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## Introduction.

In 1973 Takagi [14] classified homogeneous hypersurfaces of a complex projective space $P_{n} C$ by proving that all of them could be divided into six types, and he [15], [16] showed also that if a real hypersurface $M$ has two or three distinct constant principal curvatures, then $M$ is congruent to one of the homogeneous hypersurfaces of type $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B$ among these ones. This result is generalized by Kimura [6], who gives the complete classification that a real hypersurface $M$ of $P_{n} C$ has constant principal curvatures and $F C$ is principal if and only if $M$ is congruent to one of homogeneous examples, where $C$ denotes the unit normal and $F$ is the almost complex structure. The study of real hypersurfaces of type $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B$ of $P_{n} C$ was originated by Cecil and Ryan [1], Kimura [7], Kon [8], Maeda [10], Okumura [13] and so on.

Real hypersurfaces with cyclic-parallel Ricci tensor of a complex space form $M^{n}(c)$ have recently been classified by Kwon and Nakagawa [9] in the case where $F C$ is principal. They also gave another characterization of real hypersurfaces of type $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $P_{n} C$.

On the other hand, many subjects for real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space $H_{n} C$ were investigated from different points of view ([2], [3], [11], [12] etc.) one of which, done by Chen, Ludden and Montiel [3], asserts that a real hypersurface $M$ of $H_{n} C$ is of cyclic-parallel if and only if the structure tensor $J$ induced on $M$ and the shape operator $A$ derived from the unit normal commute each other, that is, $J A=A J$. In particular, real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$, which are said to be of type $A$, similar to those of type $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $P_{n} C$, were treated by Montiel and Romero [12].

The purpose of the present paper is to show that a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M^{n}(c), c \neq 0$, is of cyclic-parallel if and only if $J A=A J$, and to give a complete classification of such hypersurfaces by using those examples constructed in [9], [12] and [15].

[^0]The author wishes to express here his sincere gratitude to the referee for providing kind and helpful advices.

## 1. Preliminaries.

We begin by recalling fundamental properties on real hypersurfaces of a Kaehlerian manifold. Let $N$ be a real $2 n$-dimensional Kaehlerian manifold equipped with a parallel almost complex structure $F$ and a Riemannian metric tensor $G$ which is $F$-Hermitian, and covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\left\{U ; x^{A}\right\}$. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $N$ covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\left\{V ; y^{h}\right\}$ and immersed isometrically in $N$ by the immersion $i: M \rightarrow N$. Throughout the present paper the following convention on the range of indices are used, unless otherwise stated:

$$
A, B, \cdots=1,2, \cdots, 2 n ; \quad i, j, \cdots=1,2, \cdots, 2 n-1
$$

The summation convention will be used with respect to those system of indices. When the argument is local, $M$ need not be distinguished from $i(M)$. Thus, for simplicity, a point $p$ in $M$ may be identified with the point $i(p)$ and a tangent vector $X$ at $p$ may also be identified with the tangent vector $i_{*}(X)$ at $i(p)$ via the differential $i_{*}$ of $i$. We represent the immersion $i$ locally by $x^{A}=x^{A}\left(y^{h}\right)$ and $B_{j}=\left(B_{j}{ }^{A}\right)$ are also (2n-1)-linearly independent local tangent vectors of $M$, where $B_{j}{ }^{A}=\partial_{j} x^{A}$ and $\partial_{j}=\partial / \partial y^{j}$. A unit normal $C$ to $M$ may then be chosen. The induced Riemannian metric $g$ with components $g_{j i}$ on $M$ is given by $g_{j i}=$ $G\left(B_{j}, B_{i}\right)$ because the immersion is isometric.

