# **EQUIVARIANT POINT THEOREMS**

(Dedicated to Professor A. Komatu on his 70th birthday)

By

#### Minoru NAKAOKA

### 1. Introduction.

This paper is a continuation of my previous paper [13], and is concerned with generalizations of the following two classical theorems on a continuous map f of an n-sphere  $S^n$  to itself.

THEOREM 1.1. If the degree of f is even then there exists  $x \in S^n$  such that f(-x) = f(x).

THEOREM 1.2. If the degree of f is odd then there exists  $x \in S^n$  such that f(-x) = -f(x).

Throughout this paper, a prime p is fixed, and  $G = \{1, T, \dots, T^{p-1}\}$  will denote a cyclic group of order p.

Generalizing the situation in the above theorems, we shall consider the following problems.

PROBLEM 1. Let  $f: N \rightarrow M$  be a continuous map between G-spaces. Under what conditions does f have an equivariant point, i.e., a point  $x \in N$  such that

$$(1.1) f(T^i x) = T^i f(x)$$

for  $i=1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ?

PROBLEM 2. Let  $f: L \rightarrow M$  and  $g: L \rightarrow N$  be continuous maps of a space L to G-spaces M and N. Under what conditions do there exist p points  $x_1, \dots, x_p \in L$  such that

(1.2) 
$$f(x_{i+1}) = T^{i}f(x_{1}), \ g(x_{i+1}) = T^{i}g(x_{1})$$

for  $i=1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ?

We shall denote by A(f) the set of points  $x \in N$  satisfying (1.1), and by A(f, g) the set of points  $(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in L^p$  satisfying (1.2).

If L=N in Problem 2, then A(f, id) may be identified with A(f). Therefore

Problem 2 is more general than Problem 1; still Problem 2 can be reduced to Problem 1. In fact, if we define  $h: L^p \to M \times N$  by

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (f(x_1), g(x_1)) \quad (x_i \in L),$$

and regard  $L^p$  and  $M \times N$  as G-spaces by cyclic permutations and the diagonal action respectively, then we have A(h) = A(f, g).

Throughout this paper, a manifold will always mean a compact connected topological manifold which is assumed to be oriented if p is odd. The dimension of manifolds M, N,  $\cdots$  will be denoted by m, n,  $\cdots$ . By a G-manifold is meant a manifold on which G acts topologically.

In this paper we shall consider Problems 1 and 2 in case M and N are G-manifolds. Some answers have been obtained by Conner-Floyd [3], Munkholm [10], Fenn [5], Lusk [8] and others with respect to generalizations of Theorem 1.1, and by Milnor [9] and the author [13] with respect to generalizations of Theorem 1.2. By pushing the line of [13] we shall prove in this paper more general results.

Throughout this paper the cohomology stands for the Čech cohomology and it takes coefficients from  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , the group of integers mod p.

### 2. Theorems

In this section we shall state our main theorems answering to Problem 2 and then corollaries answering to Problem 1. The main theorems will be proved in §5 and §6.

Let  $\omega_k \in H^k(BG)$   $(k=0,1,\cdots)$  denote the usual generators, where BG is the classifying space for G. If X is a paracompact space on which G acts freely,  $H^*(X/G)$  can be regarded as an  $H^*(BG)$ -module via the homomorphism induced by a classifying map of X; in particular we have  $\omega_k = \omega_k \cdot 1 \in H^k(X/G)$ .

The first main theorem is stated as follows, and it generalizes Theorem 1.1 (see Remark 1 below).

THEOREM A. Let  $f: L \rightarrow M$  and  $g: L \rightarrow N$  be continuous maps of a compact space L to G-manifolds M and N. Suppose that

- i) the action on M is trivial;
- ii) the action on N is free and  $\omega_n \in H^n(N/G)$  is not zero;
- iii)  $n \ge (p-1)m$ ;
- iv)  $f^*: H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(L)$  (q>0) is trivial;
- v)  $g^*: H^n(N) \rightarrow H^n(L)$  is not trivial.

Then we have  $A(f,g)\neq \phi$ ; if L is moreover a manifold, we have

$$\dim A(f,g) \ge pl - (p-1)(m+n) \ge 0,$$

where dim A denotes the covering dimension of A.

Putting L=N and g=id, we get

COROLLARY. Let  $f: N \rightarrow M$  be a continuous map of a G-manifold N to a manifold M. Suppose that

- i) the action on N is free and  $\omega_n \in H^n(N/G)$  is not zero;
- ii)  $f^*: H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(N)$  (q>0) is trivial.

Then we have

$$\dim A(f) \ge n - (p-1)m,$$

where M is regarded as a G-manifold by the trivial action.

REMARK 1. Taking

 $N=a \mod p \mod p$  homology *n*-sphere

in the above corollary, we have the results due to Conner-Floyd [3], Munkholm [10] and the author [12], which are direct generalizations of Theorem 1.1.

REMARK 2. Taking

 $L=N=a \mod p \text{ homology } n\text{-sphere,}$ 

$$M=S^m$$
,  $\deg f=0$ ,  $\deg g\not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ 

in Theorem A, we have the results due the to Fenn [5] and Lusk [8].

To state the second main theorem and its corollaries, we shall make some preparations.

For any indexing set I, consider the complement  $I_0^p = I^p - dI$  of the diagonal in  $I^p$ , and define  $(i_1, \dots, i_p)$ ,  $(i'_1, \dots, i'_p) \in I_0^p$  to be equivalent if  $(i'_1, \dots, i'_p)$  is a cyclic permutation of  $(i_1, \dots, i_p)$ . We denote by  $R(I_0^p)$  a set of representatives of the equivalent classes.

Let  $f: L \to M$  and  $g: L \to N$  be continuous maps of a manifold L to G-manifolds M and N. Given homogeneous bases  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{\beta_j\}_{j \in J}$  of  $H^*(M)$ ,  $H^*(N)$  and sets  $R(I_0^p)$ ,  $R(J_0^p)$ , we define  $\lambda(f, g)$ ,  $\lambda'(f, g) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  as follows.

