]$ ; in fact,

$$(3.1) \quad |\eta(t, \theta)| \leq c|L(t)|K(-\theta) \quad \text{for } (t, \theta) \in R \times (-\infty, 0].$$

For the following discussion, we set  $\eta(t, \theta) = 0$  for  $\theta > 0$ . Following the arguments similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.4 in [4], one sees that for every  $(\sigma, \phi)$  in  $R \times \mathcal{B}$ , there exists a unique solution  $x(t, \sigma, \phi, h)$  of Equation (1.1) with  $x_\sigma = \phi$  which is locally absolutely continuous for  $t$  in  $[\sigma, \infty)$  and which satisfies Equation (1.1) a.e. for  $t$  in  $[\sigma, \infty)$ .

To introduce the fundamental matrix of Equation (1.1), we consider the equation

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x'(t) &= \int_{\sigma-t}^0 d_\theta \eta(t, \theta) x_t(\theta) + g(t) \quad \sigma \leq t, \\ x(\sigma) &= a, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g: [\sigma, \infty) \rightarrow C^n$  is locally integrable.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Under the above assumptions for  $L$ ,  $\eta$  and  $g$ , Equation (3.2) is reduced to Equation (1.1) with initial condition  $x_\sigma = 0$ . Thus for every  $a$  in  $C^n$  there exists uniquely a locally absolutely continuous function  $x(t)$  for  $t \geq \sigma$  such that  $x(\sigma) = a$  and that the first relation of (3.2) holds a.e. in  $[\sigma, \infty)$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $x(t)$  is a solution having the above properties. If we set  $y(t) = 0$  for  $t < \sigma$  and  $y(t) = x(t) - a$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ , then  $y(t)$  satisfies

$$y'(t) = \int_{\sigma-t}^0 d_\theta \eta(t, \theta) y_t(\theta) - \eta(t, \sigma - t)a + g(t)$$

a.e. in  $t \geq \sigma$ . Since  $y_t$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{supp } y_t \subset [\sigma - t, 0]$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ , this relation is reduced to the equation  $y'(t) = L(t, y_t) - \eta(t, \sigma - t)a + g(t)$  a.e. in  $t \geq \sigma$ . Since  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is Borel measurable and locally bounded for  $(t, \theta)$  in  $R^2$ , the function  $\eta(t, \sigma - t)$ , as a function of  $t$ , is also Borel measurable and locally bounded on  $R$ . Hence the equation for  $y$  has a

unique solution such that  $y_\sigma = 0$ : this implies that  $x(t) = y(t) + a$  for  $t \geq \sigma$  is a unique solution of Equation (3.2) having the desired properties. q.e.d.

The fundamental matrix  $X(t, \sigma)$  for  $(t, \sigma)$  in  $R^2$  is defined to be the solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(t, \sigma) = \int_{\sigma-t}^0 d_\theta \eta(t, \theta) X(t + \theta, \sigma) \quad \text{a.e. in } t \geq \sigma,$$

$$X(\sigma, \sigma) = I \quad \text{and} \quad X(t, \sigma) = 0 \quad \text{for } t < \sigma.$$

In case of finite delay, it is well known that the fundamental matrix has a relation with a certain matrix solution of the formal adjoint equation

$$(3.3) \quad y(s) + \int_s^t y(\alpha) \eta(\alpha, s - \alpha) d\alpha = b \quad s \leq t,$$

where  $y$  and  $b$  are in  $(C^n)^*$ , the space of  $n$ -dimensional row vectors. In our case, we will see that this relation is also valid.

The following proposition corresponds to Theorem 3.1, Chapter 6, [3] (see also [1, 2]); the difference is that  $\eta(t, \cdot)$  now may not be constant on  $(-\infty, -r]$  for any  $r > 0$ . Since the proof is omitted in [1], [2], [3], we give it briefly in the manner suggested in [2] along with the estimate for the variation of the solution.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Given  $t$  in  $R$  and  $b$  in  $(C^n)^*$ , Equation (3.3) has a unique solution  $y(s)$  for  $s$  in  $(-\infty, t]$  which is locally of bounded variation. The total variation of  $y$  satisfies*

