

Tomasz Natkaniec & Waldemar Orwat, Instytut Matematyki WSP ,  
ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland.

VARIATIONS ON PRODUCTS AND QUOTIENTS OF DARBOUX FUNCTIONS

I. Let us establish some of the terminology to be used.  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the real line and  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers. If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(a, b)$  denotes the open interval with the end-points  $a, b$ . For  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we shall say that  $I$  is an open interval of  $A$  iff  $I = (a, b) \cap A$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $B$  is a planar set, we shall denote its  $x$ -projection by  $\text{dom } B$  and its  $y$ -projection by  $\text{rng } B$ . If  $A, B$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  then  $A \cdot B = \{a \cdot b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ ,  $a \cdot B = \{a\} \cdot B$  and  $A^{-1} = \{1/a : a \in A \setminus \{0\}\}$ . For  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the set  $[f < a]$  as  $\{x \in A : f(x) < a\}$ . Analogously, we define the sets  $[f > a]$  and  $[f = a]$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a  $c$ -dense set in itself (where  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the continuum) and let  $B$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an  $(A, B)$ -Darboux function iff  $f$  has the intermediate value property, i.e.  $(f(x), f(y)) \cap B \subset f((x, y) \cap A)$  for each  $x, y \in A$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(A, B)$  denote the class of all  $(A, B)$ -Darboux functions. Let  $\mathcal{D}^*(A, B)$  denote the class of all functions  $f: A \rightarrow B$  which take on every  $y \in B$  in every non-empty interval  $I$  of  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}^{**}(A, B)$  denote the class of all functions  $f: A \rightarrow B$  which take on every  $y \in B$   $c$  times in every interval of  $A$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}^{**}(A, B) \subset \mathcal{D}^*(A, B) \subset \mathcal{D}(A, B)$  for every bilaterally  $c$ -dense subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and every subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $A = B = \mathbb{R}$ , we shall denote the classes  $\mathcal{D}(A, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^*(A, B)$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^{**}(A, B)$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{**}$  (see [3]).

A.M. Bruckner and J. Ceder proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** [3]. Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  be constant on no subinterval of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $M$  be a set of real numbers whose complement is dense. Then for each countable dense subset  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus M$  there exists a function  $d \in \mathcal{D}^*$  such that the range of  $f + d$  is  $D$ .

In the same way, we can prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1\***. If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bilaterally  $c$ -dense set in itself,  $D$  is a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A, \mathbb{R})$  is constant on no interval of  $A$ , then there exists a function  $d \in \mathcal{D}^*(A, \mathbb{R})$  such that for every interval  $I$  of  $A$  the range of  $(f+d)|I$  is  $D$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that  $D$  is a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \in D$ . Then for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists a function  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  such that for each interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have:

- if  $f$  is not constant on  $I$ , then  $d(I) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $(f \cdot d)(I) = D$ ,
- if  $f|I$  is constant, then  $d|I$  is constant and  $(f \cdot d)(I) \subset D$ .

*P r o o f .* Let  $B = \{(x, y) : y = r/f(x) \text{ if } f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } y = r \text{ if } f(x) = 0, r \in D, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 ([3]), we shall define a function  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $d \subset B$ . (No distinction is made between a function and its graph).

Let us put  $\mathcal{J} = \{I \subset \mathbb{R} : I \text{ is a maximal open interval such that } f|I \text{ is constant}\}$ . Observe that sets from  $\mathcal{J}$  are pairwise disjoint and hence the family  $\mathcal{J}$  is countable.

Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{J_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $J_n = (a_n, b_n)$ ,  $A_0 = \{a_n, b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $J = \bigcup \mathcal{J}$  and  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus J$ . Notice that  $A_0 \subset A$  and  $f(a_n) = f(b_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Additionally,  $f|A \in \mathcal{D}(A, \mathbb{R})$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $V(x) = \{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $H(x) = A \times \{x\}$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  it is clear that  $V(x) \cap B$  is dense in  $V(x)$  and it is easy to verify that  $H(x) \cap B$  is dense in  $H(x)$ . Indeed, it is clear for  $x = 0$ . Assume that  $x \neq 0$  and  $I$  is an open interval of  $A$ . Then  $f|I$  is non-constant and, since  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exist  $y, z \in I$  for which  $f(y) \neq f(z)$  and  $f(y) \cdot f(z) > 0$ . We may assume that  $f(z) > f(y) > 0$ . Because  $D$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\bigcup_{r \in D} r \cdot (f(y), f(z))^{-1} = \bigcup_{r \in D} (r/f(z), r/f(y)) = \mathbb{R},$$

so there exists an  $r \in D$  such that  $x \in (r/f(z), r/f(y))$ , i.e.  $r/x \in (f(y), f(z))$ . Since  $f|A \in \mathcal{D}(A, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists a  $t \in I$  for which  $f(t) = r/x$ , i.e.  $x = r/f(t)$  and  $(t, x) \in (I \times \{x\}) \cap B$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $N(x) = [A \times x \cdot (E \setminus \{0\}) \cdot (E \setminus \{0\})^{-1}] \cap B$ , where

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{D \cdot \dots \cdot D}_{n \text{ - times}}$$

Observe that

- (i)  $N(0) = H(0) = A \times \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\bigcup \{N(x) : x \in R\} = B \cap (A \times R)$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{card}(\text{rng } N(x)) = \omega_0$  for  $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{dom } N(x)$  is dense in  $A$ , for each  $x \in R$ ,
- (v) if  $x \neq 0 \neq y$  and  $\text{dom } N(x) \cap \text{dom } N(y) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $N(x) = N(y)$ .

Now we can define the function  $d$ . First we define for each  $x \neq 0$  a function  $d_x$  such that  $d_x = d_y$  if  $N(x) = N(y)$ ,  $d_x$  is dense in any non-empty  $H(y) \cap N(x)$ ,  $a_n \in \text{dom } d_x$  iff  $b_n \in \text{dom } d_x$ , and  $d_x(a_n) = d_x(b_n)$ . To do this, let  $O_n$ ,  $n=0,1,2,\dots$  be an enumeration of all horizontal open intervals with rational ends which intersect  $N(x)$ . Put  $w_0 \in O_0 \cap N(x)$ ,

$$v_0 = \begin{cases} w_0 & \text{if } \text{dom } w_0 \notin A_0, \\ (b_k, \text{rng } w_0) & \text{if } \text{dom } w_0 = a_k, \\ (a_k, \text{rng } w_0) & \text{if } \text{dom } w_0 = b_k. \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and  $w_n \in O_n \cap N(x) \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} (V(w_i) \cup V(v_i))$ ,

$$v_n = \begin{cases} w_n & \text{if } \text{dom } w_n \notin A_0, \\ (b_k, \text{rng } w_n) & \text{if } \text{dom } w_n = a_k, \\ (a_k, \text{rng } w_n) & \text{if } \text{dom } w_n = b_k. \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then  $d_x = \{w_n, v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has the desired properties.

Next let  $d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}$  be a partition of  $d_1$  onto two sets, each dense in  $d_1$  and such that  $a_n \in d_{1,i}$  iff  $b_n \in d_{1,i}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i=1,2$ . Then  $d_{1,1}$  is dense in  $N(1)$ ,  $\text{dom } d_{1,2}$  is dense in  $A$  and  $d_0 = \text{dom } d_{1,2} \times \{0\}$  is dense in  $N(0)$ .

