SPECIAL ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF KÄHLER ALGEBRAS

By

J. DORFMEISTER

Homogeneous Kähler manifolds M are frequently investigated via Kähler algebras (g, k, j, ρ) , where g denotes a Lie algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms of M and k the isotropy subalgebra of some point in M. Moreover, \dot{i} corresponds to the complex structure tensor and ρ to the Kähler form. In particular, Kähler algebras have been used intensively in the proof of the geometric Fundamental Conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds: Every homogeneous Kähler manifold is a holomorphic fiber bundle over a homogeneous bounded domain in which the fiber is (with the induced ^K\"ahler metric) the product of a flat homogeneous Kähler manifold and a compact simply connected homogeneous Kähler manifold.

Two additional properties of Kähler algebras have proven to be particularly useful. One is that g or ad g is an algebraic Lie algebra. The second one is the assumption that ρ is the differential of a leftinvariant 1-form, $\rho=d\omega$. This is the case of "j-algebras". It has been investigated intensively by Gindikin, Piatetskii-Shapiro, Vinberg and others. The proof of the Fundamental Conjecture for homogenous Kähler manifolds is much shorter for j -algebras than for general Kähler algebras. This is due to some extent to the fact that one can embed a *j*-algebra into an algebraic *j*-algebra.

The purpose of this note is threefold. First we want to prove that for the Lie algebra g_{M} of all infinitesimal automorphisms of an arbitrary homogeneous Kähler manifold M, the Lie algebra ad g_{M} is algebraic. Secondly, we decompose g_{M} into the orthogonal sum of j-invariant subalgebras. This decomposition will be of importance for a forthcoming publication in which we give a detailed description of k_{M} and the Kähler form ρ . The orthogonal decomposition in question has a simple geometric interpretation. It is essentially induced by a representation of the base domain (occuring in the Fundamental Conjecture) as a Siegel domain of type three.

Received June 24, 1988. Revised July 12, 1989.

316 J. D0RFMEISTER

The first step in the orthogonal decomposition mentioned above is a generalization of [7; Theorem 2.5]. We show $g_{M}=a_{M}+h_{M}$, where a_{M} is an abelian j-invariant ideal of g_{M} and h_{M} is a j-invariant subalgebra such that $k_{M}\subset h_{M}$ and h_{M} carries the structure of a j-algebra. More precisely, there exists some linear form ω on h_{M} such that $(h_{M}, k_{M}, j, d\omega)$ is a j-algebra. The third goal of this paper is to show that one can choose actually ω so that $\rho|h_{M}=d\omega$ holds. This has several geometric consequences.

\S 1. Basic Definitions and Reductions.

1.1. Let g be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over R , k a subalgebra of g, j a linear endomorphism of g and $\rho: g\times g\rightarrow R$ a skew form. Then (g, k, j, ρ) is called a Kähler algebra if

$$
jk \subset k, \quad j^2 x = -x \pmod{k} \qquad \text{for all } x \in g \tag{1.1.1}
$$

$$
[k, jx]=j[k, x] \qquad \text{for all } k \in \mathbf{k}, x \in \mathbf{g}, \tag{1.1.2}
$$

$$
[jx, jy] = j[jx, y] + j[x, jy] + [x, y] \pmod{k} \text{ for all } x, y \in g, \quad (1.1.3)
$$

$$
\rho(k, g)=0\,,\tag{1.1.4}
$$

$$
\rho(jx, jy) = \rho(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbf{g}, \tag{1.1.5}
$$

$$
\rho(jx, x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{g}, \ x \notin \mathbf{k} \tag{1.1.6}
$$

$$
\rho([x, y], z) + \rho([y, z], x) + \rho([z, x], y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathbf{g}. \quad (1.1.7)
$$

It was shown in $[7;$ Proposition 1.1] that each Kähler algebra corresponds in a natural way to a transitive group of automorphisms of a Kähler manifold.

Since we are only interested in effectively acting groups, we can—and will –assume

k does not contain any ideal of g . (1.1.8)

Such Kähler algebras will be called *effective*.

Moreover, since the isotropy subgroup of the group of all automorphisms of a homogeneous Kähler manifold is compact, we can —and will—assume

> k is the Lie algebra of a compact Lie subgroup $K\subset G$. $(1.1.9)$

where
$$
g
$$
=Lie G .

We will call such subalgebras briefly *compact*.

1.2. If $\rho(x, y) = \omega([x, y])$ for some linear form $\omega: g\rightarrow R$, then (g, k, j, ω) is called a j-algebra.