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Var}(y, [s, t]) \leq |b| \left\{ \exp \left( \int_s^t c |L(\alpha)| K^*(\alpha - s) d\alpha \right) - 1 \right\}$$

where  $K^*(r) = \sup \{K(s) : 0 \leq s \leq r\}$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose  $y(s)$  is Borel measurable and locally bounded for  $s$  in  $(-\infty, t]$  and designate by  $(\Omega y)(s)$  the integral term of Equation (3.3). Since  $\eta(\alpha, s - \alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha \leq s$ , one has

$$(\Omega y)(s) = \int_s^t y(\alpha) \eta(\alpha, s - \alpha) d\alpha \quad \text{for } \sigma \leq s \leq t,$$

and  $\text{Var}(\eta^\alpha, [\sigma, t]) = \text{Var}(\eta^\alpha, [\sigma, \alpha]) \leq c |L(\alpha)| K(\alpha - \sigma)$  for  $\sigma \leq \alpha \leq t$ , where  $\eta^\alpha(s) = \eta(\alpha, s - \alpha)$ . This leads to

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Var}(\Omega y, [\sigma, t]) \leq \int_\sigma^t |y(\alpha)| c |L(\alpha)| K(\alpha - \sigma) d\alpha,$$

which implies  $\Omega y$  is locally of bounded variation on  $(-\infty, t]$ . Such a

function  $\Omega y$  is also Borel measurable and locally bounded on  $(-\infty, t]$ .

From this remark, one can define successive approximations  $y^m(s)$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  as  $y^0(s) = b$  and  $y^m(s) = b - (\Omega y^{m-1})(s)$  for  $s \leq t$ . Then, from Inequality (3.1), one has successively

$$|y^1(s) - y^0(s)| \leq \int_s^t c|b||L(\alpha)|K(\alpha - s)d\alpha$$

$$|y^2(s) - y^1(s)| \leq \int_s^t c|L(\alpha)|K(\alpha - s) \left\{ \int_s^t c|b||L(u)|K(u - \alpha)du \right\} d\alpha,$$

for  $s \leq t$ . Since  $K(r) \leq K^*(r)$  for  $r \geq 0$  and  $K^*(r)$  is nondecreasing, one can replace  $K(\alpha - s)$  and  $K(u - \alpha)$  in the above inequalities by  $K^*(\alpha - s)$  and  $K^*(u - \alpha)$ , respectively. Thus the following inequality is proved by induction:

$$(3.6) \quad |y^m(s) - y^{m-1}(s)| \leq \frac{|b|}{m!} \left\{ \int_s^t c|L(\alpha)|K^*(\alpha - s)d\alpha \right\}^m \quad s \leq t,$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore  $y^m(s)$  converges to a function  $y(s)$  uniformly on every compact set of  $(-\infty, t]$ , and

$$(3.7) \quad |y(s)| \leq |b| \exp \left\{ \int_s^t c|L(\alpha)|K^*(\alpha - s)d\alpha \right\} \quad s \leq t.$$

This implies that  $y(s) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y^m(s) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (b - \Omega y^{m-1}(s)) = b - \Omega y(s)$ , that is,  $y(s)$  is the solution of Equation (3.3). Since  $y^m(s)$  are all Borel measurable for  $s \leq t$ ,  $y(s)$  is also Borel measurable for  $s \leq t$ . Since  $\text{Var}(y, [\sigma, t]) = \text{Var}(\Omega y, [\sigma, t])$ , one obtains (3.4) by using (3.5) and (3.7).

Suppose  $z(s)$  is a solution of (3.3) with  $b = 0$ , and set  $A_\sigma = \sup \{|z(s)| : \sigma \leq s \leq t\}$ . Then, following arguments similar to the proof of (3.6), one can show that, for  $\sigma \leq s \leq t$  and  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $|z(s)|$  is not greater than the right hand side of Inequality (3.6) with  $|b|$  replaced by  $A_\sigma$ . Therefore  $z(s) = 0$ , in other words, the solution of (3.3) is unique for  $b$ . q.e.d.

Let  $Y(\sigma, t)$  be the matrix solution of the system

$$(3.8) \quad Y(\sigma, t) + \int_\sigma^t Y(\alpha, t)\eta(\alpha, \sigma - \alpha)d\alpha = I \quad \text{for } \sigma \leq t$$

$$Y(\sigma, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } \sigma > t.$$

From Proposition 3.2,  $Y(\sigma, t)$  is locally of bounded variation in  $\sigma$ . Now, suppose  $x(t)$  is the solution of Equation (3.2). By integration by parts, one has

$$(3.9) \quad \int_\sigma^t [d_\alpha Y(\alpha, t)]x(\alpha) + \int_\sigma^t Y(\alpha, t)d_\alpha x(\alpha) = x(t) - Y(\sigma, t)a.$$