Define  $\Delta: M \rightarrow M^p$  by

$$\Delta(x) = (x, Tx, \dots, T^{p-1}x) \quad (x \in M),$$

and put

(2.2) 
$$\Delta_!(1) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in I_p} c_{i_1 \dots i_p} \alpha_{i_1} \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_p} \quad (c_{i_1 \dots i_p} \in \mathbf{Z}_p)$$

for the Gysin homomorphism  $\Delta_!: H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M^p)$ .

Similarly, put

$$\Delta_{!}(1) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_p) \in J^p} d_{j_1 \dots j_p} \beta_{j_1} \times \dots \times \beta_{j_p} \quad (d_{j_1 \dots j_p} \in \mathbf{Z}_p)$$

for the homomorphism  $\Delta_!: H^*(N) \to H^*(N^p)$ .

We define

$$\begin{split} &\lambda(f,g) = \langle (f^{*p} \sum_{(i_1,\cdots,i_p) \in R(I_0^p)} c_{i_1\cdots i_p} \alpha_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{i_p}) (g^{*p} \Delta_!(1)), [L]^p \rangle, \\ &\lambda'(f,g) = \langle (f^{*p} \Delta_!(1)) (g^{*p} \sum_{(j_1,\cdots,j_p) \in R(I_l^p)} d_{j_1\cdots j_p} \beta_{j_1} \times \cdots \times \beta_{j_p}), [L]^p \rangle. \end{split}$$

Obviously we have  $\lambda(f, g) = \lambda'(g, f)$ .

If L=N and  $g^*=id$ , we write  $\lambda(f)=\lambda(f,g)$ . It follows that

$$\lambda(f) = \sum_{(i_1, \cdots, i_p) \in R(I_p^p)} c_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \langle (f^* \alpha_{i_1}) (T^* f^* \alpha_{i_2}) \cdots (T^{*p-1} f^* \alpha_{i_p}), [N] \rangle.$$

Remark 3. By the definition of  $\Delta_!$  we have

$$\langle \Delta^*(\alpha_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{k_n}), [M] \rangle = \langle (\alpha_{k_1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{k_n}) \Delta_!(1), [M]^p \rangle.$$

From this we get

$$(2.3) y_{k_1\cdots k_p} = \sum_{(i_1,\cdots,i_p)\in I^p} (-1)^{\varepsilon(i_1,\cdots,i_p,k_1,\cdots,k_p)} c_{i_1\cdots i_p} z_{k_1i_1}\cdots z_{k_pi_p},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k_1\cdots k_p} &= \langle \alpha_{k_1}(T*\alpha_{k_2})\cdots(T*^{p-1}\alpha_{k_p}), \ [M] \rangle, \\ z_{ki} &= \langle \alpha_k\alpha_i, \ [M] \rangle, \\ \varepsilon(i_1, \, \cdots, \, i_p, \, k_1, \, \cdots, \, k_p) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |\alpha_{i_i}| (|\alpha_{k_{s+1}}| + \cdots + |\alpha_{k_p}|), \end{aligned}$$

being  $|\alpha| = \deg \alpha$ . The relations (2.3) for  $(k_1, \dots, k_p) \in I^p$  characterize the coefficients  $c_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  ([6]). In particular, if p=2 we see that the matrix  $(c_{ij})$  is the inverse of the matrix  $(y_{ij})$ .

Now the second main theorem is stated as follows, and it generalizes Theorem 1.2 (see Remark 5 below).

THEOREM B. Let  $f: L \rightarrow M$  and  $g: L \rightarrow N$  be continuous maps of a manifold L to G-manifolds M and N. Suppose that

- i)  $i^*: H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M^g)$  is trivial for  $q \ge m/p$ , where  $M^g$  is the fixed point set of M, and i is the inclusion;
  - ii) the action on N is free;
  - iii) pl = (p-1)(m+n).

Then  $\lambda(f,g)$  and  $\lambda'(f,g)$  are independent of the choices of  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$ ,  $\{\beta_j\}_{j\in J}$ ,  $R(I_0^p)$ ,

 $R(J_0^p)$ , and we have  $\lambda(f,g) = \lambda'(f,g)$ ; If  $\lambda(f,g) \neq 0$  we have  $A(f,g) \neq \phi$ .

Putting L=N and g=id in Theorem B we have

Corollary 1. Let  $f: N \rightarrow M$  be a continuous map between G-manifolds, and suppose that

- i)  $i^*: H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M^G)$  is trivial for  $q \ge m/p$ ;
- ii) the action on N is free;
- iii) n=(p-1)m.

Then  $\lambda(f)$  is independent of the choices of  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$  and  $R(I_0^p)$ , and if  $\lambda(f)\neq 0$  we have  $A(f)\neq \phi$ .

Put L=M and f=id in Theorem B, and replace the notations M, N, g by N, M, f respectively. Then we get

Corollary 2. Let  $f: N \rightarrow M$  be a continuous map between G-manifolds, and suppose that

- i)  $i^*: H^q(N) \rightarrow H^q(N^G)$  is trivial for  $q \ge n/p$ ;
- ii) the action on M is free;
- iii) n=(p-1)m.

Then the same conclusions as in Corollary 1 hold.

REMARK 4. The above two corollaries for p=2 have been obtained in [13]. The following proposition will be proved in § 4 (see p. 407 of [2] for p=2).

PROPOSITION 2.1. If M is a G-manifold such that  $i^*: H^{m/p}(M) \rightarrow H^{m/p}(M^G)$  is trivial, then

$$\langle \alpha(T^*\alpha)\cdots(T^{*p-1}\alpha), [M]\rangle = 0 \quad (\alpha \in H^*(M)).$$

Let M be the one in Proposition 2.1 for p=2. Then, the proposition and the Poincaré duality show that  $H^*(M)$  has a homogeneous basis  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r, \mu_1', \dots, \mu_r'\}$  such that

$$\langle \mu_i(T^*\mu_k), [M] \rangle = 0, \langle \mu'_i(T^*\mu'_k), [M] \rangle = 0, \langle \mu_i(T^*\mu'_k), [M] \rangle = \delta_{ik}.$$

In terms of this basis we see that

$$\lambda(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \langle (f * \mu_i) (T * f * \mu'_i), [N] \rangle$$

if p=2. In particular, if M=N and  $f^*=\mathrm{id}$  then  $\lambda(f)$  equals the semi-characteristic

$$\chi_{1/2}(M) = \dim H^*(M)/2 \mod 2.$$

Thus, for p=2 we have the following

COROLLARY 3. Let M be a manifold with a free involution T, and assume  $\chi_{1/2}(M) \neq 0$ . Let f, g:  $M \rightarrow M$  be continuous maps such that  $f^* = g^* = \mathrm{id} : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ . Then there exist x,  $x' \in M$  such that f(x') = Tf(x) and g(x') = Tg(x). In particular, there exists a point  $x \in M$  such that fT(x) = Tf(x).

