

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 4

No. 1

2011

SZU-YU SOPHIE CHEN

**ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF NONVARIATIONAL ELLIPTIC
SYSTEMS**



mathematical sciences publishers

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF NONVARIATIONAL ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

SZU-YU SOPHIE CHEN

We use a method, inspired by Pohozaev's work, to study asymptotic behaviors of nonvariational elliptic systems in dimension $n \geq 3$. As an application, we prove removal of an apparent singularity in a ball and uniqueness of the entire solution. All results apply to changing sign solutions.

In this paper, we study solutions of elliptic systems on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$.

A classical work by Gidas and Spruck [1981] asserts that any nonnegative solution to $\Delta u + |u|^{\alpha-2}u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n with $2 < \alpha < 2n/(n-2)$ (subcritical case) is trivial. For $\alpha = 2n/(n-2)$, Caffarelli, Gidas and Spruck [1989] proved that any nonnegative solution in \mathbb{R}^n is of the form $u = (a + b|x|^2)^{-(n-2)/2}$, where a, b are constants. Such problem for elliptic systems are also studied, for example, in the studies of Lane–Emden type systems; see [Zou 2000; Poláčik et al. 2007; Souplet 2009] and the references therein.

By contrast, the behaviors of changing sign solutions are more delicate. For example, there exists a sequence of changing sign solutions to $\Delta u + |u|^{\alpha-2}u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n with $2 < \alpha < 2n/(n-2)$ [Kuzin and Pohozaev 1997]. In this paper, we study under what circumstances a solution to an elliptic system in an exterior domain is asymptotic to $|x|^{-(n-2)}$ at infinity. Such decay is optimal in the sense that infinity is a regular point in the inverted coordinates. It is known [Kuzin and Pohozaev 1997] that there exist solutions to $\Delta u + u^{\alpha-1} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n that decay more slowly than $|x|^{-(n-2)}$. Thus, a suitable integrability condition is necessary to exclude such a case.

While the study of changing sign solutions to elliptic systems is interesting by itself, the problem is well motivated by differential geometry. For example, the decay of curvature tensors was studied for Yang–Mills fields [Uhlenbeck 1982], Einstein metrics [Bando et al. 1989] and other generalizations [Tian and Viaclovsky 2005; Chen 2009], just to name a few. A typical system is of the form

$$\Delta(\text{Rm})_{ijkl} = Q_{ijkl}(\text{Rm}, \text{Rm}),$$

where Rm is the Riemannian curvature tensor and Q is a quadratic in Rm . A natural geometric assumption is that $|\text{Rm}|$ is in $L^{n/2}$. Therefore, $|\text{Rm}|$ vanishes at infinity and the problem is to find out the decay rate. The study of geometrical systems is more subtle as $(\text{Rm})_{ijkl}$ satisfies an extra relation, the Bianchi identity, and the underlying spaces are not Euclidean.

The technique we use in this paper is based on the method developed in [Chen 2009] on asymptotically flat manifolds, where a special geometric setting is considered. In this paper, we study general nonvariational elliptic systems of the reaction-diffusion type. Our result applies to changing sign solutions and includes the supercritical case (i.e., $\Delta u + Cu^{\alpha-1} = 0$ with $\alpha > 2n/(n-2)$, where C is a constant).

The author was supported by the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, and while preparing the manuscript, by NSF grant DMS-0635607.

MSC2000: 35B40, 35J45.

Keywords: elliptic system, decay estimates, Liouville.

Let $V = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ and $f^i : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Consider the system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^m A_{ij} \Delta V_j = f^i(V), \quad (1)$$

where A is a constant invertible symmetric matrix and $i = 1, \dots, m$. Note that $f^i(V)$ or V_i may have no sign. We assume the following structure conditions:

$$(A1) \quad |f^i(V)| \leq C|V|^q.$$

$$(A2) \quad |\nabla f^i(V)| \leq C|V|^{q-1}.$$

Let K be a compact subset in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1. *Let $q > (n+2)/n$ and $p = (n/2)(q-1)$. Suppose that f^i satisfies (A1) and (A2). Let $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)$ be a solution to (1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$. Then $|V| = O(|x|^{-(n-2)})$ and $|\nabla V| = O(|x|^{-(n-1)})$ at infinity.*

An immediate consequence is a result on singularity removal for affine invariant equations. For scalar equations, the problem was studied in [Gidas and Spruck 1981; Brézis and Lions 1981; Caffarelli et al. 1989].

