TSUKUBA J. MATH.
Vol. 10 No. 1 (1986), 165–170

R-SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH A HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC PAIR

By

Hiroyuki TASAKI and Osami YASUKURA

1. Introduction.

The linear isotropy representation of a Riemannian symmetric pair (G, K) is defined as the differential of the left action of K on G/K at the origin. Every orbit of the linear isotropy representation of (G, K) is called an *R-space associated with* (G, K), which is an important example of equivariant homogeneous Riemannian submanifolds in a Euclidean sphere (See Takagi-Takahashi [2] and Takeuchi-Kobayashi [3]).

This paper is concerned with the linear isotropy representation of a Hermitian symmetric pair (G, K). Its restriction to the center of K defines an S^1-action on the associated R-spaces. We determine all R-spaces associated with Hermitian symmetric pairs (G, K) on which the semisimple part of K acts transitively. In particular, we know all irreducible Hermitian symmetric pairs such that each of the associated R-spaces has such a property. This result is utilizable for the classification of orthogonal transformation groups by their cohomogeneity (See the forthcoming paper [4] concerned with this problem in low cohomogeneity).

The authors are profoundly grateful to Professor Ryoichi Takagi for his helpful suggestion and critical reading of a primary manuscript.

2. Statement of the result.

Let (G, K) be an irreducible Hermitian symmetric pair of compact type and $\mathfrak{g}$ [resp. $\mathfrak{k}$] the Lie algebra of G [resp. K]. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has the canonical direct sum decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{m},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}]\subset\mathfrak{m} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]\subset\mathfrak{k}.$$

The tangent space of G/K at the origin can be naturally identified with $\mathfrak{m}$. Then

Received October 15, 1985

the linear isotropy representation of (G, K) is nothing but the adjoint action Ad of K on $\mathfrak{m}$.

Let K_s be the analytic subgroup of K corresponding to the semisimple part $\mathfrak{k}_s=[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ be the 1-dimensional center of $\mathfrak{k}$. We can take an element H_0 in $\mathfrak{z}$ such that

$$(\operatorname{ad} H_0|_{\mathfrak{m}})^2=-id_{\mathfrak{m}}\,,$$

because (G, K) is a Hermitian symmetric pair.

Take a maximal Abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is also a maximal Abelian subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$ and the complexification $\mathfrak{h}^C$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^C$. Let Δ denote the set of all non-zero roots of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}^C$. For each $\alpha\in\Delta$, define a subspace $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha=\{X\in\mathfrak{g}^C\,;\,[H, X]=\alpha(H)X \text{ for all } H\in\mathfrak{h}^C\}$$

and choose a non-zero vector $X_\alpha\in\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ such that

$$X_\alpha-X_{-\alpha},\ \sqrt{-1}(X_\alpha+X_{-\alpha})\in\mathfrak{g} \quad\text{and}\quad [X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}]=\frac{2}{\alpha(H_\alpha)}H_\alpha\,,$$

where H_α in $\mathfrak{h}^C$ is the dual vector of α with respect to the Killing form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{g}^C$. The set of all compact [resp. noncompact] roots in Δ is denoted by Δ_c [resp. Δ_n]:

$$\mathfrak{k}^C=\mathfrak{h}^C+\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_c}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad\text{and}\quad \mathfrak{m}^C=\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_n}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha\,.$$

Fix the lexicographic ordering in the dual space of the real vector space $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to an ordered basis

$$\sqrt{-1}H_0(=Y_1),\ Y_2,\ \cdots,\ Y_m\,;\, m=\dim_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h})$$

in $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}$. Let Δ^+ [resp. Δ_n^+] denote the set of all positive roots in Δ [resp. Δ_n]. There is a direct sum decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$:

$$\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_n^+}\{\boldsymbol{R}(X_\alpha-X_{-\alpha})+\boldsymbol{R}\sqrt{-1}(X_\alpha+X_{-\alpha})\}\,.$$

According to Harish-Chandra [1, § 6], there exists a subset $\Gamma=\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_r\}$ of Δ_n^+ such that $\gamma_i\pm\gamma_j\notin\Delta$ $(1\leqq i, j\leqq r)$ and

$$\mathfrak{a}=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^r \boldsymbol{R}\sqrt{-1}(X_{\gamma_i}+X_{-\gamma_i})$$

is a maximal Abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{m}$, where r is the rank of the symmetric pair (G, K).