REMARK 5. Taking

$$M=a \mod 2 \mod 2$$
 m-sphere

in Corollary 3, we have the result due to Milnor [9], which is a direct generalization of Theorem 1.2.

## 3. Method.

In this section we shall explain how to prove Theorems A and B.

Let M be a G-manifold. If we regard  $M^p$  as a G-manifold by cyclic permutations, the map  $\Delta: M \to M^p$  in (2.1) is an equivariant embedding. Regard  $S^{2k+1}$  as a G-manifold by the standard free action. Then we have a pair  $(S^{2k+1} \times M^p, S^{2k+1} \times \Delta M)$  of manifolds, and hence the Thom isomorphism

$$\theta_k: H^q(S^{2k+1} \underset{C}{\times} \Delta M) \cong H^{q_+(p_{-1})m}(S^{2k+1} \underset{C}{\times} (M^p, M^p - \Delta M))$$

which is the composite of the duality isomorphisms for  $S^{2k+1} \times \Delta M$  and for  $(S^{2k+1} \times G \times M^p, S^{2k+1} \times \Delta M)$  (see p. 353 of [14]). We denote the Thom class  $\theta_k(1)$  by  $\hat{U}^{(k)}_M$ .

The isomorphisms  $\theta_k$  for sufficiently large k define the Thom isomorphism

$$\theta: H_G^q(\Delta M) \cong H_G^{q+(p-1)m}(M^p, M^p - \Delta M)$$

of the equivariant cohomology. The element  $\theta(1)$  is denoted by  $\hat{U}_M$ , and is called the equivariant fundamental cohomology class of M.

The image of  $\hat{U}_M$  in  $H_G^{m(p-1)}(M^p)$  is denoted by  $\hat{U}_M$ , and is called the equivariant diagonal cohomology class of M.

If the action of G on M is free, the diagonal set dM is in  $M^p - \Delta M$ . In this case the image of  $\hat{U}_M$  in  $H_G^{m(p-1)}(M^p, dM)$  is denoted by  $\hat{U}_M''$ , and is called the modified equivariant diagonal cohomology class of M.

LEMMA 3.1. Let M and N be G-manifolds, and regard  $M \times N$  as a G-manifold by the diagonal action. If the action on N is free, we have

$$\hat{U}_{M\times N}^{"}=\pm(q_1^{p*}\hat{U}_{M}^{"})(q_2^{p*}\hat{U}_{N}^{"}),$$

where  $q_1^{p*}: H_G^*(M^p) \to H_G^*((M \times N)^p)$  and  $q_2^{p*}: H_G^*(N^p, dN) \to H_G^*((M \times N)^p, d(M \times N))$  are induced by the projections  $q_1: M \times N \to M$ ,  $q_2: M \times N \to N$ .

Proof. There are the following natural inclusions of manifolds:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} \varDelta M) \times S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} \varDelta N) & \subset & (S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M^p) \times (S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} N^p) \\ & \cup & & \cup \\ S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} \varDelta (M \times N) & \subset & S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} (M \times N)^p \end{array}$$

From properties of the Thom class (see 325 of [4]), it follows that the Thom class for the pair in the upper line equals  $\pm \hat{U}_{M}^{(k)} \times \hat{U}_{N}^{(k)}$ , and that it is sent to  $\pm \hat{U}_{M\times N}^{(k)}$  by the homomorphism  $i^*$  induced by the natural inclusion of the lower line to the upper. Therefore we have

$$\hat{U}_{M\times N}^{(k)} = \pm i*(\hat{U}_{M}^{(k)} \times \hat{U}_{N}^{(k)}) = \pm i*(p_{1}^{*}\hat{U}_{M}^{(k)} \cdot p_{2}^{*}\hat{U}_{N}^{(k)}) = \pm (q_{1}^{p*}\hat{U}_{M}^{(k)})(q_{2}^{p*}\hat{U}_{N}^{(k)}),$$

where  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  are the projections of  $(S^{2k+1} \times M^p) \times (S^{2k+1} \times N^p)$  to  $S^{2k+1} \times M^p$ ,  $S^{2k+1} \times M^p$ . This fact proves immediately the desired result.

Lemma 3.2. Let  $f: N \rightarrow M$  be a continuous map of a G-space N to a G-manifold M, and define an equivariant map  $\hat{f}: N \rightarrow M^p$  by

$$\hat{f}(x) = (f(x), fT(x), \dots, fT^{p-1}(x)) \ (x \in N).$$

If the action on M is free, and if  $\hat{f}^*(\hat{U}_M^n) \neq 0$  for the homomorphism  $\hat{f}^*: H_G^*(M^p, dM) \rightarrow H_G^*(N, N^g)$ , then we have  $A(f) \neq \phi$ . If N is moreover a G-manifold, we have

$$\dim A(f) \ge n - (p-1)m \ge 0.$$

PROOF. In virtue of a commutative diagram

$$H_{G}^{*}(M^{p}, M^{p}-\Delta M) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H_{G}^{*}(M^{p}, dM)$$

$$\downarrow \hat{f}^{*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \hat{f}^{*}$$

$$H_{G}^{*}(N, N-A(f)) \longrightarrow H_{G}^{*}(N, N^{G}),$$

 $\hat{f}*(\hat{U}_N^m)\neq 0$  implies  $H_G^{m(p-1)}(N,N-A(f))\neq 0$ . Therefore  $A(f)\neq \phi$ . If N is a G-manifold, we have isomorphisms

$$H^{n-m(p-1)}(A(f)/G) \cong H_{m(p-1)}(N'/G, (N'-A(f))/G)$$

$$\cong H^{m(p-1)}(N'/G, (N'-A(f))/G) \cong H_G^{m(p-1)}(N', N'-A(f))$$

$$\cong H_G^{m(p-1)}(N, N-A(f)),$$

where  $N' = N - N^G$ . Therefore  $H^{n-m(p-1)}(A(f)/G) \neq 0$ , and so dim  $A(f) \geq n - m(p-1) \geq 0$ . This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.3. Let  $f: L \rightarrow M$  and  $g: L \rightarrow N$  be continuous maps of a space

