

# SUM THEOREMS FOR THE STRONG SMALL TRANSFINITE DIMENSION

By

Eduardo CUCHILLO-IBÁÑEZ and Juan TARRÉS

**Abstract.** We state some sum theorems for the strong small transfinite dimension in different classes of topological spaces.

## Introduction

P. Borst introduced in [1] the strong small transfinite dimension, *sind*. There, he stated:

**THEOREM** ([1], corollary of proposition IV.5). *Let  $X$  be a normal space which has a locally finite closed cover  $\{F_s\}_{s \in S}$  such that for each  $F_s$  it is  $\text{sind}(F_s) \neq \Delta$ . Then*

$$\text{sind}(X) \neq \Delta.$$

We could say that this is a locally finite sum *weak* theorem, because in it there is no relation between the strong small transfinite dimensions of the closed sets  $F_s$  and that of the whole space  $X$ . We'll connect them obtaining the locally finite sum theorem for *sind*, in its classical formulation, in the class of strongly hereditarily normal spaces. In addition, we establish an open sum theorem in the class of regular spaces.

## Preliminaries

We shall use the notation and definitions in [1], [3], [4] and [5]. For every ordinal  $\xi$  we have  $\xi = \lambda(\xi) + n(\xi)$  where  $\lambda(\xi)$  is a limit ordinal and  $n(\xi)$  is a finite ordinal. We take the extra symbol  $\Delta$ , satisfying  $\Delta > \xi$  and  $\Delta + \xi = \xi + \Delta = \Delta$  for each ordinal number  $\xi$ .

In order to define the strong small transfinite dimension (due to P. Borst, [1])

---

1991 A.M.S. Subject Classification: 54F45

Received March 7, 1994. Revised March 22, 1995.

First author has been supported by the DGICYT grant PB93-0454-C02-02

we define, for a subspace  $Y$  of a topological space  $X$ , the sets:

$$P_n(Y) = \cup\{U / U \text{ open in } Y \text{ and } \text{Ind}[C1_Y(U)] \leq n\}$$

where  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ , and  $A_0[Y] = Y$ . For every ordinal number  $\xi$  we obtain inductively:

$$A_\xi[Y] = Y - \cup_{\eta < \xi} P_\eta(Y) \text{ and } P_\xi(Y) = P_{n(\xi)}(A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y]).$$

We simplify by denoting  $A_\xi[X] = A_\xi$  and  $P_\xi(X) = P_\xi$  for every ordinal  $\xi$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $X$  be a topological space, then:

$$\text{ind}(X) = -1 \quad \text{iff } X = \emptyset$$

$$\text{ind}(X) \leq \xi \quad \text{iff } A_\xi = \emptyset$$

$\text{ind}(X) = \xi$  iff  $\text{ind}(X) \leq \xi$  and  $\text{ind}(X) < \xi$  does not hold  
in other case, we say that  $X$  has not  $\text{ind}$  or  $\text{ind}(X) = \Delta$

### Locally finite sum theorem

Recall that a topological space  $X$  is called *strongly hereditarily normal* (see [3], definition 2.1.2) if  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space and for every pair  $A, B$  of separated sets in  $X$  there exist open sets  $U, V \subset X$  such that  $A \subset U, B \subset V, U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $U$  and  $V$  can be represented as the union of a point-finite family of  $F_\sigma$ -sets in  $X$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $X$  be a strongly hereditarily normal space. If  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a locally finite closed cover of  $X$  such that for each  $i \in I$   $\text{ind}(C_i) \leq \xi$ , then

$$\text{ind}(X) \leq \xi.$$

PROOF. We'll obtain  $\text{ind}(X) \leq \xi$  by proving  $A_\xi = \emptyset$ , that is to say,  $X = \cup_{\eta < \xi} P_\eta$ . So, we'll see that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists an ordinal number  $\eta_0$ , with  $\eta_0 < \xi$ , such that  $x \in P_{\eta_0}$ .