For the unit normal $C$ to $M$, the following representation are obtained in each coordinate neighborhood:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F B_{i}=J_{i}{ }^{h} B_{h}+P_{i} C, \quad F C=-P^{i} B_{i}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have put $J_{j i}=G\left(F B_{j}, B_{i}\right)$ and $P_{i}=G\left(F B_{i}, C\right), P^{h}$ being components of a vector field $P$ associated with $P_{i}$ and $J_{j i}=J_{j}^{r} g_{r i}$. By the properties of the almost Hermitian structure $F$, it is clear that $J_{j i}$ is skew-symmetric. A tensor field of type ( 1,1 ) with components $J_{i}{ }^{h}$ will be denoted by $J$. By the properties of the almost complex structure $F$, the following relations are then given:

$$
J_{i}^{r} J_{r}^{h}=-\delta_{i}{ }^{h}+p_{i} p^{h}, \quad p^{r} J_{r}^{h}=0, \quad p_{r} J_{i}^{r}=0, \quad p_{i} p^{i}=1,
$$

that is, the aggregate $(J, g, P)$ defines an almost contact metric structure. Denoting by $\nabla_{j}$ the operator of van der Waerden-Bortolotti covariant differentiation formed with $g_{j i}$, equations of Gauss and Weingarten for $M$ are respectively obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{j} B_{i}=h_{j i} C, \quad \nabla_{j} C=-h_{j}{ }^{r} B_{r}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j i}$ are components of a second fundamental from $\sigma, A=\left(h_{j}{ }^{k}\right)$ which is related by $h_{j i}=h_{j}{ }^{r} g_{r i}$ being the shape operator derived form $C$. We notice here that $h_{j i}$ is symmetric. By means of (1.1) and (1.2) the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are yielded:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{j} J_{i n}=-h_{j i} p_{h}+h_{j n} p_{i}, \quad \nabla_{j} p_{i}=-h_{j r} J_{i}^{r} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel, the ambient Kaehlerian manifold $N$ is assumed to be of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ and real dimension $2 n$, which is called a complex space form and denoted by $M^{n}(c)$. Then the curvature tensor $K$ of $M^{n}(c)$ takes the following form:

$$
K_{D C B A}=\frac{c}{4}\left(G_{D A} G_{C B}-G_{D B} G_{C A}+F_{D A} F_{C B}-F_{D B} F_{C A}-2 F_{D C} F_{B A}\right) .
$$

Thus, equations of Gauss and Codazzi for $M$ are respectively obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{k j i h}=\frac{c}{4}\left(g_{k h} g_{j i}-g_{j h} g_{k i}+J_{k h} J_{j i}-J_{j h} J_{k i}-2 J_{k j} J_{i h}\right)+h_{k h} h_{j i}-h_{j h} h_{k i},  \tag{1.4}\\
& \nabla_{k} h_{j i}-\nabla_{j} h_{k i}=\frac{c}{4} A_{k j i}, \quad A_{k j i}=p_{k} J_{j i}-p_{j} J_{k i}-2 p_{i} J_{k j}, \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{k j i h}$ are components of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ of $M$. Let $S_{j i}$ be components of the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$, then the Gauss equation implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j i}=\frac{c}{4}\left\{(2 n+1) g_{j i}-3 p_{j} p_{i}\right\}+h h_{j i}-h_{j i}^{2}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ denotes the trace of the shape operator $A$ and $h_{j i}{ }^{2}=h_{j r} h_{i}{ }^{r}$.

## 2. Cylic-parallel hypersurfaces.

Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M^{n}(c)$. The hypersurface $M$ is called cyclic-parallel if the cyclic sum of $\nabla \sigma$ vanishes identically, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{k} h_{j i}+\nabla_{j} h_{i k}+\nabla_{i} h_{k j}=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was proved in [4] that geodesic hypersurfaces of a complex space form $M^{n}(c)$, $c \neq 0$, are cyclic-parallel and not parallel. Throughout the present paper we only consider the case where the holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ is not zero.

From now on we suppose that $M$ is of cyclic-parallel. Then we have from (1.5)

$$
2 \nabla_{k} h_{j i}=-\nabla_{i} h_{k j}+\frac{c}{4} A_{k j i},
$$

or equivalently $3 \nabla_{k} h_{j i}=c / 4\left(A_{k j i}-A_{i k j}\right)$. By the second equation of (1.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{k} h_{j i}=\frac{c}{4}\left(p_{j} J_{i k}+p_{i} J_{j k}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating this covariantly along $M$ and making use of (1.3), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{m} \nabla_{k} h_{j i}=\frac{c}{4}\left\{\left(\nabla_{m} p_{j}\right) J_{i k}+\left(\nabla_{m} p_{i}\right) J_{j k}-h_{m i} p_{j} p_{k}-h_{m j} p_{k} p_{i}+2 h_{m k} p_{j} p_{i}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since equation (2.2) tells us that $\nabla_{k} h_{j}{ }^{k}=0$, the Ricci formula for $h_{j i}$ gives rise to

$$
\nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} h_{i}{ }^{k}=S_{j r} h_{i}^{r}-R_{k j i h} h^{k h} .
$$