Now enumerate the countable family of uncountable sets of the form  $\{(x,y) : x \in I \setminus A_0, y = r/f(x) \text{ and } f(x) \neq 0\}$ , where  $I = (a,b) \cap A$  for some rationals  $a,b$  and  $r \in D \setminus \{0\}$ , as  $\{C_i\}$ . As in [3], we pick a sequence of points  $\{e_i\}$  such that:  $e_i \in C_i \setminus Z_i$ , where  $Z_i = \bigcup \{N(x) : \text{there exists } j < i \text{ with } e_j \in N(x)\} \cup N(1)$ . This

is possible because  $\text{card}(\text{rng } C_i) = c$  and  $\text{card}(\text{rng } Z_i) = \omega_0$ . Since  $d_0 \subset (\text{dom } N(1)) \times \{0\}$ ,  $\text{dom } d_0 \cap \text{dom } e_i = \emptyset$ . Let  $e = \{e_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\text{card}(e \cap d_x) \leq 1$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \notin \text{rng } e$  and  $\text{dom } e \cap A_0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $A_1 = A_0 \setminus \bigcup \{ \text{dom } d_z : z \in \mathbb{R} \}$ . Then  $a_n \in A_1$  iff  $b_n \in A_1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we define a function  $d$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows.

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} e(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom } e, \\ d_z(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom } d_z \setminus \text{dom } e, z \in \mathbb{R}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in A \setminus (\text{dom } e \cup \bigcup \{ \text{dom } d_z : z \in \mathbb{R} \}), \\ d(a_n) = d(b_n) & \text{if } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $d \in \mathcal{B}$  and therefore  $(f \cdot d)(I) \subset D$  for every open interval  $I$ . If  $I$  is an open interval for which  $f|I$  is not constant and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $I \cap A$  is non-empty and  $(I \times \{y\}) \cap d_y \setminus e$  is infinite. It follows that  $y \in d(I)$  and, consequently,  $d(I) = \mathbb{R}$ . If  $r \in D \setminus \{0\}$ , then there exists  $x \in I \setminus A_0$  such that  $e(x) = r/f(x)$ ,  $f(x) \neq 0$  and hence  $r \in (f \cdot d)(I)$ . Thus  $D \subset (f \cdot d)(I)$ . Since  $(I \times \{0\}) \cap d_0 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $0 \in (f \cdot d)(I)$ .

If  $f|I$  is constant, then  $I \subset (a_n, b_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $d(x) = d(a_n) = d(b_n)$  for  $x \in I$ . Finally, if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d(x) \neq d(y)$ , then  $f$  is non-constant on  $(x, y)$  and the range of  $d$  on  $(x, y)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . This finishes the proof.

**REMARKS.** 1) If  $D$  satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 2 and  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  is constant on no interval, then there exists a  $d \in \mathcal{D}^*$  such that for any interval  $I$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  the range of  $(f \cdot d)|I$  is  $D$ .

2) In the same way as Theorem 2, we can generalize Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 1\*\*.** Assume that  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a countable dense set and  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then there exists a  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  such that for each interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have:

- if  $f$  is not constant on  $I$  then  $d(I) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $(f \cdot d)(I) = D$ ,
- if  $f$  is constant on  $I$  then  $d(I) = \{y\}$  for some  $y \in D$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $D$  be a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $0 \in D$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  be constant on no interval. Then there exists a function  $d \in \mathcal{D}^*(\mathbb{R}, (0, \infty))$  such that for every interval  $I$  we have:

- if  $I \subset [f > 0]$  then  $(f/d)(I) = D \cap (0, \infty)$ ,
- if  $I \subset [f < 0]$  then  $(f/d)(I) = D \cap (-\infty, 0)$ ,
- if  $f$  changes sign on  $I$  then  $(f/d)(I) = D$ .

**P r o o f .** Let us put  $B = [f > 0]$ ,  $C = [f < 0]$ ,  $D^+ = D \cap (0, \infty)$ ,  $D^- = D \cap (-\infty, 0)$ ,  $f_1 = \ln(f|_B)$  and  $f_2 = \ln(-f|_C)$ .