Let B_1 be the unit ball centered at the origin.

Corollary 2. *Suppose f^i are homogeneous functions of degree $(n+2)/(n-2)$. Let $V \in L^{2n/(n-2)}(B_1)$ be a solution to (1) in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then V can be extended to a smooth solution to (1) in B_1 .*

By performing a linear transformation $W_i = \sum_j A_{ij} V_j$, the system (1) can be reduced to an equation of the diagonal form $\Delta W = \tilde{f}(W)$. The assumptions (A1)–(A2) and other conditions on V or f^i equivalently hold for W and \tilde{f} . Therefore, for Theorem 1 and Corollary 2, we may assume without loss of generality the equation is of the diagonal form.

We turn to study the uniqueness of entire solutions for variational systems. Let $P(V)$ be a homogeneous function of degree $q+1$. Suppose that A_{ij} is positive definite and $f^i = \partial P / \partial V^i$ in (1). For scalar equations, there is a large literature on the uniqueness problem; see, for example, [Gidas and Spruck 1981; Bidaut-Véron 1989; Serrin and Zou 2002]; see also [Pucci and Serrin 2007] and the references therein. For systems, when $P(V) \leq 0$ and $q > (n+2)/(n-2)$ (supercritical case), the problem was studied by Pucci and Serrin [1986] under some asymptotic assumption of V . Their result also holds for the nonhomogeneous function P (and more general $P(x, V, \nabla V)$) satisfying some inequality.

Theorem 3. *Let $q > (n+2)/n$, $q \neq (n+2)/(n-2)$ and $p = (n/2)(q-1)$. Suppose $P(V)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $q+1$. Suppose that A_{ij} is positive definite and $f^i = \partial P / \partial V^i$ in (1). Let $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a solution to (1) in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $V \equiv 0$.*

We outline the proofs. To fix notation, we denote by dx the volume element in \mathbb{R}^n and by dS the area element of a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $B_r(x)$ and $S_r(x)$ be the ball of radius r and sphere of radius r centered at x , respectively. When x is at the origin, we simply denote by B_r and S_r .

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is to compare the size of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} |\nabla V|^2 dx$ (as a function of r) to its derivative $-\int_{S_r} |\nabla V|^2 dS$. Then by the ordinary differential inequality lemma, we get the optimal decay of $|\nabla V|$ and, as a consequence, the decay of $|V|$. In order to relate the two integrands, we use some version of Pohozaev's identity for nonvariational systems. Pohozaev's ingenious idea [1965] is to use a

conformal Killing field to prove uniqueness in a star-shaped domain. This idea was generalized nicely by Pucci and Serrin [1986] to general variational systems. Our use of the identity is different from the original one. We apply the identity to an unbounded domain (the complement of a large ball) and use only the size of $|f^i|$. Therefore, our method can be applied to nonvariational systems.

The proof of [Theorem 3](#) is a combination of [Theorem 1](#) and Pohozaev's original idea. Since the solution decays fast enough at infinity, no terms from infinity contribute to the main integrand. We use the identity differently such that we obtain the uniqueness also in the subcritical case, in contrast to the problem in star-shaped regions where one has to restrict to the supercritical case.

Finally, we show that the assumptions in these theorems are sharp.

Example 4. Consider the equation $\Delta u + u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . By [\[Caffarelli et al. 1989\]](#), nonnegative solutions are of the form $u = (a + b|x|^2)^{-(n-2)/2}$. Therefore, u decays as $|x|^{-(n-2)}$ at infinity. This example shows that in [Theorem 3](#), the assumption $q \neq (n+2)/(n-2)$ is necessary. Consider instead the equation in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$. There exists a nonnegative radial singular solution with the blow-up rate $|x|^{-(n-2)/2}$ near the origin. Therefore, in [Corollary 2](#), the condition $V \in L^{2n/(n-2)}(B_1)$ is sharp.