If we substitute (1.4), (1.6) and (2.3) into the last equation and take account of (1.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
h h_{j i}^{2}= & \left\{h_{2}-\frac{c}{2}(n+1)\right\} h_{j i}+c h_{r s} J_{j}^{r} J_{i}^{s}  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\frac{c}{2}\left\{\left(h_{j r} p^{r}\right) p_{i}+\left(h_{i r} p^{r}\right) p_{j}\right\}+\frac{c}{4} h\left(g_{j i}-p_{j} p_{i}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{2}=h_{j i} h^{j i}$, which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
h h_{j r}^{2} p^{r}=\left(h_{2}-\frac{c}{2} n\right) h_{j r} p^{r}+\frac{c}{2} \alpha p_{j} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have have defined $\alpha=h_{r s} p^{r} p^{s}$. Thus, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \beta=\left\{h_{2}-\frac{c}{2}(n-1)\right\} \alpha, \quad \beta=h_{j i}^{2} p^{j} p^{i} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if we substitute (1.4) and (2.3) into the Ricci formula, which is given by

$$
\nabla_{m} \nabla_{k} h_{j i}-\nabla_{k} \nabla_{m} h_{j i}=-R_{m k j r} h_{i}^{r}-R_{m k i r} h_{j}^{r},
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{i k}{ }^{2} h_{m j}-h_{i m}{ }^{2} h_{k j}+h_{j k}^{2} h_{i m}-h_{j m}^{2} h_{i k}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\frac{c}{4}\left\{h_{m i}\left(g_{k j}-p_{k} p_{j}\right)-h_{k i}\left(g_{m j}-p_{m} p_{j}\right)+h_{j m}\left(g_{k i}-p_{k} p_{i}\right)-h_{j k}\left(g_{m i}-p_{m} p_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+J_{j k}\left(\nabla_{m} p_{i}+\nabla_{i} p_{m}\right)-J_{j m}\left(\nabla_{k} p_{i}+\nabla_{i} p_{k}\right)+J_{i k}\left(\nabla_{m} p_{j}+\nabla_{j} p_{m}\right) \\
& \left.\quad-J_{i m}\left(\nabla_{k} p_{j}+\nabla_{j} p_{k}\right)+2 J_{m k}\left(\nabla_{j} p_{i}+\nabla_{i} p_{j}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the second equation of (1.3), By transvecting (2.7) with $J^{i k}$ and $p^{j} p^{i} p^{k}$ respectively and making use of the fact that properties of the almost contact metric structure ( $J, g, P$ ), we can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{s r}\left(h_{m s} h_{j r}{ }^{2}+h_{j s} h_{m r}{ }^{2}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}(2 n+1) c\left(\nabla_{j} p_{m}+\nabla_{m} p_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{4} c\left\{\left(p^{r} \nabla_{r} p_{j}\right) p_{m}+\left(p^{r} \nabla_{r} p_{m}\right) P_{j}\right\}, \\
& \alpha h_{m r}{ }^{2} p^{r}=\beta h_{m r} p^{r} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.6) with (2.9), it follows that $\alpha\left(h_{j r} p^{r}-\alpha p_{j}\right)=0$ and hence $\alpha\left(\beta-\alpha^{2}\right)=0$.

