Innovations in Incidence Geometry Volume 8 (2008), Pages 137-145 ISSN 1781-6475



On d -dimensional dual hyperovals in $\mathsf{PG}(2d,2)$

Hiroaki Taniguchi

Abstract

We show that *d*-dimensional dual hyperovals in PG(2d, 2) constructed from a regular nearfield of characteristic 2 are not isomorphic to Yoshiara's *d*-dimensional dual hyperovals in PG(2d, 2) constructed in [5]. Thus we show that, in Cooperstein-Thas's family [1], there exist non-isomorphic dual hyperovals.

Keywords: dual hyperoval MSC 2000: 05-xx

1 Introduction

Let GF(q) be a finite field with q elements. Let d, m be integers with $d \ge 2$ and m > d. Let PG(m, 2) be an m-dimensional projective space over the binary field GF(2).

Definition 1.1. A family *S* of *d*-dimensional subspaces of PG(m, 2) is called a *d*-dimensional dual hyperoval in PG(m, 2) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) any two distinct members of S intersect in a projective point,

(2) no three mutually distinct members of S have a common projective point,

- (3) all members of S generate PG(m, 2), and
- (4) there are exactly 2^{d+1} members of *S*.

In Example 2.5 and Theorem 6.1 of [1] (see also Proposition 3.1 of [2]), B. N. Cooperstein and J. A. Thas showed that each *d*-dimensional dual hyperoval in PG(2d, 2) is obtained as a dual of a partition of $PG(2d, 2) \setminus PG(d, 2)$. **Theorem 1.2** ([1, Example 2.5 and Theorem 6.1]). Let PG(d, 2) be a d-dimensional subspace of PG(2d, 2). Consider a partition of $PG(2d, 2) \setminus PG(d, 2)$ into $2^{d+1} (d-1)$ -dimensional subspaces. Then the set S of the dual subspaces of these (d-1)-dimensional subspaces in PG(2d, 2) is a d-dimensional dual hyperoval in PG(2d, 2). The converse also holds.

This family is called as Cooperstein-Thas's family in [2]. In [5] (see also [6]), Yoshiara constructed a family of *d*-dimensional dual hyperovals in PG(2d, 2) in a different way, as follows.

Theorem 1.3 ([5, Proposition 3]). Let σ be a generator of the automorphism group of $GF(2^{d+1})$ over GF(2). Inside $GF(2^{d+1}) \oplus GF(2^{d+1})$, let us define $X_Y(t)$ for $t \in GF(2^{d+1})$ as

$$X_Y(t) := \left\{ (x, x^{\sigma} t + x t^{\sigma^{-1}}) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

Then $S_Y := \{X_Y(t) \mid t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ is a d-dimensional dual hyperoval in $\mathsf{PG}(2d, 2)$.

Then, it is quite natural to ask whether all the members of the Cooperstein-Thas's family are the Yoshiara's dual hyperovals or not. In the case d = 2, the answer is affirmative ([2, Theorem 1]). In this paper, we will give a negative answer to this question in general.

Definition 1.4 ([3]). Let Π be a vector space over GF(q). A spread T of Π is a collection of at least two subspaces of Π which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) two distinct elements of T are isomorphic subspaces,
- (2) every point except $\{0\}$ of Π is on exactly one subspace in *T*, and
- (3) for any $U_1, U_2 \in T$ with $U_1 \neq U_2$, Π is a direct (vector space) sum of U_1 and U_2 .

It is known that the vector space Π has even dimension 2m with m > 0. Moreover, the cardinality of the spread $|T| = q^m + 1$. (See [3] or [4].)