Let's take a point  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $V$  be an open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  such that intersects to a finite number of elements of the closed cover  $\mathcal{C}: C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_n}$ . We'll show the existence of an ordinal  $\eta_0 < \xi$ , for which  $x_0 \in P_{\eta_0}$ , by induction on  $n$ , the number of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose intersection with  $V$  is not empty.

i. If  $n=1$ ,  $V$  only cuts  $C_{i_1}$ , whereupon

$$x_0 \in V \subset C_{i_1}.$$

As  $\text{ind}(C_{i_1}) < \xi$ ,  $A_\xi[C_{i_1}] = \emptyset$ . Since  $V$  is open in  $C_{i_1}$ , from the corollary of lemma 3 of [2] we obtain

$$A_\xi[V] = A_\xi[C_{i_1}] \cap V = \emptyset.$$

Anew due to the mentioned result,

$$\emptyset = A_\xi[V] = A_\xi \cap V.$$

Hence,  $x_0 \notin A_\xi = X - \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} P_\eta$ . Thus, there exists an ordinal  $\eta_0 < \xi$  such that  $x_0 \in P_{\eta_0}$ .

ii. When  $n=2$ ,  $V$  only cuts two members, say  $C_{i_1}$  and  $C_{i_2}$ , of the cover  $\mathcal{C}$ . We'll have  $V \subset C_{i_1} \cup C_{i_2}$  and suppose that

$$x_0 \in C_{i_1} \cap C_{i_2},$$

because if, for example,  $x_0 \in C_{i_1} - C_{i_2}$ , after considering the open neighbourhood of  $x_0$

$$W = V \cap (X - C_{i_2}),$$

we'll be situated in the section i. Hence, as

$$\text{ind}(C_{i_1}) \leq \xi \text{ and } \text{ind}(C_{i_2}) \leq \xi,$$

there exist ordinals  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \xi$  such that

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\alpha_2}(C_{i_2})$$

Let's define the ordinal number  $\rho = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} < \xi$ . We are going to prove, by transfinite induction on  $\rho$ , that

$$x_0 \in \bigcup_{\eta \leq \rho} P_\eta.$$

ii. 1. If  $\rho = 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$  too. In this case

$$x_0 \in P_0(C_{i_1}),$$

so there exists  $U_1$ , open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $C_{i_1}$ , such that

$$\text{Ind}[C_{i_1}(U_1)] = \text{Ind}[\overline{U_1}] \leq 0.$$

Analogously,  $x_0 \in P_0(C_{i_2})$  and there exists  $U_2$ , open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $C_{i_2}$ , such that

$$\text{Ind}[C_{i_2}(U_2)] = \text{Ind}[\overline{U_2}] \leq 0.$$

Take open subsets  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of  $X$  with

$$U_1 = W_1 \cap C_{i_1} \text{ and } U_2 = W_2 \cap C_{i_2}.$$

The sets

$$G_1 = W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V \cap C_{i_1}$$

and

$$G_2 = W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V \cap C_{i_2}$$

are open neighbourhoods of the point  $x_0$  in  $C_{i_1}$  and  $C_{i_2}$ , respectively, with

$$G_1 \subset U_1 \text{ and } G_2 \subset U_2.$$

Let's consider

$$\begin{aligned} G &= G_1 \cup G_2 = \\ &= (W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V \cap C_{i_1}) \cup (W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V \cap C_{i_2}) = \\ &= (W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V) \cap (C_{i_1} \cup C_{i_2}) = \\ &= W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V. \end{aligned}$$

$G$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $X$ , and

$$\overline{G} = \overline{G_1 \cup G_2} \subset \overline{U_1 \cup U_2} = \overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2}.$$

Since the large inductive dimension  $Ind$  satisfies the finite sum theorem for closed subsets in the class of strongly hereditarily normal spaces,

$$\begin{aligned} Ind[\overline{G}] &\leq Ind[\overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2}] \leq \\ &\leq \max \{Ind[\overline{U_1}], Ind[\overline{U_2}]\} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

whence we conclude that

$$x_0 \in P_0(X) = P_0.$$

ii. 2. Let's assume that the result is true for each ordinal  $\eta$  with  $\eta < \rho$  ( $\rho > 0$ ), that is to say, in the conditions of this theorem, let's admit the veracity of the following sentence:

"each point  $x \in X$  which possesses an open neighbourhood that only cuts two elements,  $C_{i_1}$  and  $C_{i_2}$ , of the closed cover  $\mathcal{C}$ , if it is

$$x_0 \in P_{\eta_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\eta_2}(C_{i_2})$$

with  $\eta = \max \{\eta_1, \eta_2\} < \rho$ , then

$$x_0 \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq \eta} P_\beta."$$

Now we'll see that this sentence is also true for the ordinal  $P_\beta$ . Since

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\alpha_2}(C_{i_2})$$

we have

$$x_0 \in A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)}[C_{i_1}] \cap A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)}[C_{i_2}].$$