Let $M_{1}$ be a set consisting of points of $M$ at which the function $\beta-\alpha^{2}$ does not vanish. Suppose that $M_{1}$ is not empty. We then have $\alpha=0$ and thus $\beta h_{m r} p^{r}=0$ because of (2.9), By transvecting $h_{s}{ }^{m} p^{s}$, it follows that $\beta^{2}=0$ and hence $\beta$ vanishes on $M_{1}$. Therefore the assumption of $M_{1}$ will produce a contradiction. Accordingly we have $\beta=\alpha^{2}$ on $M$, which means that $P$ is the principal curvature vector corresponding to $\alpha$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j r} p^{r}=\alpha p_{j} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $p^{m}$ to (2.8) and summing up $m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{r} \nabla_{r} p_{j}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of the fact that $c \neq 0$. By means of (2.2), (2.10), (2.11) and the definition of $\alpha$, we can easily see that $\alpha$ is constant everywhere. Thus, differentiating (2.10) covariantly along $M$, we find

$$
\left(\nabla_{k} h_{j r}\right) p^{r}+h_{j r} \nabla_{k} p^{r}=\alpha \nabla_{k} p_{j},
$$

which together with (1.3) and (2.2) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{4} J_{j k}-h_{j r} h_{k s} J^{r s}=\alpha \nabla_{k} p_{j} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take the symmetric part of this, then we obtain $\nabla_{k} p_{j}+\nabla_{j} p_{k}=0$ provided that $\alpha \neq 0$. But, if $\alpha=0$, then (2.12) implies $h_{j r} h_{i s}{ }^{2} J^{r s}=-(c / 4) \nabla_{i} p_{j}$ with the aid of (1.3), which together with (2.8) and (2.11) give $\nabla_{j} p_{m}+\nabla_{m} p_{j}=0$. Consequently we see in any case that $h_{j}{ }^{r} J_{r}{ }^{k}=J_{j}{ }^{r} h_{r}{ }^{k}$. Thus we have the following fact:

Lemma 1. Let $M$ be a cyclic-parallel real hypersurfaces of $M^{n}(c), c \neq 0$. Then the shape operator and the induced structure tensor commute each other, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A J=J A \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Chen, Ludden and Montiel [3] proved this lemma for the case where $c<0$. The converse assertion of Lemma 1 is well known. The proof was used the theory of Riemann fibre bundles (cf. [3], [8]). But, we introduce here the other simple proof. The method is similar to that used in the previous paper [5].

From (2.13), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j r} p^{r}=\alpha p_{j} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by means of the properties of the almost contact metric structure. Differentiating (2.14) covariantly and taking account of (1.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{k} h_{j r}\right) p^{r}-h_{j r} h_{k s} J^{r s}=\alpha_{k} p_{j}-\alpha h_{k r} J_{j}^{r}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}=\nabla_{k} \alpha$, which together with equations of Codazzi and (2.13) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c}{2} J_{j k}+2 h_{j r} h_{s}^{r} J_{k}^{s}=\alpha_{k} p_{j}-\alpha_{j} p_{k}+2 \alpha h_{j r} J_{k}^{r} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means that $\alpha_{k}=B p_{k}$ for some function $B$. It is easy to see that $\alpha$ is constant everywhere. Thus, the last equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j i}^{2}=\alpha h_{j i}+\frac{c}{4}\left(g_{j i}-p_{j} p_{i}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of (2.13) and the properties of ( $J, g, P$ ). Accordingly (2.15) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{k} h_{j r}\right) p^{r}=\frac{c}{4} J_{j k} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface satisfying (2.13) of $M^{n}(c), c \neq 0$. Then $M$ is of cyclic-parallel provided that $\alpha^{2}+c=0$.

Proof. Since we have $\alpha^{2}+c=0$, the relationships (2.14) and (2.17) tell us that $M$ has at most two constant principal curvatures $\alpha$ and $\alpha / 2$. Their multiplicities are denoted respectively by $r$ and $2 n-1-r$. Thus, the trace of the shape operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{\alpha}{2}(2 n-1+r) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that of $A^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}(2 n-1+3 r) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is seen from (2.17) that $h_{2}=\alpha h-\left(\alpha^{2} / 2\right)(n-1)$. Therefore, the last three equations imply that $r=1$ because of $\alpha^{2}+c=0$ and $c \neq 0$. Accordingly (2.19) and (2.20) reduces respectively to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=n \alpha, \quad h_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(n+1) \alpha^{2} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the followings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{3}=\frac{1}{4}(n+3) \alpha^{3}, \quad h_{4}=\frac{1}{8}(n+7) \alpha^{4}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{5}^{5} h_{3}$ and $h_{4}$ denote the trace of $A^{3}$ and $A^{4}$ respectively. By using (2.21)
and (2.22), it is not hard to see that

