# A CHARACTERIZATION OF GEODESIC HYPERSPHERES OF QUATERNIONIC PROJECTIVE SPACE

By

Juan de Dios Pérez

Abstract. We study a condition that allows us to characterize geodesic hyperspheres among all real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space.

## 1. Introduction

Along this paper M will denote a connected real hypersurface of the quaternionic projective space  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ , endowed with the metric g of constant quaternionic sectional curvature 4. Let N be a unit local normal vector field on M and  $U_i = -J_iN$ , i = 1, 2, 3, where  $\{J_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$  is a local basis of the quaternionic structure of  $QP^m$ , [2]. Let us denote by  $D^{\perp} = \text{Span}\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$  and by D its orthogonal complement in TM.

If A denotes the Weingarten endomorphism of M we have the

THEOREM A, [1]. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ . Then  $g(AD, D^{\perp}) = \{0\}$  if and only if M is congruent to an open part of one of the following real hypersurfaces of  $QP^m$ :

i) a geodesic hypersphere,

ii) a tube of some radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/2$ , around the canonically (totally geodesic) embedded quaternionic projective space  $QP^k$ ,  $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-2\}$ ,

iii) a tube of some radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/4$ , around the canonically (totally geodesic) embedded projective space  $CP^m$ .

Let us denote by R the curvature tensor of M. In [4] we have proved that there do not exist real hypersurfaces of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , such that  $\sigma(R(X, Y)AZ) = 0$ , for any X, Y, Z tangent to M, where  $\sigma$  denotes the cyclic sum.

Received January 19, 1995.

The purpose of the present paper is to study a weaker condition than the one considered in [4]. Concretely we propose to study real hypersurfaces of  $QP^m$  such that

(1.1) 
$$\sigma(R(X, Y)AZ) = 0$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in D$ . We shall prove the following

THEOREM. Let M be a real hypersurface of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ . Then M satisfies (1.1) if and only if it is congruent to an open part of a geodesic hypersphere of  $QP^m$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a tangent vector field to M. We write  $J_i X = \phi_i X + f_i(X)N$ , i = 1, 2, 3, where  $\phi_i X$  is the tangent component of  $J_i X$  and  $f_i(X) = g(X, U_i)$ , i = 1, 2, 3. As  $J_i^2 = -Id$ , i = 1, 2, 3, where Id denotes the identity endomorphism on  $TQP^m$ , we get

(2.1) 
$$\phi_i^2 X = -X + f_i(X)U_i, \quad f_i(\phi_i X) = 0, \quad \phi_i U_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for any X tangent to M. As  $J_iJ_j = -J_jJ_i = J_k$ , where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3) we obtain

(2.2) 
$$\phi_i X = \phi_j \phi_k X - f_k(X) U_j = -\phi_k \phi_j X + f_j(X) U_k$$

and

(2.3) 
$$f_i(X) = f_j(\phi_k X) = -f_k(\phi_j X)$$

for any X tangent to M, where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). It is also easy to see that for any X, Y tangent to M and i = 1, 2, 3,

(2.4) 
$$g(\phi_i X, Y) + g(X, \phi_i Y) = 0, \quad g(\phi_i X, \phi_i Y) = g(X, Y) - f_i(X) f_i(Y)$$

and

$$(2.5) \qquad \qquad \phi_i U_j = -\phi_i U_i = U_k$$

(i, j, k) being a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). Finally from the expression of the curvature tensor of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , we have that the curvature tensor of M is given

114

by

(2.6) 
$$R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \{g(\phi_i Y, Z)\phi_i X - g(\phi_i X, Z)\phi_i Y + 2g(X, \phi_i Y)\phi_i Z\} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M, see [3].

