NOTES ON M-SEMIGROUPS

Ву

Kôjirô SATÔ

Introduction.

Let S be a torsion-free cancellative commutative (additive) semigroup $\supseteq \{0\}$. Let G be the quotient group of S. We assume $G \not= S$. For each subset A of G, we set $A^{-1} = \{x \in G : x + A \subset S\}$ and $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A^v$. If $A^v = A$ for an ideal A of S, then A is called a v-ideal of S. If S satisfies the ascending chain condition for v-ideals, then S is called a Mori-semigroup. If S is a Mori-semigroup and and if each ideal of S generated by two elements is a v-ideal, then S is called an M-semigroup ([2]). If each ideal of S is a v-ideal, then S is called a reflexive semigroup. The maximal number n such that there exists a chain $P_1 \supseteq P_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq P_n$ of prime ideals of S is denoted by dim S. If dim $S \ge 1$, then S has a unique maximal ideal.

In this paper we study a semigroup version of a result ([1, Théorème 3]) of Querre. Our result is the following.

MAIN THEOREM. Let S be a Mori-semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) dim S=1 and M^{-1} is generated by two elements for the maximal ideal M of S.
 - (2) S is a reflexive semigroup.
 - (3) Each ideal of S generated by two elements is a v-ideal.

In [4] it is shown that the conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent and (2) implies (1). Therefore it is sufficient for us to show that (1) implies (2).

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor R. Matsuda for valuable discussions.

1. Notations and Preliminaries.

Let Z be the set of integers and let N be the set of natural numbers. If for $v \in M$ and $u \in S$, there exists $n \in N$ such that $nv \in (u)$, S is called a weakly Received June 13, 1989. Revised October 6, 1989. Revised December 14, 1989.

archimedean semigroup.

PROPOSITION 1.1. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $\dim S=1$.
- (2) S is a weakly archimedean semigroup.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let u and v be elements of M. We set $V = \{nv : n \in N\}$. Then it is sufficient for us to show that $V \cap (u) \neq \phi$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $V \cap (u) = \phi$. Let F be the set of ideals of S such that do not intersect with V. Then F is not empty and contains a maximal element P. It can be shown that P is a prime ideal. This is a contradiction. Suppose P is not prime. Let x and y be elements of S such that $x \notin P$, $y \notin P$ and $x + y \in P$. Then we have

$$x+s=lv$$
, $y+t=mv$

for some elements $s, t \in S$ and for some positive integers l, m. Hence we get

$$x+y+s+t=(l+m)v\in P\cap V$$
.

This is a contradiction.

The implication $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ is obvious.

q. e. d.

In the remainder of this paper we assume S is a weakly archimedean semigroup. For $d\!\in\! M$ we set

$$D = \mathbf{Z}d + H$$
, $G/D = \Gamma$,

where H is the unit group of S. We assume $\Gamma \neq \{\varepsilon\}$, where ε is the zero element of Γ . For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the coset of γ is denoted by D_{γ} . For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we choose $x_{\gamma} \in D_{\gamma}$ and set

$$p_r = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : nd + x_r \in S\}, \quad s_r = p_r d + x_r.$$

Let β and γ be elements of Γ . Then there exist $h(\beta, \gamma) \in H$ and $I(\beta, \gamma) (\geq 0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ uniquely such that

$$s_{\beta} + s_{\gamma} = h(\beta, \gamma) + I(\beta, \gamma)d + s_{\beta+\gamma}$$
.

Then we obtain

Proposition 1.2. [4, Proposition 5]

- (1) $I(\beta, \gamma) = I(\gamma, \beta)$ for each $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (2) $I(\alpha, \beta) + I(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) = I(\alpha, \beta + \gamma) + I(\beta, \gamma)$ for each $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (3) $I(\varepsilon, \gamma)=0$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (4) $I(\beta, \gamma) \leq I(\beta, -\beta)$ for each $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

- (5) If $\gamma \neq \varepsilon$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I(n\gamma, \gamma) > 0$.
- (6) If $\gamma = \varepsilon$, then $I(-\gamma, \gamma) > 0$.