By abuse of language we also say (g, k, j) is a j-algebra, if there exists some ω such that (g, k, j, ω) is a j-algebra.

1.3. Let (g, k, j, ρ) be a Kähler algebra. Let $j:g\rightarrow g$ be a linear map satisfying $jx=j^{\prime}x \pmod{k}$, then (g, k, j', ρ) is also a Kähler algebra (associated with the same manifold as (g, k, j, ρ) . Replacing j by j' is called an *inessential change* of *j*. We will not distinguish between (g, k, j, ρ) and (g, k, j', ρ) .

1.4. For a homogeneous Kähler manifold M we denote by Aut (M) the group of holomorphic isometries of M. We also set $g_{\mathcal{M}}=$ Lie Aut (M) and denote by k_{M} the isotropy subalgebra of g_{M} associated with some fixed base point O_{M} in M .

We recall from [7; 2.1] that a Kähler algebra (g, k, j, ρ) is called quasinormal if ad x has only real eigenvalues for all $x\in rad(g)$. It was shown in [7; Thorem 2.1] that to each homogeneous Kähler manifold there exists a quasinormal Kähler subalgebra. Analysing the proof of $[7]$; Theorem 2.1] as given in [7; 2.4] one notices that one can even find a quasi-normal subalgebra $(g, \, k, \, j, \, \rho)$ of $(g_{M}, \, k_{M}, \, j, \, \rho)$ such that $k_{M}=k+k^{\prime}$, where $k^{\prime}\mathsf{Crad}(g_{M})$. Then rad $(g_{M})=rad(g)+k^{\prime}$ holds. Now let $\pi:M\rightarrow D$ be the locally trivial fibration of the Fundamental Conjecture. Since $\mathrm{Aut}\,(M)$ preserves the fibers of π , the space $a+u+k^{\prime}$ is a j-invariant subalgebra of $g_{M}=g+k^{\prime}$ (here a and u are as in [7; Theorem 2.5]). But since $a+k^{\prime}\text{grad}(g_{M})$ we even know that $a+k^{\prime}$ is a jinvariant solvable subalgebra. Now it is easy to show $[\bm a, \bm k^{\prime}]\mathsf{\subset}\bm a$. Therefore the orthogonal complement $h+k^{\prime}$ of α is a j-invariant subalgebra of g_{M} .

Thus we have shown

THEOREM. Let M be a homogeneous Kähler manifold and (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) the corresponding Kähler algebra. Then g_{M} is decomposed as

, where $a_{M}\wedge h_{M}=0$ **and** $\rho(a_{M}, h_{M})=0$ **,**

where $k_{M}\subset h_{M}$ and a_{M} is an abelian Kähler ideal of g_{M} and (h_{M}, k_{M}, j) is a jalgebra. Moreover, there exists some reduclive Kähler subalgebra \mathbf{u}_{M} of \mathbf{h}_{M} satisfying a) and b) of $[7;$ Theorem 2.5].

Finally, if (g, k, j, ρ) is a quasi-normal subalgebra of (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) and $g=$ \$a+h\$ the corresponding decomposition of [7; Theorem 2.5], then \$a_{M}=a\$ and \$h_{M}=\$ $h+k_{M}\cap$ rad (g_{M}) .

1.5. In view of Theorem 1.4. we restrict our attention from now on to

Kähler algebras (g, k, j, ρ) for which (g, k, j) is a j-algebra (except where explicitely stated otherwise).

We keep also the assumptions $(1.1.8)$ and $(1.1.9)$ intact.

From [\[10\]](#page-11-0) we know that the group G associated with g acts transitively on the homogeneous Siegel domain (\equiv homogeneous bounded domain) D occuring in the Fundamental Conjecture.

Denoting the isotropy algbra of the origin in D by \boldsymbol{u} we show

LEMMA. a) $g=g^{\prime}+u^{\prime}$ orthogonal sum of j-invariant ideals.

b) g^{\prime} CLie Aut D , u^{\prime} Cu.

c) $(g^{\prime}, k\cap g^{\prime}, j, \rho)$ is a Kähler algebra associated with D and satisfles (1.1.8) and (1.1.9).