L to G-manifolds M and N. Suppose that the action on N is free. Then if

$$(f^{p*}\hat{U}'_{M})(g^{p*}\hat{U}''_{N})\in H^{(m+n)(p-1)}(L^{p},dL)$$

is not zero, we have  $A(f,g)\neq \phi$ . If L is moreover a manifold, we have

$$\dim A(f,g) \ge pl - (p-1)(m+n) \ge 0.$$

PROOF. Consider  $h: L^p \to M \times N$  defined by (1.3). Then, for the map  $\hat{h}: L^p \to (M \times N)^p$  we have  $q_1^p \circ \hat{h} = f^p$ ,  $q_2^p \circ \hat{h} = g^p$ . Therefore by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\hat{h}^*(\hat{U}_{M\times N}^{"}) = \pm \hat{h}^*((q_1^{p}*\hat{U}_M^{'})(q_2^{p}*\hat{U}_N^{"}))$$

$$= \pm (f^{p}*\hat{U}_M)(g^{p}*\hat{U}_N^{"}).$$

This proves the desired result by Lemma 3.2.

We shall prove Theorems A and B by making use of Proposition 3.3. For this purpose we are asked to examine the following:

- (i) structure of the equivariant cohomologies  $H_G^*(X^p)$  and  $H_G^*(X^p, dX)$  for a compact space X.
- (ii) the equivariant diagonal cohomology class  $\hat{U}'_{M}$  and the modified equivariant diagonal cohomology class  $\hat{U}''_{M}$  for a G-manifold M.

As for (i) we have the results due to Steenrod and Thom, which are stated in § 4. Thus Theorems A and B will be proved by examining (ii), as seen in § 5 and § 6.

# 4. Preparations

In this section we shall recall some facts needed later.

Let X be a paracompact G-space. Then we have

$$H^*(X) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} H^*(K),$$

$$H^*_G(X, X^G) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} H^*(K/G, K^G/G),$$

where K ranges over the nerves of G-coverings of X (see Chap III, §6 and Chap VII, §1 of [2]). For each K a cochain map

$$\varphi_K: C^*(K) \longrightarrow C^*(K/G, K^G/G)$$

is defined by

$$\langle \varphi_K(u), \pi(s) \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} u(T^i s),$$

where  $u \in C^*(K)$ , s is a simplex of K, and  $\pi: K \longrightarrow K/G$  is the projection. Thus

we have a homomorphism

defined by the cochain maps  $\varphi_{K}$ .

We define

$$(4.2) \pi_!: H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*_G(X)$$

to be the composite  $j^* \circ \pi_1'$ , where  $j^* : H_G^*(X, X^G) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is induced by the inclusion. It follows that  $\pi_1$  is the composite of the usual transfer  $H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X/G)$  and the canonical homomorphism  $H^*(X/G) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ .

We call  $\pi_{!}$  in (4.2) the *transfer*, and  $\pi'_{!}$  in (4.1) the *modified transfer*. Put

$$\sigma^* = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} T^{i*} : H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X).$$

Then it is easily seen that

$$\pi^* \circ \pi_! = \sigma^*$$

for the canonical homomorphism  $\pi^*: H^*_G(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$ , and that

(4.4) 
$$\pi_{1}(\alpha_{1}) \cdot \pi_{1}(\alpha_{2}) = \pi_{1}(\alpha_{1} \cdot \sigma^{*}\alpha_{2}) = \pi_{1}(\sigma^{*}\alpha_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2})$$

 $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in H^*(X))$ . We have also

(4.5) 
$$\pi_{l}(\alpha) \cdot \delta^{*}(\beta) = 0 \qquad (\alpha \in H^{*}(X), \beta \in H_{G}^{*}(X^{G}))$$

for the coboundary homomorphism  $\delta^*: H^*_G(X^G) \longrightarrow H^*_G(X, X^G)$ . In fact

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|}\pi_!(\alpha) \cdot \delta^*(\beta) = \delta^*(i^*\pi_!(\alpha) \cdot \beta)$$
$$= \delta^*(i^*j^*\pi_!(\alpha) \cdot \beta) = 0,$$

where  $i^*: H_G^*(X) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X^G)$ .

If X is a paracompact G-space, the Smith special cohomology groups  $H_{\rho}^{*}(X)$  are defined for  $\rho = \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} T^{i}$  and  $\rho = \tau = 1 - T$ , and we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \stackrel{\rho^*}{\longrightarrow} H^q(X) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{i}_{\rho}^*} H^q_{\rho}(X) \oplus H^q(X^G) \\
& \stackrel{\delta^*_{\rho}}{\longrightarrow} H^{q+1}_{\rho}(X) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{j}_{\rho}^*} H^{q+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\cdots}
\end{array}$$

for  $(\rho, \bar{\rho}) = (\sigma, \tau)$  and  $(\tau, \sigma)$ . We have also an isomorphism

$$H^*_{\sigma}(X) \cong H^*_{\sigma}(X, X^{G}).$$

(See p. 143 of [2].)

It follows that

$$(4.6) i_{\tau}^* = (\pi_i', i^*) : H^*(X) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X, X^G) \oplus H^*(X^G).$$

LEMMA 4.1. If M is a G-manifold such that the action is not trivial, then it holds

$$\pi'_1: H^m(M) \cong H^m_G(M, M^G).$$

Proof. In the exact sequence

$$H^m(M) \xrightarrow{i_{\tau}^*} H_{\sigma}^m(M) \oplus H^m(M^G) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\tau}^*} H_{\tau}^{m+1}(M),$$

we have  $H_r^{m+1}(M) = 0$ ,  $H^m(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $H^m(M^G) \cong H_0(M, M - M^G) = 0$ , and moreover  $H_\sigma^m(M) \neq 0$  is proved as follows. Therefore we get the desired result by (4.6).