Let A be an ideal of S and set $V = \{z \in G : A \subset (z)\}$. Then we have $A^v = \bigcap_{z \in V} (z)$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we set

$$p_r(A) = \min\{n \in \mathbf{Z} : nd + x_r \in A\},$$

 $t_r(A) = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} : A \subset (nd - x_r)\}.$

Then we have the following $([4, \S 1])$:

$$\begin{split} A^v &= \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (t_\gamma(A)d - x_\gamma)\,, \\ t_\gamma(A) &= \min \left\{ p_\beta(A) + I(\beta, \gamma) - p_\beta - p_\gamma \colon \beta \in \Gamma \right\}\,, \\ p_\gamma(A^v) &= \max \left\{ t_\beta(A) + p_\beta + p_\gamma - I(\beta, \gamma) \colon \beta \in \Gamma \right\}\,. \end{split}$$

If $p_r(A^v) \ge p_r(A)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $A = A^v$ holds.

PROPOSITION 1.3. ([4, Proposition 9]). Let A be an ideal of S. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is a v-ideal.
- (2) For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists $\gamma^* \in \Gamma$ such that

$$p_r(A) \le p_r + p_\beta(A) + I(\beta, \gamma^*) - I(\gamma, \gamma^*) - p_\beta$$

for each $\beta \in \Gamma$.

For each $\theta \in \Gamma$ we set

$$N(\theta) = \{ \beta \in \Gamma : I(\theta, \beta) = 0, \beta \neq \varepsilon \}$$
.

Let M be the unique maximal ideal of S. Then we get

$$p_{\varepsilon}(M) = p_{\varepsilon} + 1$$
, $p_{\tau}(M) = p_{\tau} \quad (\gamma \neq \varepsilon)$

and

$$t_{r}(M) = \begin{cases} 1 - p_{r} & \text{(if } N(r) = \phi) \\ -p_{r} & \text{(if } N(r) \neq \phi) \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{split} & p_{\varepsilon}(M^{v}) = \max\{t_{\beta}(M) + p_{\beta} : \beta \in \Gamma\} + p_{\varepsilon}(M) - 1, \\ & p_{\gamma}(M^{v}) = \max\{t_{\beta}(M) + p_{\beta} - I(\beta, \gamma) : \beta \in \Gamma\} + p_{\gamma}(M) \quad (\gamma \neq \varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Since $t_{\varepsilon}(M) + p_{\varepsilon} - I(\varepsilon, \gamma) = 0$, we have

$$p_r(M^v) \ge p_r(M) \quad (\gamma \ne \varepsilon).$$

Then we get the following.

PROPOSITION 1.4. The following are equivalent:

- (1) M is a v-ideal.
- (2) There exists $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that $N(\theta) = \phi$.
- (3) $M^{-1} \supseteq S$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Since $p_{\varepsilon}(M^v)=p_{\varepsilon}(M)$, (2) holds. (2) \Rightarrow (3): If $N(\theta)=\phi$, we get $-d+s_{\theta}\in M^{-1}-S$. (3) \Rightarrow (1): There exists $\theta\in\Gamma$ such that $-d+s_{\theta}\in M^{-1}$ and hence $N(\theta)=\phi$. Thus we obtain $p_{\varepsilon}(M^v)=p_{\varepsilon}(M)$ and hence $M^v=M$.

q. e. d.

PROPOSITION 1.5. If there exists $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that $N(\theta) = \phi$ and $I(\gamma, \theta - \gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then S is a reflexive semigroup.

PROOF. We set $\gamma^* = \theta - \gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\beta + \gamma^* = (\gamma - \beta)^*$, we obtain $I(\gamma - \beta, \beta) = I(\gamma - \beta, \beta) + I(\gamma, \gamma^*) = I(\gamma - \beta, \beta + \gamma^*) + I(\beta, \gamma^*) = I(\beta, \gamma^*)$.