Proof. Let u^{\prime} be the maximal ideal of ${\bf g}$ contained in ${\bf u}$. Then ${\bf g}={\bf g}^{\prime}+u^{\prime}$ for some ideal g^{\prime} of g . We know from [10; §3] that the center of u is contained in k . Hence the group U corresponding to u is compact, whence also U' corresponding to u^{\prime} is compact. From [\[8\]](#page-11-1) and [\[1\]](#page-11-2) we obtain that j leaves the simple summands of \boldsymbol{u} and the center of \boldsymbol{u} invariant. Thus we can assume that u^{\prime} is j-invariant. Splitting u^{\prime} into center and semisimple part and using the closedness condition for ρ one obtains $g^{\prime}+k=\{x\in g\};\;\rho(x, u^{\prime})=0\}$. Therefore we can assume that also g^{\prime} is j-invariant. This implies a), b) and all but the last statement of c). But $G/U\cong(G/U^{\prime})/(U/U^{\prime})$, whence $u\cap g^{\prime}=\text{Lie}(U/U^{\prime})$ is the Lie algebra of a compact group.

1.6. Since u^{\prime} is a reductive summand of g , it will suffice to study g^{\prime} in detail. Therefore, where not stated otherwise, we will assume in addition to the assumptions of 1.5 that g can be realized as subalgebra of $g(D)=$ Lie Aut (D).

Thus we can apply the results of $\lceil 3 \rceil$ to the study of g.

$\S 2.$ Decompositions of j-algebras

2.1. In the sections 2.1 to 2.3 we consider Kähler algebras (g, k, j, ρ) satisfying (1.1.8), (1.1.9) and which can be realized as subalgebras of $g(D)=$ Lie $\mathrm{Aut}\,(D)$ for some homogeneous Siegel domain $D.$ More precisely, we assume that \bf{g} generates a connected subgroup G of Aut (D) which acts transitively on D. Moreover, the isotropy subgroup $U\subset G$ of the base point $ie\!\in\!D$ contains the isotropy subgroup K of $M(g)=G/K$. We use as usual $u=$ Lie U.

From the proof of Lemma 1.5 we know that U is compact.

2.2. It is not hard to see that g contains a solvable subalgebra t which is invariant under j', where $j^{\prime}x=jx (mod \ u)$, and such that $(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{t} \cap \boldsymbol{k}, j^{\prime})$ is a j-algebra associated with D. We can, [\[9\],](#page-11-4) —and will— assume that \boldsymbol{t} is associated with affine transformations of D . Let e denote the principal idempotent of t and let $R={\rm Re}$ (ad $j^{\prime}e$) be defined as in [5; 4.9]. Then g is invariant under R and $g=g_{1}+g_{1/2}+g_{0}+g_{-1/2}+g_{-1}$, where the vector fields induced from g_{λ} are contained in $g(D)_{- \lambda}$ (as defined in [3; § 1.5]). In particular, $g_{a}=g_{1}+g_{1/2}+g_{0}$ corresponds to the affine automorphisms contained in G , and g_{0} to the linear automorphisms contained in G . We have made sure that already the group G_{α} associated with ${\bm g}_{\alpha}$ acts transitively on D. Hence ${\bm g}_{1}={\bm g}_{1}(D)\text{d} t$ and ${\bm g}_{1/2}={\bm g}_{1/2}(D)$ $\subset t$. Next we consider the algebraic hull \tilde{g}_{0} of g_{0} in $g_{0}(D)$. We can apply [7; Theorem 6.2] to \tilde{g}_{0} . Since $[\tilde{g}_{0}, \tilde{g}_{0}]\subset\tilde{g}_{0}$, the subspaces F_{ij} , $i\neq j$, and the semisimple parts of the F_{ii} are already contained in g_{0} . Thus the difference between g_{0} and \tilde{g}_{0} comes at one hand from the fact that the element in g_{0} corresponding to f_{i} is in g_{0} of the form $f_{i}+f_{i0}$, where $f_{i0}\in \text{center}(F_{0})$ and on the other hand that also center $(F_{0})\cap g_{0}$ is perhaps not the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group.

Since we know that \bf{u} corresponds to a compact Lie group, the latter cannot happen.

2.3. In [3; §7] we have found a semisimple subalgebra of $g(D)=$ Lie Aut (D) that corresponds naturally to the full algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms of a symmetric Siegel domain in a subspace of the original complex vector space.