Suppose  $H^m_{\sigma}(M)=0$ . Then, by the Smith cohomology exact sequence, we see that  $i^*_{\sigma}: H^m(M) \cong H^m_{\tau}(M)$  and  $\tau^*: H^m_{\tau}(M) \longrightarrow H^m(M)$  is onto. This implies that  $\tau^*: H^m(M) \longrightarrow H^m(M)$  is onto and so  $H^m(M)=0$ , which is a contradiction.

For a paracompact space X, consider the equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*(X^p)$ , where G acts on  $X^p$  by cyclic permutations. Then we have the external Steenrod p-th power operation

$$P: H^q(X) \longrightarrow H^{pq}_G(X^p),$$

which is related to the Steenrod square  $Sq^i$  if p=2, and to the reduced p-th power  $S^i$  and the Bockstein operation  $\beta^*$  if  $p\neq 2$  as follows ([15]):

(4.7) 
$$d*P(\alpha)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|} \omega_{|\alpha|-i} \times Sq^{i}\alpha & \text{if } p=2, \\ h_q \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil |\alpha|/2 \rceil} (-1)^{i} (\omega_{(|\alpha|-2i)(p-1)} \times \mathcal{S}^{i}\alpha - \omega_{(|\alpha|-2i)(p-1)-1} \times \beta^* \mathcal{S}^{i}\alpha) & \text{if } p \neq 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $d^*: H^*_G(X^p) \longrightarrow H^*_G(X) = H^*(BG \times X)$  is induced by the diagonal map, and

(4.8) 
$$h_q = \begin{cases} (-1)^{q/2} & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{(q-1)/2}((p-1)/2)! & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

P is natural, and it satisfies also

$$(4.9) \pi^* P(\alpha) = \alpha^p$$

for the canonical homomorphism  $\pi^*: H^*_{\mathbf{G}}(X^p) \longrightarrow H^*(X^p)$ .

LEMMA 4.2. Let M be a G-manifold, and let  $\alpha \in H^*(M)$  satisfy  $i^*(\alpha) = 0$  for  $i^*: H^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M^G)$ . Then  $A^*P(\alpha)$  is in the image of  $j^*: H^*_G(M, M^G) \longrightarrow H^*_G(M)$  induced by the inclusion.

PROOF. Consider a diagram

$$H_{G}^{*}(M^{P}) \xrightarrow{d^{*}} H^{*}(BG \times M)$$

$$\downarrow \Delta^{*} \qquad \downarrow (id \times i)^{*}$$

$$H_{G}^{*}(M, M^{G}) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} H_{G}^{*}(M) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{*}(BG \times M^{G}),$$

in which the rectangle is commutative and the lower sequence is exact. Then it follows from (4.7) that  $i*\varDelta*P(\alpha)=(id\times i)*d*P(\alpha)=0$ . Therefore  $\varDelta*P(\alpha)\in \text{Imj*}$ .

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. We may assume that the action is non-trivial and  $|\alpha| = m/p$ . Consider a commutative diagram

$$H_{G}^{m}(M, M^{G}) \xrightarrow{j *} H_{G}^{m}(M)$$

$$\uparrow \pi'_{!} \qquad \downarrow \pi^{*}$$

$$H^{m}(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma *} H^{m}(M)$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we see

$$\pi * \Delta * P(\alpha) \in \text{Im } \sigma *$$
.

Since  $\sigma^*H^m(M)=0$  and

$$\pi * \Delta * P(\alpha) = \Delta * (\alpha^p) = \alpha (T * \alpha) \cdots (T^{p-1} * \alpha)$$

by (4.9), the proof completes.

The following theorem is due to Steenrod [15] (see also [12]).

THEOREM 4.3. Let X be a compact space, and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a homogeneous basis of  $H^*(X)$ . Then the totality of elements

$$\omega_j P(\alpha_i)$$
  $(i \in I, j \ge 0),$ 

$$\pi_!(\alpha_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{i_p}) \qquad ((i_1, \cdots, i_p) \in R(I_0^p))$$

is a homogeneous basis of  $H_G^*(X^p)$ .

The following is due to Thom [16] (see also [1], [11], [17]).

THEOREM 4.4. Let X be a compact space, and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a homogeneous basis of  $H^*(X)$ . Then the totality of elements

$$\delta^*(\omega_j \times \alpha_i) \qquad (i \in I, \ 0 \leq j < (p-1)|\alpha_i|),$$

$$\pi_!(\alpha_i, \times \cdots \times \alpha_{i_n}) \qquad ((i_1, \cdots, i_p) \in R(I_0^p))$$

is a homogeneous basis of  $H_G^*(X^p, dX)$ , where  $\delta^*: H^*(BG \times X) = H_G^*(dX) \longrightarrow H_G^*(X^p, dX)$  is the coboundary homomorphism. Furthermore we have

$$\pi'_{!}(\alpha \times \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \delta^*(\omega_{|\alpha|-i-1} \times Sq^{i}\alpha)$$

if p=2, and

$$\pi'_{!}(\alpha \times \cdots \times \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor |\alpha|/2\rfloor} \varepsilon_{i} \delta^{*}(\omega_{(p-1)(|\alpha|-2i)-1} \times \mathcal{S}^{i}\alpha)$$

with some  $\varepsilon_i \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  if  $p \neq 2$ .

REMARK. Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 are proved in the literatures for a compact polyhedron. However we can extend them to compact spaces by the device seen in [2].

## 5. Proof of Theorem A.

The equivariant diagonal cohomology class  $\hat{U}'_{M}$  in case the action on M is trivial has been studied by Haefliger. By Theorem 3.2 in his paper [6] and

(5.1) 
$$\pi^*(\hat{U}') = \Delta_!(1),$$

we have the following (see the proof of Theorem 9.1 in [13]).

