

Miklos Laczkovich, 1092 Budapest, Erkel u. 13/a, Hungary

David Preiss, MFFUK, Sokolovska 83, 18600 Prague 8, Czechoslovakia

Clifford Weil, Mathematics Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, USA

Infinite Peano derivatives

Recall that a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a (finite) n th Peano derivative at x means that there are numbers $f(x), f'(x), \dots, f^{(n)}(x)$ such that

$$(1) \quad f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \dots + h^n f^{(n)}(x)/n! + o(h^n) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

If (1) holds as $h \rightarrow 0^+$ then we say that f has an n th Peano derivative from the right at x and denote the numbers instead by $f_+(x), \dots, f_{n+}^{(n)}(x)$.

If f has an $(n-1)$ th Peano derivative at x and if

$$(2) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x) - \dots - h^{n-1} f_{n-}^{(n-1)}(x)/(n-1)!}{h^n/n!} = +, ,$$

then we write $f_n(x) = +$. We define $f_n(x) = -$ in a similar way.

Furthermore $f_{n+}(x) = +$ or $-$ is defined by letting $h \rightarrow 0$ in (2).

Theorem 1: If f has an n th Peano derivative, $f_n(x)$, at each x in \mathbb{R} with infinite values allowed, then f_n is a function of Baire class one.

(This theorem originally appeared in [1] but with an invalid proof.)

To establish further properties of such functions f_n the following auxiliary theorem is useful and of interest in its own right.

Theorem 2: If $f_n(x)$ exists for all x in \mathbb{R} with infinite values allowed, and if f_n is bounded above or below on an interval I , then $f_n = f^{(n)}$, the ordinary n th derivative of f , on I .

This result can be established by copying the proof of the corresponding assertion for the finite case from [2], [4] or [5] and making the necessary minor changes. We chose the last of these three since it required only a small modification in a lemma.

Using Theorem 2 we establish the following properties of Peano derivatives.

Theorem 3: Let $n \geq 2$ and suppose $f^{(n)}(x)$ exists for all x in \mathbb{R} with infinite values allowed. Then

(i) f_n has the Darboux property

and

(ii) f_n has the Denjoy-Clarkson property.

The proof of Theorem 3 uses Theorem 2 with a theorem from [3] for (i) and one from [6] for (ii). These two theorems are stated only for finite functions but in both cases it is easily seen that they hold for extended real-valued functions as well. The assumption $n \geq 2$ is needed only for (i) which is false for $n = 1$. The statement (i) is true for $n = 1$.

The only positive result for unilateral Peano derivatives is the following one for the finite case.

Theorem 4: If $f_{n+}(x)$ exists and is finite for each x in \mathbb{R} , then f_{n+} is of Baire class one.

The proof of this theorem uses the following lemma which has independent interest.

Lemma: If f is as in Theorem 4, then $f, f_+, \dots, f_{n-}, f_{n+}$ are Baire* one functions; that is, each nonempty, perfect set contains a portion relative to which they are continuous.

We conclude with an example showing that the assumption of finite in Theorem 4 is essential.

Example: There is a function g defined on $[0,1]$ such that:

(a) g is bounded and approximately continuous

(b) $g_+'(x)$ exists for every x in $[0,1]$ allowing infinite values

and

(c) g_+' is not of Baire class one on $[0,1]$.

By (a) there is a continuous function f such that $f' = g$ on $[0,1]$. Continuing, for any $n \geq 2$ there is a continuous function f such that $f^{(n)} = g$ and hence $f^{(n)} = g$.

REFERENCES

1. P. Bullen and S. Mukopadhyay, Peano derivatives and general integrals, *Pacific J. Math.* 47 (1973), 43-57.
2. M. Corominas, Contribution a' la theorie de la derivation superieur, *Bulletin de la Societe Mathematique de France* 81 (1953), 176-222.
3. C. Neugebauer, Darboux functions of Baire class one and derivatives, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (1962), 838-843.
4. H. Oliver, The exact Peano derivative, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1954), 444-456.
5. S. Verblunsky, On the Peano derivatives, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 33 (1971), 313-324.
6. C. Weil, On properties of derivatives, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1965), 363-376.