Example 5. Consider $\Delta u + u^q = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . For $q > (n+2)/(n-2)$, there exists a solution asymptotic to $|x|^{-2/(q-1)}$ at infinity [\[Kuzin and Pohozaev 1997\]](#). Hence, in [Theorem 1](#), the conditions $q = (2p+n)/n$ and $V \in L^p$ are sharp. Moreover, in [Theorem 3](#), the condition $q = (2p+n)/n$ is also sharp.

1. Preliminaries

We collect some standard results in elliptic regularity theory and ordinary differential equations. Lemmas 6–8 follow by an argument similar to [\[Bando et al. 1989, Section 4\]](#).

Let C_s be the Sobolev constant and $\gamma = n/(n-2)$. Suppose that the nonnegative function $u \in C^{0,1}$ satisfies $\Delta u + C_0 u^q \geq 0$ weakly in the sense that

$$\int (-\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle + C_0 u^q \phi) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \phi \in C_0^\infty.$$

Let $\varphi \geq 0$ be a function with compact support and let $s > 1$. Then, by the Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi^2 u^{q+s-1} dx &\geq C_0^{-1} \int \left(\frac{4(s-1)}{s^2} |\varphi \nabla u^{s/2}|^2 + \frac{4}{s} \varphi u^{s/2} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla u^{s/2} \rangle \right) dx \\ &\geq C_0^{-1} \int \left(\frac{2}{s^2} (s-1) |\varphi \nabla u^{s/2}|^2 - \frac{2}{(s-1)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 u^s \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\left(\int (\varphi^2 u^s)^\gamma dx \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \int \left(\frac{s^2 C_0}{2(s-1)} \varphi^2 u^{q+s-1} + \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{(s-1)^2} \right) |\nabla \varphi|^2 u^s \right) dx, \quad (2)$$

where $C = C(n, C_s, C_0)$.

In Lemmas 6–8, u is a $C^{0,1}$ function.

Lemma 6. *Let $p > 1$ and $q = (2p + n)/n$. Suppose that the nonnegative function $u \in L^p(B_r)$ satisfies $\Delta u + C_0 u^q \geq 0$ weakly in B_r . Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\int_{B_r} u^p dx < \epsilon$, then*

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}} u \leq Cr^{-n/p} \|u\|_{L^p(B_r)}, \quad \text{where } C = C(n, p, C_s, C_0).$$

Proof. Let $s = p$ in (2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int (\varphi^2 u^p)^\gamma dx \right)^{1/\gamma} &\leq C \int (u^{q-1} (\varphi^2 u^p) + |\nabla \varphi|^2 u^p) dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\{\text{supp } \varphi\}} u^p dx \right)^{2/n} \left(\int (\varphi^2 u^p)^\gamma dx \right)^{1/\gamma} + C \int |\nabla \varphi|^2 u^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

We choose φ to be a cutoff function such that $\varphi = 1$ in $B_{r/2}$ and $\varphi = 0$ outside B_r , with $|\nabla \varphi| \leq Cr^{-1}$. We get

$$\left(\int_{B_{r/2}} u^{p\gamma} dx \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B_r} u^p dx.$$

Choose a sequence $r_k = (2^{-1} + 2^{-k})r$. Apply (and rescale) the above inequality for B_{r_k} and $B_{r_{k+1}}$ with $p_k = p\gamma^{k-1}$. By Moser iteration, we have $\sup_{B_{r/2}} u \leq Cr^{-n/p} \|u\|_{L^p(B_r)}$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $p > n/(n-2)$ and $q = (2p + n)/n$. Suppose that the nonnegative function $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r)$ satisfies $\Delta u + C_0 u^q \geq 0$ weakly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} u^p < \epsilon$, then $u = O(|x|^{-\lambda})$ for all $\lambda < n - 2$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6, $u = O(|x|^{-n/p})$. Let $s = p((n-2)/n) > 1$ in (2). Then

$$\left(\int \varphi^{2\gamma} u^p dx \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \left(\int_{\{\text{supp } \varphi\}} u^p dx \right)^{2/n} \left(\int (\varphi^2 u^{p(n-2/n)})^\gamma dx \right)^{1/\gamma} + C \int |\nabla \varphi|^2 u^{p(n-2)/n} dx.$$