Let A be an ideal of S. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\{p_{\gamma} + p_{\beta}(A) + I(\beta, \gamma^*) - p_{\beta}\}d + x_{\gamma} \\ &= a_{\beta} + \{I(\beta, \gamma^*) - I(\gamma - \beta, \beta)\}d + s_{\gamma - \beta} - h(\gamma - \beta, \beta) \\ &= a_{\beta} + s_{\gamma - \beta} - h(\gamma - \beta, \beta) \subseteq A \end{aligned}$$

for each $\beta \in \Gamma$, where we set $p_{\beta}(A)d + x_{\beta} = a_{\beta} \in A$. Therefore we obtain

$$p_r(A) \leq p_r + p_s(A) + I(\beta, \gamma^*) - I(\gamma, \gamma^*) - p_s$$

for each $\beta \in \Gamma$. By Proposition 1.3, $A^v = A$.

q. e. d.

2. Proof of the Main Theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let θ be an element of Γ such that $N(\theta) = \phi$ and $S \subset (-d+s_{\theta})$. Then,

- (1) $I(\theta, -\theta)=1$.
- (2) $I(\theta, \gamma)=1$ for each $\gamma(\neq \varepsilon) \in \Gamma$.
- (3) $I(-\theta, \gamma)=0$ for each $\gamma(\neq \theta)\in \Gamma$.
- (4) If $N(\eta) = \phi$, then $\eta = \theta$.

PROOF. (1): Since $0 \in (-d+s_{\theta})$ and

$$-d+s_{\theta}+s_{-\theta}=\{I(\theta,-\theta)-1\}d+s_{\varepsilon}+h(\theta,-\theta)\in H,$$

(1) holds. (2): By Proposition 1.2(4), we get (2). (3): Since we have

$$I(\theta, -\theta) + I(\varepsilon, \gamma) = I(\theta, \gamma - \theta) + I(-\theta, \gamma)$$

(3) holds. (4): It is obvious.

q. e. d.

q. e. d.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Assume that $M^{-1} \supseteq S$ and M^{-1} is generated by two elements. Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) There exists uniquely $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that $N(\theta) = \phi$.
- (2) $M^{-1}=(0, -d+s_{\theta}).$

PROOF. By Proposition 1.4, there exists $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that $N(\theta) = \phi$. Suppose that $N(\eta) = \phi$ for some $\eta(\neq \theta) \in \Gamma$. Since

$$M^{-1}-S = \{-d+s_{\xi}+h: N(\xi)=\phi, h \in H\},\$$

 $-d+s_{\theta} \in (-d+s_{\eta}), \quad -d+s_{\eta} \in (-d+s_{\theta}),$

and M^{-1} is generated by two elements, we obtain

$$M^{-1} = (-d + s_{\theta}, -d + s_{\eta})$$

and hence

$$0 \in (-d+s_{\theta}, -d+s_{\eta}) = (-d+s_{\theta}) \cup (-d+s_{\eta}).$$

If $0 \in (-d+s_{\theta})$, then $S \subset (-d+s_{\theta})$ and $\theta = \eta$ by Proposition 2.1. This is a contradiction. Similarly, if $0 \in (-d+s_{\eta})$, then $\eta = \theta$. q. e. d.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let S be a Mori-semigroup and let u be an element of M. Then,

- (1) There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nM (=M+M+\cdots+M) \subset (u)$.
- (2) M is a v-ideal.

PROOF. (1): Let F be the set of all finitely generated ideals of S contained in M and set $F^v = \{A^v : A \in F\}$. There exists a maximal element A^v in F^v , where $A \in F$. Then $A^v = M^v$ holds. Since A is finitely generated, there exists $n \in N$ such that $nA \subset (u)$. Thus we get

$$(nA)^v = (nA^v)^v = (nM^v)^v \subset (u)$$

and hence $nM \subset (u)$.

(2): If $M^v = S$, then $S \subset (u)$. This is a contradiction.

COROLLARY. Let S be a Mori-semigroup. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following property: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be arbitrary non-zero elements of Γ . Then we have

$$I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)+I(\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_3)+\cdots+I(\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n)>0$$
.

PROOF. By Proposition 2.3 there exists $n \in N$ such that $nM \subset (d)$. Since $s_{\alpha_i} \in M$ $(i=1, \dots, n)$, we obtain

$$s_{\alpha_1} + s_{\alpha_2} + \dots + s_{\alpha_n} \in nM \subset (d)$$
. q. e. d

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be elements of Γ . The system $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is said to be O-system if it satisfies the following condition:

Let K and J be non-empty subsets of $\{1,\,2,\,\cdots\,,\,n\}$ such that $K\cap J\!=\!\phi$ and set

$$\alpha_K = \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k$$
, $\alpha_J = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j$.