In [\[3\]](#page-11-3) we had defined on $g_{1}=g_{1}(D)$ the structure of an algebra A and decomposed the subspaces $\bm{g}_{\lambda}(D)$ of $\bm{g}(D)$ relative to idempotents e_{ii} of ${\mathcal{A}}$. The description of these decompositions can be found in $[3; \S 3, 4, 5]$. The description of \tilde{g}_{0} in [\[7\]](#page-11-5) yields Lie algebras F_{kk} associated with self dual cones. It is not hard to see that f_{k} corresponds to some idempotent $f_{kk} \in \mathcal{A}$. More precisely, each e_{ii} is a sum of certain f_{kk} . Thus the family of f_{kk} 's induces a decomposition of the spaces $g_{\lambda}(D)$ just the same way as the $e_{ii}\text{'s}$ do. The decomposition relative to $\{f_{kk}\}\$ is a refinement of the decomposition relative to $\{e_{ii}\}.$ For $\mathbf{g}_{0}(D)$ we obtain $\mathbf{g}_{0}(D)=\bigoplus F_{ij}+F_{0}$, where $F_{0}\subset F_{0}(D)$.

For the description of $g_{-1/2}(D)$ and $g_{-1}(D)$ we use the notation introduced in [3; § 6]. Thus $g_{-1}(D)$ is parametrized by a subspace $P_{1}\subset A_{1}$. (Note that here we use the Lie algebra which is opposite to the one considered in [\[3\].](#page-11-3))

We set

(2.3.1) \$\hat{P}_{-1}=\{x\in P_{1} ; X_{-1}[x]\in g_{-1}\}\$.

We know [\[3\]](#page-11-3):

(2.3.2) $T^{\sigma}\hat{P}_{-1}\subset \hat{P}_{-1}$ for all $(T, \hat{T})\in g_{0}$.

Let g_{1}, \cdots, g_{r} denote the different $f_{k}\text{'s}$ contained in m_{11}^{φ} ([3; 3.21]). Then $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\bigoplus \mathcal{L}_{ij}$, where \mathcal{L}_{ij} denote the Peirce spaces of \mathcal{A}_{1} relative to g_{1}, \cdots, g_{r} . From [\(2.3.2\)](#page-5-0) we then obtain

(2.3.3) $\hat{P}_{-1}=\bigoplus[\hat{P}_{-1}\cap \mathcal{L}_{ij}]$.

Since the cones corresponding to f_{k} are irreducible,

(2.3.5) \$F_{-1}\cap \mathcal{L}_{kk}=\mathcal{L}_{kk}\$ if \$F_{-1}\cap \mathcal{L}_{kk}\neq 0\$.

Moreover,

(2.3.5) If
$$
i < j
$$
 and $\hat{P}_{-1} \cap \mathcal{L}_{ij} \neq 0$, then
\n
$$
\hat{P}_{-1} \cap \mathcal{L}_{ij} = \mathcal{L}_{ij}
$$
 and $\hat{P}_{-1} \cap \mathcal{L}_{ij} = \mathcal{L}_{ii}$.

Therefore, if $j_{0}=\max\{j; \hat{P}_{-1}\cap \mathcal{L}_{jj}\neq 0\}$, then we set $g=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} g_{i}$ and obtain (with the usual notation for Peirce decompositions)

(2.3.6) \$F_{-1}=(d_{1})_{1}(g)+F_{-1}\cap(A_{1})_{1/2}(g)\$.

From $(2.3.3)$ and $(2.3.5)$ it is clear that the last summand in $(2.3.6)$ is a sum of certain \mathcal{L}_{ij} 's.

We claim

PROPOSITION.
$$
\hat{P}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{k \in I} \mathcal{L}_{kk}
$$
 for some subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$.

PROOF. Let $0\neq b_{ij}\in \hat{P}_{-1}$, $i < j$. Then [3; 6.6] shows $[X_{1}[g_{i}], X_{-1}[b_{ij}]] =$ $(T, \hat{T})\in g_{0}$, where $T=2A_{b_{ij}}(g_{i})=2(A_{gi}(b_{ij}))^{\sigma}$. But since $i\leq j$, we also know $(A_{\textit{si}}(b_{\textit{ij}}), \textit{\textbf{T}}^{*}){\in} \textit{\textbf{g}}_{0}$ for some $\textit{\textbf{T}}^{*}.$ Hence, by the definition of the ${f}_{k}\textit{'s}, \textit{i}=j,$ a contradiction.

In view of [\(2.3.2\)](#page-5-0) the proposition implies.

COROLLARY 1. Assume \mathcal{L}_{kk} , $\mathcal{L}_{ss}\subset P_{-1}$, then $\mathcal{L}_{kk}=0$.

As a consequence of this corollary we obtain

COROLLARY 2. One can assume $g_{k}=f_{k}$ for $k=1, \cdots, r$.