φ is chosen to be a cutoff function such that $\varphi = 1$ in $B_{r'} \setminus B_{2r}$ and $\varphi = 0$ outside $B_{2r'} \setminus B_r$ with $|\nabla \varphi| \leq C(1/r + 1/r')$. Let $r' \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\left(\int \varphi^{2\gamma} u^p dx \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \left(\int |\nabla \varphi|^n dx \right)^{2/n} \left(\int_{\{\text{supp } \nabla \varphi\}} u^p dx \right)^{1/\gamma}.$$

And thus,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} u^p dx \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^p dx \right)^{1/\gamma}.$$

This gives $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} u^p = O(r^{-\delta})$ for some small $\delta > 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 6, $u = O(|x|^{-(n/p) - (\delta/p)})$. Let $\lambda_0 = \sup\{\lambda : u = O(|x|^{-\lambda})\}$. By iteration and a contradiction argument, we get that $\lambda_0 = n - 2$. \square

Suppose that $h \geq 0$ is a C^0 function. The nonnegative function $u \in C^{0,1}$ satisfies $\Delta u + C_0 hu \geq 0$ weakly if

$$\int (-\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle + C_0 hu \phi) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \phi \in C_o^\infty.$$

Lemma 8. Let $p > 1$ and $t > n/2$. Suppose that the nonnegative function $h \in L^t(B_r)$ satisfies $\int_{B_r} h^t dx \leq C_1/r^{2t-n}$. Suppose also that the nonnegative function $u \in L^p(B_r)$ satisfies $\Delta u + C_0 h u \geq 0$ weakly in B_r . Then $\sup_{B_{r/2}} u \leq C r^{-n/p} \|u\|_{L^p(B_r)}$, where $C = C(n, p, C_s, C_0, C_1)$.

Proof. The proof is by standard Moser iteration. See Morrey [1966]. \square

The following is a basic result in ordinary differential equations [Chen 2009].

Lemma 9. Suppose that $f(r) \geq 0$ satisfies $f(r) \leq -(r/a)f'(r) + C_2 r^{-b}$ for some $a, b > 0$.

(i) $a \neq b$. Then there exists a constant C_3 such that

$$f(r) \leq C_3 r^{-a} + \frac{a C_2}{a-b} r^{-b}.$$

Therefore, $f(r) = O(r^{-\min\{a,b\}})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) $a = b$. Then there exists a constant C_3 such that

$$f(r) \leq C_3 r^{-a} + a C_2 r^{-a} \ln r.$$

Therefore, $f(r) = O(r^{-a} \ln r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

As we explained in the introduction, without loss of generality we may assume the equation is of the diagonal form, that is,

$$\Delta V_i = f^i(V). \quad (3)$$

We first derive a version of Pohozaev's identity for nonvariational systems. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n and N be the unit outer normal on $\partial\Omega$. We perform integration by parts repeatedly.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k,l} f^k(V) x_l D_l V_k dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j,l} \Delta V_j x_l D_l V_j dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} - \sum_{i,j,l} D_i V_j D_i (x_l D_l V_j) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i,j,l} D_i V_j x_l D_l V_j N_i dS \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(-|\nabla V|^2 - \sum_l D_l (|\nabla V|^2) \frac{x_l}{2} \right) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i,j,l} D_i V_j x_l D_l V_j N_i dS \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \sum_l |\nabla V|^2 x_l N_l dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i,j,l} D_i V_j x_l D_l V_j N_i dS. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is worth mentioning that $x_l D_l$ is a conformal Killing field in \mathbb{R}^n .