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

Let  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_{4m-4}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of D at any point of M. If in (1.1) we take  $Z = E_j$ ,  $Y = \phi_1 E_j$ , from (2.6) and applying the formulas (2.1) to (2.5) we have for any  $X \in D$ 

$$(3.1) \quad \{g(\phi_1 X, AE_j) - g(AX, \phi_1 E_j)\}E_j + \{g(AX, E_j) + g(\phi_1 X, A\phi_1 E_j)\}\phi_1 E_j \\ + \{2g(AX, \phi_3 E_j) - g(\phi_3 X, AE_j) + g(\phi_2 X, A\phi_1 E_j)\}\phi_2 E_j + \{g(\phi_2 X, AE_j) \\ + g(\phi_3 X, A\phi_1 E_j) - 2g(AX, \phi_2 E_j)\}\phi_3 E_j - 2g(X, E_j)\phi_1 AE_j \\ - 2g(X, \phi_3 E_j)\phi_2 AE_j + 2g(X, \phi_2 E_j)\phi_3 AE_j + 2g(\phi_1 X, E_j)\phi_1 A\phi_1 E_j \\ + 2g(\phi_2 X, E_j)\phi_2 A\phi_1 E_j + 2g(\phi_3 X, E_j)\phi_3 A\phi_1 E_j - \{g(E_j, AE_j) \\ + g(\phi_1 E_j, A\phi_1 E_j)\}\phi_1 X - \{g(\phi_3 E_j, AE_j) + g(\phi_2 E_j, A\phi_1 E_j)\}\phi_2 X \\ + \{g(\phi_2 E_j, AE_j) - g(\phi_3 E_j, A\phi_1 E_j)\}\phi_3 X + 2\phi_1 AX = 0$$

If now we take the scalar product of (3.1) and  $U_1$  and sum on j we obtain

(3.2) 
$$g(\phi_2 X, A U_2) + g(\phi_3 X, A U_3) = 0$$

for any  $X \in D$ .

The same reasoning taking in (1.1)  $Z = E_j$ ,  $Y = \phi_2 E_j$  and considering the scalar product of the result and  $U_2$  gives us

(3.3) 
$$g(\phi_1 X, A U_1) + g(\phi_3 X, A U_3) = 0$$

for any  $X \in \boldsymbol{D}$ .

If we repeat the above computation for  $Z = E_j$ ,  $Y = \phi_3 E_j$  and take the  $U_3$ component we get

(3.4) 
$$g(\phi_1 X, A U_1) + g(\phi_2 X, A U_2) = 0$$

for any  $X \in D$ . Thus from (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we have

(3.5) 
$$g(\phi_i X, A U_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for any  $X \in D$ . Thus  $g(AD, D^{\perp}) = \{0\}$  and from Theorem A, M must be congruent to an open part of either i), ii) or iii) appearing in such a Theorem.

Let us consider the case iii) of a tube of radius  $r, 0 < r < \pi/4$ , over  $CP^m$ . The principal curvatures on D are  $\cot(r)$  and  $-\tan(r)$  both with multiplicity 2(m-1). As  $m \ge 3$  we can consider unit  $X, W \in D$  such that Span  $\{X, \phi_1 X, \phi_2 X, \phi_3 X\} \perp$  Span  $\{W, \phi_1 W, \phi_2 W, \phi_3 W\}$  and such that X and  $\phi_1 X$  are principal with principal curvature  $\cot(r)$  and  $\phi_2 W$  is principal with principal curvature  $\cot(r)$  and  $Z = \phi_2 W$ , by the first identity of Bianchi we should have  $-(\tan(r) + \cot(r))R(X, \phi_1 X)\phi_2 W = 0$ . But applying (2.6) this implies  $(\tan(r) + \cot(r))\phi_3 W = 0$  which is impossible.

In the case ii) of Theorem A we also have two distinct principal curvatures on D and a reasoning similar to the above one proves that this case cannot occur.

On the other hand, geodesic hyperspheres have only one principal curvature on D, thus they satisfy (1.1) and this finishes the proof.

#### References

- J. Berndt, "Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic space forms", J. reine angew. Math., 419 (1991), 9-26.
- [2] S. Ishihara, "Quaternion Kählerian manifolds", J. Diff. Geom., 9 (1974), 483-500.
- [3] A. Martinez and J. D. Perez, "Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic projective space", Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 145 (1986), 355-384.
- [4] J. D. Perez, "Some conditions on real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space", Anal. Stiint. Univ. "Al.I.Cuza", 38 (1992), 103-110.

Departamento de Geometría y Topología Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de Granada 18071-Granada, SPAIN.