As  $C_{i_1}$  and  $C_{i_2}$  are closed in  $X$ , from lemma 3 of [2] we have the following relations:

$$x_0 \in A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)}[C_{i_1}] \subset A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)} \cap C_{i_1}$$

and

$$x_0 \in A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)}[C_{i_2}] \subset A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)} \cap C_{i_2}.$$

Since  $\rho = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ ,  $\lambda(\rho) = \max\{\lambda(\alpha_1), \lambda(\alpha_2)\}$  and, clearly,

$$A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)} \cap A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)} = A_{\lambda(\rho)},$$

therefore

$$x_0 \in A_{\lambda(\rho)}.$$

Next we are going to prove the following relation,

$$A_{\lambda(\rho)} \cap V \subset A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \cup A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}]:$$

given  $y \in A_{\lambda(\rho)} \cap V \subset A_{\lambda(\rho)} \cap (C_{i_1} \cup C_{i_2})$ , we differentiate two cases:

ii. 2. I. If  $y \notin C_{i_1} \cap C_{i_2}$ , let's suppose that  $y \in C_{i_1} - C_{i_2}$ ,

$$y \in V \cap (X - C_{i_2})$$

which is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  in  $X$ . From the corollary of lemma 3 in [2],

$$A_{\lambda(\rho)}[V \cap (X - C_{i_2})] = A_{\lambda(\rho)} \cap V \cap (X - C_{i_2}).$$

Since

$$V \cap (X - C_{i_2}) \subset C_{i_1},$$

anew from the above-mentioned result,

$$A_{\lambda(\rho)}[V \cap (X - C_{i_2})] = A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \cap V \cap (X - C_{i_2}).$$

Finally, as

$$y \in A_{\lambda(\rho)} \cap V \cap (X - C_{i_2}),$$

we conclude that

$$y \in A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}].$$

ii. 2. II. If  $y \in C_{i_1} \cap C_{i_2}$ , assume that

$$y \notin A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \cup A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}],$$

to obtain, later on, a contradiction.

$$y \notin A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] = C_{i_1} - \bigcup_{\eta < \lambda(\rho)} P_{\eta}(C_{i_1}),$$

so there exists  $\eta_1 < \lambda(\rho)$  with  $y \in P_{\eta_1}(C_{i_1})$ .

$$y \notin A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}] = C_{i_2} - \bigcup_{\eta < \lambda(\rho)} P_{\eta}(C_{i_2}),$$

so there exists  $\eta_2 < \lambda(\rho)$  with  $y \in P_{\eta_2}(C_{i_2})$ . Thus

$$y \in P_{\eta_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\eta_2}(C_{i_2})$$

with  $\eta_1, \eta_2 < \lambda(\rho) \leq \rho$ . Call  $\eta_0 = \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ . It's obvious that  $\eta_0 < \rho$  and  $\lambda(\eta_0) < \lambda(\rho)$ .

$V$  is an open neighbourhood of the point  $y$  which only cuts two members,  $C_{i_1}$  and  $C_{i_2}$ , of the closed cover  $\mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, we have  $y \in P_{\eta_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\eta_2}(C_{i_2})$ , being  $\eta_0 = \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$  an ordinal number less than  $\rho$ . It follows, from the induction hypothesis, that

$$y \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq \eta_0} P_{\beta},$$

with  $\lambda(\eta_0) < \lambda(\rho)$ , so  $\eta_0 < \lambda(\rho)$ . However, the point  $y$  was such that

$$y \in A_{\lambda(\rho)} = X - \bigcup_{\eta < \lambda(\rho)} P_{\eta},$$

what is a contradiction. Then, we have just established the inclusion

$$A_{\lambda(\rho)} \cap V \subset A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \cup A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}].$$