By Theorem 1\*, there exists a function  $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}^*(B, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $(f_1 + d_1)(I) = \ln D^+$  for every interval  $I$  of  $B$ . Then  $[\exp(f_1 + d_1)](I) = D^+$  and hence the range of  $(f|I) \cdot (\exp d_1|I)$  is  $D^+$ . Observe that  $d_+ = \exp(-d_1) \in \mathcal{D}^*(B, (0, \infty))$ . In the same way, we define  $d_2 \in \mathcal{D}^*(C, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $(f_2 + d_2)(I) = \ln(-D^-)$  for every interval  $I$  of  $C$ . Then  $d_- = \exp(-d_2) \in \mathcal{D}^*(C, (0, \infty))$  and the range of  $(f|I)/(d_-|I)$  is  $D^-$ .

Let us define  $d: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} d_+(x) & \text{for } x \in B, \\ d_-(x) & \text{for } x \in C, \\ 1 & \text{if } f(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that such a defined function  $d$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.

II. The following result is proved in [6].

**THEOREM 4.** Assume that  $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$ . Then there exists a  $d \in \mathcal{D}^{**}(\mathbb{R}, B)$  such that  $F(f, d) \in \mathcal{D}^{**}(\mathbb{R}, C)$  iff the following conditions hold:

- (1) for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $y \in B$  such that  $F(f(x), y) \in C$ ,
- (2)  $\text{card}(\{x \in I : F(f(x), y) = c \text{ for some } y \in B\}) = c$  for every  $c \in C$  and every interval  $I$ ,
- (3)  $\text{card}(\{x \in I : F(f(x), y) \in C\}) = c$  for every  $y \in B$  and every interval  $I$ .

Observe that for  $A=B=\mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(x, y) = x \cdot y$ ,  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \in C$  we obtain the following.

**COROLLARY .** There exists a  $d \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$  such that  $f \cdot d \in \mathcal{D}^{**}(\mathbb{R}, C)$  iff  $\text{card}(\{x \in I : f(x) \neq 0\}) = c$  for every interval  $I$  and  $\text{card}(\{x \in I : f(x) \cdot y \in C\}) = c$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and every interval  $I$ .

III. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of real functions. A subfamily  $\mathcal{B}$  of

$\mathcal{A}$  is called the maximal multiplicative ( additive ) family for  $\mathcal{A}$  provided  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  ( $f+g \in \mathcal{B}$ , respectively) whenever  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . (See [2], p. 14).

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 (respectively Theorem 1\*\*), we obtain that the maximal multiplicative (additive) family for  $\mathcal{D}$  is the class of all constant functions ([7],[2]).

Using a method similar to that used by J. Jastrzębski in [5], we can prove the following results.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $g \neq 0$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$  iff there exists a sequence  $\alpha$  of open intervals  $\{I_k\}$  such that:

$$(1) \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \text{ is dense in } \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2) \quad g|_{I_k} \text{ is constant and } g|_{I_k} \neq 0.$$

*P r o o f .* Assume that for  $g \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists a sequence  $\{I_k\}$  which satisfies the conditions (1) and (2). Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and let  $I$  be an open interval. Then  $\emptyset \neq J = I_k \cap I \subset I$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g|_J$  is constant and  $g|_J \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $f(J) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \cdot f(I) = g \cdot f(J) = \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{D}^*$ .

Assume that  $g \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $I$  is an open interval and  $g$  is not constant on every subinterval of  $I$ . It follows from Theorem 2 that there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$  such that  $f \cdot g \notin \mathcal{D}$ . Now assume that there exists an open interval  $I$  and a sequence of pairwise disjoint, open subintervals of  $I$ ,  $\{I_k\}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \text{ is dense in } I \text{ and } g(x) = 0 \text{ for each } x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k. \text{ Since}$$

$$g \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } I \neq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k, \text{ there exist } y, z \in I \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \text{ with}$$

$g(y) \neq g(z)$ . Choose  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}^*(I_k, \mathbb{R})$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_k(x) & \text{for } x \in I_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \{y, z\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and  $f \cdot g(y) = g(y) \neq g(z) = f \cdot g(z)$ ,  $f \cdot g(x) = 0$

for  $x \in \{y, z\}$ , i. e.  $f \cdot g \notin \mathcal{D}$ .