From spreads of the vector space $V \oplus V$, where V is a (d + 1)-dimensional vector space over GF(2), we are able to construct *d*-dimensional dual hyperovals as follows:

Theorem 1.5. Let $V := \mathsf{GF}(2)^{d+1}$ be a (d+1)-dimensional vector space over $\mathsf{GF}(2)$, and $T := \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{2^{d+1}}\}$ a spread of $V \oplus V$. Let v be a non-zero element of $V \oplus V$. We may assume that v is contained in K_0 . Let

$$\pi \colon V \oplus V \ni x \mapsto \bar{x} \in \overline{V \oplus V} := (V \oplus V)/\langle v \rangle$$

be a GF(2)-linear mapping with kernel $\{0, v\}$ (which we denote by $\langle v \rangle$), and image $(V \oplus V)/\langle v \rangle$. We regard $\mathsf{PG}((V \oplus V)/\langle v \rangle) = \mathsf{PG}(2d, 2)$. Then,

$$S := \{ \pi(K_1) \setminus \{0\}, \pi(K_2) \setminus \{0\}, \dots, \pi(K_{2^{d+1}}) \setminus \{0\} \}$$

is a *d*-dimensional dual hyperoval in PG(2d, 2).

We will give the proof of this theorem in the following section. We refer the relations among spreads, quasifields and translation affine planes to Kallaher [3] or Lüneburg [4].

Example 1. We regard $V := \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$ as a (d + 1)-dimensional vector space over $\mathsf{GF}(2)$. Let σ be a generator of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})/\mathsf{GF}(2))$. Let

$$\pi' \colon \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \oplus \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \ni (x,y) \mapsto (x,y^{\sigma} + y) \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \oplus \mathsf{GF}(2^{$$

Then it is easy to see that the kernel of π' is $\{(0,0), (0,1)\}$, and the image of π' is $W := \{(x,y) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0\}$, which is a (2d + 1)-dimensional vector space over $\mathsf{GF}(2)$, where Tr is a trace function from $\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$ to $\mathsf{GF}(2)$.

In $V \oplus V$, let

$$K_{\infty} := \{(0, x) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\} \text{ and}$$

$$K_a := \{(x, xa) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\} \text{ for } a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}).$$

Then, $T := \{K_{\infty}\} \cup \{K_a \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ is a spread of $V \oplus V$. (It is well known that the translation affine plane constructed from this spread is a Desarguesian affine plane.) Let $S' := \{\pi'(K_a) \setminus \{0\} \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$. Then, by Theorem 1.5, S' is a d-dimensional dual hyperoval in $\mathsf{PG}(2d, 2) = \mathsf{PG}(W)$.

Proposition 1.6. The dual hyperoval S' above is isomorphic to Yoshiara's dual hyperoval S_Y .

Proof. We have $\pi'(K_a) \setminus \{0\} = \{(x, (ax)^{\sigma} + ax) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}\}$. If we put $a^{\sigma} := t$, then $a = t^{\sigma^{-1}}$, hence we have

$$\begin{split} \left\{ (x, (ax)^{\sigma} + ax) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, x^{\sigma}t + xt^{\sigma^{-1}}) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have $\pi'(K_a) \setminus \{0\} = X_Y(t)$, where $X_Y(t)$ is as in Theorem 1.3. Hence, we have $\{\pi'(K_a) \setminus \{0\} \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\} = \{X_Y(t) \mid t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ and consequently, we have $S' = S_Y$.

Now, we will use quasifields Q to construct spreads of $Q \oplus Q$.

Definition 1.7 ([3, 4]). An algebraic structure $(Q; +, \circ)$ is called a quasifield if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) Q is an abelian group under + with identity 0;
- (ii) for $a, c \in Q$ with $a \neq 0$, there exists exactly one $x \in Q$ such that $a \circ x = c$;
- (iii) for $a, b, c \in Q$ with $a \neq b$, there exists exactly one $x \in Q$ such that $x \circ a x \circ b = c$;
- (iv) for all $a \in Q$, $a \circ 0 = 0 \circ a = 0$;
- (v) there exists an element $1 \in Q \setminus \{0\}$ such that $1 \circ a = a \circ 1 = a$ for all $a \in Q$;
- (vi) for all $a, b, c \in Q$, $(a + b) \circ c = a \circ c + b \circ c$.

A near field is a quasifield N in which the multiplication \circ is associative; that is, in which $(N \setminus \{0\}, \circ)$ is a group. A semifield is a quasifield S in which the left distributive law

$$a \circ (b+c) = a \circ b + a \circ c$$

holds for all $a, b, c \in S$.