By the same argument as in Theorem 3.2 in Chapter 6 [3], the second

term on the left hand side becomes

$$\int_{\sigma}^t Y(\alpha, t) \left\{ \int_{\sigma}^t [d_s \eta(\alpha, s - \alpha)] x(s) \right\} d\alpha + \int_{\sigma}^t Y(\alpha, t) g(\alpha) d\alpha .$$

Using Theorem 2.1, one sees that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral in the first term is the limit of a sequence of Riemann sums which are all Borel measurable for  $\alpha$  in  $[\sigma, t]$  and whose norms are not greater than  $c|L(\alpha)|K(\alpha - \sigma) \sup\{|x(s)|: \sigma \leq s \leq t\}$  for  $\alpha$  in  $[\sigma, t]$ . From the bounded convergence theorem, the order of integration and limit operation can be interchanged; thus, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma}^t Y(\alpha, t) d_{\alpha} x(\alpha) &= \int_{\sigma}^t \left[ d_s \left\{ \int_{\sigma}^t Y(\alpha, t) \eta(\alpha, s - \alpha) d\alpha \right\} \right] x(s) \\ &\quad + \int_{\sigma}^t Y(\alpha, t) g(\alpha) d\alpha , \quad \text{for } \sigma \leq t . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the fact that  $Y$  satisfies Equation (3.8), one can rewrite Relation (3.9) as

$$x(t) = Y(\sigma, t)a + \int_{\sigma}^t Y(\alpha, t)g(\alpha)d\alpha \quad \sigma \leq t .$$

If one takes  $a = I$  and  $g(t) = 0$  for  $\sigma \leq t$ , one obtains  $X(t, \sigma) = Y(\sigma, t)$  for all  $(t, \sigma)$  in  $R^2$ ; consequently,

$$(3.10) \quad x(t) = X(t, \sigma)a + \int_{\sigma}^t X(t, \alpha)g(\alpha)d\alpha \quad \sigma \leq t .$$

To demonstrate the main theorem, we introduce linear operators  $S(t): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , by  $[S(t)\phi](\theta) = \phi(t + \theta)$  for  $t + \theta \leq 0$  and  $[S(t)\phi](\theta) = \phi(0)$  for  $t + \theta > 0$ . Hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>) guarantees that  $S(t)\phi$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose  $L: R \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C^n$  is continuous,  $L(t, \phi)$  is linear for  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $h: [\sigma, \infty) \rightarrow C^n$  is locally integrable. Then for every  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  the solution  $x(t, \sigma, \phi, h)$  of Equation (1.1) such that  $x_{\sigma} = \phi$  is given by*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t, \sigma, \phi, h) &= \phi(0) + \int_{\sigma}^t X(t, s)L(s, S(s - \sigma)\phi)ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\sigma}^t X(t, s)h(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \geq \sigma . \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** From the superposition principle, it follows that  $x(t, \sigma, \phi, h) = x(t, \sigma, \phi, 0) + x(t, \sigma, 0, h)$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ . Since  $x(t, \sigma, 0, h)$  is a solution of Equation (3.2) with  $a = 0$  and  $g = h$ , it is equal to the third term on the right hand side of Relation (3.11). Now consider the function

$z(t) = x(t, \sigma, \phi, 0) - y(t)$ , where,  $y(t) = \phi(t - \sigma)$  for  $t \leq \sigma$  and  $y(t) = \phi(0)$  for  $t > \sigma$ . Then  $z(t)$  satisfies  $z'(t) = L(t, z_t) + L(t, y_t)$  a.e. in  $t \geq \sigma$ , and  $z_\sigma = 0$ . Since  $y_t = S(t - \sigma)\phi$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ , from Formula (3.10), it follows that  $z(t)$  is equal to the second term on the right hand side of Relation (3.11). Therefore, the relation  $x(t, \sigma, \phi, h) = \phi(0) + z(t) + x(t, \sigma, 0, h)$  becomes Relation (3.11) for  $t \geq \sigma$ . q.e.d.

**4. Applications to equations with the phase space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ .** The representation formula (2.1) is applicable to functions in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Is it valid for other functions in  $\mathcal{B}$ ? A partial information is given in Theorem 4.4 in [6]; for the space  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  defined below, however, a complete answer was obtained in [5]. In this section, we summarize this result with some comments.