Of course, the condition (2) can not be satisfied for any  $g \in \mathcal{D}^*$ . Hence the maximal multiplicative family for  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is empty.

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g \not\equiv 0$ . Then  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{D}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$  iff there exist a sequence of open intervals  $\{I_k\}$  and a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$(3) \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \text{ is dense in } \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4) \quad \text{card}(A) < c,$$

$$(5) \quad g|_{(I_k \setminus A)} \text{ is constant for every } k \text{ and } g|_{(I_k \setminus A)} \not\equiv 0.$$

*P r o o f .* Assume that for  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exist a set  $A$  and a sequence  $\{I_k\}$  which satisfy the conditions (3), (4) and (5). Let  $I$  be an open interval,  $f \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$ , and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq J = I_k \cap I \subset I$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g(x) = a \neq 0$  for each  $x \in J \setminus A$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$ ,  $\text{card}(\{x \in J : f(x) = y/a\}) = c$ . Thus  $\text{card}(\{x \in I : f(x) \cdot g(x) = y\}) \geq \text{card}(\{x \in J \setminus A : f(x) = y/a\}) = c$  and  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$ .

Assume that  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I$  is an open interval and  $g|_{(J \setminus A)}$  is not constant for every subinterval  $J$  of  $I$  and every subset  $A$  of  $J$  with  $\text{card}(A) < c$ . Let  $C = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ . It follows from the Corollary to Theorem 2, that there exists a  $d \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$  such that  $f \cdot d \in \mathcal{D}^{**}(\mathbb{R}, C)$ , i. e.  $f \cdot d \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Now assume that there exist an open interval  $I$ , a sequence of pairwise disjoint, open subintervals of  $I$ ,  $\{I_k\}$  and a subset  $A$  of  $I$  such that  $\text{card}(A) < c$ ,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  is dense in  $I$  and  $g(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \setminus A$ . Notice that there exist  $y, z \in I \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  with  $g(y) \neq g(z)$ . Choose  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}^{**}(I_k, \mathbb{R})$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_k(x) & \text{for } x \in I_k \setminus A, k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \{y, z\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$  and  $f \cdot g \notin \mathcal{D}$ .

Evidently, the conditions (4) and (5) can not be satisfied for any  $g \in \mathcal{D}^{**}$ . Therefore the maximal multiplicative family for  $\mathcal{D}^{**}$  is empty.

IV. J. Ceder in [4] has characterized those functions which can be factored into a product of two Darboux functions. In the same paper, the author stated that a function  $f$  is a quotient of two Darboux functions iff  $[f \neq 0]$  is bilaterally  $c$ -dense in itself ([4], Theorem 2). Unfortunately, this result is not true. For example, for the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x \neq 0$  and  $f(x) = -1$  if  $x = 0$ , the set  $[f \neq 0]$  is bilaterally  $c$ -dense in itself, and evidently,  $f$  is not a quotient of two Darboux functions. We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 7.** A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a quotient of two Darboux functions iff  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:  
 (i) if  $a < b$  and  $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$  then  $f(c) = 0$  for some  $c \in (a, b)$ ,  
 (ii) the sets  $[f > 0]$  and  $[f < 0]$  are bilaterally  $c$ -dense in itself.

*P r o o f .* Assume that  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $f = h_1/h_2$ . Then  $h_2 < 0$  or  $h_2 > 0$ . Thus, if  $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$ , then  $h_1(a) \cdot h_1(b) < 0$  and, since  $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $h_1(c) = 0$  for some  $c \in (a, b)$ . Then  $f(c) = 0$  and (i) holds.

We may assume that  $h_2 > 0$ . Then  $[f > 0] = [h_1 > 0]$  and  $[f < 0] = [h_1 < 0]$ , and by  $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}$  we obtain that  $[f > 0]$  and  $[f < 0]$  are bilaterally  $c$ -dense in itself. The condition (ii) holds too.

