OPTIMUM PROPERTIES OF THE WILCOXON SIGNED RANK TEST UNDER A LEHMANN ALTERNATIVE

By

Taka-aki Shiraishi

1. Introduction.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from an absolutely continuous distribution function F(x). The problem is to test the null hypothesis H:F(x)=G(x) where G'(x)=g(x) is assumed to be symmetric about zero. When G(x) is a logistic distribution function, Hájek and Šidák [1] reviewed that the Wilcoxon signed rank test is locally most powerful among all rank tests against the location alternative $A:F(x)=G(x-\theta)$ for $\theta>0$ and showed that the test is asymptotically optimum under the contiguous sequence of alternatives $A_n:F(x)=G(x-d/\sqrt{n})$ for some d>0.

In this paper, we consider the alternative of the contaminated distribution

(1.1)
$$K: F(x) = (1-\theta)G(x) + \theta \{G(x)\}^2$$
 for $0 < \theta < 1$.

The alternative K was introduced by Lehmann [2] for a two-sample problem. In order to get an asymptotic optimum property, we consider the sequence of alternatives

(1.2)
$$K_n: F(x) = (1 - \Delta/\sqrt{n})G(x) + (\Delta/\sqrt{n})\{G(x)\}^2 \quad \text{for } \Delta > 0,$$

which is included in K and approaches the null hypothesis H as $n \to \infty$. In the following Section, we shall show that the Wilcoxon signed rank test is locally most powerful among all rank tests under K and is asymptotically most powerful under K_n . Further in Section 3, we shall compare the Wilcoxon signed rank test with the one-sample *t*-test by the asymptotic relative efficiency under the contiguous sequence of alternatives of general contaminated distributions

(1.3)
$$K'_n: F(x) = (1 - \Delta/\sqrt{n})G(x) + (\Delta/\sqrt{n})H(G(x)) \quad \text{for } \Delta > 0.$$

2. Optimum properties.

Taking the absolute values of observations, let R_i be the rank of $|X_i|$ among the observations $\{|X_i|; i=1, \dots, n\}$ and define sign X=1 for X>0, 0 for X=0 and Received April 8, 1985. Revised June 27, 1985.

Taka-aki Shiraishi

-1 otherwise. Note that $\Pr \{ sign X_i = 0 \} = 0$ since we consider only absolutely continuous distribution. Then we can describe the Wilcoxon signed rank statistic as the following.

$$(2.1) T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\operatorname{sign} X_i) R_i.$$

At first, we investigate the property of "locally most powerful".

THEOREM 1. The Wilcoxon signed rank test based on T defined by (2.1) is locally most powerful for H versus K defined by (1.1) among all rank tests.

PROOF. Putting sign $\underline{X} = (\text{sign } X_1, \dots, \text{sign } X_n)$ and $\underline{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_n)$, we get for any vector $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ such that $v_i = 1$ or -1 and any permutation $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ of $(1, \dots, n)$, under H, Pr {sign $\underline{X} = \underline{v}$ } = 1/2ⁿ and Pr { $\underline{R} = \underline{r}$ } = 1/n!. Here since the likelihood function of (X_1, \dots, X_n) under K is given by

(2.2)
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{ (1-\theta)g(x_i) + 2\theta G(x_i)g(x_i) \},$$

the joint probability of sign vector sign \underline{X} and rank vector \underline{R} is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\theta) &= P_{\theta} \{ \operatorname{sign} \underline{X} = \underline{v}, \underline{R} = \underline{r} \} \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\operatorname{sign} \underline{X} = \underline{v}, \underline{R} = \underline{r}} p_{\theta}(x) d\underline{x} \\ &= 1/(2^{n} \cdot n!) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \cdots \int_{\operatorname{sign} \underline{X} = \underline{v}, \underline{R} = \underline{r}} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} g(x_{k}) \prod_{k=j+1}^{n} \{ (1-\theta)g(x_{k}) + 2\theta G(x_{k})g(x_{k}) \} \\ &\times [\{ (1-\theta)g(x_{j}) + 2\theta G(x_{j})g(x_{j})\} - g(x_{j})] d\underline{x} , \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\beta'(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int \cdots \int_{\text{sign } \underline{X} = \underline{v} \cdot \underline{R} = \underline{r}} \{-1 + 2G(x_j)\} \prod_{k=1}^n g(x_k) d\underline{x} .$$