For any fixed  $\gamma$  in  $R$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  be the space of continuous functions  $\phi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow C^n$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(-\infty) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-\gamma\theta}\phi(\theta)$  exists in  $C^n$ . It is a Banach space with the norm  $|\phi| = \sup\{e^{-\gamma\theta}|\phi(\theta)|: \theta \leq 0\}$ , and it satisfies Hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). Changing independent variables, one knows that  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is isomorphic to the space  $C([-1, 0], C^n)$ , the space of continuous functions mapping  $[-1, 0]$  into  $C^n$ . This observation yields the following result due to Hagemann ([5, Lemma]). If  $L: R \times \mathcal{E}_\gamma \rightarrow C^n$  is continuous and  $L(t, \phi)$  is linear for  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ , then there exist matrix functions  $A(t)$  and  $\eta(t, \theta)$  such that  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is locally of bounded variation for  $\theta$  in  $(-\infty, 0]$  and that

$$(4.1) \quad L(t, \phi) = A(t)\tilde{\phi}(-\infty) + \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^0 [d_\theta \eta(t, \theta)]\phi(\theta) \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma.$$

If  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is normalized in  $\theta$ , then  $A(t)$  and  $\eta(t, \theta)$  are determined uniquely by  $L$  and they are Borel measurable.

Let  $\omega(\gamma)(\theta)$ ,  $\gamma$  in  $R$ , be defined as  $\omega(\gamma)(\theta) = \exp(\gamma\theta)$  for  $\theta \leq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is considered as  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \omega(\gamma)\mathcal{E}_0 = \{\omega(\gamma)\psi: \psi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow C^n \text{ is continuous and } \psi(\theta) \text{ approaches some vector in } C^n \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow -\infty\}$ . If  $A(t) \neq 0$ , then Formula (2.1) is valid only for  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  with  $\tilde{\phi}(-\infty) = 0$ , which are in the very restricted subclass of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ . On the other hand, if Relation (4.1) holds with  $\eta(t, \theta)$  normalized in  $\theta$ , then Theorem 2.1 says that  $\eta(t, \theta)$  is unique for  $L$  and is Borel measurable for  $(t, \theta)$ . If one set  $\phi = \omega(\gamma)a$ ,  $a$  in  $C^n$ , then one can compute  $A(t)a$  for every  $a$  in  $C^n$ ; consequently

$$A(t) = L(t, \omega(\gamma)I) - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^0 d_\theta \eta(t, \theta) e^{\gamma\theta} \quad \text{for } t \text{ in } R.$$

This also shows that  $A(t)$  is unique for  $L$  and it is Borel measurable.

Finally, if one applies Representation (4.1) to  $L(s, S(s - \sigma)\phi)$  in Formula (3.11), one obtains the following [5, Theorem]

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t, \sigma, \phi, h) &= X(t, \sigma)\phi(0) + \left[ \int_{\sigma}^t X(t, s)A(s)e^{\gamma(s-\sigma)} ds \right] \tilde{\phi}(-\infty) \\
&\quad + \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^0 d_{\theta} \left[ \int_{\sigma}^t X(t, s)\eta(s, \sigma + \theta - s) ds \right] \phi(\theta) \\
&\quad + \int_{\sigma}^t X(t, s)h(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \geq \sigma, \phi \text{ in } \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is really an extension of Formula (9) in [1] (see also [3, Theorem 3.2]). In addition to the formal prolongation of the interval of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, there appears a new term dependent on the limit  $\tilde{\phi}(-\infty)$ , "the exponential limit of  $\phi$  at  $-\infty$ ".

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. T. BANKS, Representations for solutions of linear functional differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* 5 (1969), 399-409.
- [2] A. HALANAY, "Differential Equations: Stability, Oscillations, Time Lags", Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [3] J. K. HALE, "Theory of Functional Differential Equations", Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1977.
- [4] J. K. HALE AND J. KATO, Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* 21 (1978), 11-41.
- [5] T. HAGEMANN AND T. NAITO, Functional differential equations with infinite delay on the space  $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}$ , *Proc. EQUADIFF 82, Lecture Notes in Math.* 1017, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983, 207-214.
- [6] T. NAITO, Fundamental matrix of linear autonomous retarded equations with infinite delay, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 32 (1980), 539-556.
- [7] K. SAWANO, Exponential asymptotic stability for functional differential equations with infinite retardations, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 31 (1979), 363-382.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRO-COMMUNICATIONS  
CHOFU, TOKYO 182  
JAPAN