We note that $|V|$ and $|\nabla V|$ are $C^{0,1}$ functions. By (3) and (A1)–(A2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta |V| &\geq -C|V|^q, \\ \Delta |\nabla V| &\geq -C|V|^{q-1} |\nabla V|, \end{aligned}$$

weakly. Since $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)$, there exists a large number R such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} |V|^p dx < \epsilon$, where ϵ is as in [Lemma 6](#). Applying [Lemma 6](#) to $B_r(x_0)$ where $|x_0| \geq 2r \geq 2R$, we get $|V| = O(|x|^{-n/p})$.

Case 1. If $(n+2)/n < q \leq n/(n-2)$ (or equivalently, $1 < p \leq n/(n-2)$), then $n/p \geq n-2$. By [Lemma 6](#), we have $|V| = O(|x|^{-n/p})$. Let φ be a cutoff function such that $\varphi = 1$ in B_r and $\varphi = 0$ outside B_{2r} with $|\nabla\varphi| \leq Cr^{-1}$. Applying φV_i to [\(3\)](#) and integrating gives

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla V|^2 dx \leq C \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |V|^{q+1} dx + \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |V|^2 dx = O(r^{n-2-(2n/p)}) \leq O(r^{-n+2}),$$

where $|x_0| \geq 2r \gg 1$. By [Lemma 8](#) with $h = |V|^{q-1}$, we obtain $|\nabla V| = O(|x|^{-(n-1)})$ and thus $|V| = O(|x|^{-(n-2)})$.

Case 2. If $n/(n-2) < q$ (or equivalently $p > n/(n-2)$), by [Lemma 7](#), $|V| = O(|x|^{-\lambda})$ for all $\lambda < n-2$. Therefore,

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla V|^2 dx \leq C \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |V|^{q+1} dx + \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |V|^2 dx = O(r^{n-2-2\lambda}),$$

where $|x_0| \geq 2r \gg 1$. Moreover, $|V| \in L^{p'}$ for all $p' > n/(n-2)$. Choose $p' < p$ close to $n/(n-2)$. Hence, $q > (2p' + n)/n$. We can then find $q' > n/2$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} (|V|^{q-1})^{q'} dx \leq \frac{C}{r^{2q'-n}}, \quad \text{where } |x_0| \geq 2r \gg 1.$$

This is possible because λ is close to $n-2$. By [Lemma 8](#), we obtain

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}(x_0)} |\nabla V| \leq \frac{C}{r^{n/2}} \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_r(x_0))} = O(r^{-\lambda-1}), \quad \text{where } |x_0| \geq 2r \gg 1.$$

Let $\Omega = B_R \setminus B_r$ in [\(4\)](#). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k,l} f^k(V) x_l D_l V_k dx \\ = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \sum_l |\nabla V|^2 x_l N_l dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i,j,l} D_i V_j x_l D_l V_j N_i dS. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Note that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_R} R |\nabla V|^2 dS = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} O(R^{-2\lambda-2+n}) = 0.$$

Let $R \rightarrow \infty$ in [\(5\)](#). Then there is no boundary term coming from infinity. We can choose $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r$. The boundary terms only occur on S_r . On $\partial\Omega$, $N = -x/r$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \sum_{k,l} f^k(V) x_l D_l V_k dx &= \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} |\nabla V|^2 dx + \int_{S_r} \frac{r}{2} |\nabla V|^2 dS - r \int_{S_r} |\nabla_N V|^2 dS \\ &\geq \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \int_{S_r} \frac{r}{2} |\nabla V|^2 dS. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G(r) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} |\nabla V|^2 dx$. Since $G'(r) = - \int_{S_r} |\nabla V|^2 dS$, the previous formula becomes

$$G(r) \leq -\frac{r}{n-2} G'(r) + \frac{2}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \sum_{k,l} f^k(V) x_l D_l V_k dx.$$

The key idea is to compare the size of $G(r)$ to that of $G'(r)$. The coefficient in front of $G'(r)$ plays an important role. Here is the only place we use the condition of $|f^i|$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \sum_{k,l} f^k(V) x_l D_l V_k dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} |V|^q |x| |\nabla V| dx = O(r^{-\lambda(q+1)+n}).$$