We had

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\alpha_2}(C_{i_2}).$$

Detaching this intersection,

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_1}(C_{i_1}) = P_{n(\alpha_1)}(A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)}[C_{i_1}]),$$

so there exists  $U_1$ , open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)}[C_{i_1}]$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}[C^{\ell}_{A_{\lambda(\alpha_1)}[C_{i_1}]}(U_1)] &= \text{Ind}[C^{\ell}_{C_{i_1}}(U_1)] = \\ &= \text{Ind}[\bar{U}_1] \leq n(\alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_2}(C_{i_2}) = P_{n(\alpha_2)}(A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)}[C_{i_2}]),$$

so there exists  $U_2$ , open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)}[C_{i_2}]$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}[C_{A_{\lambda(\alpha_2)}[C_{i_2}]}(U_2)] &= \text{Ind}[C_{1_{C_{i_2}}}(U_2)] = \\ &= \text{Ind}[\overline{U_2}] \leq n(\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let's analyze the two possible options:

First.  $\lambda(\alpha_1) = \lambda(\alpha_2)$ . Here,  $\lambda(\rho) = \lambda(\alpha_1) = \lambda(\alpha_2)$ .

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be open subsets of  $X$  with

$$U_1 = W_1 \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \text{ and } U_2 = W_2 \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}].$$

An open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $A_{\lambda(\rho)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} G &= W_1 \cap W_2 \cap V \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)} \subset \\ &\subset W_1 \cap W_2 \cap (A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \cup A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}]) = \\ &= (W_1 \cap W_2 \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}]) \cup (W_1 \cap W_2 \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}]) \subset \\ &\subset U_1 \cup U_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let's observe that

$$C_{1_{A_{\lambda(\rho)}}}(G) = \overline{G} \subset \overline{U_1 \cup U_2} = \overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2}.$$

From the closed subspace theorem and the finite sum theorem for closed subsets, which are satisfied by the large inductive dimension  $Ind$  in the class of strongly hereditarily normal spaces,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}[\overline{G}] &\leq \text{Ind}[\overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2}] \leq \\ &\leq \max\{\text{Ind}[\overline{U_1}], \text{Ind}[\overline{U_2}]\} \leq \max\{n(\alpha_1), n(\alpha_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are in the case  $\lambda(\alpha_1) = \lambda(\alpha_2)$  and  $\rho = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ , it follows that  $n(\rho) = \max\{n(\alpha_1), n(\alpha_2)\}$  and

$$\text{Ind}[\overline{G}] \leq n(\rho).$$

That is how

$$x_0 \in P_{n(\rho)}(A_{\lambda(\rho)}) = P(\rho).$$

Second.  $\lambda(\alpha_1) \neq \lambda(\alpha_2)$ . Let's suppose, for example,  $\lambda(\alpha_1) > \lambda(\alpha_2)$ . So  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  and  $\rho = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \alpha_1$ . As

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_1}(C_{i_1}) \cap P_{\alpha_2}(C_{i_2}),$$

particularly,

$$x_0 \in P_{\alpha_2}(C_{i_2}).$$

Being  $\alpha_2 < \lambda(\rho)$ ,  $x_0 \notin A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}]$ , closed subset in  $C_{i_2}$ , so in  $X$ . Let  $W$  be an open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that

$$W \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}] = \emptyset.$$

Take  $W_1$ , open in  $X$ , with

$$U_1 = W_1 \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}].$$

An open neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $A_{\lambda(\rho)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} G &= W_1 \cap W \cap V \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)} \subset \\ &\subset W_1 \cap W \cap (A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}] \cup A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}]) = \\ &= (W_1 \cap W \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}]) \cup (W_1 \cap W \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_2}]) = \\ &= (W_1 \cap W \cap A_{\lambda(\rho)}[C_{i_1}]) = \\ &= W \cap U_1 \subset U_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$Cl_{A_{\lambda(\rho)}}(G) = \overline{G} \subset \overline{U_1},$$

from the closed subspace theorem for *Ind*,

$$\text{Ind}[\overline{G}] \leq \text{Ind}[\overline{U_1}] \leq n(\alpha_1) = n(\rho),$$

whereupon

$$x_0 \in P_{n(\rho)}(A_{\lambda(\rho)}) = P_\rho.$$

iii. Let's suppose that the result is truthful for either natural number  $m$ , with  $m \leq n$  and  $n \geq 2$ , that is to say, let's admit the veracity of the following sentence: "if  $X$  is a strongly hereditarily normal space and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cover, locally finite, constituted by closed subsets whose dimension *sind* is non higher than the ordinal  $\xi$ , for each point  $x \in X$  owner of an open neighbourhood that cuts at most  $n$  elements of the family  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists an ordinal number  $\eta_0$ , with  $\eta_0 < \xi$ , such that

$$x \in P_{\eta_0}."$$

Let's check it for  $n+1$ :