2.4. We keep the notation of the last section. We will also use the set U naturally associated with D . We set

Special algebraic properties of Kähler algebras 321

(2.4.1) \$g_{-1k}=X_{-1}[\mathcal{L}_{kk}]\$

 $g_{-(1/2)k}=X_{-1/2}[f_{k}U]$.

Now we can take over, mutatis mutandis, the proof of [3; Theorem 7.5] and obtain

THEOREM. There exists some subalgebra F_{0k} of g_{0} such that

$$
\mathbf{q}_{k} = X_{1}[\mathcal{L}_{kk}] + X_{1/2}[\int_{k}^{L}U] + (F_{kk} + F_{0k}) + X_{-1/2}[\int_{k}^{L}U] + X_{-1}[\mathcal{L}_{kk}]
$$

is a semisiple subalgebra of \boldsymbol{g} .

Actually it is not hard to see that \bm{q}_{k} is simple. We set $\bm{q} \!=\! \oplus \bm{q}_{k}.$ Then it is easy to obtain

COROLLARY 1. (a) q is a semisimple Lie subalgebra of q with simple ideals q_{k} (b) $\boldsymbol{q}_{-1/2}=\boldsymbol{g}_{-1/2}, \ \boldsymbol{q}_{-1}=\boldsymbol{g}_{-1}$.

COROLLARY 2. If f_{k} occurs in \boldsymbol{q} , then $f_{k}\in g$.

2.5. From Theorem 2.4 it is easy to derive that the algebras q_{k} consist of full weight spaces relative to $\{f_{k}\}$ for nonzero weights. The zero weight in \bm{q}_k is $F_{kk}+F_{0k}$. As in [3; §7] one can show that F_{0k} is an ideal of $F_{0}\cap \bm{g}_{0}$. Hence there exists some $F_{0}^{\prime}\subset g_{0}$ so that $F_{0}\cap g_{0}=\bigoplus F_{0k}+F_{0}^{\prime}$ as a sum of ideals.

Now we denote by \bm{p} the "complement of \bm{q} in $\bm{g}^{\prime\prime}$; i.e. we sum up all weight spaces relative to $\{f_{k}\}$ for nonzero weights that do not occur in q , the space ${\bm g}_{\bm \theta} \cap (\bigoplus F_{k\,k})$ for $F_{k\,k}\cap {\bm q}=0$ and $F_{\bm \theta}^{\prime}$. Since the latter two types of algebras actually commute with q , we obtain

THEOREM. There exists an ideal \boldsymbol{p} of \boldsymbol{g} such that

(a) $g=q+p$ (direct sum of vector spaces)

(b) **p** is a sum of weight spaces relative to $\{f_{k}\}$ for nonzero weights, of the space ${\bm g}_{\bm{0}}\cap (\bigoplus F_{k\,k})$ for $F_{k\,k}\cap {\bm q}=0$ and of an ideal $F_{\bm{0}}^{\prime}$ of $F_{\bm{0}}\cap {\bm g}_{\bm{0}}.$

(c) \bm{p} corresponds to affine transformations of $D.$

REMARK. If ${\bm g}$ is algebraic, then $F_{kk}\cap {\bm q}=0$ implies $F_{kk}\subset {\bm p}$. Moreover, F_{0}^{\prime} corresponds to a compact Lie group.

2.6. The main purpose of this section is to prove

THEOREM. Let (g, k, j, ρ) be a Kähler algebra satisfying $(1.1.8)$ and $(1.1.9)$. Assume moreover that there exists a homogeneous Siegel domain D such that $g\subset$

322 J. D0RFMEISTER

Lie Aut (D) . Assume also that there exist a quasi-normal Kähler subalgebra $(g^{\prime}, k^{\prime}, j, \rho)$ of (g, k, j, ρ) which is associated with the same manifold as (g, k, j, ρ) . Then g is an algebraic Lie algebra.

PROOF. Clearly, $g=g^{\prime}+k$. Moreover, since g' is quasi-normal, we can assume $f_{\textit{i}}{\in}{{\bm g}^{\prime}}$ for all $i.$ From 2.5 we know that we have ${{\bm g} \!=\! {\bm q} \!+\! {\bm p}}$, where ${\bm q}$ is semisimple and \boldsymbol{p} corresponds to affine transformations. It suffices to show $F_{k\,k}\subset {\bm g_{0}}$ for all k and $F_{0}\subset {\bm g_{0}}$. But $F_{k\,k}\!=\!{\bm R}f_{k}\!+\![F_{k\,k},\,F_{k\,k}\,]$ and $f_{k}\!\in\!{\bm g}^{\prime}$, whence $F_{k,k}\subset g_{0}$. Moreover, 1.1.9 implies $F_{0}\subset g_{0}$.