Then $I(\alpha_K, \alpha_J) = 0$.

Furthermore, if $\alpha_K \neq \varepsilon$ for each subset $K \neq \phi$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is called a regular O-system.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ be an O-system and let α_{n+1} be an element of Γ such that $I(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) = 0$. Then $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}]$ is an O-system.

PROOF. Let $J \neq \phi$ be a proper subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$I(\alpha_{J^c}, \alpha_J) + I(\alpha_{J^c} + \alpha_J, \alpha_{n+1}) = I(\alpha_{J^c}, \alpha_J + \alpha_{n+1}) + I(\alpha_J, \alpha_{n+1}) = 0,$$

where $J^c = \{1, \dots, n\} - J$. Therefore we obtain

$$I(\alpha_{Jc}, \alpha_{J} + \alpha_{n+1}) = 0$$
, $I(\alpha_{J}, \alpha_{n+1}) = 0$.

Next, consider a proper subset $K \neq \phi$ of J^c . Then

$$I(\alpha_{J^{c-K}}, \alpha_K) + I(\alpha_{J^c}, \alpha_J + \alpha_{n+1}) = I(\alpha_{J^{c-K}}, \alpha_K + \alpha_J + \alpha_{n+1}) + I(\alpha_K, \alpha_J + \alpha_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Thus we get $I(\alpha_K, \alpha_J + \alpha_{n+1}) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ be a regular O-system and let α_{n+1} be a non-zero element of Γ such that $I(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) = 0$. Then $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}]$ is a regular O-system.

PROOF. By Proposition 2.4, $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}]$ is an O-system. Let K be a non-empty subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and set $K^c = \{1, \dots, n\} - K$. Then we have

$$I(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, -\alpha_K) + I(\alpha_{K^c}, \alpha_K) = I(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \varepsilon) + I(-\alpha_K, \alpha_K)$$

and hence

$$I(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, -\alpha_K) = I(\alpha_K, -\alpha_K) > 0.$$

Consequently, $\alpha_K + \alpha_{n+1} \neq \varepsilon$.

Proposition 2.6. Let S be a Mori-semigroup and assume that M^{-1} is generated by two elements. Then S is a reflexive semigroup.

PROOF. By Proposition 2.2 and 2.3 there exists uniquely $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that $N(\theta) = \phi$. By Proposition 1.5 it is sufficient for us to show that $I(\alpha, \theta - \alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let α be an element of Γ such that $\alpha \neq 0$, ε . Since $N(\alpha) \neq \phi$, there exists $\alpha_2(\neq \varepsilon) \in \Gamma$ such that $I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$, where we set $\alpha_1 = \alpha$. By Proposition 2.5, $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ is a regular O-system. If $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \theta$, then we get

$$I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = I(\alpha, \theta - \alpha) = 0$$
.

If $\alpha_1+\alpha_2\neq\theta$, then there exists $\alpha_3\neq\varepsilon$ such that $I(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\alpha_3)=0$. By Proposition 2.5, $[\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3]$ is a regular O-system. By the corollary of Proposition 2.3 there exists a regular O-system $[\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_m]$ $(m\leq n)$ such that $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_m=\theta$, where n is as in the Corollary. Consequently, we have

$$I(\alpha, \theta - \alpha) = I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_m) = 0.$$
 q. e. d.

Thus the Main Theorem has been proved.

References

- [1] Querre, J., Sur les anneaux réflexifs, Can. J. Math., 27 (1975), 1222-1228.
- [2] Matsuda, R., Torsion-free abelian semigroup rings VI, Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ. 18 (1986), 23-43.
- [3] Satô, K., Notes on semigroup rings as M-rings, Memoirs of the Tohoku Institute of Technology, Ser. I, 7 (1987), 1-9.
- [4] Satô, K. and Matsuda, R., On M-semigroups, Memoirs of the Tohoku Institute of Technology, Ser. I, 8 (1988), 1-7.

Kôjirô Satô Tohoku Institute of Technology Sendai 982, Japan