Let  $x_0$  be a point of  $X$  which has an open neighbourhood  $V$  that cuts  $n+1$  members of the closed cover  $\mathcal{C}, C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_{n+1}}$ . The subset  $F = C_{i_1} \cup C_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{i_n}$  is closed in  $X$ , thus  $F$ , with the topology induced by  $X$ , is a strongly hereditarily normal space. It's clear that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_n}\}$$

is a closed cover of  $F$  formed by  $n$  closed subsets whose dimension *sind* is less or equal to  $\xi$ . From the induction hypothesis,

$$\text{sind}(F) \leq \xi.$$

Now consider the closed cover of  $X$

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{F\} \cup \{C_i : i \in I - \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}\}.$$

This is a locally finite closed cover whose elements have strong small transfinite dimension non higher to the ordinal  $\xi$ . The chosen point  $x_0$  has an open neighbourhood  $V$  that only cuts two closed subsets of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $F$  and  $C_{i_{n+1}}$ . By the induction hypothesis we obtain the existence of an ordinal number  $\eta_0$ , with  $\eta_0 < \xi$ , such that

$$x_0 \in P_{\eta_0}$$

and it concludes the proof. ■

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $X$  be a strongly hereditarily normal space. If  $X = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$ , with  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\text{sind}(C_i) \leq \xi$ , for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then*

$$\text{sind}(X) \leq \xi.$$

Let's point out that theorem 1 is not verified by the class of normal spaces. In fact, A. R. Pears constructs in the example 4.3.4 of [5] a compact and normal space  $S$  such that

$$\text{locInd}[S] = 2,$$

hence

$$\text{sind}(S) = 3.$$

This space  $S$  admits a decomposition  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ , where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed subsets of  $S$  with

$$\text{locInd}[S_1] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{locInd}[S_2] = 1,$$

that is to say

$$\text{ind}[S_1] = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ind}[S_2] = 2 ,$$

whereupon we confirm the assertion.

### Open sum theorem

In order to establish the open sum theorem for the strong small transfinite dimension, let's prove the next lemma:

LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a regular space. If  $Y$  is an open subspace of  $X$ , for either ordinal number  $\xi$ :*

$$a) \bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi} P_\eta(Y) = \bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi} P_\eta \cap Y.$$

$$b) A_\xi[Y] = A_\xi \cap Y.$$

PROOF. a) It's easy to see that lemma 2 of [2] is true for regular spaces; so we have, for each ordinal  $\xi$ ,

$$\bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi} P_\eta(Y) \subset \bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi} P_\eta \cap Y.$$

Let's see the other inclusion by transfinite induction on the ordinal number  $\xi$ .

i. For  $\xi = 0$ , take  $x \in P_0 \cap Y$ . There exists  $U$ , open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ , such that  $\text{Ind}[\bar{U}] \leq 0$ .  $U \cap Y$  is another open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ , regular space, and hence there exists  $V$ , open in  $X$ , such that

$$x \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset U \cap Y.$$

In this way,  $\bar{V} \subset Y$ ,  $C_{1_Y}(V) = \bar{V}$  and  $V \subset \bar{V} \subset U \subset \bar{U}$ . From the closed subspace theorem for  $\text{Ind}$ ,

$$\text{Ind}[\bar{V}] \leq \text{Ind}[\bar{U}] \leq 0.$$

Consequently,  $x \in P_0(Y)$ .

ii. Let's suppose that for each ordinal  $\beta$ , with  $\beta < \xi$ , it is

$$\bigcup_{\eta \leq \beta} P_\eta \cap Y \subset \bigcup_{\eta \leq \beta} P_\eta(Y).$$

From the initial observation of this proof we obtain that

$$\bigcup_{\eta \leq \beta} P_\eta \cap Y = \bigcup_{\eta \leq \beta} P_\eta(Y),$$

for either ordinal  $\beta$ ,  $\beta < \xi$ . Therefore, for each pair of ordinal numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ , with  $\beta < \alpha \leq \xi$ ,

$$\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} [\bigcup_{\eta \leq \beta} P_\eta \cap Y] = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} [\bigcup_{\eta \leq \beta} P_\eta(Y)],$$

that is,

$$\bigcup_{\eta < \alpha} P_\eta \cap Y = \bigcup_{\eta < \alpha} P_\eta(Y)$$

for each ordinal  $\alpha \leq \xi$ . Taking complementaries in  $Y$ ,

$$A_\alpha[Y] = A_\alpha \cap Y$$

for  $\alpha \leq \xi$ .