COROLLARY. Let (g, k, j, ρ) be a Kähler algebra satisfying (1.1.8) and $(1.1.9)$. Assume moreover, that there exists a homogeneous Siegel domain D such that $g\subset$ Lie Aut (D). Assume also that g contains a real split solvable subalgebra \boldsymbol{s} which generates a transitive subgroup of D. Then \boldsymbol{g} is an algebraic Lie algebra.

2.7. In the last section we considered Kähler algebras which can be realized as subalgebras of the infinitesimal automorphisms of some homogeneous Siegel domain. Using the reductions of $\S 1$ we can derive from this

THEOREM. Let M be a homogeneous Kähler manifold and (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) a Kähler algebra associated with M. Then ad g_{M} is an algebraic Lie algebra.

PROOF. From Theorem 1.4 we know $g_{M}=a_{M}+h_{M}$, where a_{M} is an abelian ideal of g_{M} . Since ad a_{M} consists of nilpotent endomorphisms, it is algebraic by [2; chap V, § 3, 4.]. Hence it suffices to show that ad $h_{M}\subset gl(g_{M})$ is algebraic. From 1.5 we know $h_{M}=h_{M}^{\prime}+u^{\prime}$, where u^{\prime} is the Lie algebra of a compact group. Hence it suffices to show that ad $h'_{M}Cgl(g_{M})$ is algebraic by [2; chap II, § 14]. Algebras of type h_{M}^{\prime} have been investigated in 2.5 and 2.6. They are of type $h_{M}^{\prime}=q_{M}+p^{\prime}+F_{0}$, where g_{M} is semisimple, F_{0} is compact and $p^{\prime}=\text{nil}(p^{\prime})+v+e,$ where v is semisimple and c is abelian with only real eigenvalues. Thus by [2; chap II, $\S 14$] it suffices to show that ad $c\subset gl(\mathbf{g}_{M})$ is algebraic. But ad c is spanned by the ad f_{k} 's and each ad f_{k} has only the eigenvalues 0, $\pm 1/2$, ± 1 in g_{M} . Hence R ad f_{k} is algebraic by [2; p. 169]. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

2.8. Recall, in 1.4 we have seen that g_{M} is the orthogonal sum of the jinvariant subalgebras a_{M} and h_{M} (of course, a_{M} is even an abelian ideal). In 1.5 we have shown that h_{M} is the orthogonal and j-invariant sum of ideals h'_{M} and ${\pmb u}_{\pmb M}^{\prime}$, where ${\pmb h}_{\pmb M}^{\prime}$ can be realized as subalgebra of ${\pmb g}(D){=}{\text{Lie}}$ Aut (D) for some

homogeneous Siegel domain D. From Theorem 2.6 we also know that h_{M}^{\prime} is an algebraic subalgebra of $g(D)$. Moreover, in view of Theorem 2.5 we have $h_{M}^{\prime}=q+p$, where q is semisimple and described in Theorem 2.5 and p is the sum of the remaining root spaces and part of F_{0} (where the roots are computed relative to the f_{r}).

In the following two sections we will show that q and p can be assumed to be i -invariant.

2.9. We want to show that q is (modulo k) the orthogonal complement of **p**. To see this we note that $[q, p]\subset \text{nil}(h_{M}^{\prime})\subset \text{nil}(p)$ has no component in Rjf_{k} as follows from [3; §6]. Hence, in particular, $\rho(f_{k}, [q, p]) = 0$ for all k . But then in the formula $(d/dt)\rho(e^{tadf_{k}}q, e^{tadf_{k}}p)=\rho(f_{k}, e^{tadf_{k}}[q, p])$ the right side vanishes, whence $\rho(q, e^{\tan f_{k}}p)$ is constant in t for all k such that f_{k} does not occur in q . Thus q is perpendicular to all root spaces in p of the abelian family (ad f_{k}) for which the root is not zero. Therefore we have only to consider $\rho(\bm{q},$ $F_{k\,k})$ and $\rho(\bm{q},$ $F_{0}^{\prime\prime})$, where $F_{0}^{\prime\prime}=F_{0}\cap\bm{p}$ and $F_{k\,k}\subset\bm{p}$. Here we can consider $A=\rho([q_{1}, q_{2}], f)$ where $q_{1}, q_{2}\in q$, $f\in F_{k,k}+F_{0}$. The closedness condition of ρ shows that A vanishes, since $[q, F_{kk}+F_{0}^{\prime\prime}]=0$ if $F_{kk}\subset p$. Thus we have shown

PROPOSITION. The spaces q and p are perpendicular.