Let $|X|^{(i)}$ be the *i*-th order statistic among the absolute values $\{|X_i|; i=1, \dots, n\}$. Since $|X|^{(i)}$, sign \underline{X} and \underline{R} are mutually independent under H from II 1.3 theorem of Hájek and Šidák [1], we can get

$$\beta'(0) = 1/(2^n \cdot n!) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n E\{-1 + 2G(v_j |X|^{(r_j)})\}$$

= 1/(2ⁿ \cdot n!) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n E[v_j \{2G(|X|^{(r_j)}) - 1\}]
= 1/(2^n \cdot n!) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n v_j r_j / (n+1),

which implies the result.

Next we shall show the asymptotic optimum property. Corresponding to (2.2), the joint density of (X_1, \dots, X_n) under K_n defined by (1.2) is given by

$$q_{\Delta}(\underline{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [\{(1 - \Delta/\sqrt{n}) + 2\Delta G(X_i)/\sqrt{n}\}g(X_i)]$$

THEOREM 2. The asymptotic power of the Wilcoxon signed rank test is equal to that of the most powerful test for H versus K_n when Δ and G(u) are known, having critical region $\{\underline{x}; \log \{q_{\Delta}(\underline{x}) | p(\underline{x})\} \ge t_{n\alpha}\}$.

PROOF. Taylor's series expansion of the logarithm of the likelihood ratio yields

(2.3)

$$L_{d} = \log \{q_{d}(X)/p(X)\}$$

= $\log \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \{(1 - d/\sqrt{n}) + 2dG(X_{i})/\sqrt{n}\}\right]$
= $(d/\sqrt{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{2G(X_{i}) - 1\} - d^{2}/(2n) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{2G(X_{i}) - 1\}^{2}$
+ $d^{3}/(3n\sqrt{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\{2G(X_{i}) - 1\}^{3}/\{1 + \delta_{i}(d/\sqrt{n})(2G(X_{i}) - 1)\}^{3}]$

where δ_i satisfies $0 < \delta_i < 1$. Under the null hypothesis H, the first term of the last expression of (2.3), namely $(\Delta/\sqrt{n})\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{2G(X_i)-1\}$, has asymptotically a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\Delta^2/3$ by the central limit theorem, the second term converges to $-\Delta^2/6$ in probability by the law of large numbers and the third term tends to zero in probability.

Thus we get

(2.4)
$$L_{d} \xrightarrow{g} N(\mu, \sigma^{2}),$$

where \xrightarrow{q} denotes convergence in law and

(2.5)
$$\mu = -\Delta^2/6 \text{ and } \sigma^2 = -2\mu$$
.

From VI 1.2 corollary of Hájek and Šidák [1], the family of densities $\{q_d(x)\}$ is contiguous to $\{p(x)\}$. So from LeCam's third lemma stated in VI 1.4 of Hájek and Šidák [1], under $\{q_d(x)\}$, $L_d \xrightarrow{g} N(-\mu, \sigma^2)$, where μ and σ^2 are defined by (2.5).

Therefore the asymptotic power of the test of level α with critical region $L_{a} > t_{n\alpha}$ under $\{q_{a}(x)\}$ is

(2.6)
$$1-\Phi(z_{\alpha}-\Delta/\sqrt{3}),$$

where $t_{n\alpha} = -\Delta^2/6 + z_{\alpha}\Delta/\sqrt{3} + o(1)$, $\Phi(\cdot)$ is a distribution function of the standard normal and z_{α} is the upper 100 α percentage point of the standard normal distri-