PROPOSITION 5.1. If the action on M is trivial, then

$$\hat{U}_{M}' = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \omega_{m-2k} P(V_{k}) + \sum_{i < j} (c_{ij} - c_{ii}c_{jj}) \pi_{!}(\alpha_{i} \times \alpha_{j})$$

if p=2, and

$$\hat{U}'_{M} = h_{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2p \rfloor} (-1)^{k} \omega_{(p-1)(m-2kp)} P(V_{k}) 
+ \sum_{(i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}) \in R(I_{0}^{p})} (c_{i_{1} \dots i_{p}} - c_{i_{1} \dots i_{1}} \dots c_{i_{p} \dots i_{p}}) \pi_{!} (\alpha_{i_{1}} \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_{p}})$$

if  $p \neq 2$ , where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a homogeneous basis of  $H^*(M)$ ,  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ ,  $h_m$  are those in (2.2), (4.8), and  $V_k \in H^*(M)$  are the Wu classes given by

$$\langle V_k \cdot \alpha, [M] \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle Sq^k \alpha, [M] \rangle & \text{if } p=2, \\ \langle \mathcal{S}^k \alpha, [M] \rangle & \text{if } p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

We shall next prove

Proposition 5.2. If the action on M is free and  $\omega_m \in H^*(M/G)$  is not zero, it holds

$$\omega_m \hat{U}_M'' = \delta^*(\omega_{(p-1)m-1} \times \mu),$$

where  $\mu$  is a generator of  $H^m(M)$ .

PROOF. Let V be an equivariant open neighbourhood of dM in  $M^p$ , and put

$$W=M^p-\Delta M-dM$$
,  $C=M^p-\Delta M-V$ .

Then C/G is a closed connected and non-compact subset of W/G, and hence we have  $H^{mp}(W/G, W/G - C/G) = 0$  (see p. 260 of [4]). Therefore it follows that

$$H_G^{mp}(M^p - \Delta M, V) \cong H_G^{mp}(W, W - C) \cong H^{mp}(W/G, W/G - C/G) = 0.$$

This shows that  $i^*: H_G^{mp}(M^p, M^p - \Delta M) \longrightarrow H_G^{mp}(M^p, dM)$  is onto, and so is

$$i^* \circ \theta : H_G^m(\Delta M) \longrightarrow H_G^{mp}(M^p, dM).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 and the assumptions that  $H_G^m(\Delta M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  is generated by  $\omega_m$ . By Theorem 4.4,  $H_G^{mp}(M^p, dM) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  is generated by  $\delta^*(\omega_{(p-1)m} \times \mu)$ . Since  $i^* \circ \theta$  is a homomorphism of  $H^*(BG)$ -modules and it sends 1 to  $\hat{U}_M^m$ , we have the desired result.

We shall now give

PROOF OF THEOREM A. By the assumption ii) and Proposition 5.2, it holds

$$\omega_n \hat{U}_N'' = \delta^*(\omega_{(p-1)n-1} \times \nu),$$

where  $\nu$  is a generator of  $H^n(N)$ . Therefore we have

$$\omega_n(g^{p*}\hat{U}_N'')=\delta^*(\omega_{(p-1)n-1}\times g^*\nu),$$

and this is not zero by the assumption v) and Theorem 4.4. Since  $n \ge (p-1)m$  by the assumption iii), it holds

$$\omega_{(p-1)m}(g^{p*}\hat{U}_{N}^{"})\neq 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the assumptions i), iv) and Proposition 5.1 that

$$f^{p*}(\hat{U}_{M}') = h_{m}\omega_{(p-1)m}$$

with  $h_m \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ . Consequently we have

$$f^{p*}(\hat{U}'_{M}) \cdot g^{p*}(\hat{U}''_{N}) = h_{m}\omega_{(p-1)m}g^{p*}(\hat{U}''_{N}) \neq 0,$$

which completes the proof by Proposition 3.3.

# 6. Proof of Theorem B and an example.

The following proposition has been proved in [13] if p=2. By the similar method we shall prove it for any p.

PROPOSITION 6.1. If  $i^*: H^q(M) \longrightarrow H^q(M^g)$  is trivial for  $q \ge m/p$ , then we have

$$\hat{U}'_{M} = \sum_{(i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}) \in R(I_{0}^{p})} c_{i_{1} \dots i_{p}} \pi_{!} (\alpha_{i_{1}} \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_{p}}),$$

$$c_{i \dots i} = 0 \quad (i \in I),$$

where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a homogeneous basis of  $H^*(M)$ , and  $c_{i_1\cdots i_p}$  are those in (2,2).

Before we proceed to proof we make some preparations.

The equivariant homology group  $H_*^G(X^p) = H_*(EG \underset{G}{\times} X^p)$  is canonically identified with  $H_*(G; H_*(X)^p)$ , the homology group of the group G with coefficients in  $H_*(X)^p = H_*(X) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_*(X)$  on which G acts by cyclic permutations. Taking the standard G-free acyclic complex W, we have an element of  $H_*(G; H_*(X)^p)$  represented by  $w_k \otimes a \otimes \cdots \otimes a$ , where  $w_k \in W$  is the basis of degree k and  $a \in H_*(X)$ . The corresponding element in  $H_*^G(X^p)$  will be denoted by  $P_k(a)$ .

LEMMA 6.2. Suppose that  $i^*: H^q(M) \longrightarrow H^q(M^G)$  is trivial for  $q \ge m/p$ . Then, for any  $k \ge 0$  and for any  $\alpha \in H^*(M)$ , we have

$$\langle \omega_1 \hat{U}'_M, P_{k+1}(\alpha \smallfrown [M]) \rangle = 0$$

if p=2, and

$$\langle \hat{U}'_{M}, P_{2k+1}(\alpha \smallfrown [M]) \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \omega_1 \hat{U}'_M, P_{2k+1}(\alpha \frown [M]) \rangle = 0$$

if  $p\neq 2$ .

PROOF. Similarly to Lemma 4.4 in [13], the result for  $p \neq 2$  is proved as follows.