2.10. Let \bm{v} be the radical of \bm{h}_{M}^{\prime} . Then, by the Radical Conjecture [\[6\],](#page-11-6) we can assume that $j\bm{v}$ is a solvable subalgebra of \bm{h}_{M}^{\prime} . Therefore, we can assume that ${\bm v}+j{\bm v}$ is a solvable, *j*-invariant subalgebra of ${\bm h}_{M}^{\prime}$. We claim

THEOREM. (a) The algebras \bm{p} and \bm{q} are perpendicular and j-invariant (b) $p=v+jv+u\cap p$.

PROOF. Since \boldsymbol{u} is j-invariant and center $(\boldsymbol{u})\subset \boldsymbol{k}$, we can assume that $\boldsymbol{u}\cap \boldsymbol{q}$ and $\mathbf{u}\cap \mathbf{p}$ are j-invariant. Now let $v\in \mathbf{v}$ and $jv=q+p$, where $q\in \mathbf{q}$, $p\in \mathbf{p}$. We know that h_{M}^{\prime} generates a transitive group on $D.$ The complex structure induces on h_{M}^{\prime} a map j' for which $j^{\prime}x=jx \mod (u)$ holds. Moreover, from [3; $\S 7$] we know that $j^{\prime}q\subset q$, $j^{\prime}p\subset p$ holds. Therefore $q\in u\cap q$. But then, in view of Proposition 2.9 we obtain $\rho(jq, q)=\rho(jq, jv-p)=\rho(q, v)-\rho(jq, p)=0.$ Hence we can assume $j\nu\mathsf{C}\bm p$. Now the remaining claims follow easily.

2.11. Before proving one of the main results of this paper we mention one more reduction.

324 J. D0RFMEISTER

Note that we can assume that $\tilde{F}_{0}=F_{0}\cap p$ is j-invariant. Hence as in the very last part of the proof in 2.9 we see $p=\tilde{p}+\tilde{F}_{0}$, where \tilde{p} and \tilde{F}_{0} are jinvariant and perpendicular subalgebras.

2.12. We have seen in Theorem 1.4 that (h_{M}, k_{M}, j) is a j-algebra. This means that there exists some linear form ω on h_{M} such that $(h_{M}, k_{M}, j, d\omega)$ is a Kähler algebra. We would like to know, however, whether we can choose ω so that actually $\delta|h_{M}{=}d\omega$, holds. This will follow from the following:

THEOREM. Let (g, k, j, ρ) be an effective Kähler algebra such that (g, k, j) is a j-algebra. Then there exists some linear form $\omega: g\rightarrow R$ such that $\rho(x, y)=$ $\omega([x, y])$ for all $x, y \in g$.

PROOF. Let M denote the simply connected homogeneous Kähler manifold associated with (g, k, j, ρ) . It is not hard to verify that it suffices to prove the theorem for (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) . Using the orthogonal decompositions listed in 2.5 and 2.8 (note $a_{M}=0$ under our assumptions) we see that we have only to consider the case $g_{M}=p$, $F_{0}=0$. In this case $g_{M}=g_{1}+g_{1/2}+g_{0}$ where the decomposition is relative to ad je, e the maximal idempotent of g_{M} . One shows as usual $\rho(je, g_{1/2})=0, \rho(F_{ij}, F_{kk})=0$ for $i < j, \rho(f_{i}, F_{ii})=0$ and $(n+m)\rho(x_{n}, x_{m})=0$ $\rho(je, [x_{n}, x_{m}])$ for $x_{r}\in g_{r}$ and $n, m\in\{0,1/2,1\}$. Generalizing slightly a proof of Gindikin and Vinberg we define a linear map $\omega:g\rightarrow R$ by

$$
(2.9.1) \t\t\t\t\t\omega(x) = \rho(je, x) \t\t\tfor x \in g_1
$$

$$
(2.9.1) \t\t \omega(x)=0 \t\t for \t x \in g_{1/2}
$$

$$
\omega(x)=\omega_0(x) \qquad \text{for } x\in g_0
$$

where $\omega_{0}(\sum_{i\leq j}x_{ij})=\omega_{0}(\sum x_{ii})$ and $\omega_{0}|F_{ii}$ is defined so that $\rho(A, B)=\omega_{0}([A, B])$ for the reductive algebra F_{ii} (such an ω_{0} exists since ρ is closed and $\rho(f_{i}, F_{ii})$ $=0$ holds). Now the claim follows by an easy calculation.