In $Q \oplus Q$, we define $K_{\infty} := \{(0, y) \mid y \in Q\}$ and $K_a := \{(x, x \circ a) \mid x \in Q\}$ for $a \in Q$. Then it is known that $\{K_{\infty}\} \cup \{K_a \mid a \in Q\}$ is a spread of $Q \oplus Q$.

Example 2 ([3, 2.1 and 2.3]). Consider the field $GF(q^n)$ where $n \ge 1$ and $q = p^s$ with p a prime and $s \ge 1$. Let $\lambda : GF(q^n) \to I_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ be a mapping satisfying: (i) $\lambda(0) = \lambda(1) = 0$, and (ii) given $a, b \in GF(q^n) \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $x \ne 0$ with

$$c^{q^{\lambda(a)}}a = x^{q^{\lambda(b)}}b$$

if and only if a = b. We define $x \circ y := x^{q^{\lambda(y)}}y$. Then $(\mathsf{GF}(q^n), +, \circ)$ is a quasifield called a generalized André system.

Consider also the field $\mathsf{GF}(q^n)$ and $q = p^s$ with p a prime and $s \ge 1$, and assume every prime divisor of n divides q-1. Also assume $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Choosing a primitive element ω of $\mathsf{GF}(q^n)$, define $\lambda \colon \mathsf{GF}(q^n) \setminus \{0\} \to I_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ by

 $(q^{\lambda(a)} - 1)(q - 1)^{-1} \equiv i \pmod{n}$, where $a = w^i \in \mathsf{GF}(q^n)$.

With $\lambda(0) = 0$, the mapping λ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) for a generalized André system. This system, denoted by N(q, n), is a nearfield and is called a regular nearfield (or a Dickson nearfield).

Proposition 1.8 ([4, Theorem 7.3 and Theorem 7.4]). The group consisting of non-zero elements of the regular nearfield $(N(q, n) \setminus \{0\}, \circ)$ in Example 2 is a non-abelian metacyclic group. Moreover, there exist $\phi(n)/m$ non-isomorphic N(q, n)'s, where ϕ is the Euler function and m is the order of $p \mod n$.

Moreover, it is known that, in $GF(2^{d+1})$, using a natural addition of the field $GF(2^{d+1})$, we are able to define more multiplications \circ so that we have some semifields, such as Knuth semifields, Kantor semifields or Albert semifields, and so on. (See [3].)

Definition 1.9 (Dual hyperoval S_K). Let $(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}), +, \circ)$ be a quasifield, and regard $V := \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$ as a vector space over $\mathsf{GF}(2)$. In $V \oplus V$, we define

$$\begin{split} K_{\infty} &:= \left\{ (0,x) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \right\} \text{ and } \\ K_{a} &:= \left\{ (x,x \circ a) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \right\} \text{ for } a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \,. \end{split}$$

Then, $T := \{K_{\infty}\} \cup \{K_a \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ is a spread of $V \oplus V$. Let σ be a generator of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})/\mathsf{GF}(2))$. Let π' be a $\mathsf{GF}(2)$ -linear mapping defined by

$$\pi' \colon \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \oplus \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \ni (x,y) \mapsto (x,y^{\sigma} + y) \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \oplus \mathsf{GF}(2^{$$

Then, as in Example 1, the image of π' is $W := \{(x, y) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0\}$, which is a (2d + 1)-dimensional vector space over $\mathsf{GF}(2)$. We note that the kernel of π' , $\{(0,0), (0,1)\}$, is contained in K_{∞} . We define $X_K(a) := \pi'(K_a) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, by Theorem 1.5, $S_K := \{X_K(a) \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ is a *d*-dimensional dual hyperoval in $\mathsf{PG}(2d, 2) = \mathsf{PG}(W)$, where

$$X_K(a) = \{ (x, (x \circ a)^{\sigma} + x \circ a) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.10. If the algebraic system $(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}), +, \circ)$ is a regular nearfield, then the automorphism group G_K of the dual hyperoval S_K contains the subgroup $N := \{n_b \mid b \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}\}$ with $n_b(X_K(t)) = X_K(b \circ t)$ defined by