It follows that  $P_{2k+1}([M])$  is in the image of

$$i_{k*}: H_{2k+1+pm}(S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M^p) \longrightarrow H_{2k+1+pm}^G(M^p)$$

induced by the inclusion, and that  $i_k^*(\hat{U}_M')$  is the image of 1 under the homomorphism

$$(\operatorname{id} \underset{G}{\times} \Delta)_! : H^*(S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M) \longrightarrow H^*(S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M^p).$$

From these facts we see that  $\hat{U}'_{M} \cap P_{2k+1}([M])$  is in the image of

$$H_{2k+1+m}(S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{i}_{k*}} H_{2k+1+m}^{G}(M) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} H_{2k+1+m}^{G}(M^{p}).$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\langle \hat{U}_{M}', P_{2k+1}(\alpha \frown \llbracket M \rrbracket) \rangle = \langle \hat{U}_{M}', P(\alpha) \frown P_{2k+1}(\llbracket M \rrbracket) \rangle \\ &= \langle P(\alpha), \hat{U}_{M}' \frown P_{2k+1}(\llbracket M \rrbracket) \rangle = \varepsilon_{k} \langle P(\alpha), \Delta_{*} i_{k*} \llbracket S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M \rrbracket \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon_{k} \langle \Delta^{*} P(\alpha), i_{k*} \llbracket S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M \rrbracket \rangle \qquad (\varepsilon_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}), \end{split}$$

and similarly

$$\langle \omega_1 \hat{U}_M', P_{2k+1}(\alpha \frown [M]) \rangle = \varepsilon_k \langle \omega_1 \mathcal{A} * P(\alpha), i_{k*} [S^{2k+1} \underset{G}{\times} M] \rangle.$$

To prove the desired two equalities, we may suppose  $p|\alpha| \ge m+1$  in the first, and  $p|\alpha| \ge m$  in the second. Consequently it suffices to prove that

$$\Delta * P(\alpha) = 0$$
 if  $p|\alpha| \ge m+1$ ,  
 $\omega_1 \Delta * P(\alpha) = 0$  if  $p|\alpha| \ge m$ .

By Lemma 4.2  $\Delta *P(\alpha)$  and  $\omega_1 \Delta *P(\alpha)$  are in the image of  $j^*: H^*_G(M, M^G) \longrightarrow H^*_G(M)$ , and the Smith cohomology exact sequence implies  $H^q_G(M, M^G) = 0$  (q > m). Therefore we have the desired results, and the proof completes.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.1. In virtue of Theorem 4.3 it can be written uniquely that

$$\hat{U}_{\mathit{M}}' = \sum_{i,j} \xi_{ij} \omega_{j} P(\alpha_{i}) + \sum_{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}) \in R(I_{\mathbf{0}}^{p})} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} \pi_{!}(\alpha_{i_{1}} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{i_{p}})$$

with some  $\xi_{ij}$ ,  $\eta_{i_1\cdots i_p} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since it is easily seen that

Im 
$$\pi_1 \sim P_k(a) = 0$$
,  
 $\langle \omega_j P(\alpha), P_k(a) \rangle = \delta_{jk} \langle \alpha, a \rangle$ 

 $(\alpha \in H^*(M), a \in H_*(M))$ , it follows from Lemma 6.2 that  $\xi_{ij} = 0$ . We see from (5.1) that  $\eta_{i_1 \cdots i_p} = c_{i_1 \cdots i_p}$  if  $(i_1, \cdots, i_p) \in R(I_0^p)$  and  $c_{i \cdots i} = 0$  for any  $i \in I$ . This completes the proof.

Remark 1. Working in the smooth category, Hattori [7] has given formulae for  $\hat{U}_{M}'$  with no assumption on  $M^{G}$ .

The following is immediate from Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 4.5.

PROPOSITION 6.3. If the action on M is free, then it can be written uniquely that

$$\begin{split} \hat{U}_{\mathit{M}}'' &= \sum_{(i_1, \cdots, i_p) \in R(I_0^p)} c_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \pi_! (\alpha_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{i_p}) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_i| \geq m - m/p} \varepsilon_i \delta^* (\omega_{(p-1)m - |\alpha_i| - 1} \times \alpha_i) \end{split}$$

with some  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

REMARK 2. The author does not know how to determine  $\varepsilon_i$  in the above. If M is a mod p homology sphere, it follows from Propositions 5.2 and 6.3 that

$$\widehat{U}_{M}^{"} = \begin{cases} \pi_{!}^{"}(1 \times \mu) & \text{if} \quad p = 2, \\ \pi_{!}^{"}(1 \times \mu \times \dots \times \mu) + \varepsilon \delta^{*}(\omega_{(p-2)_{m-1}} \times \mu) & \text{if} \quad p \neq 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ , and  $\mu \in H^m(M)$  is a generator such that  $\langle \mu, \lceil M \rceil \rangle = 1$ .

We shall now give

PROOF OF THEOREM B. By the assumption i) and Proposition 6.1 we have

$$f^{p*}\hat{U}_{M}'=\pi_{!}f^{*p}(\sum_{(i_{1},\dots,i_{p})\in R(I_{0}^{p})}c_{i_{1}\dots i_{p}}\alpha_{i_{1}}\times\dots\times\alpha_{i_{p}}),$$

and by the assumption ii) and Proposition 6.3 we have

$$g^{p*}\hat{U}_{N}'' = \pi_{!}'g^{*p}\left(\sum_{(j_{1},\dots,j_{p})\in R(J_{0}^{p})}d_{j_{1}\dots j_{p}}\beta_{j_{1}}\times\dots\times\beta_{j_{p}}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{|\beta_{j}|\geq n-n/p}\varepsilon_{j}\delta^{*}(\omega_{(p-)n-|\beta_{j}|-1}\times g^{*}\beta_{j}).$$

It follows from (5.1) and Proposition 6.1 that

$$\sigma^* \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in R(I_0^p)} c_{i_1 \dots i_p} \alpha_{i_1} \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_p} = \Delta_!(1),$$
  
$$\sigma^* \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_p) \in R(I_2^p)} d_{j_1}, \dots, j_p \beta_{j_1} \times \dots \times \beta_{j_p} = \Delta_!(1).$$

Thus, by (4.4), (4.5) and the assumption ii), we have

$$(f^{p}\hat{U}'_{M}) \cdot (g^{p*}\hat{U}''_{N})$$

$$= \pi'_{!}(f^{*p} \sum_{(i_{1}, \dots, i_{p}) \in R(I^{p}_{0})} c_{i_{1} \dots i_{p}} \alpha_{i_{1}} \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_{p}}) (g^{*p} \Delta_{!}(1))$$

$$= \pi'_{!}(f^{*p} \Delta_{!}(1)) (g^{*p} \sum_{(j_{1}, \dots, j_{p}) \in R(j^{p}_{0})} d_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{p}} \beta_{j_{1}} \times \dots \times \beta_{j_{p}})$$

in  $H_G^{pl}(L^p, dL)$ .