Clearly, it suffices to prove that

$$P_\xi \cap Y \subset \bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi} P_\eta(Y).$$

Let's admit  $P_\xi \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and take a point

$$x \in P_\xi \cap Y = P_{n(\xi)}(A_{\lambda(\xi)}) \cap Y.$$

On the one hand,  $x \in Y$ ; on the other there exists  $U$ , open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}$ , such that

$$\text{Ind} [C\ell_{A_{\lambda(\xi)}}(U)] = \text{Ind} [\bar{U}] \leq n(\xi).$$

Since  $\lambda(\xi) \leq \xi$ ,  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y] = A_{\lambda(\xi)} \cap Y$ . As well as  $Y$  is open in  $X$ ,  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y]$  is an open subset of  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}$  which contains the point  $x$ . Through the regularity of  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}$ , there exists  $V$ , open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}$ , such that

$$x \in V \subset C\ell_{A_{\lambda(\xi)}}(V) = \bar{V} \subset A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y] \cap U.$$

In this manner,

$$V \subset \bar{V} \subset A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y],$$

$$C\ell_{A_{\lambda(\xi)}}[Y](V) = \bar{V}$$

and

$$x \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset U \subset \bar{U}.$$

Applying the closed subspace theorem for  $Ind$ ,

$$Ind[\bar{V}] \leq Ind[\bar{U}] \leq n(\xi).$$

From this, and bearing in mind that  $V$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y]$  because it is in  $A_{\lambda(\xi)}$  and  $V \subset \bar{V} \subset A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y] \subset A_{\lambda(\xi)}$ , we obtain

$$x \in P_{n(\xi)}(A_{\lambda(\xi)}[Y]) = P_{\xi}(Y) \subset \bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi} P_{\eta}(Y).$$

b) It follows from a). ■

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a regular space. If  $X$  admits an open cover  $\mathfrak{R} = \{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  such that  $sind(G_i) \leq \xi$  for each  $i \in I$ ,*

$$sind(X) \leq \xi.$$

**PROOF.** We'll show that  $A_{\xi} = \phi$ :

for either  $i \in I, A_{\xi}[G_i] = \phi$ , because of  $sind(G_i) \leq \xi$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a cover of  $X$ ,

$$A_{\xi} = A_{\xi} \cap \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} (A_{\xi} \cap G_i).$$

From the previous lemma,

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (A_{\xi} \cap G_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_{\xi}[G_i] = \bigcup_{i \in I} \phi = \phi. \blacksquare$$

This result about the open sum permits us to know a characterization of the existence of the strong small transfinite dimension  $sind$  in the class of regular spaces:

**COROLLARY.** *For a regular space  $X$  the next sentences are equivalent:*

- a)  $sind(X) \leq \xi$ .
- b) each point  $x \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $V$  such that  $sind(V) \leq \xi$ .
- c) each point  $x \in X$  has a neighbourhood  $V$  such that  $sind(V) \leq \xi$ .

## References

- [1] P. Borst: "Infinite-dimension theory (Part II)", manuscript (1981).
- [2] E. Cuchillo-Ibáñez: "A relation between the strong small transfinite dimension and the D-dimension", Q. & A. Gen. Top. **9** (1991) 81–88.
- [3] R. Engelking: "Dimension Theory". North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1978.
- [4] R. Engelking: "Transfinite dimension", Surveys in General Topology, Academic Press (1980) 131–161.
- [5] A. R. Pears: "Dimension Theory of General Spaces", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1975.

Juan Tarrés  
Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas  
Dep. Geometría y Topología  
Ciudad Universitaria  
28040 Madrid, Spain

Eduardo Cuchillo-Ibáñez  
E.T.S. Ingenieros de Montes  
U.D. Matemáticas  
Ciudad Universitaria  
28040 Madrid, Spain