$$n_b((x,y)) := (x \circ b', y),$$

where b' is an element which satisfies that $b' \circ b = 1$. Moreover, N is isomorphic to the group $(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}, \circ)$, and so, by Proposition 1.8, N is a non-abelian metacyclic group with the cardinality $|N| = 2^{d+1} - 1$. If the algebraic system $(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}), +, \circ)$ is a semifield, then the automorphism group G_K of the dual hyperoval S_K contains the subgroup $T := \{t_a \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ with $t_a(X_K(t)) = X_K(t+a)$, defined by

$$t_a((x,y)) := (x, y + (x \circ a)^{\sigma} + x \circ a),$$

and T is isomorphic to $GF(2^{d+1})$ as an additive group.

Proof. Since the multiplication \circ is associative in the regular nearfield, we have

$$n_b(X_K(t)) = \left\{ (x \circ b', ((x \circ b') \circ (b \circ t))^{\sigma} + (x \circ b') \circ (b \circ t)) \right\} = X_K(b \circ t)$$

for $b \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

$$n_{b_2}(n_{b_1}(X_K(t))) = n_{b_2}(X_K(b_1 \circ t)) = X_K((b_2 \circ b_1) \circ t) = n_{b_2 \circ b_1}(X_K(t))$$

for $b_1, b_2 \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore $N := \{n_b \mid b \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}\}$ is isomorphic to the group $(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}, \circ)$. Since the multiplication \circ has left distributive law in the semifield, we also have

$$t_a(X_K(t)) = \{ (x, (x \circ t)^{\sigma} + x \circ t + (x \circ a)^{\sigma} + x \circ a) \} = X_K(t+a),$$

hence we have $T \cong \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$ as an additive group.

By Theorem 1.2 (see also [2, 1.2. Examples (a)]), the complement of the points on the members of the dual hyperoval in PG(2d, 2), that is,

 $PG(2d, 2) \setminus \bigcup \{ \text{the points on the members of the dual hyperoval} \}$

is a (d-1)-dimensional subspace. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.11. Let $U := \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}), \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0\}$. Note that $U \subset W := \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}), \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0\}$. Then, in $\mathsf{PG}(2d, 2) = \mathsf{PG}(W)$, the (d-1)-dimensional subspace $\mathsf{PG}(U)$ is the complement of the set $\bigcup X_K(a)$ of the points which are on some members of the dual hyperoval S_K in Definition 1.9, that is,

$$\mathsf{PG}(U) = \mathsf{PG}(W) \setminus \bigcup_{a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})} X_K(a).$$

In section 3, we will prove the following theorem, hence we give a negative answer to the previous question.

Theorem 1.12. Let the algebraic system $(GF(2^{d+1}), +, \circ)$ in Example 2 be a regular nearfield. Then, a d-dimensional dual hyperoval S_K in PG(2d, 2) in Definition 1.9 is not isomorphic to the Yoshiara's dual hyperoval S_Y .

2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Since $K_i \not\supseteq v$ for $1 \le i \le 2^{d+1}$, $\pi(K_i) \setminus \{0\}$ is a *d*-dimensional subspace in $\mathsf{PG}(2d, 2) = \mathsf{PG}((V \oplus V)/\langle v \rangle)$ for $1 \le i \le 2^{d+1}$. Let $\pi(K_i) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\pi(K_j) \setminus \{0\}$ have a common point $\pi(x_i) = \pi(x_j)$ for $x_i \in K_i \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_j \in K_j \setminus \{0\}$ with $1 \le i < j \le 2^{d+1}$. Then, since $\pi(x_i + x_j) = 0$, we have $x_i + x_j = v$. However,