Thus,

$$G(r) \leq -\frac{r}{n-2} G'(r) + Cr^{-\lambda(q+1)+n}.$$

Since $q > n/(n-2)$ and λ is close to $n-2$, we have $\lambda(q+1) - n > n-2$. By [Lemma 9](#), this implies $G(r) = O(r^{-(n-2)})$. By the Sobolev inequality, we get

$$\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |V|^{2n/(n-2)} dx = O(r^{-n}).$$

Finally, by [Lemma 6](#) and [8](#) we obtain $|V| = O(|x|^{-(n-2)})$ and $|\nabla V| = O(|x|^{-(n-1)})$. \square

3. Proofs of [Corollary 2](#) and [Theorem 3](#)

Proof of [Corollary 2](#). Since the equation is invariant under inversion, we transform the solution to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$ and apply [Theorem 1](#).

Let $y = x/|x|^2$. Define $U_i(y) = (1/|y|^{n-2})V_i(y/|y|^2)$. This is called the Kelvin transform with the property that

$$\Delta_y U_i(y) = \frac{1}{|y|^{n+2}} \Delta_x V_i(x).$$

This can also be viewed as the conformal change formula of the conformal Laplacian with zero scalar curvature. Therefore, $U_i(y)$ satisfies

$$\sum_j A_{ij} \Delta_y U_j(y) = \frac{1}{|y|^{n+2}} f^i(|y|^{n-2} U(y)) = f^i(U(y)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1,$$

where we use that f^i is homogeneous of degree $(n+2)/(n-2)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |U|^{2n/(n-2)} dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} (|V||y|^{-n+2})^{2n/(n-2)} dy = \int_{B_1 \setminus \{0\}} (|V||x|^{n-2})^{2n/(n-2)} |x|^{-2n} dx \\ &= \int_{B_1 \setminus \{0\}} |V|^{2n/(n-2)} dx < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply [Theorem 1](#) with $p = 2n/(n-2)$ and $q = (n+2)/(n-2)$. We get $|U| = O(|y|^{-(n-2)})$ and $|\nabla U| = O(|y|^{-(n-1)})$. Hence, $|V| = O(1)$ and $|\nabla V| = O(|x|^{-1})$. As a result, $V \in L^\infty(B_1)$ and $\nabla V \in L^p(B_1)$ for all $p < n$.

We show that V is a weak solution to (1) in B_1 . Let $\varphi \in H_0^1(B_1, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\eta_k(|x|)$ be a compactly supported function in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\eta_k \rightarrow 1$ a.e. in B_1 and $\|\eta_k\|_{L^n(B_1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. (Such functions were used by Serrin [1964].) Then

$$\int_{B_1} \eta_k \sum_{i,j,l} A_{ij} D_l \varphi_j D_l V_i dx = \int_{B_1} - \sum_i f^i(V) \varphi_i \eta_k dx - \int_{B_1} \sum_{i,j,l} D_l \eta_k A_{ij} \varphi_j D_l V_i dx.$$

The last term can be estimated as follows.

$$\left| \int_{B_1} \sum_{i,j,l} D_l \eta_k A_{ij} \varphi_j D_l V_i dx \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(B_1)} \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(B_1)} \|\eta_k\|_{L^n(B_1)} \leq C \|\eta_k\|_{L^n(B_1)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, in the limit

$$\int_{B_1} \sum_{i,j,l} A_{ij} D_l \varphi_j D_l V_i dx = \int_{B_1} - \sum_i f^i(V) \varphi_i dx.$$

Thus, V is a weak solution in B_1 . It follows by elliptic regularity that $V \in C^\infty(B_1)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Since A_{ij} is positive definite, there exists an orthogonal matrix M such that

$$M^{-1} A M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are positive. Let

$$B = M \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sqrt{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix} M^{-1}.$$

By performing a transformation $W_i = \sum_j B_{ij} V_j$, the system can be reduced to $\Delta W_i = \frac{\partial \tilde{P}(W)}{\partial W^i}$. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume the equation is of the diagonal form.