$$
h_{j i}^{2}=\frac{3}{2} \alpha h_{j i}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} g_{j i},
$$

which together with (2.17) implies that $h_{j i}=(1 / 2) \alpha\left(g_{j i}+p_{j} p_{i}\right)$ because of $\alpha \neq 0$. Differentiating this covariantly, we find

$$
\nabla_{k} h_{j i}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\{\left(\nabla_{k} p_{j}\right) p_{i}+\left(\nabla_{k} p_{i}\right) p_{j}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, by means of (1.3) and (2.13) we can verify that $M$ is of cyclicparallel. This completes the proof.

Differentiation (2.17) covariantly and making use of (1.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{k} h_{j r}\right) h_{i}{ }^{r}+\left(\nabla_{k} h_{i r}\right) h_{j}^{r}=\alpha \nabla_{k} h_{j i}+\frac{c}{4}\left\{\left(h_{k r} J_{j}^{r}\right) p_{i}+\left(h_{k r} J_{i}{ }^{r}\right) p_{j}\right\}, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which, taking the skew-symmetric part with respect to indices $k$ and $j$ and utilizing (2.13) and (2.14),

$$
h_{j r} \nabla_{k} h_{i}^{r}-h_{k r} \nabla_{j} h_{i}^{r}=\frac{c}{4} \alpha\left(p_{k} J_{j i}-p_{j} J_{k i}\right)+\frac{c}{2} p_{i}\left(h_{k r} J_{j}^{r}\right) .
$$

Thus, it follows that

$$
h_{j}^{r} \nabla_{k} h_{i r}-h_{i}^{r} \nabla_{k} h_{j r}=\frac{c}{4}\left\{p_{j} h_{i r} J_{k}^{r}-p_{i} h_{j r} J_{k}^{r}+\alpha\left(p_{j} J_{i k}-p_{i} J_{j k}\right)\right\},
$$

where we have used (1.5), (2.13) and (2.14), From this and (2.23), it is seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 h_{j}^{r} \nabla_{k} h_{i r}-\alpha \nabla_{k} h_{j i}=\frac{c}{4}\left\{-2 p_{i}\left(h_{j r} J_{k}^{r}\right)+\alpha\left(p_{j} J_{i k}-p_{i} J_{j k}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transforming this by $h_{m}^{j}$ and using (2.13), (2.17) and (2.18), we obtain

$$
\alpha h_{j}^{r} \nabla_{k} h_{i r}+\frac{c}{2} \nabla_{k} h_{j i}=\frac{c}{4}\left\{\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{c}{2}\right) J_{i k} p_{j}-\frac{c}{2} J_{k j} p_{i}-\alpha p_{i}\left(h_{j r} J_{k}^{r}\right)\right\} .
$$

Combining this with (2.24), it follows that

$$
\left(\alpha^{2}+c\right)\left\{\nabla_{k} h_{j i}-\frac{c}{4}\left(p_{j} J_{i k}+p_{i} J_{j k}\right)\right\}=0,
$$

which shows that $M$ is of cyclic-parallel because of Lemma 2,
From this fact and Lemma 1 we have
Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M^{n}(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then $M$ is of cyclic-parallel if and only if $A J=J A$.

REmark 2. It is obvious that if $M$ is of cyclic-parallel, then the Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel because of (1.3), (1.6) and (2.10).

## 3. Homogeneous hypersurfaces.

It is known that the complete and simply connected complex space form $M^{n}(c)$ consists of a complex projective space $P_{n} C$, a complex Euclidean space $C_{n}$ or a complex hyperbolic space $H_{n} C$, according as $c>0, c=0$ or $c<0$. Some standard examples given by [9], [12], [14] of real hypersurfaces $M^{n}(c), c \neq 0$ whose second fundamental form are cyclic-parallel are introduced. In a complex Euclidean space $C^{n+1}$ equipped with Hermitian form $\phi$, the Euclidean metric of $C^{n+1}$ which is identified with $R^{2 n+2}$ is given by $\operatorname{Re} \phi$. The unit sphere $S^{2 n+1}=$ $\left\{z \in C^{n+1}: \phi(z, z)=1\right\}$ is denoted.