since $V \oplus V$ is a direct sum of K_i and K_j by (3) of Definition 1.4, there exist unique $x_i \in K_i \setminus \{0\}$ and unique $x_j \in K_j \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies that $x_i + x_j = v$. Thus, we have proved that $\pi(K_i) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\pi(K_j) \setminus \{0\}$ have only one common point. Assume that $\pi(K_s) \setminus \{0\}$, $\pi(K_t) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\pi(K_u) \setminus \{0\}$ have a common point $\pi(x_s) = \pi(x_t) = \pi(x_u)$ for $x_s \in K_s \setminus \{0\}$, $x_t \in K_t \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_t \in K_t \setminus \{0\}$ with $1 \leq s < t < u \leq 2^{d+1}$. Then, since $\pi(x_s + x_t) = 0$, we have $x_s + x_t = v$. We also have $x_s + x_u = v$. However, we have $x_t = x_u$ from these equations, which contradicts (2) of Definition 1.4. Hence $\pi(K_s) \setminus \{0\}$, $\pi(K_t) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\pi(K_u) \setminus \{0\}$ with $1 \leq s < t < u \leq 2^{d+1}$ have no common point. Since the cardinality

$$S = \left| \{ \pi(K_1) \setminus \{0\}, \pi(K_2) \setminus \{0\}, \dots, \pi(K_{2^{d+1}}) \setminus \{0\} \} \right| = 2^{d+1},$$

and since it is trivial that all members of S generate PG(2d, 2), we conclude that S is a d-dimensional dual hyperoval in $PG(2d, 2) = PG((V \oplus V)/\langle v \rangle)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.12

We consider the dual hyperovals inside the projective space

$$\mathsf{PG}(2d,2) = \{(x,y) \mid (x,y) \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \oplus \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{(0,0)\}, \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0\}.$$

We recall that an automorphism of the dual hyperoval S in PG(2d, 2) is a linear transformation which permute the members of S. We also define an isomorphism of the dual hyperovals S to S' as a linear transformation of PG(2d, 2) which sends each member of S to that of S'.

Let d+1 = sn with $s \ge 1$, and assume every prime divisor of n divides $2^s - 1$. (For example, (s, n) = (4, 3), etc.) Then, by Example 2, we are able to define a multiplication \circ of $\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$ such that $(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}), +, \circ)$ is a regular near field. Hence, by Definition 1.9, we have a dual hyperoval

$$S_K = \{X_K(t) \mid t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\},\$$

where

$$X_K(t) := \left\{ (x, (x \circ t)^{\sigma} + x \circ t) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

By Proposition 1.10, the automorphism group G_K of the dual hyperoval S_K contains a subgroup $N := \{n_b \mid b \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}\}$ with $n_b(X_K(t)) = X_K(b \circ t)$ defined by

$$n_b((x,y)) := (x \circ b', y),$$

where $b' \circ b = 1$. Let $G_K(0)$ be a subgroup of G_K which fixes $X_K(0) := \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$. Then it is easy to see that $N \subset G_K(0)$. By Proposition 1.8, N is a non-abelian metacyclic group with the cardinality $|N| = 2^{d+1} - 1$.

We recall that the automorphism group G_Y of Yoshiara's dual hyperoval S_Y is generated by the groups T, M and F, where $T = \{t_a \mid a \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ with $t_a(X_Y(t)) = X_Y(t+a)$ defined by

$$t_a \colon (x, y) \mapsto (x, x^{\sigma}a + xa^{\sigma^{-1}} + y),$$

$$M = \left\{ m_b \mid b \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \right\} \text{ with } m_b(X_Y(t)) = X_Y(bt) \text{ defined by}$$

$$m_b \colon (x, y) \mapsto (xb^{-1}, y),$$

and $F = \{f_{\tau} \mid \tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})/\mathsf{GF}(2))\}$ with $f_{\tau}(X_Y(t)) = X_Y(t^{\tau})$ defined by

$$f_{\tau} \colon (x, y) \mapsto (x^{\tau}, y^{\tau})$$

We also have $G_Y = T : (M : F)$. Hence G_Y is doubly transitive on the members of S_Y . (See [5, Proposition 7].) We note that M is a cyclic group with cardinality $|M| = 2^{d+1} - 1$.

