Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 7 (1981-82)

Isaac H. Solomon, University of Wisconsin Center-Baraboo/Sauk County, 1006 Connie Rd. Box 320, Baraboo, Wisconsin 53913

SOME THEOREMS ON DINI DERIVATES

The relationships between the density of sets of points where the various Dini derivates of a function are nonnegative are studied.

Theorem 1: If f(x) is a real valued function of a real variable, λ any real number and { $x : D_f(x) \ge \lambda$ } is dense, then { $x : D^{\dagger}f(x) \ge \lambda$ } is dense.

<u>Proof</u>: Without loss of generality, assume $\lambda=0$. Let (a,b) be any interval. There exists x_1 in (a,b) such that $D_f(x_1) > -1$. Therefore, there is some $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every t in $(x_1 - \delta_1, x_1)$,

 $f(t) < f(x_1) + (x_1-t).$

Choose $\delta_1 < 1$ and such that $x_1 - \delta_1 > a$. There is x_2 in $(x_1 - \delta_1, x_1)$ such that $D_f(x_2) > -1/2$. Therefore, there is some $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for every t in $(x_2 - \delta_2, x_2)$,

 $f(t) < f(x_2) + (1/2)(x_2-t).$

Choose $\delta_2 < 1/2$ and such that $x_2 - \delta_2 > x_1 - \delta_1$.

Continuing in this manner we obtain a decreasing sequence of intervals $\{(x - \delta, x)\}$ such that $\delta_n < 1/n$.

Let
$$w = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - \delta_n, x_n).$$

Then $f(w) < f(x_n) + (1/n)(x_n - w)$ for every n.
i.e. $\frac{f(w) - f(x_n)}{w - x_n} > -1/n$ for every n.
Since $x_n \neq w^+$, we conclude that $D^+f(w) \ge 0.$

The converse of the above theorem is not true as can be seen by considering

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1-x & x \text{ rational} \\ -x & x \text{ irrational.} \end{cases}$$

Example: In the context of the above theorem we mention the following example.

Let $\{a_m\}, m \ge 0$ denote the following sequence: 0, $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{3}{16}, \cdots$

The set { $x : x=a_m$ } is dense in [0,1].

For each $m \geq 1$, there is a positive integer n_m such that

$$2^{n_{m}-1} \leq m < 2^{n_{m}}.$$
Thus
$$a_{m} = \frac{2m + 1 - 2^{n_{m}}}{2^{n_{m}}} \qquad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$
Set
$$t_{m} = \frac{2m - 2^{n_{m}}}{2^{n_{m}}} \qquad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

We define a sequence of functions { $f_m(x)$ } for x in [0,1] by induction, as follows: Set $f_0(x) = (x-1)^2$ and for $m \ge 1$, set $f_m(x) = \begin{cases} f_{m-1}(x) & x \notin [t_m, a_m] \\ f_{m-1}(a_m) + \frac{f_{m-1}(t_m) - f_{m-1}(a_m)}{(t_m - a_m)^2} (x - a_m)^2 & x \in [t_m, a_m] \end{cases}$

{ $f_m(x)$ } is a monotonically decreasing sequence of bounded, continuous functions on [0,1].

Let $\lim_{m \to \infty} f_m(x) = f(x)$.

We note that for each $m \ge 0$, the right hand derivative of $f_m(x)$ is negative for x in [0,1) and the left hand derivative is zero whenever $x = a_k$, $k \le m$ and negative otherwise, for x in (0,1].

Since the left hand derivative of f(x) is zero whenever $x = a_m$, $m \ge 1$, it is interesting to note that the above theorem implies that { $x : D^+f(x) = 0$ } is dense in [0,1].

Lemma: If f(x) is a real valued function of a real variable which is continuous on the left and if { $x : D^{+}f(x) \geq \lambda$ } is dense for some real number λ , then { $x : D^{-}f(x) \geq \lambda$ } is dense.

<u>Proof</u>: Without loss of generality, assume $\lambda = 0$. Let (a,b) be any interval. There exists x_1 in (a,b) such that $D^+f(x_1) > -1$. Therefore, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for some t in $(x_1, x_1 + \delta_1)$,

 $f(t) > f(x_1) - (t-x_1).$

Since $f(t) = \lim_{u \to t^-} f(u)$, it can be seen that there u t exists r > 0 such that for every u in (t-r,t)

 $f(u) > f(x_1) - (u-x_1).$

Choose r such that $(t-r,t) \subset (x_1,x_1+\delta_1)$. There exists x_2 in (t-r,t) such that $D^+f(x_2) > -1/2$. Therefore, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for some v in $(x_2,x_2+\delta_2)$,

 $f(v) > f(x_2) - (1/2)(v-x_2).$

The number δ_2 can be so chosen that $0 < \delta_2 < 1/2$ and $x_2 + \delta_2 < x_1 + \delta_1$.

We can find x_3 in $(x_2, x_2 + \delta_2)$ such that $D^+f(x_3) > -1/3$ and $f(x_3) > f(x_2) - (1/2)(x_3 - x_2)$.

Continuing in this manner we obtain a decreasing sequence of intervals { $(x_n, x_n + \delta_n)$ } where $\delta_n < 1/n$ and

 $f(x_{n+1}) > f(x_n) - (1/n)(x_{n+1}-x_n)$ for each positive integer n.

Let $w = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, x_n + \delta_n).$

If m and p are positive integers such that p > m, then it can be seen that

 $f(x_{p}) > f(x_{m}) - (1/m)(x_{p}-x_{m}).$

Keeping m fixed and taking the limit as p goes to infinity and noting that $x_n \neq w$ so that $f(w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)$

we get
$$f(w) \ge f(x_m) - (1/m)(w-x_m)$$
.
 $f(w) - f(x_m)$
i.e. $\ge -1/m$

w - x_m

Since this is true for each m, we get $D^{-}f(w) \geq 0$. <u>Theorem 2</u>: If f(x) is a continuous, real valued <u>function of a real variable and λ any real number, then</u> the following statements are equivalent.

(a) { $x : D f(x) \ge \lambda$ } is dense.

(b) { $x : D^{\dagger}f(x) \ge \lambda$ } is dense.

<u>Proof</u>: Follows immediately from the above lemma. <u>Remark</u>: Let f(x) be a continuous, real valued

function of a real variable. The following six statements are equivalent.

(1) { $x : D_f(x) > -\alpha$ } is dense for each $\alpha > 0$. (2) { $x : D^f(x) > -\alpha$ } is dense for each $\alpha > 0$. (3) { $x : D_f(x) > -\alpha$ } is dense for each $\alpha > 0$. (4) { $x : D^f(x) > -\alpha$ } is dense for each $\alpha > 0$. (5) { $x : D^f(x) \ge 0$ } is dense. (6) { $x : D^f(x) \ge 0$ } is dense.

The first four statements are equivalent because of Dini's theorem [1]. In the proof of theorem 1 we have actually proved that statement 1 implies statement 6. Clearly statement 6 implies statement 4. Statement 6 and statement 5 are equivalent by theorem 2. Whether the following 2 statements for continuous functions:

(1) { $x : D_f(x) \ge 0$ } is dense,

(2) { $x : D^{+}f(x) \ge 0$ } is dense,

are (a) equivalent to each other and (b) to the above mentioned six statements remain open questions.

I wish to thank professors D. W. Solomon and R. J. O'Malley of the University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee for many helpful discussions relating to theorem 1.

REFERENCES

1. S. Saks, Theory of the Integral, Dover Publications.

Received October 14, 1981