First of all, examples of real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ are considered. For any positive number $r$ a hypersurface $N_{0}(2 n, r)$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ is defined by

$$
N_{0}(2 n, r)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n+1}\right) \in S^{2 n+1} \subset C^{n+1}: \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=r\left|z_{n+1}\right|^{2}\right\} .
$$

For an integer $m(2 \leqq m \leqq n-1)$ and a positive number $s$, a hypersurface $N(2 n, m, s)$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ is defined by

$$
N(2 n, m, s)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n+1}\right) \in S^{2 n+1} \subset C^{n+1}: \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=s \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right\} .
$$

Then, for the projection $\pi$ of the Hopf-fibration $S^{2 n+1}$ onto $P_{n} C, M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ $=\pi\left(N_{0}(2 n, r)\right)$ and $M(2 n-1, m, s)=\pi(N(2 n, m, s))(n \geqq 3)$ are examples of real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ whose shape operator and the induced structure tensor commute each other. It is known [14] that $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ and $M(2 n-1, m, s)$ are both compact connected real hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ with constant two or three distinct principal curvatures respectively, which are said to be of type $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ respectively. In [13], it is proved that $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ and $M(2 n-1, m, s)$ are only hypersurfaces of $P_{n} C$ satisfying $A J=J A$.

In the next place, the example of real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$ defined by Montiel [11] and Montiel and Romero [12] is introduced. In $C^{n+1}$ with standard basis, a Hermitian form $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{\phi}(z, w)=-z_{0} \bar{w}_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k} \bar{w}_{k}
$$

where $z=\left(z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ and $w=\left(w_{0}, \cdots, w_{n}\right)$ are in $C^{n+1}$. Let $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ be a real hypersurface of the Minkoski space $C_{1}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$
H_{1}^{2 n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{1}^{n+1}: \phi(z, z)=-1\right\},
$$

and let $\bar{G}$ be a semi-Riemannian metric of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ induced from the complex Lorentzian metric $\operatorname{Re} \phi$ of $C_{1}^{n+1}$. Then $\left(H_{1}^{2 n+1}, \bar{G}\right)$ is the Lorentzian manifold of constant curvature -1 , which is called an anti-de Sitter spare.

Let $r$ and $s$ be integers with $r+s=n-1$ and $t \in R$ with $0<t<1$. We consider a Lorentzian hypersurface $N_{r+s}(t)$ of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ defined by the following:

$$
N_{r+s}(t)=\left\{\left(z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in H_{1}^{2 n+1}: t\left(-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=-\sum_{k=r+1}^{n}\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

and a Lorentzian hypersurface of $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ is given by

$$
N_{n}=\left\{\left(z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in H_{1}^{2 n+1}:\left|z_{0}-z_{1}\right|=1\right\} .
$$

Since it is known that $H_{1}^{2 n+1}$ is a principal $S^{1}$-bundle over a complex hyperbolic space with projection $\bar{\pi}: H_{1}^{2 n+1} \rightarrow H_{n} C$, and $N_{r+s}(t)$ and $N_{n}$ are $S^{1}$-invariant, we see that $M_{r+s}(t)=\pi\left(N_{r+s}(t)\right)$ and $M_{n}=\pi\left(N_{n}\right)$ are real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$, where $\pi: N_{r+s}(t) \rightarrow M_{r+s}(t)$ and $\pi: N_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ are semi-Riemannian submersions which are compatible with $S^{1}$-fibration. It is seen that $M_{r+s}(t)$ and $M_{n}$ are complete connected real hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$ with constant two or three distinct principal curvatures, which are said to be of type A ([9]). In [12], it is proved that $M_{r+s}(t)$ and $M_{n}$ are only complete hypersurfaces of $H_{n} C$ satisfying $A J=J A$. Thus, by combining above facts and Theorem 3, we obtain the following classifications.

ThEOREM 4. $\quad M_{0}(2 n-1, r), M(2 n-1, m, s), M_{r+s}(t)$ and $M_{n}$ are only complete and connected cyclic-parallel real hypersurfaces of $M^{n}(c), c \neq 0$.
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