Let $\Omega = B_R$ in (4). Therefore, $N = x/R$. We get

$$\int_{B_R} \sum_{k,l} f^k(V) x_l D_l V_k dx = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \int_{S_R} \frac{R}{2} |\nabla V|^2 dS + R \int_{S_R} |\nabla_N V|^2 dS.$$

Since $f^k = \partial P / \partial V_k$, we have

$$\int_{B_R} -n P(V) dx = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \int_{S_R} \frac{R}{2} |\nabla V|^2 dS + R \int_{S_R} |\nabla_N V|^2 dS - \int_{S_R} R P(V) dS. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\int_{B_R} (q+1) P(V) dx = \int_{B_R} \sum_k \frac{\partial P}{\partial V_k} V_k dx = - \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx + \int_{S_R} \sum_j D_N V_j V_j dS, \quad (7)$$

where we use the Euler formula for homogeneous functions.

Case 1. $n \geq 4$. By [Theorem 1](#), when $R \rightarrow \infty$, [\(6\)](#) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} -nP(V)dx &= \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx + O(R^{-(n-2)}) + O(R^{-(q+1)(n-2)+n}) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we use conditions on p, q and $n \geq 4$ to get $(q+1)(n-2) - n > 0$. Similarly, [\(7\)](#) gives

$$\int_{B_R} (q+1)P(V)dx = - \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx + O(R^{-(n-2)}).$$

Combining the above two formulas and noting that $q+1 \neq 2n/(n-2)$, we finally arrive at

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx = o(1).$$

We have $|\nabla V| \equiv 0$ and hence $V \equiv 0$.

Case 2. $n = 3$. Note that $\sup |V| \leq (C/|x|^{n/p})\|V\|_{L^p}$. Combining this fact with [Theorem 1](#), we have $|V| = O(|x|^{-\lambda})$, where $\lambda = \max\{1, 3/p\}$. Therefore,

$$\lambda(q+1) - 3 \geq \max\left\{q-2, \frac{3}{p}(q+1) - 3\right\} \geq \max\left\{-1 + \frac{2p}{3}, -1 + \frac{6}{p}\right\} > 0.$$

Then [\(6\)](#) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} -3P(V)dx &= \left(\frac{3}{2} - 1\right) \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx + O(R^{-1}) + O(R^{-\lambda(q+1)+3}) \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{2} - 1\right) \int_{B_R} |\nabla V|^2 dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as in [Case 1](#). The rest of proof is the same as in [Case 1](#). □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Craig Evans for drawing her attention to the reaction-diffusion type elliptic systems. She is grateful for many of his suggestions. The author appreciates James Serrin for his comments and especially suggestions for the references, which help improve the presentation of the work. The author wishes to thank Haïm Brézis for comments and interests. Finally, the author would like to thank the referee and the editor for careful reading and for valuable suggestions.

References

- [Bando et al. 1989] S. Bando, A. Kasue, and H. Nakajima, “On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth”, *Invent. Math.* **97**:2 (1989), 313–349. [MR 90c:53098](#) [Zbl 0682.53045](#)
- [Bidaut-Véron 1989] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron, “Local and global behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations of Emden–Fowler type”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **107**:4 (1989), 293–324. [MR 90f:35066](#)
- [Brézis and Lions 1981] H. Brézis and P.-L. Lions, “A note on isolated singularities for linear elliptic equations”, pp. 263–266 in *Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A*, edited by L. Nachbin, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. **7**, Academic Press, New York, 1981. [MR 83e:35039](#) [Zbl 0468.35036](#)