It follows from Theorem 4.4 that  $H_G^{pl}(L^p, dL) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  is generated by  $\delta^*(\omega_{(p-1)l-1} \times \rho)$  or  $\pi_!(\rho \times \cdots \times \rho)$ , where  $\rho \in H^l(L)$  is a generator such that  $\langle \rho, [L] \rangle = 1$ . Consequently we have

$$(f^{p*}\hat{U}'_{M})(g^{p*}\hat{U}''_{N}) = \lambda(f, g)\pi'_{!}(\rho \times \cdots \times \rho)$$
$$= \lambda'(f, g)\pi'_{!}(\rho \times \cdots \times \rho),$$

which completes the proof by Proposition 3.3.

Theorem B for p=2, particularly corollary 3 in § 2, has interesting applications as is seen in [13]. The author does not know so interesting applications of Theorems B for  $p\neq 2$ . However there is the following example for which Theorem B for p=3 is applicable.

Let n=1,3 or 7, and take in Theorem B

$$L = S^n \times S^n$$
,  $M = S^n \times S^n$ ,  $N = S^n$ 

where the action on N is any free G-action, and action on M is given as follows:

$$T(x, y) = (y, y^{-1}x^{-1}),$$

x, y being complex numbers, quaternions or Cayley numbers according as n=1,3 or 7. It follows that the fixed point set of M is homeomorphic to  $S^{n-1}$ +point. Thus the assumptions i), ii), iii) in Theorem B are satisfied.

Let  $\nu \in H^n(S^n)$  denote a generator, and put  $\nu_1 = \nu \times 1$ ,  $\nu_2 = 1 \times \nu \in H^n(S^n \times S^n)$ . Then, by Remark 3 in § 2, it can be seen that

$$\varDelta_{!}(1) \! = \! \sigma^{*}(1 \times \nu_{1}\nu_{2} \times \nu_{1}\nu_{2} - \nu_{1} \times \nu_{1} \times \nu_{1}\nu_{2} - \nu_{2} \times \nu_{2} \times \nu_{1}\nu_{2} - \nu_{2} \times \nu_{1} \times \nu_{1}\nu_{2})$$

for the homomorphism  $\Delta_1: H^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M^3)$ , and

$$\Delta_!(1) = \sigma^*(1 \times \nu \times \nu)$$

for the homomorphism  $\Delta_!: H^*(N) \longrightarrow H^*(N^3)$ . Therefore, if continuous maps  $f: L \longrightarrow M$ ,  $g: L \longrightarrow N$  satisfy

$$f*(\nu_i) = a_{i_1}\nu_1 + a_{i_2}\nu_2, g*(\nu) = b_1\nu_1 + b_2\nu_2$$

 $(a_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_3)$ , simple calculation shows

$$\lambda(f,g) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

This yields by Theorem B the following

THEOREM 6.4. Let n=1, 3 or 7, and let  $f_1, f_2, g: S^n \times S^n \longrightarrow S^n$  be continuous maps of type  $(a_{11}, a_{12}), (a_{21}, a_{22}), (b_1, b_2)$  respectively. Let  $T: S^n \longrightarrow S^n$  be a homomorphism of period 3 without fixed points. Then, if

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} \not\equiv 0 \mod 3,$$

there exist  $x, y, z \in S^n \times S^n$  such that

$$(f_2(x), f_2(y), f_2(z)) = (f_1(y), f_1(z), f_1(x)),$$

$$(Tg(x), Tg(y), Tg(z)) = (g(y), g(z), g(x)),$$
  
 $f_1(x)f_1(y)f_1(z) = 1.$ 

In particular, taking  $f_i$ =projection to the *i*-th factor, we have

COROLLARY. If  $b_1+b_2\not\equiv 0$  then there exist  $x, y, z\in S^n$  such that

$$Tg(x, y) = g(y, z), Tg(y, z) = g(z, x), xyz = 1,$$

where n, g and T are those in Theorem 6.4.

### References

- [1] Aeppli, A., Akiyama, Y.: Cohomology operations in Smith theory. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. 24, 741-833 (1970).
- [2] Bredon, G.: Introduction to Compact Transformation Group. New York-London: Academic Press 1972.
- [3] Conner, P., Floyd, E.: Differentiable Periodic Maps. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1964.
- [4] Dold, A.: Lectures on Algebraic Topology. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1972.
- [5] Fenn, R.: Some generalizations of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem and applications to realizing homotopy classes by embedded spheres. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 74, 251-256 (1973).
- [6] Haefliger, A.: Points multiples d'une application et produit cyclique reduit. Amer. J. Math. 83, 57-70 (1961).
- [7] Hattori, A.: The fixed point set of a smooth periodic transformation I. J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo. 24, 137-165 (1977).
- [8] Lusk, E.: The mod p Smith index and a generalized Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Michigan Math. J. 22, 151-160 (1975).
- [9] Milnor, J.: Groups which act on  $S^n$  without fixed points. Amer. J. Math. 79, 623-630 (1957).
- [10] Munkholm, H.: Borsuk-Ulam type theorems for proper  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -actions on (mod p homology) n-spheres. Math. Scand. 24, 169-185 (1969).
- [11] Nakaoka, M.: Cohomology theory of a complex with a transformation of prime period and its applications. J. Inst. Polyt., Osaka City Univ. 7, 51-102 (1956)
- [12] Nakaoka, M.: Generalization of Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Osaka J. 7, 423-441 (1970).
- [13] Nakaoka, M.: Equivariant [point theorems for involutions. Jap. J. Math. 4, 263-298 (1978).
- [14] Spanier, E.: Algebraic Topology. New York: McGraw-Hill 1966.
- [15] Steenrod, N. Epstein, D.: Cohomology Operations. Ann. of Math. Studies 50. Princeton: Univ. Press 1962.
- [16] Thom, R.: Une théorie intrinséque des puissances de Steenrod. Colloque de Topologie de Strasbourg 1951.
- [17] Wu, W.T.: A Theory of Imbedding, Immersion, and Isotopy of Polytopes in a Euclidean Space. Peking: Science Press 1965.

M. NakaokaDepartment of MathematicsOsaka UniversityToyonaka 560 Japan