Now notice that if  $A$  is bilaterally  $c$ -dense in itself then  $\mathcal{D}^*(A, B) \neq \emptyset$  ([4]). Assume that  $f$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). Let us decompose  $[f > 0]$  into disjoint sets  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  each  $c$ -dense in  $[f > 0]$ . (See [1] or [4]) Similarly, let us decompose  $[f < 0]$  into disjoint sets  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  each  $c$ -dense in  $[f < 0]$ .

Let us define  $h_1, h_2$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{on } [f=0], & h_1=0 & h_2=1, \\ \text{on } T_1, & h_1 \in \mathcal{D}^*(T_1, (0, \infty)), & h_2 = h_1/f, \\ \text{on } T_2, & h_2 \in \mathcal{D}^*(T_2, (0, \infty)), & h_1 = f \cdot h_2, \end{array}$$



$$\text{on } T_3, \quad h_1 \in \mathcal{D}^*(T_3, (-\infty, 0)), \quad h_2 = h_1/f,$$

$$\text{on } T_4, \quad h_2 \in \mathcal{D}^*(T_4, (0, \infty)), \quad h_1 = f \cdot h_2.$$

Let us observe that  $f = h_1/h_2$  and  $h_2 > 0$ . We shall prove that  $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $h_1(a) < h_1(b)$  and  $y \in (h_1(a), h_1(b))$ . There are five possible cases:

(a) if  $h_1(a) \geq 0$ , then  $f(b) > 0$ . Since the set  $[f > 0]$  is bilaterally  $c$ -dense in itself, we obtain that  $[f > 0] \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset$  and consequently  $h_1(x) = y$  for some  $x \in T_1 \cap (a, b)$ ,

(b) if  $h_1(b) \leq 0$ , then  $f(a) < 0$  and hence there exists  $x \in T_3 \cap (a, b)$  such that  $h_1(x) = y$ ,

(c) if  $h_1(a) < y < 0 \leq h_1(b)$ , then  $f(a) < 0$  and  $h_1(x) = y$  for some  $x \in T_3 \cap (a, b)$ ,

(d) if  $h_1(a) < 0 = y < h_1(b)$ , then it follows from (i) that there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $h_1(x) = f(x) = 0$ ,

(e) if  $h_1(a) \leq 0 < y < h_1(b)$ , then  $f(b) > 0$  and  $h_1(x) = y$  for some  $x \in T_1 \cap (a, b)$ .

Thus  $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ . Now we shall show that  $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ . Assume that  $h_2(a) < h_2(b)$  and  $y \in (h_2(a), h_2(b))$ . Then  $h_2(a) > 0$  and  $(a, b) \cap [f > 0] \neq \emptyset$  or  $(a, b) \cap [f < 0] \neq \emptyset$ . If  $(a, b) \cap [f > 0] \neq \emptyset$ , then  $h_2(x) = y$  for some  $x \in T_2 \cap (a, b)$ . If  $(a, b) \cap [f < 0] \neq \emptyset$ , then  $h_2(x) = y$  for some  $x \in T_4 \cap (a, b)$ . Thus  $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}$  and this finishes the proof of Theorem 7.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Boboc and S. Marcus, Sur la détermination d'une fonction par les valeurs prises sur un certain ensemble, Annales Scientifiques de l'école Normale Supérieure 76(3), 1959, 151-159.
- [2] A. Bruckner, Differentiation of Real Functions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York, 1978.
- [3] A. Bruckner and J. Ceder, On the sum of Darboux functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51, 1975, 97-102.
- [4] J. Ceder, On factoring a function into a product of Darboux functions, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo II, XXXI, 1982, 16-22.

- [5] J. Jastrzębski, Maximal additive families for some classes of Darboux functions, *Real Analysis Exchange* 13, 1987-88, 351-355.
- [6] T. Natkaniec, On  $\mathcal{D}^{**}$ -Darboux functions, *Problemy Mat.*, to appear.
- [7] T. Radakovič, Über Darboux'sche und stetige Functionen, *Monatsch. Math. Phys.* 38, 1931, 117-122.

*Received December 27, 1988*