Consider the automorphism, so-called Cayley transformation,

$$\upsilon=\exp\frac{\pi}{4}\,\mathrm{ad}\,(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{r}(X_{\gamma_i}-X_{-\gamma_i}))$$

of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{C}$. We have $\upsilon(\mathfrak{a})\subset\mathfrak{k}$, since

$$\upsilon(\sqrt{-1}(X_{\gamma_i}+X_{-\gamma_i}))=\frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{\gamma_i(H_{\gamma_i})}H_{\gamma_i}\qquad(1\leqq i\leqq r).$$

Let $\bar{\ }$ denote the restriction of a linear form on $\mathfrak{h}^{C}$ to $\upsilon(\mathfrak{a}^{C})$. The sets of all non-zero elements in $\bar{\Delta}$, $\overline{\Delta^{+}}$, $\bar{\Delta}_c$, $\bar{\Delta}_n$, and $\overline{\Delta_n^{+}}$ are denoted by R, R^{+}, R_c, R_n, and R_n^{+} respectively. R is isomorphic to the restricted root system of the Hermitian symmetric pair (G,K). By Harish-Chandra [1, § 6], there are only two possibilities:

Case i) R is of type C;

$$R=\{\pm\bar{\gamma}_i\}\cup\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\pm\bar{\gamma}_i\pm\bar{\gamma}_j)\,;\ i\neq j\right\},$$

$$R_c=\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}_i-\bar{\gamma}_j)\,;\ i\neq j\right\},$$

$$R_n=\{\pm\bar{\gamma}_i\}\cup\left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}_i+\bar{\gamma}_j)\,;\ i\neq j\right\},$$

Case ii) R is of type BC;

$$R=\{\pm\bar{\gamma}_i\}\cup\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\pm\bar{\gamma}_i\pm\bar{\gamma}_j)\,;\ i\neq j\right\}\cup\left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_i\right\},$$

$$R_c=\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}_i-\bar{\gamma}_j)\,;\ i\neq j\right\}\cup\left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_i\right\},$$

$$R_n=\{\pm\bar{\gamma}_i\}\cup\left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}_i+\bar{\gamma}_j)\,;\ i\neq j\right\}\cup\left\{\pm\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_i\right\}.$$

Then our result is the following:

THEOREM. *Let M be an R-space associated with an irreducible Hermitian symmetric pair (G,K). Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

1) *The action of K_s on M is transitive.*
2) *The restricted root system R of (G,K) is of type BC or there exists a γ_i in Γ such that $\gamma_i(\upsilon(M\cap\mathfrak{a}))=\{0\}$.*

In particular, K_s acts transitively on each of the associated R-spaces if and only if R is of type BC.

REMARK. Suppose that M is an R-space of the highest dimension among those associated with a given irreducible Hermician symmdtric pair (G,K), i.e., M is a maximum dimensional K-orbit of the linear isotropy representation of

(G, K). Then $M\cap\mathfrak{a}$ contains a regular element H, which satisfies $\gamma_i(\upsilon(H))\neq 0$ for all i. Then the transitivety of K_s on M is equivalent to the condition that the restricted root system R is of type BC.

3. Proof of Theorem.

Fix an Ad(G)-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$, which is a negative multiple of the restriction of the Killing form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ to $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let H be any fixed element of $M\cup\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_H$ denote the centralizer of H in $\mathfrak{k}$:

$$\mathfrak{k}_H=\{T\in\mathfrak{k}\,;[T, H]=0\}. \tag{1}$$

The orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}_H$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{k}_H^{\perp}$.

Since $\mathfrak{k}_s$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{z}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$, the kernel of the orthogonal projection p of $\mathfrak{k}$ to $\mathfrak{z}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{k}_s$.

Since K and K_s are compact and connected, the condition 1) in Theorem is equivalent to

$$\dim\mathfrak{k}-\dim\mathfrak{k}_H=\dim\mathfrak{k}_s-\dim(\mathfrak{k}_H\cap\mathfrak{k}_s),$$

that is,

$$\dim\mathfrak{k}_H=1+\dim(\mathfrak{k}_H\cap\mathfrak{k}_s),$$

which is equivalent to $p(\mathfrak{k}_H)=\mathfrak{z}$, because $\dim\mathfrak{z}=1$.

On the other hand, $p(\mathfrak{k}_H)=\{0\}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{k}_H\subset\mathfrak{k}_s=\mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$, that is, $\mathfrak{k}_H^{\perp}\supset\mathfrak{z}$. If we take $H_1\in\mathfrak{k}_H$ and $H_2\in\mathfrak{k}_H^{\perp}$ such that

$$H_0=H_1+H_2, \tag{2}$$

then $H_1=0$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{k}_H^{\perp}\supset\mathfrak{z}$.

So the condition 1) in Theorem is equivalent to $H_1\neq 0$ in the equation (2). Therefore the following lemma completes the proof of our theorem.

LEMMA. *$H_1\neq 0$ if and only if either the restricted root system R of (G, K) is of type BC or there exists a γ_i in Γ such that $\gamma_i(\upsilon(H))=0$.*

PROOF of Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\upsilon(\mathfrak{a})=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\boldsymbol{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\gamma_i}$ in $\mathfrak{h}=\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta}\boldsymbol{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha}$.