REMARK. The result above has been proved independently in a different way by K. Nakajima [\[11\].](#page-11-7)

2.13. The last [Theorem](#page-2-0) has several immediate algebraic and geometric consequences. The algebraic result is

COROLLARY 1. For a homogeneous Kähler manifold M and the corresponding Kähler algebra (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) the following are equivalent

(1) (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) is a j-algebra,

(2) $\alpha_{M}=0$.

(3) (g_{M}, k_{M}, j) is a j-algebra

(4) \mathbf{g}_{M} does not contain any abelian j-invariant subalgebra.

REMARK. In [\[11\]](#page-11-7) it was shown that this is also equivalent to

(5) the canonical hermitian form is nondegenerate

Though in the context of this paper the proof of this last equivalence is fairly straightforward we postpone it to a forthcoming publication where we will investigate a more general situation.

Geometrically one obtains

COROLLARY 2. For a homogeneous Kähler manifold M the follhwing are equivalent

(1) The Kähler form of M is the differential of an Aut (M) -invariant 1form.

(2) M does not contain any locally flat homogeneous complex submanifold,

(3) M is analytically the product of a bounded domain and a simply connected compact manifold.

(4) There exists some $Aut(M)$ -invariant Kähler form on M which is the differential of an Aut (M) -invariant 1-form.

(5) The Ricci curvature of M is nondegenerate.

PROOF. With M we associate the Kähler algebra (g_{M}, k_{M}, j, ρ) .

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Since the Kähler form is the differential of an Aut (U)-invariant 1-form, we know $\rho=d\omega$, for some linear form ω . Let $N\subset M$ be a locally flat homogeneous complex submanifold. Then there exists $X\in g_{M}$, $X\in k_{M}$, such that $[jx, x]\in k_{M}$. Therefore $\rho(jx, x)=d\omega([jx, x])=0$, whence $X\in k_{M}$, a contradiction.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. From the Fundamental Conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds we know that M is analytically the product of a flat homogeneous Kähler manifold, a simply connected compact homogeneous Kähler manifold and a homogeneous bounded domain. By the assumption (2) the flat factor does not exist here.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). In view of (3), Theorem 1.4 implies $a_{M}=0$. But then (g_{M}, k_{M}, j) is a *j*-algebra, i.e. there exists some $\hat{\rho}=d\hat{\omega}$ such that $(\bm{g}_{M}, \bm{k}_{M}, \, j,\, \hat{\rho})$ is a Kähler algebra. This implies (4).

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. This follows from Theorem 2.12. The fact that (5) is equivalent with the above four statements follows from (5) in [Corollary](#page-5-4) ¹ above.

Literature

- [1] Borel, A., Kählerian coset spaces of semisimple Lie groups, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 40 (1954), 1147-1151.
- [2] Chevalley, C., Théorie des groupes de Lie, Herman, Paris, 1968.
- [3] Dorfmeister, J., Homogeneous Siegel domains, Nagoya Math. J. ⁸⁹ (1982), 39-83.
- [4] D'Atri, J.E., Dorfmeister, J. and Zhao., Yan Da, The isotropy representation for homogeneous Siegel domains, Pacific J. Math. 120 (1985), 295-326.
- [5] Dorfmeister, J., The Radical Conjecture for Homogeneous Kahler manifolds, CMS Conference Proceedings, Vol. 5 (1986), 189-208.
- [6] Dorfmeister, J., Proof of the Radical Conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 114 (1989) 77-122.
- [7] Dorfmeister, J. and Nakajima, K., The Fundamental Conjecture for Homogeneous Kähler manifolds, Acta. Math. 161 (1988), 23-70.
- [8] Matsushima, Y., Sur les éspaces homogènes Kähleriens d'un groupe de Lie réductif, Nagoya Math. J. ¹¹ (1957), 53-60.
- [9] Kaup, W., Matsushima, Y. and Ochiai, T., On the automorphisms and equivalences of generalized Siegel domains, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 475-498.
- [10] Nakajima, K., On j-algebras and homogeneous Kahler manifolds, Hokkaido Math. J. 15 (1986), 1-20.
- [11] Nakajima, K., Homogeneous Kähler manifolds of non-degenerate Ricci curvature, J. Math. Soc. Japan 42 (1990) 475-494.

J. Dorfmeister Department of Mathematics University of Kansas Lawrence, KS 66045, USA