- [Caffarelli et al. 1989] L. A. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, and J. Spruck, “Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42**:3 (1989), 271–297. [MR 90c:35075](#) [Zbl 0702.35085](#)
- [Chen 2009] S. S. Chen, “Optimal curvature decays on asymptotically locally euclidean manifolds”, preprint, 2009. [arXiv 0911.55380](#)
- [Gidas and Spruck 1981] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, “Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **34**:4 (1981), 525–598. [MR83f:35045](#) [Zbl0465.35003](#)
- [Kuzin and Pohozaev 1997] I. Kuzin and S. Pohozaev, *Entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications **33**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997. [MR99d:35050](#) [Zbl0882.35003](#)
- [Morrey 1966] C.B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **130**, Springer, New York, 1966. [MR34 #2380](#) [Zbl0142.38701](#)
- [Pohožaev 1965] S.I. Pohožaev, “On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$ ”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **165** (1965), 36–39. In Russian; translated in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **6** (1965), 1408–1411. [MR33 #411](#)
- [Poláčik et al. 2007] P. Poláčik, P. Quittner, and P. Souplet, “Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems. I. Elliptic equations and systems”, *Duke Math. J.* **139**:3 (2007), 555–579. [MR2009b:35131](#)
- [Pucci and Serrin 1986] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, “A general variational identity”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **35**:3 (1986), 681–703. [MR88b:35072](#) [Zbl0625.35027](#)
- [Pucci and Serrin 2007] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, *The maximum principle*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications **73**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007. [MR2008m:35001](#) [Zbl1134.35001](#)
- [Serrin 1964] J. Serrin, “Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations”, *Acta Math.* **111** (1964), 247–302. [MR30 #337](#) [Zbl0128.09101](#)
- [Serrin and Zou 2002] J. Serrin and H. Zou, “Cauchy–Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities”, *Acta Math.* **189**:1 (2002), 79–142. [MR2003j:35107](#) [Zbl1059.35040](#)
- [Souplet 2009] P. Souplet, “The proof of the Lane–Emden conjecture in four space dimensions”, *Adv. Math.* **221**:5 (2009), 1409–1427. [MR2522424](#) [Zbl1171.35035](#)
- [Tian and Viaclovsky 2005] G. Tian and J. Viaclovsky, “Bach-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics”, *Invent. Math.* **160**:2 (2005), 357–415. [MR2006b:53050](#) [Zbl1085.53030](#)
- [Uhlenbeck 1982] K.K. Uhlenbeck, “Removable singularities in Yang–Mills fields”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **83**:1 (1982), 11–29. [MR83e:53034](#) [Zbl0491.58032](#)
- [Zou 2000] H. Zou, “Symmetry of ground states for a semilinear elliptic system”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352**:3 (2000), 1217–1245. [MR2000j:35075](#) [Zbl0933.35065](#)

Received 24 Nov 2008. Revised 4 Mar 2010. Accepted 15 Apr 2010.

SZU-YU SOPHIE CHEN: sophiechen@math.princeton.edu

Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, United States

Current address: Mathematics Department, Fine Hall, Washington Road, Princeton, NJ 08544, United States

Analysis & PDE

pjm.math.berkeley.edu/apde

EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Maciej Zworski
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Michael Aizenman	Princeton University, USA aizenman@math.princeton.edu	Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr
Luis A. Caffarelli	University of Texas, USA caffarel@math.utexas.edu	Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA chang@math.princeton.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Nigel Higson	Pennsylvania State University, USA higson@math.psu.edu
Vaughan Jones	University of California, Berkeley, USA vfr@math.berkeley.edu	Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France lebeau@unice.fr
László Lempert	Purdue University, USA lempert@math.purdue.edu	Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA rbm@math.mit.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu
Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA peres@stat.berkeley.edu
Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA schlag@math.uchicago.edu
Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA serfaty@cims.nyu.edu	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu	Steven Zelditch	Johns Hopkins University, USA szelditch@math.jhu.edu

PRODUCTION

apde@mathscipub.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

See inside back cover or pjm.math.berkeley.edu/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$180/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Analysis & PDE, at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://www.mathscipub.org>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 4 No. 1 2011

Strichartz estimates on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds	1
JEAN-MARC BOUCLET	
Asymptotic behaviors of nonvariational elliptic systems	85
SZU-YU SOPHIE CHEN	
Global regularity for the Navier–Stokes equations with some classes of large initial data	95
MARIUS PAICU and ZHIFEI ZHANG	
Dynamics on Grassmannians and resolvents of cone operators	115
JUAN B. GIL, THOMAS KRAINER and GERARDO A. MENDOZA	
Three-term commutator estimates and the regularity of $\frac{1}{2}$ -harmonic maps into spheres	149
FRANCESCA DA LIO and TRISTAN RIVIÈRE	