Let $G_Y(0)$ be a subgroup of G_Y which fixes $X_Y(0) := \{(x,0) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$. Then, we have $G_Y(0) = M : F$ from the expressions of T, M and F and the fact that $G_Y = T : (M : F)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let g be an element of $G_Y(0) = M : F$ with the action $g: (x, y) \mapsto (g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y))$, where g_1 and g_2 are GF(2)-linear mapping. If $g_2(x, y) = y$ for any $(x, y) \in GF(2^{d+1}) \oplus GF(2^{d+1})$ with Tr(y) = 0, then we have $g \in M$.

Proof. Let $g = m_b f_{\tau} \in G_Y(0) = M : F$, then $g(x, y) = (x^{\tau}(b^{-1})^{\tau}, y^{\tau})$ by definition. Assume that $g_2(x, y) = y^{\tau} = y$ for any $y \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0$. Then, since the subset $\{y \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 0\}$ is not contained in any proper subfield of $\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})$, we have $\tau = \operatorname{id} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})/\mathsf{GF}(2))$. Hence we have $g \in M$.

We assume to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism i from S_K to S_Y . Since G_Y is doubly transitive on the members of S_Y , we may assume that $i(X_K(0)) = X_Y(0)$, that is, i maps $\{(x,0) \mid x \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ onto itself. Hence, we may assume that i maps $G_K(0)$ to $G_Y(0)$. On the other hand, since i is an isomorphism from $S_K = \{X_K(t) \mid t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$ to $S_Y = \{X_Y(t) \mid t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})\}$, we have

$$i\left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})}X_K(t)\right)=\bigcup_{t\in\mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})}X_Y(t).$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.11, we have

$$\begin{split} i(U) &= i \left(\mathsf{PG}(2d,2) \setminus \bigcup_{t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})} X_K(t) \right) \\ &= \mathsf{PG}(2d,2) \setminus \bigcup_{t \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1})} X_Y(t) = U \,, \end{split}$$

which means that i maps $\{(0, y) | y \in GF(2^{d+1}), Tr(y) = 0\}$ onto itself. Therefore, there exist GF(2)-linear mapping f and g such that the isomorphism i is expressed as follows:

$$i((x,y)) = (f(x), g(y)).$$
 (1)

Now, we have $i(N) = \{i(n_b) \mid b \in \mathsf{GF}(2^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\}\} \cong N$ as a subgroup of $G_Y(0) = M$: F with the action $i(n_b)(X_Y(t)) = i(n_b(i^{-1}(X_Y(t))))$ for $X_Y(t) \in S_Y$. Then, by (1), the action of $i(n_b)$ is

$$i(n_b): (x,y) \mapsto \left(f\left(f^{-1}(x) \circ b'\right), y\right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1, i(N) is a subgroup of $M \subset G_Y(0)$. However, the cardinality $|i(N)| = |M| = 2^{d+1} - 1$. Moreover, N is a non-abelian metacyclic group and M is a cyclic group. This is impossible. Hence, we have a contradiction. Therefore, we finally have that the dual hyperoval S_K is not isomorphic to the Yoshiara's dual hyperoval S_Y .

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grant in aid for scientific research of Japan, No. 17540054.

References

- B. N. Cooperstein and J. A. Thas, On Generalized *k*-Arcs in PG(2*n*, *q*), Ann. Comb. 5 (2001), 141–152.
- [2] A. Del Fra, On *d*-dimensional dual hyperovals, *Geom. Dedicata* **26** (2000), 157–178.
- [3] **M. Kallaher**, Translation Planes, **in** *Handbook of Incidence Geometry*, Elsevier Science B. V., 1995, 137–192.
- [4] H. Lüneburg, Translation Planes, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [5] **S. Yoshiara**, A family of *d*-dimensional dual hyperovals in PG(2d + 1, 2), *European J. Combin.* **20** (1999), no. 6, 589–603.
- [6] **H. Taniguchi** and **S. Yoshiara**, On dimensional dual hyperovals $S_{\sigma,\phi}^{d+1}$, *Innov. Incidence Geom.* **1** (2005), 197–219.

Hiroaki Taniguchi

TAKUMA NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, 551 TAKUMA, KAGAWA, 769-1192, JAPAN *e-mail*: taniguchi@dg.takuma-ct.ac.jp