Put $\Gamma_H=\{\gamma_i\in\Gamma\,;\gamma_i(\upsilon(H))=0\}$, $\mathfrak{a}_H=\sum_{\gamma_i\in\Gamma_H}\boldsymbol{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\gamma_i}$, and $\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp}=\sum_{\gamma_i\notin\Gamma_H}\boldsymbol{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\gamma_i}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal comlement of $\mathfrak{a}_H$ in $\upsilon(\mathfrak{a})$. We have an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}$:

$$\mathfrak{h}=(\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{a}_H)+\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp}. \tag{3}$$

As the first step, we claim that the decomposition of H_0 with respect to the decomposition (3) is the same as the equation (2). In fact, $\mathfrak{k}_H \supset \mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{a}_H$, since $[\upsilon(\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{a}_H), \upsilon(H)]=\{0\}$ by

$$\upsilon\left[\frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{\gamma_i(H_{\gamma_i})}\right]=-\sqrt{-1}(X_{\gamma_i}+X_{-\gamma_i}) \qquad (1\leqq i\leqq r),$$
$$\upsilon|_{\mathfrak{b}}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon(\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{h}.$$

We also have $\mathfrak{k}_H^{\perp}\supset\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp}$, since $\langle\upsilon(\mathfrak{k}_H), \upsilon(\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp})\rangle=0$ by

$$\upsilon(\mathfrak{k}_H)\subset\mathfrak{h}+\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\Delta\\ \alpha\in(\upsilon(H))=0}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha+\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}), \qquad \upsilon(\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp})\subset\sum_{\gamma_i\notin\Gamma_H}(\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma_i}+\mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma_i}).$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{k}_H=\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{a}_H$ and $\mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{k}_H^{\perp}=\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp}$. In particular,

$$H_2=-\sum_{\gamma_i\notin\Gamma_H}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\gamma_i(H_{\gamma_i})}H_{\gamma_i}, \tag{4}$$

because we have

$$\gamma(H_0)=-\sqrt{-1} \qquad \text{for all } \gamma\in\Delta_n^+$$

by the definition of Δ_n^+. As a result, we obtain

$$H_1=H_0+\sum_{\gamma\notin\Gamma_H}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\gamma_i(H_{\gamma_i})}H_{\gamma_i}. \tag{5}$$

As the second step, we claim that $H_1\neq0$ in the equation (5) if and only if either R is of type BC or $\Gamma_H\neq\phi$. We may assume that $H\neq0$. Then there exists $\gamma\in\Gamma-\Gamma_H$.

If R is of type BC, then there is a compact root α such that

$$\bar{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}.$$

In this case, by the equation (4) and $\alpha(H_0)=0$ for all $\alpha\in\Delta_c$, we have

$$\alpha(H_1)=\alpha(-H_2)=\frac{1}{2}\gamma(-H_2)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\neq0,$$

especially $H_1\neq0$.

Now suppose that R is of type C. If $\Gamma_H\neq\phi$, we can take $\gamma_j\in\Gamma_H$. There exists a compact root α such that

$$\bar{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}-\bar{\gamma}_j).$$

In this case, by the equation (4),

$$\alpha(H_1)=\alpha(-H_2)=\frac{1}{2}\gamma(-H_2)=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\neq 0\,,$$

especially $H_1\neq 0$. Here we have used the fact

$$\gamma_j(\mathfrak{a}_H^{\perp})=\{0\}\,,$$

which follows from the orthogonality of elements in Γ. If $\Gamma_H=\phi$, then

$$\beta(H_1)=\beta(H_0)+\beta(-H_2)=-\sqrt{-1}+\beta(-H_2)=0$$

for all $\beta\in\Delta_n^+$, by the equation (4) and $R_n^+=\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}_p+\bar{\gamma}_q)\,;\ 1\leqq p, q\leqq r\right\}$. On the other hand

$$\alpha(H_1)=\alpha(-H_2)=0 \qquad \text{for all } \alpha\in\Delta_c\,,$$

by $R_c=\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\gamma}_p-\bar{\gamma}_q)\,;\ p\neq q\right\}$. So $H_1=0$. This completes the proof of Lemma.

References

[1] Harish-Chandra, Representations of semisimple Lie groups VI, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 564-628.

[2] Takagi, R., and Takahashi, T., On the principal curvatures of homogeneous hypersurfaces in a sphere, Differential Geometry, in honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 469-481.

[3] Takeuchi, M., and Kobayashi, S., Minimal imbedding of R-spaces, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968), 203-215.

[4] Yasukura, O., A classification of orthogonal transformation groups of low cohomogeneity, to appear in Tsukuba J. Math. 10 (1986).

Hiroyuki Tasaki
Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Gakugei University
Koganei, Tokyo
184 Japan

and

Osami Yasukura
Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Sakura-mura, Ibaraki
305 Japan
Current Address (O. Yasukura)
Ibaraki College of Technology
866 Fukayatsu, Nakane, Katsuta
312 Japan