Taka-aki Shiraishi

bution. On the other hand, let us put $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\operatorname{sign} X_i) \{2G(|X_i|) - 1\}/\sqrt{n}$, then $T/\{(n+1)\sqrt{n}\} - S$ converges to zero in probability under H from V 1.7 theorem of Hájek and Šidák [1]. Hence $(L_d, T/\{(n+1)\sqrt{n}\})$ and (L_d, S) have asymptotically the same normal distribution. Also it follows under H that (L_d, S) has asymptotically a bivariate normal distribution with mean $(\mu, 0)$ and singular covariance matrix $\binom{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{12}}, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2}$, where μ and σ^2 are defined by (2.5), $\sigma_{12} = \Delta/3$ and $\sigma_2^2 = 1/3$. Hence, from LeCam's third lemma, under $\{q_d(x)\}$, we get that S has asymptotically the normal distribution with mean σ_{12} and variance σ_2^2 . Thus the asymptotic power of the test based on T for H versus K_n at level α is given by the expression (2.6). This completes the proof.

3. Comparison with the t-test under a contiguous sequence of alternatives of general contaminated distributions.

We extend K_n defined by (1.2) to the contiguous sequence of alternatives of general contaminated distributions K'_n defined by (1.3) and compare the Wilcoxon signed rank test with the *t*-test based on

(3.1)
$$U = \sqrt{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i / \sqrt{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}.$$

Then we get

THEOREM 3. Suppose that the derivative of H(u) exists and the derivative h(u) = H'(u) is bounded. Then the asymptotic relative efficiency of the Wilcoxon signed rank test with respect to the t-test based on U under K'_n defined by (1.3) is given by

ARE
$$(T, U) = 3\sigma^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 (2u-1)h(u)du \right\}^2 / \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty th(G(t))g(t)dt \right\}^2$$

where $\sigma^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 dG(t)$.

PROOF. From the straight similar way to the proof of Theorem 2, $\sqrt{3} \cdot T/\{(n+1)\sqrt{n}\}\$ has asymptotically a normal distribution with mean $\sqrt{3} \Delta \int_0^1 (2u-1)h(u)du$ and variance 1. Further the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2 shows that U defined by (3.1) has asymptotically a normal distribution with mean $\Delta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} th(G(t))dt/\sigma$ and variance 1 under K'_n . The ratio of squares of the two asymptotic means gives the result.

This asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) equals the ARE of the two-sample

Wilcoxon test with respect to the two-sample *t*-test under a contiguous sequence of alternatives of contamitated distributions which is given by corollary 2 of Shiraishi [3]. So we find that this ARE is 1 for any bounded function h(u) if G(x) is the distribution function from the uniform random variable on a finite interval. In Table 1 of Shiraishi [3], we showed the values of this ARE for H(u) $=u^k$, $1-(1-u)^k$ with k=1.1, 1.3, 1.6, 2, 3, 5, 10 and G(x)=uniform, normal, logistic, double exponential distributions. As the numerical results, ARE's are always nearly equal to 1 irrespective of the form of H(u), G(x) and k chosen.

4. Conclusion.

About the exact power, Theorem 1 gives an admissibility of the Wilcoxon signed rank test for the alternative of contaminanted distribution $F(x)=(1-\theta)G(x)$ $+\theta H(G(x))$, which includes K defined by (1.1), as far as we intend to seek a test having higher exact power among all rank tests. Though we found that there does not exist asymptotically a most powerful rank test under a contiguous sequence of alternatives of contaminated distributions for the two-sample problem from corollary 1 of Shiraishi [3], Theorem 2 shows that the Wilcoxon signed rank test is asymptotically most powerful for K_n defined by (1.2) which is included by K'_n . Further we find that the numerical values of ARE of the Wilcoxon signed rank test with respect to the *t*-test stated by Theorem 3 give no loss of the relative efficiency even against the alternative hypothesis of contaminated distributions discussed in Section 3.

References

- [1] Hájek, J. and Šidák, Z., Theory of Rank Tests. Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [2] Lemann, E. L., The power of rank tests. Ann. Math. Statist., 24 (1953), 23-43.
- [3] Shiraishi, T., Local powers of two-sample and multi-sample rank tests for Lehmann's contaminated alternative. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 37 (1985), 519-527.

Institute of Mathematics University of Tsukuba Sakura-mura, Niihari-gun Ibaraki, 305 Japan